

STAND. COM. REP. NO. 2154

Honolulu, Hawaii

FEB 11 2026

RE: S.B. No. 2152
S.D. 1

Honorable Ronald D. Kouchi
President of the Senate
Thirty-Third State Legislature
Regular Session of 2026
State of Hawaii

Sir:

Your Committee on Judiciary, to which was referred S.B.
No. 2152 entitled:

"A BILL FOR AN ACT PROPOSING AN AMENDMENT TO ARTICLE VI,
SECTION 3, OF THE HAWAII STATE CONSTITUTION TO INCREASE THE
MANDATORY RETIREMENT AGE FOR STATE JUSTICES AND JUDGES,"

begs leave to report as follows:

The purpose and intent of this measure is to propose a
constitutional amendment to increase the mandatory retirement age
for justices and judges from seventy to seventy-five years of age.

Your Committee received testimony in support of this measure
from the Judiciary; Office of the Public Defender; Earthjustice;
State of Hawaii Organization of Police Officers; United Public
Workers, AFSCME Local 646, AFL-CIO; League of Women Voters of
Hawaii; International Longshore and Warehouse Union Local 142;
Community Alliance on Prisons; CARES; and eight individuals.

Your Committee received comments on this measure from the
American Judicature Society.

Your Committee finds that many judges and justices are forced
to retire at the age of seventy as a result of the mandatory
retirement age for state judges and justices, despite still being
able to perform their judicial duties. Additionally, many judges
and justices continue to be active in the legal community and



other community-based endeavors after their retirement. Your Committee also finds that many judges have grown into their positions over many years on the bench and bring sound judgment, courtroom efficiency, institutional knowledge, and the ability to manage complex legal and human issues with wisdom and restraint. Your Committee notes that the majority of states allow judges to serve past the age of seventy. This measure will ensure that the State does not lose competent and experienced judges merely because of their age.

Your Committee has amended this measure by making a technical, nonsubstantive amendment for the purposes of clarity and consistency.

As affirmed by the record of votes of the members of your Committee on Judiciary that is attached to this report, your Committee is in accord with the intent and purpose of S.B. No. 2152, as amended herein, and recommends that it pass Second Reading in the form attached hereto as S.B. No. 2152, S.D. 1, and be referred to your Committee on Ways and Means.

Respectfully submitted on
behalf of the members of the
Committee on Judiciary,



KARL RHOADS, Chair



**Record of Votes
Committee on Judiciary
JDC**

*Only one measure per Record of Votes