HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES THIRTY-THIRD LEGISLATURE, 2025 STATE OF HAWAII

HCR LRB 25-0673.docx

1

H.C.R. NO. 61

1

HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

REQUESTING A STUDY EXAMINING HOW CERTAIN OTHER JURISDICTIONS PRODUCE FISCAL NOTES.

1	WHEREAS, the Hawaii State Constitution assigns the				
2 3	authority to appropriate funds to the Hawaii State Legislature; and				
4					
5	WHEREAS, during each Regular Session, the Legislature				
6	considers hundreds of spending- and tax-related bills that may				
7 8	have an impact on the State's budget; and				
9	WHEREAS, members of the Hawaii State Legislature would				
10	benefit from having accurate and useful information about the				
11	fiscal impacts of those bills; and				
12 13	WHEREAS, in many other states, legislators analyzing fiscal				
13	measures may refer to fiscal notes, which are written reports				
15	that project the budget impacts of some or all bills; and				
16					
17 18	WHEREAS, among the states that use fiscal notes, the procedures used to produce the notes and the information				
19	contained within the reports differ dramatically from state to				
20	state; and				
21					
22	WHEREAS, for example, in most states, fiscal notes are				
23 24	produced by a nonpartisan legislative fiscal office, while in other states, fiscal notes are produced by an executive branch				
25	agency that is involved in development of the executive budget,				
26	or in a few states, by a legislative committee; and				
27					
28 29	WHEREAS, similarly, some states require fiscal notes to be produced for every bill that may have a significant financial				
2) 30	impact, while other states produce fiscal notes only for select				
31	bills or upon the request of legislators; and				

H.C.R. NO. 61

1 2 3 4 5 6	WHEREAS, some states project the costs of legislation for single fiscal year, while other states project the costs of legislation for multiple fiscal years; for example, projection in twelve states and the District of Columbia include four or more years; and					
7 8 9 10	each time	EAS, some states require fiscal notes to be updated a a bill is amended during the legislative process, her states do not require such revisions; and				
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	WHEREAS, the Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, in its report entitled "Better Cost Estimates, Better Budgets," noted that Iowa, Louisiana, Maryland, Oregon, Texas, and the District of Columbia meet all of its best practices criteria in the production of fiscal notes, because those jurisdictions:					
17 18 19 20	(1)	Prepare fiscal notes for all bills and revise the fiscal notes as the bills move through the legislative process;				
20 21 22 23	(2)	Create fiscal notes in a manner that is free from partisan pressure;				
24 25 26	(3)	Project the long-term fiscal impacts of proposed legislation; and				
27 28	(4)	Make fiscal notes accessible to the public; and				
29 30 31 32 33	WHEREAS, presently, the Hawaii State Legislature's budget committees work with the executive branch to estimate the fiscal impacts of legislative proposals; however, there are no formal fiscal notes produced; and					
34 35 36 37 38	WHEREAS, although Act 127, Session Laws of Hawaii 1990, established an Office of the Legislative Analyst under chapter 21F, Hawaii Revised Statutes, as a separate fiscal policy office in the legislative branch, it has never been funded; and					
39 40 41		EAS, according to section 21F-7(a), Hawaii Revised the purposes of the Office of the Legislative Analyst				



Page 3

H.C.R. NO. 61

1 2 3 4	(1)	Providing the Legislature with research and analysis of current and projected state revenues and expenditures;
5 6	(2)	Researching economic and fiscal policy;
7 8 9	(3)	Providing economic reports and studies on the state of Hawaii's economy;
10 11 12	(4)	Conducting budget and tax studies and providing general fiscal and budgetary information;
13 14 15 16 17	(5)	Reviewing the operation of state programs to appraise the implementation of state laws regarding the expenditure of funds and recommending means to improve efficiency; and
18 19 20 21 22 23	(6)	Recommending changes in the mix of revenue sources for programs, in the percentage of state expenditures devoted to major programs, and in the role of the Legislature in overseeing state government expenditures and revenue projections; and
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	state ager Legislativ statistics provide th access to	EAS, section 21F-8, Hawaii Revised Statutes, requires ncies to comply with requests of the Office of the re Analyst for information, data, estimates, and s, and requires the heads of certain agencies to ne Office of the Legislative Analyst with full and free information, data, estimates, and statistics on the get, revenue, and expenditures; and
32 33 34 35	other stat	CAS, accordingly, it would be beneficial to study how tes generate fiscal notes to determine which state any, would work best for Hawaii; now, therefore,
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	Thirty-thi of 2025, t Bureau is	RESOLVED by the House of Representatives of the and Legislature of the State of Hawaii, Regular Session the Senate concurring, that the Legislative Reference requested to study how Iowa, Louisiana, Maryland, exas, and the District of Columbia produce fiscal



3

H.C.R. NO. 61

1	BE I	T FURTHER RESOLVED that, for each jurisdiction			
2	examined,	the Legislative Reference Bureau is requested to			
3	analyze:				
4					
5	(1)	The statute, rule, or other authority governing the			
6		preparation of fiscal notes;			
7					
8	(2)	The types of bills for which fiscal notes are			
9		produced;			
10					
11	(3)	At what points during the legislative process fiscal			
12		notes are produced and revised;			
13					
14	(4)	The type of information included in the fiscal note;			
15					
16	(5)	The entity responsible for producing the fiscal note;			
17					
18	(6)	The time period for which the jurisdiction must			
19		project financial impacts; and			
20					
21	(7)	Any other matters that the Legislative Reference			
22		Bureau believes may be helpful or significant for the			
23		purposes of the study; and			
24					
25	BE IT	I FURTHER RESOLVED that the Legislative Reference			
26	Bureau is	requested to submit a report of its findings and			
27	recommenda	ations, including any proposed legislation, to the			
28	Legislature no later than twenty days prior to the convening of				
29	the Regula	ar Session of 2026; and			
30		· · ·			
31	BE IT	FURTHER RESOLVED that a certified copy of this			
32	Concurrent Resolution be transmitted to the Director of the				
33 -	Legislative Reference Bureau.				
34					
35					
36		SX CL			
		OFFERED BY			

MAR 0 5 2025

