THE SENATE THIRTY-THIRD LEGISLATURE, 2025 STATE OF HAWAII S.B. NO. 564

JAN 1 7 2025

#### A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO INFRASTRUCTURE.

#### BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

1 SECTION 1. (a) There is established an infrastructure 2 working group within the department of transportation for 3 administrative purposes. The working group shall conduct a comprehensive study to assess the State's port and harbor system 4 5 and the potential viability of each location in that system to 6 support current and future agricultural product transportation 7 methods and needs. 8 The working group shall consist of the following (b) 9 individuals: 10 (1) The deputy director of the harbors division of the 11 department of transportation, or the deputy director's 12 designee, who shall also serve as chair of the working 13 group; 14 (2) The director of transportation, or the director's 15 designee; 16 (3) The chairperson of the board of agriculture, or the

17

SB LRB 25-0076.docx

chairperson's designee;

Page 2

## S.B. NO. 564

1	(4)	The administrator of the agricultural development
2		division of the department of agriculture, or the
3		administrator's designee;
4	(5)	The executive director of the agribusiness development
5		corporation, or the executive director's designee;
6	(6)	The director of business, economic development, and
7		tourism, or the director's designee;
8	(7)	One engineer, with relevant experience and requisite
9		expertise, who shall be invited by the chair to
10		participate;
11	(8)	One civil planner, with relevant experience and
12		requisite expertise, who shall be invited by the chair
13		to participate;
14	(9)	An agricultural representative with relevant
15		experience and requisite expertise, who shall be
16		invited by the chair to participate; and
17	(10)	A maritime industry representative familiar with
18		transporting agricultural products with relevant
19		experience and requisite expertise, who shall be
20		invited by the chair to participate.



Page 3

1	(c) The	study shall be a comprehensive assessment of
2	Hawaii's ship	ping port infrastructure and shall include both
3	qualitative a	nd quantitative data. Specifically, the study
4	shall include	the following topics and components:
5	(1) Agr	icultural transportation infrastructure, including
6	ass	essments of the:
7	(A)	Viability of each pier in the State's port and
8		harbor system to accommodate chillers; shade
9		structures; potable water facilities; centralized
10		facilities for consolidation, deconsolidation,
11		and inspection of agricultural products; and
12		other infrastructure development important to the
13		agriculture sector;
14	(B)	Surrounding area of each pier in the State's port
15		and harbor system that is unable to accommodate
16		protective infrastructure, to determine where
17		protective infrastructure for agriculture
18		products may be accessed or constructed nearby;
19	(C)	Availability of chiller trucks and container
20		trailers in the State;



1		(D)	Maximum size of chiller containers and number of
2			freight vehicles that each pier in the State's
3			port and harbor system is able to accommodate;
4		(E)	Maximum number of livestock that each pier in the
5			State's port and harbor system is able to
6			accommodate in shaded areas; and
7		(F)	Surrounding area of each pier in the State's port
8			and harbor system where inspection, quarantining,
9			and destructing of infested products and goods
10			moving between islands can be conducted;
11	(2)	Port	facilities and layout, including:
12		(A)	Detailed maps and layout of each shipping port in
13			the State;
14		(B)	Identification of berths, terminals, and other
15			facilities at each shipping port in the State;
16			and
17		(C)	Information on the capacity and handling
18			capabilities of each facility identified in
19			subparagraph (B);
20	(3)	Infra	astructure condition, including:



1		(A)	Assessment of the current condition of docks,
2			piers, and other physical structures at each
3			shipping port in the State;
4		(B)	Evaluation of the condition of road and rail
5			access to each shipping port in the State; and
6		(C)	Inspection of equipment, including cranes,
7			conveyors, and handling machinery, at each
8			shipping port in the State;
9	(4)	Eval	uation of the efficiency and reliability of
10		inte	rmodal transportation networks, including rail,
11		road	s, and air, connecting shipping in the State;
12	(5)	Tech	nology and automation, including:
13		(A)	Identification of the level of technological
14			integration and automation in handling and
15			processing at each shipping port in the State;
16		(B)	Information on the use of modern technologies for
17			cargo tracking, security, and efficiency at each
18			shipping port in the State; and
19		(C)	Assessment of the readiness for implementation of
20			future technological advancements at each
21			shipping port in the State;



1	(6)	Safe	ty and security, including:
2		(A)	Evaluation of current safety measures for workers
3			and protocols to safeguard products arriving at
4			each shipping port in the State;
5		(B)	Infrastructure and measures for security at each
6			shipping port in the State, including
7			surveillance systems; and
8		(C)	Evaluation of emergency response capabilities and
9			plans at each shipping port in the State;
10	(7)	Envi	ronmental impact, including:
11		(A)	Assessment of the environmental impact of
12			operations at each shipping port in the State;
13		(B)	Evaluation of compliance with federal and state
14			environmental regulations and sustainability
15			practices at each shipping port in the State; and
16		(C)	Evaluation of the efficacy of measures in place
17			to control and prevent pollution at each shipping
18			port in the State;
19	(8)	Capa	city and utilization, including:
20		(A)	Analysis of current cargo throughput and port
21			capacity at each shipping port in the State;



1		(B)	Projections for future growth and potential
2			capacity constraints for imports and inter-island
3			trade at each shipping port in the State; and
4		(C)	Assessment of peak demand periods, and handling
5			capabilities during peak demand periods, at each
6			shipping port in the State;
7	(9)	Regu	latory compliance, including:
8		(A)	Evaluation of compliance with federal, state, and
9			county regulations at each shipping port in the
10			State; and
11		(B)	Analysis of permitting processes and any
12			challenges related to regulatory compliance at
13			each shipping port in the State;
14	(10)	Econ	omic impact, including:
15		(A)	Assessment of the economic contribution of each
16			shipping port in the State to the economy of the
17			State and the applicable county; and
18		(B)	Assessment of job creation and economic
19			development associated with port activities at
20			each shipping port in the State;
21	(11)	Stak	eholder engagement, including:



1		(A)	Input from key stakeholders, including port
2			authorities, shipping companies, labor unions,
3			and surrounding residential communities of each
4			shipping port in the State; and
5		(B)	Compilation and analysis of public opinion and
6			concerns related to port operations;
7	(12)	Infra	astructure maintenance and upkeep, including:
8		(A)	Evaluation of the routine maintenance practices
9			and procedures relating to infrastructure at each
10			shipping port in the State; and
11		(B)	Identification and assessment of plans for
12			infrastructure upgrades and improvements at each
13			shipping port in the State;
14	(13)	Clima	ate resilience, including:
15		(A)	Evaluation of the vulnerability of port
16			infrastructure at each shipping port in the State
17			to climate change; and
18		(B)	Identification of proposed strategies and plans
19			for enhancing climate change resilience and
20			adaptation efforts in the State's port and harbor
21			system;



Page 9

1	(14)	Financial viability, including:
2		(A) Assessment of the financial health of operations
3		at each shipping port in the State; and
4		(B) Funding sources for maintenance of, upgrades to,
5		and expansion of each shipping port in the State;
6	(15)	Analysis of global trade patterns, the potential
7		impact on activity at each shipping port in the State,
8		and each shipping port's preparedness for projected
9		shifts in trade patterns;
10	(16)	Assessment of the plans and protocols in the State's
11		port and harbor system for responding to emergencies
12		such as natural disasters or security threats,
13		including coordination with county emergency services
14		during an emergency; and
15	(17)	Data and information systems, including:
16		(A) Assessment of the availability and reliability of
17		data for monitoring and decision-making in the
18		State's port and harbor system; and
19		(B) Assessment of information systems supporting
20		logistics and operations at each shipping port in
21		the State.

/



Page 10

### S.B. NO. 564

1 The working group may request information, and shall (d) 2 receive cooperation, from each executive department and 3 government agency in the State and each county, as necessary, 4 including the department of the attorney general; department of business, economic development, and tourism; department of 5 6 commerce and consumer protection; department of defense; 7 economic research organization at the university of Hawaii; 8 State climate commission; office of planning and sustainable 9 development; and the planning departments of each county. 10 (e) The working group shall submit a report of its 11 findings and recommendations, including any proposed 12 legislation, to the legislature by November 1, 2027. The department of transportation and the department of 13 (f) 14 agriculture shall provide to the working group any necessary administrative support, including the preparation of the report 15 16 required by subsection (e). 17 SECTION 2. There is appropriated out of the general revenues of the State of Hawaii the sum of \$ 18 or so 19 much thereof as may be necessary for fiscal year 2025-2026 for 20 the purposes of this Act.



4

### S.B. NO. 564

The sum appropriated shall be expended by the department of 1 2 transportation for the purposes of this Act.

SECTION 3. This Act shall take effect on July 1, 2025. 3

INTRODUCED BY: Huff. Redand Up



#### Report Title:

Working Group; DOT; Harbors Division; HDOA; Study; Harbors; Report; Ports; Piers; Infrastructure; Agriculture; Transportation; Appropriation

#### Description:

Establishes a working group within the Department of Transportation to conduct a comprehensive study to assess the State's port and harbor system and the potential viability of each location in that system to support current and future agricultural product transportation methods and needs. Requires a report. Appropriates moneys.

The summary description of legislation appearing on this page is for informational purposes only and is not legislation or evidence of legislative intent.

