

**HB-2469-HD-1**

Submitted on: 2/24/2026 10:19:55 AM

Testimony for FIN on 2/26/2026 10:00:00 AM

<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Testifier Position</b>	<b>Testify</b>
lynne matusow	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Please accept this as testimony in opposition to this measure, aka kicking the can down the road bill. All it does is postpone action. The working group has two years to prepare a report, and then no action can be taken until 2029. If a constitutional amendment is required, that further pushes it back. With all that is going on in the state, the nation, and the world this needs to move forward, rapidly, not at a purposeful snail's face which means nothing will ever happen.

Lynne Matusow

# OFFICE OF INFORMATION PRACTICES

STATE OF HAWAII  
NO. 1 CAPITOL DISTRICT BUILDING  
250 SOUTH HOTEL STREET, SUITE 107  
HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813  
TELEPHONE: 808-586-1400 FAX: 808-586-1412  
EMAIL: oip@hawaii.gov

To: House Committee on Finance

From: Carlotta Amerino, Director

Date: February 26, 2026, 10:00 a.m.  
State Capitol, Conference Room 308

Re: Testimony on H.B. No. 2469, H.D. 1  
Relating to a Regular Session Review Working Group

---

---

Thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony on this bill, which would create a Regular Session Working Group that would be exempt from the Sunshine Law, part I of chapter 92, Hawaii Revised Statutes. The Office of Information Practices (OIP) takes no position on the substance of this bill, but offers comments on the proposed Sunshine Law exemption.

OIP defers to the Legislature's authority to exempt or not exempt the Working Group from the Sunshine Law. If the Working Group is exempt from the Sunshine Law, it is not required to give public notice of its meetings; not required to allow public participation; and not required to keep a record of its meetings. The Sunshine Law was enacted to protect the public's right to know. It recognizes that "[i]n a democracy, the people are vested with the ultimate decision-making power." HRS § 92F-2. Government boards exist to serve the people; to help create and carry out public policies that affect us all. The "only viable and reasonable method of protecting the public's interest" is to open government processes to public scrutiny and participation. Id.

OIP recognizes that the legislative calendar is an issue that may be of more compelling interest to the Legislature itself than to the public at large. Nonetheless, exempting the Working Group from the Sunshine Law could result in it deciding not to allow public participation in meetings. This would mean that the recommendations created by the Working Group – which would have only one member from outside government – would be made without any public input. Public feedback on a group’s work and discussions is important to help that group craft solutions and recommended legislation that will work for everyone affected.

**OIP therefore respectfully recommends that this Committee consider alternatives to a full Sunshine Law exemption.** For example, the Working Group could be **subject to the Sunshine Law, but given a special permitted interaction** allowing less than a quorum of members to discuss Working Group business together so long as they refrained from making or seeking a commitment to vote. That would allow up to five of the eleven members to talk together without worrying about whether their discussion included issues before the Working Group, while still ensuring the public was kept informed via minutes and open meetings, and could submit testimony on issues before the Working Group. **To make this change,** this Committee should replace subsection (i) beginning on bill page 9, line 17, with the following:

- (i) In addition to the permitted interactions provided in section 92-2.5, Hawaii Revised Statutes, the regular session working group’s members may discuss between themselves matters relating to official board business to enable them to perform their duties faithfully, as long as no commitment to vote is made or sought and the members do not constitute a quorum of their board.

Thank you for considering OIP’s testimony.



FEBRUARY 26, 2026

## HOUSE BILL 2469 HD1

CURRENT REFERRAL: FIN

808-679-7454  
kris@imuaalliance.org  
www.imuaalliance.org  
@imuaalliance

Kris Coffield,  
*President*

David Negaard,  
*Director*

Mireille Ellsworth,  
*Director*

Justin Salisbury,  
*Director*

Eileen Roco,  
*Director*

Beatrice DeRego,  
*Director*

Corey Rosenlee,  
*Director*

Amy Zhao,  
*Policy and Partnerships  
Strategist*

### POSITION: SUPPORT

---

Imua Alliance supports HB 2469 HD1, which establishes a Regular Session Review Working Group to determine the essential timing and effective framework for a lengthened legislative calendar for election and non-election years; requires a report to the legislature; and requires report finalization and drafting assistance from the Legislative Reference Bureau.

Imua Alliance is a Hawai‘i-based organization dedicated to ending all forms of exploitation, including corporate interference in our democracy.

**Compressed sessions limit legislative effectiveness.** Hawai‘i’s current system condenses thousands of bills, hearings, stakeholder consultations, and budgetary deliberations into approximately five months each year (January–May). According to reports from national legislative studies, condensed sessions contribute to rushed policy deliberation, reduced stakeholder engagement, and increased work outside of committee hearings, often in informal or remote settings that are less accessible to the public. As noted by the National Conference of State Legislatures, in its 2021 study “Session Length and Effectiveness”, states with continuous or extended sessions consistently report higher rates of public testimony, deeper committee engagement, and more thoughtful deliberation on complex policy topics.

**Comparative data illustrates real benefits.** Oregon and Washington operate longer legislative cycles (biennial with extended meeting periods or biennial with annual interim sessions) and have documented that expanded committee availability leads to earlier bill drafts, improved technical review, and better incorporation of public input. According to the Oregon Legislative Policy and Research Office, longer sessions improve policy analysis and reduce last-minute amendments that can undermine transparency and oversight.

**Year-round continuity supports public participation and transparency.** A hallmark of democratic governance is sustained engagement between policymakers and the communities they represent. Continuous session structures help ensure that public testimony is heard in real time and not

---

compressed into limited hearing windows. They also allow for incremental review of fiscal impacts and mid-course corrections that are challenging in a heavily compressed schedule. Testimony provided early in the session can meaningfully shape legislation, rather than being an afterthought in a late-March or April hearing rush, and impactful measures can be given more time for public vetting, rather than being scrapped without justification because of time and scheduling constraints.

**Continuity fosters better policy outcomes and institutional memory.** A continuous session would allow committees and working groups to build longer timelines for complex interagency coordination. According to legislative scholars, the ability to work year-round significantly reduces the policy “whiplash” experienced when statutes must be drafted, vetted, amended, and passed in just a few months. Longer cycles also help reduce staff burnout and improve legislative research quality, increasing the legislature’s capacity to address emergent issues—such as housing affordability, climate resilience, artificial intelligence, or health equity—without procedural strain.

**Economic and administrative benefits are tied to better governance.** While Hawai‘i’s compact session may appear cost-efficient on its face, rushed timelines increase administrative costs in other ways: last-minute budget adjustments, implementation delays, and reactive policymaking can lead to inefficiencies and unanticipated fiscal risks. Longer or continuous sessions, balanced with appropriate cost containment, promote proactive budgeting and better alignment with executive and agency planning cycles.

For these reasons, Imua Alliance urges the legislature to adopt this measure to evaluate the impacts of transitioning toward a legislative structure that prioritizes thoughtful deliberation and more meaningful public engagement.

With aloha,

*Kris Coffield*

President, Imua Alliance



**STATE OF HAWAI'I | KA MOKU'ĀINA O HAWAI'I**  
**STATE PROCUREMENT OFFICE**

P.O. Box 119  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96810-0119  
Tel: (808) 586-0554  
email: [state\\_procurement\\_office@hawaii.gov](mailto:state_procurement_office@hawaii.gov)  
<http://spo.hawaii.gov>

TESTIMONY  
OF  
BONNIE KAHAKUI, ADMINISTRATOR  
STATE PROCUREMENT OFFICE

TO THE HOUSE COMMITTEE  
ON  
LEGISLATIVE MANAGEMENT  
FEBRUARY 26, 2026, 10:00 AM

HOUSE BILL 2469, HD1  
RELATING TO A REGULAR SESSION REVIEW WORKING GROUP

Chair Todd, Vice Chair Takenouchi, and members of the committee, thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony on House Bill 2469, HD1, which establishes a Regular Session Review Working Group, requires a report and appropriate moneys.

The State Procurement Office (SPO) acknowledges the intent of the bill to convene a working group to determine the framework for a continuous legislative session. However, the SPO respectfully opposes the language in Section 2, Page 9, lines 6-7; Section 3, Page 10, lines 5-8; and Section 4, Page 10, lines 15-18, which state that contracting services using funds appropriated for this purpose shall be exempt from the requirements of Chapter 103D – Hawaii Public Procurement Code, Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS).

The SPO recommends the following revisions to require that contracts are subject to HRS Chapter 103D.

- **Section 2, Page 9, lines 3-7:**

"(f) The co-chairs of the regular session review working group may contract the services of a qualified facilitator to facilitate its meetings if the co-chairs deem it appropriate. Any contract subject to this subsection shall be [~~exempt from~~] subject to chapter 103D, Hawaii Revised Statutes."

- **Section 3, Page 10, lines 1-8:**

"SECTION 3. There is appropriated out of the general revenues of the State of Hawaii the sum of \$ or so much thereof as may be necessary for fiscal year 2026-2027 to contract for the services of a facilitator for meetings of the regular session review working group; provided that the contracting of services using funds appropriated under this section shall be ~~exempt from~~ subject to chapter 103D, Hawaii Revised Statutes."

- **Section 4, Page 10, lines 11-18:**

"SECTION 4. There is appropriated out of the general revenues of the State of Hawaii the sum of \$ or so much thereof as may be necessary for fiscal year 2026-2027 to contract for the services of a facilitator for meetings of the regular session review working group; provided that the contracting of services using funds appropriated under this section shall be ~~exempt from~~ subject to chapter 103D, Hawaii Revised Statutes."

The SPO testifies that service contracts that support the regular session review working group should comply with Chapter 103D, Hawaii Revised Statutes, to ensure fair, open competition and to prevent favoritism, collusion, or fraud in awarding of contracts. This also ensures oversight, accountability, and transparency in the procurement and contracting process which is vital to good government.

Thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony on this measure.

Charlotte A. Carter-Yamauchi  
Director

Shawn K. Nakama  
First Assistant

Research 808-587-0666  
Revisor 808-587-0670



LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU  
State of Hawaii  
State Capitol, Room 446  
415 S. Beretania Street  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

## Written Comments

### **HB2469, HD1**

### **RELATING TO A REGULAR SESSION REVIEW WORKING GROUP**

Charlotte A. Carter-Yamauchi, Director  
Legislative Reference Bureau

Presented to the House Committee on Legislative Management

Thursday, February 26, 2026, 10:00 a.m.  
Conference Room 308 and Via Videoconference

Chair Todd and Members of the Committee:

Good morning, Chair Todd and members of the Committee. My name is Charlotte Carter-Yamauchi, and I am the Director of the Legislative Reference Bureau (Bureau). Thank you for providing the opportunity to submit written **comments** on **H.B. No. 2469, H.D. 1, Relating to a Regular Session Review Working Group**.

The purpose of H.B. No. 2469, H.D. 1 is to establish a Regular Session Review Working Group to consider the suggestions proposed by the Bureau's report, *Sine Die Another Day: Should Hawaii's Legislative Sessions Be Longer?*, which the Bureau submitted to the Legislature in response to House Concurrent Resolution No. 138, H.D. 1, S.D.1 (2024) (H.C.R. No. 138). More specifically, the measure:

- (1) Establishes the Regular Session Review Working Group to determine the essential timing and effective framework for a lengthened legislative session calendar for election and non-election years;
- (2) Establishes the membership of the Working Group, which includes two Co-Chairs, one of whom shall be a Senator selected by the President of the Senate and the other of whom shall be a Representative selected by the Speaker of the House of Representatives;

- (3) Requires the Working Group to:
  - (A) Study the feasibility of transitioning the Hawaii State Legislature's regular session from one that meets from mid-January to early May to one that is lengthened, which means any regular session that is of significantly longer duration than Hawaii's typical January-to-May regular session;
  - (B) Analyze the financial impacts and necessary costs to operate lengthened regular sessions, including staffing requirements, changes to legislative services, operational costs, office space needs, and impacts on legislation;
  - (C) Analyze and recommend any constitutional, statutory, and legislative rule changes necessary to implement lengthened regular sessions;
  - (D) Examine other states that have lengthened sessions, as deemed appropriate by the Working Group; and
  - (E) Consider the findings and suggestions made by the Bureau in its H.C.R. No. 138 report;
- (4) Requires the Working Group to submit a report of its findings and recommendations, including any proposed legislation, to the Legislature no later than twenty days prior to the convening of the Regular Session of 2028;
- (5) Requires the Bureau to finalize the Working Group's report and draft any proposed legislation; provided that the Working Group submits a draft report to the Bureau no later than October 31, 2027; and
- (6) Appropriates an unspecified sum to each the Senate and the House of Representatives to facilitate Working Group meetings, and provides that the contracting of services using appropriated funds shall be exempt from the Procurement Code.

The Bureau takes no position on the merits of this measure but submits the following comments for your consideration.

The Bureau believes that the services required of the Bureau under H.B. No. 2469, H.D. 1, as drafted are manageable, provided that the Bureau's interim workload is not adversely impacted by too many other additional responsibilities, such as conducting studies, writing or finalizing other reports, drafting legislation, or any combination of these for the Legislature or for other state agencies, task forces, or working groups that may be requested or required under other legislative measures.

Thank you again for your consideration.