


JOSH GREEN, M.D.
GOVERNOR
KE KIAĀINA



DEPT. COMM. NO. 12

KEITH A. REGAN
COMPTROLLER
KA LUNA HOOMALUHANA LAULĀ
CHRISTINE M. SAKUDA
CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER
LUNA 'ENEHANA

OFFICE OF ENTERPRISE TECHNOLOGY SERVICES
KE'ENA HO'OLANA 'ENEHANA

P.O. BOX 119, HONOLULU, HAWAII 96810-0119
Ph: (808) 586-6000 | Fax: (808) 586-1922
ETS.HAWAII.GOV

December 10, 2025

The Honorable Ronald D. Kouchi
President of the Senate
and Members of the Senate
Thirty-Third State Legislature
State Capitol, Room 409
Honolulu, Hawai'i 96813

The Honorable Nadine K. Nakamura
Speaker and Members of the
House of Representatives
Thirty-Third State Legislature
State Capitol, Room 431
Honolulu, Hawai'i 96813

Aloha Senate President Kouchi, Speaker Nakamura, and Members of the Legislature:

Pursuant to HRS section 27-43.6, which requires the Chief Information Officer to submit applicable independent verification and validation (IV&V) reports to the Legislature within ten days of receiving the report, please find attached the report the Office of Enterprise Technology Services received for the State of Hawai'i, Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs, Business Registration Modernization Project.

In accordance with HRS section 93-16, this report may be viewed electronically at <http://ets.hawaii.gov> (see "Reports").

Sincerely,

A blue ink signature of Christine M. Sakuda.

Christine M. Sakuda
Chief Information Officer
State of Hawai'i

Attachment



Hawaii Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs Business Registration Modernization (BRM) Project

IV&V Status Report - FINAL

Reporting Period: January 1 – 31, 2025

Overview

- Executive Summary
- Dashboard
- IV&V Findings and Recommendations
- IV&V Approach and Methodology
- Appendices
 - A – IV&V Assessment Inputs
 - B – IV&V Criticality Ratings
 - C – Findings Log



Executive Summary

The project has made good progress towards restarting the project. After approximately five months of being on hold, the project began preparation for a restart and had an informal kickoff on January 21, 2025, with the System Integrator (SI) selecting Aalta, the project's former project management subcontractor, as their new software development subcontractor. The SI has stated their intention to address previous project challenges and improve project execution, targeting a minimum viable product (MVP) launch by October 2025. Therefore, IV&V is reducing the overall project risk rating to Yellow.

Due to Aalta's familiarity and extensive involvement in this project since the project started, the project feels they could be well-positioned to address the many challenges the project has faced and guide towards a more favorable outcome. The SI plans to document improvements in project execution within a revised Project Management Plan (PMP) and will seek to enhance transparency and provide stakeholders with clearer insights into project progress and productivity. BREG has expressed confidence in the new SI subcontractor based on initial interactions, and they are optimistic about their ability to address past project challenges. IV&V will reassess current risks once the SI has provided details of their new approach and how they will mitigate them.

IV&V remains concerned with the previously stated risks around implementing a simplified version of the system as well as data conversion and document migration challenges.

PROJECT ASSESSMENT

JANUARY 2025

SUMMARY RATINGS

OVERALL RATING



PEOPLE



PROCESS




TECHNOLOGY




CRITICALITY RATINGS




IV&V FINDINGS



PROJECT BUDGET *




PROJECT PROGRESS



KEY PROGRESS & RISKS

- The SI is making preparations to address project challenges and to restart the project with a new subcontractor, Aalta. Therefore, IV&V is reducing the overall project risk rating to Yellow.
- The project is targeting an **October 2025** minimum viable product (MVP) launch.
- The project plans to go live with a minimum viable product (MVP) and utilize its existing funded Maintenance & Operations (M&O) contract to prioritize and implement additional features after go-live.
- IV&V recommends the SI take proactive measures to address data and document conversion issues early to mitigate previously realized risks.



BRM PROJECT

OCT NOV DEC IV&V ASSESSMENT IV&V SUMMARY
AREA

Y

Y

Y

People

Team,
Stakeholders, &
Culture

BREG has expressed confidence in the new SI subcontractor based on initial interactions, and they are optimistic about their ability to address past project challenges. IV&V continues to recommend that the project maintain clear and effective communication with stakeholders to keep them informed about project developments to help prevent rumors and misinformation about the future of the project.

R

R

R

Process

Approach &
Execution

The SI appears poised to restart the project and has stated their intention to address past project challenges. The SI plans to deliver a Project Management Plan (PMP) outlining their strategy to address past project challenges and improve execution based on lessons learned. The SI hopes to leverage Aalta's prior project management experience on this project to guide corrective actions going forward. Aalta has served as DCCA's contracted project management team for the project since August of 2022. Additionally, the SI intends to prioritize finalizing a baselined schedule and providing stakeholders with greater transparency and useful project metrics going forward. The SI has also expressed their commitment to enhancing Agile/Scrum practices, focusing on enhancing visibility and detailed reporting, which could offer stakeholders clearer insights into development progress, and clearly show when progress has slowed or accelerated.



BRM PROJECT

OCT NOV DEC IV&V ASSESSMENT AREA

IV&V SUMMARY



Technology

System, Data, & Security

No further updates have been provided during this reporting period for this assessment area. IV&V continues to recommend the SI take proactive measures to address data and document conversion issues early to mitigate previously realized risks.



IV&V Findings and Recommendation

Summary of IV&V Open Findings

IV&V Findings and Recommendations

Summary of IV&V Open Findings

Category	Type	#	Finding Title	Criticality
People	Risk	25	Intermittent project pauses and other SI challenges could diminish BREG SMEs' motivation and commitment to project activities, potentially resulting in additional delays and decreased system quality.	M
Process	Issue	22	The failure to capture and integrate lessons learned from earlier project phases and restarts, is leading to the repetition of the same project challenges.	H
	Issue	21	A lack of agreement on a path forward and the critical changes needed for course correction, may hinder the likelihood of overall project success and result in the recurrence of the same project issues.	H
	Issue	19	Project is lacking rigorous schedule management practices which may impact the ability to properly manage resources, quality, and timeliness of project execution.	M
	Issue	20	Unclear sprint planning and execution may result in misalignment of sprint goals and objectives, low quality of work, and potential rework.	H

IV&V Findings and Recommendations

Summary of IV&V Open Findings

Category	Type	#	Finding Title	Criticality
Process	Issue	12	Current risk management processes aren't communicating risks or executing risk mitigating tasks early enough which may impact project scope, schedule, and costs.	M
	Issue	13	Untimely and insufficient completion of project management responsibilities may impact effective project execution.	M
	Risk	6	A lack of quantitative success metrics may lead to differences in the interpretation of project success.	M
	Risk	17	Insufficient quality management practices may lead to rework and impact the quality, performance, and functionality of the solution.	M
Technology	Risk	16	The lack of a formal governance structure to oversee multiple applications in a single Salesforce organization may lead to errors with application development, data management, integration, maintenance, and operations of the applications.	M
	Risk	26	Challenges with data conversion and document migration could create project delays and negatively impact system quality.	M

IV&V Findings and Recommendations

People

#	Key Findings	Criticality Rating
25	Risk - Intermittent project pauses and other SI challenges could diminish BREG SMEs' motivation and commitment to project activities, potentially resulting in additional delays and decreased system quality.	M

Recommendations	Progress
<ul style="list-style-type: none">BREG leadership make efforts to encourage and show appreciation to SMEs for their efforts on the project.	In progress
<ul style="list-style-type: none">The SI prioritize the allocation of high-quality SI resources to the project going forward so as to prevent further project missteps, avoid overburdening BREG SMEs, and expedite system development to recover lost time.	In progress
<ul style="list-style-type: none">The project disseminate comprehensive Organizational Change Management (OCM) communications to stakeholders, aimed at preventing rumors and misinformation. These communications can provide clarity on the challenges the project encountered and outlining the strategy to get back on track. If appropriate, reaffirm leadership's dedication to project success and affirmation of SME's who have dedicated their time and efforts thus far.	In progress

IV&V Findings and Recommendations

Process

#	Key Findings	Criticality Rating
22	Issue —The failure to capture and integrate lessons learned from earlier project phases and restarts, is leading to the repetition of the same project challenges:	

Recommendations	Progress
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Conduct formal lessons learned meetings. Encourage open and honest discussion so stakeholders share their experiences and perspectives on what could have been done differently and what they learned. Analyze the collected information, look for common themes, and identify the root causes of issues that need to be addressed	In progress
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Incorporate lessons learned and any resulting actions into the new revised schedule and processes. Prioritize based on relevance to upcoming activities. Develop high-level timeline and tasks for addressing deficiencies and begin tracking progress.	In progress

IV&V Findings and Recommendations

Process

#	Key Findings	Criticality Rating
21	Issue - A lack of agreement on a path forward and the critical changes needed for course correction, may hinder the likelihood of overall project success and result in the recurrence of the same project issues	H

Recommendations	Progress
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Improve communication and collaboration. Establish clear communication channels and protocols to ensure that project stakeholders are on the same page. Obtain agreement on project status reports and metrics to help keep everyone informed with the relevant and valuable information to monitor if the project is on track.	In progress
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Develop an action plan to achieve project success. Review lessons learned from previous sprints and develop a plan to prevent the same issues from recurring. Determine root causes of issues identified by BREG and identify actions and responsibilities to address the causes.	Not started

IV&V Findings and Recommendations

Process

#	Key Findings	Criticality Rating
19	Issue - Project is lacking rigorous schedule management practices which may impact the ability to properly manage resources, quality, and timeliness of project execution.	
Recommendations		Progress
• In accordance with PMBOK 4.2.3.1, the project management plan is a critical project management document. Carefully review the revised timelines, requested resources, and unfinished work remaining and complete the approval process. Any issues that arise from subsequent demos should be documented in the appropriate feedback log.		Not started
• Improve the project schedule by creating a WBS broken down into smaller, more manageable components. Provide the appropriate detail of tasks, durations, due dates, milestones, and key work products. Both DCCA- and Pacxa-assigned tasks and resources should be clearly reflected in the project schedule, including data validation and user acceptance testing. Obtain agreement on the baseline schedule and then hold parties accountable for tasks and deadlines.		Not started
• Assess the need for additional Pacxa resources for project management support. The current Pacxa project manager is actively facilitating functional design sessions and other key activities, which may impact his ability to focus on project management execution.		Not started

IV&V Findings and Recommendations

Process

#	Key Findings	Criticality Rating
20	Issue - Unclear sprint planning and execution may result in misalignment of sprint goals and objectives, low quality of work, and potential rework.	
Recommendations		Progress
•	Modify the reported performance metrics. To properly assess if development is on track the burndown chart needs to show the total story points (user stories or requirements) divided by the remaining sprints where at the end of the sprints, there are no story points (user stories or requirements) remaining. Furthermore, consider adding this metric to the 'Velocity' table. In this way, the actual 'story pts done' to planned velocity will show the comparative project development progress.	In progress
•	Implement Agile ceremonies such as daily stand-ups, sprint planning, sprint review, and retrospective meetings. These meetings will help to promote transparency and communication among team members and ensure that everyone is aligned with the goals and objectives of the sprint. Provide more sprint reporting such as burn-down charts, velocity, and test summary reports.	In progress
•	Evaluate if the remaining number of sprints is realistic and achievable. Consider if the number of backlog items left to complete, the team's velocity, the complexity of the remaining items, any dependencies, and any external factors could impact the team's ability to complete the work in the number of remaining sprints.	In progress

IV&V Findings and Recommendations

Process

#	Key Findings	Criticality Rating
12	Issue - The lack of a formal governance structure to oversee multiple applications in a single Salesforce organization may lead to errors with application development, data management, integration, maintenance, and operations of the applications.	M

Recommendations	Progress
• Adding risks provided in the IV&V reports helps to ensure that other identified issues, concerns, and observations are properly discussed and decisioned.	In progress
• Foster an open, transparent culture where it is safe and comfortable to discuss risks. Foster a culture of having candid dialogue, discussing potential risks, asking difficult questions, and holding each other accountable.	In progress
• In instances where changes are unavoidable, the project team should initiate change management processes early. Risks, costs, schedule, and quality impacts should be assessed and clearly communicated.	In progress

IV&V Findings and Recommendations

Process

#	Key Findings	Criticality Rating
13	Issue - Untimely and insufficient completion of project management responsibilities may impact effective project execution.	
Recommendations		Progress
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Clarify roles and expectations of DCCA PM. Clarify and prioritize purpose and expectations of project manager contracted services in light of project risks and lessons learnedDevelop a project schedule to manage Aalta tasks and deliverables. Provide the appropriate detail of tasks, durations, due dates, milestones, and deliverables. Consider either developing a separate schedule or incorporating it into Pacxa's project schedule.		In progress
		In progress

IV&V Findings and Recommendations

Process

#	Key Findings	Criticality Rating
6	Risk - A lack of quantitative success metrics may lead to differences in the interpretation of project success.	M
Recommendations		Date
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Formalize measurable goals and success metrics. Consider financial, nonfinancial, tangible, and intangible metrics such as operational key performance indicators (KPIs), customer or employee satisfaction, user adoption, return on investment, or cycle or processing times. Consider benefits realization management objectives as well as alignment to BREG goalsCollect baseline data and monitor progress. Consider methods for collecting data such as process mining, surveys, queries, observation, or open forums. Consider sources of data such as legacy systems, operations, and internal and external stakeholders.		In progress
		In progress

IV&V Findings and Recommendations

Process

#	Key Findings	Criticality Rating
17	Risk - Insufficient quality management practices may lead to rework and impact the quality, performance, and functionality of the solution.	M
Recommendations		Progress
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Improve the execution of the project's quality plans. Clarify the project team's quality roles and responsibilities and assign specific quality tasks. Increase the frequency of quality reports to monitor adherence to quality standards. If quality standards are not being met, document the gap and the quality improvements that need to be made, and take corrective action.Conduct periodic technical reviews to increase visibility of development best practices. Consider discussing development practices updates and key development metrics (e.g., % of configuration using out-of-the-box features; % of code customized, % of apex code considered to be high complexity, etc.) at technical reviews. Consider including technical SMEs from all stakeholder groups for meaningful review and feedback.		Not started
		Not started

IV&V Findings and Recommendations

Technology

#	Key Findings	Criticality Rating
16	Risk - The lack of a formal governance structure to oversee multiple applications in a single Salesforce organization may lead to errors with application development, data management, integration, maintenance, and operations of the applications.	M
Recommendations		Progress
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Create a plan and assign responsibilities to develop a formal governance structure. Determine the governance structure, policies, and guidelines that will govern the development, change management, issue resolution, security, maintenance, and operations of the applications.• Clearly define the scope of the governance structure, which applications it will oversee, and what activities it will cover.• Identify all stakeholders who will be impacted by the governance structure.• Determine the governance structure, policies, and guidelines that will govern the development, change management, issue resolution, security, maintenance, and operations of the applications.		In progress

IV&V Findings and Recommendations

Technology

#	Key Findings	Criticality Rating
26	Risk - Challenges with data conversion and document migration could create project delays and negatively impact system quality.	M
Recommendations		Progress
<ul style="list-style-type: none">SI acquire highly skilled data conversion and DocuSign specialist.SI prioritize data conversion planning and develop a clear, detailed approach and realistic timeline for moving forward.SI prioritize implementing a proof of concept for migrating legacy documents into DocuSign to assure the solution will fully meet BREG's needs.		Not started
		Not started
		Not started

Appendices

Appendix A – IV&V Inputs

IV&V activities performed during the reporting period:

- Risk review meetings with BREG
- BREG project leadership meetings

Appendix B – IV&V Criticality Ratings

See definitions of Criticality Ratings below:

Criticality Rating	Definition
H	A high rating is assigned if there is a possibility of substantial impact to product quality, scope, cost, or schedule. A major disruption is likely and the consequences would be unacceptable. A different approach is required. Mitigation strategies should be evaluated and acted upon immediately.
M	A medium rating is assigned if there is a possibility of moderate impact to product quality, scope, cost, or schedule. Some disruption is likely and a different approach may be required. Mitigation strategies should be evaluated and implemented as soon as feasible.
L	A low rating is assigned if there is a possibility of slight impact to product quality, scope, cost, or schedule. Minimal disruption is likely and some oversight is most likely needed to ensure that the risk remains low. Mitigation strategies should be considered for implementation when possible.

Appendix C – Findings Log

The final findings log has been provided as a separate spreadsheet.

IV&V Approach and Methodology

IV&V Approach and Methodology

- What is Independent Verification and Validation (IV&V)?
 - The goal of IV&V is to help DCCA implement a solution that meets user requirements and is built according to best practices
 - IV&V services are provided by an independent third party that assesses the project against industry standards to provide an unbiased view to stakeholders
 - IV&V helps improve project visibility, identify potential project challenges, and provide risk mitigation strategies to address project risks and issues
- PCG IV&V Methodology
 - Consists of a 4-part process made up of the following areas:
 1. **Discovery** – Discovery consists of reviewing documentation, work products and deliverables, interviewing project team members, and determining applicable standards, best practices and tools
 2. **Research and Analysis** – Research and analysis is conducted in order to form an objective opinion.
 3. **Clarification** – Clarification from project team members is sought to ensure agreement and concurrence of facts between the State, the Pacxa Contractor, and PCG.
 4. **Delivery of Findings** – Findings, observations, and risk assessments are documented in this report and an accompanying Findings Log. IV&V reports are point-in-time documents with findings accurate as of the last day in the reporting period. These documents are shared with the State and ALIAS Contractor project leadership for review and consideration.



Solutions that Matter