THE SENATE THIRTY-THIRD LEGISLATURE, 2025 STATE OF HAWAII

S.C.R. NO. 122

MAR 0 7 2025

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

REQUESTING A COMMITMENT TO A NUCLEAR-FREE, SUSTAINABLE FUTURE.

1 WHEREAS, Hawaii has a constitutional ban on nuclear power.
2 Hawaii's constitution explicitly prohibits nuclear fission power
3 plants without legislative approval (Article XI, Section 8).
4 This critical provision protects the health and safety of
5 Hawaii's residents and reflects long-standing public opposition
6 to nuclear energy; and
7

8 WHEREAS, the County of Hawaii has already enacted
9 ordinances banning nuclear energy, signifying the community's
10 dedication to safer, cleaner alternatives; and
11

WHEREAS, transporting nuclear fuel is a hazard. As an isolated island chain, Hawaii faces unique and significant risks in transporting nuclear fuel over vast ocean distances. Any accidents during transport could have catastrophic consequences for Hawaii's pristine marine environment and tourism-dependent economy; and

WHEREAS, according to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission 19 (NRC), the emergency planning zone around a nuclear power plant 20 typically extends to a 10-mile radius for immediate radiation 21 exposure concerns, while a broader "ingestion pathway" zone 22 reaches out to a 50-mile radius where food and water 23 contamination could occur in the event of an incident. 24 This would make safely siting a power plant, particularly on Oahu, 25 impossible; and 26

28 WHEREAS, Hawaii's geological instability, including 29 frequent earthquakes, volcanic activity, and tsunami risks, 30 makes it an unsafe location for storing nuclear waste. There are 31 no viable long-term solutions for safely containing radioactive 32 materials in such a volatile environment. Moreover, a nuclear 33 waste facility that would contain lethal radioactive waste must



18

27

S.C.R. NO. 122

1 be, according to scientists, maintained and funded for at least 2 200,000 years; and

4 WHEREAS, the cost of nuclear disasters is immense and multifaceted, encompassing direct costs like cleanup operations, 5 property damage, and evacuation efforts, as well as significant 6 indirect costs including long-term health consequences, economic 7 8 disruption due to lost productivity and tourism, and severe psychological impacts on affected populations, often lasting for 9 10 generations. We must look no further than major events like Chernobyl, Three Mile Island, and Fukushima to understand the 11 12 vast scale of these costs, with estimates reaching hundreds of billions of dollars due to the complexity of managing 13 radioactive contamination and the social repercussions of such 14 15 disasters; and

16

40

3

17 WHEREAS, Integral Fast Reactors, Pebble Bed Modular Reactors, Thorium Fueled Reactors, Molten Salt Reactors, and 18 Small Modular Reactors (SMRs) are not viable. Proponents of 19 SMRs and these other so called "new" types of reactors fail to 20 21 address their unproven nature, unresolved safety risks, and economic inefficiency. Cost estimates to build them have been 22 described as "eye-popping." Moreover, these technologies remain 23 largely theoretical and lack adequate testing. Waiting for such 24 reactors to materialize would forestall much faster and cheaper 25 climate solutions. Additionally, the push for SMRs often serves 26 the private interests of billionaires looking to power AI data 27 centers rather than benefiting the people of Hawaii; and 28 29

30 WHEREAS, the Hawaii State Energy Office has already 31 indicated nuclear energy would be an expensive form of energy 32 for Hawaii, and therefore, would be a distraction from Hawaii 33 achieving our clean energy goals; and 34

WHEREAS, the nuclear industry touting nuclear energy as "carbon-free electricity" is factually inaccurate. Even existing reactors emit greenhouse gas emissions due to the continuous mining and refining of uranium needed for the reactor; and

WHEREAS, Hawaii is already on the path to achieving 100%
renewable energy by 2045 through Act 97 (2015). Nuclear energy



S.C.R. NO. 122

is not renewable, requires costly infrastructure, and pursuing
 it would divert attention and resources from proven, sustainable
 solutions like solar, and wind; now, therefore,

5 BE IT RESOLVED by the Senate of the Thirty-third 6 Legislature of the State of Hawaii, Regular Session of 2025, the 7 House of Representatives concurring, that this body commits to 8 uphold Hawaii's constitution, a sustainable future, prioritize 9 investing our resources in a clean renewable energy future, and 10 honor the voices of its people by opposing the use of nuclear 11 energy in Hawaii; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that certified copies of this Concurrent Resolution be transmitted to the Governor; Attorney General; Chairperson of the Board of Land and Natural Resources; Director of the Office of Planning; and mayors of the City and County of Honolulu and counties of Hawaii, Kauai, and Maui.

19

12

4

20

OFFERED BY:

Chile &

