A BILL FOR AN ACT

PROPOSING AN AMENDMENT TO THE HAWAII STATE CONSTITUTION REGARDING THE FREEDOM OF SPEECH.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

- 1 SECTION 1. The legislature finds that the United States
- 2 Supreme Court's decision in Citizens United v. Federal Election
- 3 Commission, 558 U.S. 310 (2010), reversed long-standing campaign
- 4 finance restrictions and designated corporate spending on
- 5 elections as free speech protected under the First Amendment of
- 6 the Constitution of the United States. The legislature also
- 7 finds that the United States Supreme Court's decision in Buckley
- 8 v. Valeo, 424 U.S. 659 (1976), provided protection under the
- 9 United States Constitution to the expenditure of money to
- 10 influence policy. The Citizens United decision removed any
- 11 limits on the amount of money that corporations, special
- 12 interest groups, and political action committees (PACs) could
- 13 spend on an election. The legislature further finds that the
- 14 decisions in Citizens United and Buckley are a serious threat to
- 15 our democracy. Corporations enjoy various advantages, including
- 16 limited liability, perpetual life, and favorable treatment in

- 1 the accumulation and distribution of assets, which allow them to
- 2 amass and spend an extraordinary amount of money on political
- 3 messages that often have far greater reach and influence than
- 4 messages from individuals. During the thirteen years since the
- 5 Citizens United decision, there has been a massive increase in
- 6 political spending by corporations, special interest groups, and
- 7 PACs, dramatically expanding their already outsized political
- 8 influence on election outcomes and policy decisions.
- 9 The legislature also finds that the power to amend state
- 10 constitutions rests with the people of each state, and the
- 11 legislature believes it is critical that the State express its
- 12 disapproval of the Citizens United and Buckley decisions. At
- 13 least twenty states, including Hawaii in 2016, have taken
- 14 legislative action urging Congress to pass a proposed
- 15 constitutional amendment that overturns the decision in Citizens
- 16 United; however, Congress has failed to take any action and
- 17 appears unlikely to do so.
- 18 The legislature acknowledges that as long as Citizens
- 19 United and Buckley are binding law, this Act will have no effect
- 20 on the Constitution of the United States. United States Supreme
- 21 Court decisions can be overturned, as demonstrated by the

- 1 Court's decision to override the fifty-year-old Roe v. Wade
- 2 decision. Therefore, a state constitutional provision, while
- 3 not binding today, may nonetheless be valuable in the future.
- 4 Accordingly, the purpose of this Act is to propose an
- 5 amendment to article I, section 4, of the Hawaii State
- 6 Constitution to provide that the expenditure of money to
- 7 influence elections is not a form of speech protected under the
- 8 Hawaii State Constitution.
- 9 SECTION 2. Article 1, section 4, of the Constitution of
- 10 the State of Hawaii is amended to read as follows:
- "FREEDOM OF RELIGION, SPEECH, PRESS,
- 12 ASSEMBLY AND PETITION
- 13 Section 4. No law shall be enacted respecting an
- 14 establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise
- 15 thereof, or abridging the freedom of speech or of the press or
- 16 the right of the people peaceably to assemble and to petition
- 17 the government for a redress of grievances.
- 18 The freedom of speech shall not include the expenditure of
- 19 money to influence elections."
- 20 SECTION 3. The question to be printed on the ballot shall
- 21 be as follows:

1	"Shall the Hawaii State Constitution be amended to
2	state that freedom of speech protections under the Hawaii
3	State Constitution do not include the expenditure of money
4	to influence elections, which would take effect if the
5	United States Supreme Court overturns, Congress overrides,
6	or an amendment to the United States Constitution
7	invalidates the United States Supreme Court's decisions in
8	Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission and Buckley
9	$v.\ \it{Valeo}$, which provide protection under the United States
10	Constitution to the expenditure of money to influence
11	policy?"
12	SECTION 4. New constitutional material is underscored.
13	SECTION 5. This amendment shall take effect upon
14	compliance with article XVII, section 3, of the Constitution of
15	the State of Hawaii.

Report Title:

Constitutional Amendment; Freedom of Speech; Monetary Expenditures to Influence Elections

Description:

Proposes an amendment to the Hawaii State Constitution to provide that freedom of speech protected under the Hawaii State Constitution does not include the expenditure of money to influence elections. (SD1)

The summary description of legislation appearing on this page is for informational purposes only and is not legislation or evidence of legislative intent.