

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/10/2025 11:49:35 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Michael Golojuch, Jr. (he/him)	Pride at Work – Hawai‘i	Support	Remotely Via Zoom

Comments:

Aloha Representatives,

Pride at Work – Hawai‘i is an official chapter of [Pride at Work](#) which is a national nonprofit organization that represents LGBTQIA+ union members and their allies. We are an officially recognized constituency group of the AFL-CIO that organizes mutual support between the organized Labor Movement and the LGBTQIA+ Community to further social and economic justice.

Pride at Work – Hawai‘i fully supports SB 1030 SD 2.

We ask that you support this needed piece of legislation.

Mahalo,

Michael Golojuch, Jr. (he/him)

President

[Pride at Work – Hawai‘i](#)



Committee on Judiciary and Hawaiian Affairs
Chair David A. Tarnas, Vice Chair Mahini Poepoe

3/12/25 at 2:00 p.m. 325 via Videoconference
SB 1030 SD2 - Election Fraud; Voter Intimidation; Firearms; Dangerous Instruments.

TESTIMONY

Stephen Munkelt, Legislative Committee, League of Women Voters of Hawaii

Chair Tarnas, Vice Chair Poepoe, and Committee Members:

The League of Women Voters of Hawaii supports BILL NUMBER SB 1030 SD2

The League of Women Voters of Hawaii believes that voting is a fundamental citizen right that must be guaranteed and protected. Voting rights are core to the League's mission and founding. As an organization, the League's mission encompasses safeguarding and expanding voting rights for all Americans.

In order to ensure that each voter has a free and unfettered opportunity to vote their conscience it is important to provide a polling place which is non-partisan and feels safe. Intimidation of voters by the display of campaign materials or demonstrations for or against one candidate or party undermines the freedom to vote and the fairness of the outcome. Protecting the electoral process is fundamental to our democracy.

Senate Bill 1030 addresses another form of intimidation – the open display of firearms or dangerous weapons in or around the voting place. Consistent with its history the League **supports SB 1030** as a reasonable restriction on gun rights to protect the right to vote free from fear or intimidation.

Thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony.

Stephen Munkelt
Legislative Committee

HAWAII PATRIOT REPUBLICANS

Written Testimony in Opposition to S.B. No. 1030 (S.D. 2)
Submitted to the Senate Committee on Judiciary & Hawaiian Affairs
March 11, 2025

Honorable Members of the Committee,

As a citizen who cherishes liberty, the rule of law, and fair elections—principles I know unite Republicans and Democrats alike—I urge you to vote "No" on S.B. No. 1030 (S.D. 2). This bill amends Hawaii's election fraud statute to classify carrying an unconcealed firearm or dangerous instrument within 200 feet of a voter service center, polling place, or ballot drop-off as voter intimidation (Section 19-3(a)(4)). While it aims to protect voters, it overreaches, infringing on constitutional rights, creating vague enforcement nightmares, and risking voter suppression. Evidence from other states shows these measures backfire. Below, I outline my objections and appeal to your shared commitment to Hawaii's democratic integrity.

1. Infringes on Constitutional Rights

This bill tramples Second Amendment rights by criminalizing the lawful, unconcealed carry of firearms near polling sites, even absent intent to intimidate (Section 19-3(a)(4)). Republicans hold these rights sacred, but Democrats who value personal freedoms should also pause. The U.S. Supreme Court's Bruen decision (2022) affirmed public carry as a protected right—Hawaii can't sidestep that with a blanket ban. Law-abiding gun owners, including those in open-carry states, aren't inherent threats.

In Georgia, a 2018 attempt to ban guns near polling places was struck down after a [federal judge ruled](#) it violated constitutional rights, finding no evidence linking lawful carry to voter intimidation. Hawaii risks costly lawsuits and a loss of trust if this bill passes.

2. Vague Language Invites Abuse and Confusion

Defining "unconcealed carry" of any "dangerous instrument" (e.g., knives, per Section 707-700) as intimidation (Section 19-3(b)) is dangerously vague. What's a "reasonable person without law enforcement training" to make of a pocketknife or a hunter's rifle in a truck? Without requiring intent, this bill hands enforcers unchecked power to target individuals—disproportionately law-abiding citizens. Democrats who decry over-policing and Republicans who oppose government overreach should see this as a red flag.

New Jersey's 2022 gun-free zone law, including polling sites, faced a [federal injunction](#) because its vague scope led to inconsistent enforcement and chilled lawful behavior. Hawaii's bill risks similar chaos, undermining voter confidence.

3. No Evidence of a Voter Intimidation Crisis

The bill assumes unconcealed weapons near polling places threaten voters, but where's the data? Hawaii's elections have run smoothly without this problem—our strict gun laws already limit carry. This is a solution chasing a phantom, not a response to real incidents. Both parties want evidence-based policy—Republicans to avoid wasteful laws, Democrats to protect marginalized voters from imagined threats over real ones.

Michigan, with open carry near polls, saw no widespread intimidation in 2020 despite media hype. A [Bridge Michigan analysis](#) found isolated cases were handled under existing laws, not new bans. Hawaii's current fraud statutes (Section 19-3) already cover true intimidation—why overcomplicate?

4. Risks Suppressing Voters, Not Protecting Them

By turning polling sites into gun-free zones, this bill could deter law-abiding gun owners—hunters, security workers, rural residents—from voting if they can't carry legally nearby. Democrats who fight voter suppression and Republicans who demand equal access should reject this unintended consequence. In a state with spread-out polling locations, a 200-foot radius could snag voters just going about their day.

Tennessee's 2008 ban on guns at polling places led to complaints, per a [Tennessean report](#), of rural voters avoiding polls rather than disarming, reducing turnout in some areas. Hawaii's unique geography amplifies this risk—don't shrink our electorate.

5. Overlaps with Existing Laws, Wasting Resources

Section 19-3 already prohibits intimidation "in any manner" (Section 19-3(a)(4)), covering genuine threats with or without weapons. Adding this firearm-specific rule duplicates effort, burdening law enforcement and courts with redundant prosecutions. Republicans who seek efficient government and Democrats who want resources for community needs—like housing or healthcare—should oppose this bloat.

Florida's 2021 election law added redundant voter intimidation clauses, leading to a [Tampa Bay Times critique](#) of wasted taxpayer dollars on enforcement with no measurable gain. Hawaii can avoid this folly by sticking to what works.

6. Chills Free Expression Near Polling Places

Carrying a firearm can be a political statement—protected speech under the First Amendment. This bill's 200-foot ban could silence gun rights advocates near polls, even if they're peaceful. Democrats who defend free expression and Republicans who guard constitutional liberties should recoil at this. Elections are for debate, not suppression.

Texas, an open-carry state, faced no voter intimidation spike in 2020 despite visible firearms at protests near polls, per a [Texas Tribune report](#). Free speech thrived without incident—Hawaii's bill risks the opposite.

Conclusion: Vote "No" to Protect Rights and Elections

Honorable members, S.B. No. 1030 (S.D. 2) promises safety but delivers constitutional violations, vague enforcement, and voter alienation. Democrats, don't sacrifice access and fairness for an unproven fix. Republicans, don't let government stomp on rights and waste resources. Georgia, New Jersey, Michigan, Tennessee, Florida, and Texas show these laws falter—Hawaii's elections are secure without this overreach. I respectfully urge you to vote "No."

Sincerely,

Andrew Crossland

Hawaii Patriot Republicans

hawaiipatriotrepublicans@gmail.com



Randy Perreira
President

HAWAII STATE AFL-CIO

888 Mililani Street, Suite 501 • Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Telephone: (808) 597-1441
Fax: (808) 593-2149

The Thirty-Third Legislature
House of Representatives
Committee on Judiciary & Hawaiian Affairs

Testimony by
Hawaii State AFL-CIO

March 12, 2025

TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF SB1030 SD2 – RELATING TO ELECTIONS

Chair Tarnas, Vice Chair Poepoe, and members of the committee:

The Hawaii State AFL-CIO is a state federation of 76 affiliate labor organizations representing over 69,000 union members across Hawaii in industries including healthcare, construction, hospitality, entertainment, transportation, and government. The AFL-CIO serves its affiliates by advocating for the rights of working families, promoting fair wages, ensuring safe working conditions, and supporting policies that strengthen Hawaii's workforce.

We are in support of SB1030 SD2 because it promotes safe and accessible elections, ensuring that every voter can exercise their constitutional rights free from intimidation or coercion. Clear restrictions on carrying firearms or weapons near voter service centers and polling places are essential to preserving the integrity of Hawaii's elections and fostering a secure environment for voters.

Protecting the electoral process is fundamental to our democracy. This measure will reduce the risk of voter suppression and ensure elections remain a place where every voice is heard without fear or undue influence.

We respectfully urge the committee to pass this measure to uphold the principles of free and fair elections for the people of Hawaii.

Respectfully submitted,

Randy Perreira
President

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/10/2025 11:24:41 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Michael I Rice	Individual	Oppose	Remotely Via Zoom

Comments:

I stand in **Strong Opposition** to this bill. It attempts to criminalize one right while exercising another and is poorly worded and thought out. Simply walking within a certain distance of a mailbox with a self defense tool would now be a crime without any intent to intimidate or harass other voters. And given that Hawai'i engages in early voting, it would mean weeks of people being forbidden to go near these places or face arrest.

Recently, laws have changed so that 'dangerous implements' must be openly carried, they can't be concealed on the person or in a bag, with very few exceptions. Whenever I leave the house, I carry a knife, pepper spray, and a baton for self defense, while batons can be carried concealed, given my body frame it's kind of obvious that I'm carrying something. Would I be arrested if I went to drop off my own ballot at a drop off with no intention to intimidate others? This seems highly unfair given the recent rise in assaults and crimes and people's desires to defend themselves, with or without firearms.

Current laws should be enough to prevent this, or at least allow for add on charges for those who would break this law as it currently is. Openly carrying a firearm is not available to the average citizen and is already a crime. Brandishing a firearm on its own is a crime, and doing the same with any other dangerous implement can catch you a terroristic threatening charge.

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/10/2025 11:28:41 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Ted Bohlen	Individual	Support	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I SUPPORT!

Voter intimidation with unconcealed weapons is a significant concern.
Please pass this bill!

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/10/2025 11:39:12 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
penny levin	Individual	Support	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

in support.

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/10/2025 11:39:49 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Marcus Tanaka	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Oppose.

This bill is making someone chose between 2 rights. The right to vote and the right to bear arms.

We already have laws about using a weapon to harm someone. Leave it as that.

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/10/2025 12:15:08 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Michael Olderr	Individual	Support	Remotely Via Zoom

Comments:

I support this bill. Voting intimidation has been on the rise for years in this country. We need to send a strong message to those who would want to scare people into running away from their fundamental right to vote. People need to be safe when they vote and not fear retribution from those pathetic enough to threaten their freedoms. We should also extend this courtesy to our poll workers who dedicate their time to ensure the foundations of our democracy are secure and transparent. This is worth more than any shallow second amendment arguments that some might argue against this. Without this freedom and protection, we would be just a few steps away from losing that amendment.

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/10/2025 12:18:15 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Mike Golojuch, Sr.	Individual	Support	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I strongly support SB1030. Please pass this bill.

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/10/2025 3:25:25 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
lynne matusow	Individual	Support	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Unfortunately it has come to this. Having seen news reports with Felon Trump's supporters, some carrying guns, intimidating both voters and elections officials on the mainland we must be ever vigilant and prepared here in Hawaii nei. Please move this common sense bill forward.

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 7:16:10 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Kealohi Hanohano	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose!

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 7:34:51 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Joelle Seashell	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Corrupt. Shall not be infringed. Strongly opposed

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 7:57:28 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Ruben Ongos	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I STRONGLY OPPOSE THIS BILL

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 8:00:23 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Lora Santiago	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

This is absolutely ridiculous and absurd. I strongly OPPOSE SB1030.

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 8:01:51 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Laurence Limasa	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose!

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 8:12:32 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Dorinda Ohelo	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose this bill.

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 8:13:19 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
kamakani de dely	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose this bill !

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 8:20:08 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Mallory De Dely	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose this bill !

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 8:30:44 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Susan Dedely	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose this bill !

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 8:58:01 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Robin D. Ganitano	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose SB1030 because it ridiculously defines election fraud as carrying a firearm near a polling place.

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 8:58:35 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Paul Giles	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I Oppose SB1030,

Paul Giles

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 9:31:52 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Bronson Teixeira	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Aloha, I stand in strong opposition to this bill, mahalo.

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 9:39:20 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Blaine De Ramos	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose SB1030

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 9:42:39 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Noela von Wiegandt	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Aloha,

I oppose SB 1030. Majority of gun owners keep their firearms locked up. What a waste of our tax dollars and time. Vote NO! Thank you.

Noela von Wiegandt

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 9:53:54 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Richard Domingo	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I OPPOSE SB1030

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 10:33:47 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Paul Littleton	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose SB1030.

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 10:58:14 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Terri Yoshinaga	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose this bad bill.

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 11:02:40 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Sally Lee	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose. This bill is not in the best interest of the people of Hawaii.

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 11:30:27 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Anne Kamau	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose SB1030 SD2. Mahalo.

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 12:19:21 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Bart Burford	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Please note my vehement opposition to this bill -

Pono Patriot

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 12:36:28 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
David Nichols	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose Senate Bill No. 1030 because I believe it oversteps reasonable boundaries and unfairly targets law-abiding citizens exercising their rights. As a resident of Hawaii who values both election integrity and individual freedoms, I find the provision in this bill—designating the unconcealed carry of a firearm or dangerous instrument within 200 feet of a voting location as voter intimidation—excessive and poorly conceived. While I support measures to ensure fair elections, I see this as a ridiculous leap that assumes anyone carrying a weapon, even with a proper license, is inherently dangerous or intent on intimidation. This blanket approach ignores context and intent, casting a wide net that punishes responsible individuals rather than addressing actual threats. I believe laws should target specific misconduct, not preemptively label lawful behavior as fraudulent based on mere proximity to a polling place.

I also question the practicality and fairness of this amendment. The bill’s language implies that a licensed concealed carry holder, who has undergone background checks and training, becomes a criminal simply by being near a voter service center with their firearm visible—say, while walking to vote themselves. I find it absurd to equate this with election fraud, especially when no evidence of intent to intimidate is required. In a state where self-defense rights are recognized, I see this as an unjust restriction that assumes guilt rather than innocence. I firmly believe in the principle that we are all innocent until proven guilty, yet this bill flips that on its head, treating every unconcealed weapon as a threat without proof of malice or action. It’s a slippery slope that could erode trust in both our electoral and legal systems by punishing people for what they might do, not what they’ve done.

Furthermore, I think the legislature should focus on enhancing election security through targeted, evidence-based measures rather than broad assumptions. I haven’t seen data showing that licensed individuals carrying unconcealed firearms near polling places have been a widespread problem in Hawaii. Instead of crafting laws that assume the worst of responsible citizens, I’d prefer efforts to educate voters and poll workers on reporting genuine intimidation, paired with swift enforcement against actual violators. The bill’s vagueness—lumping “dangerous instruments” with firearms without clear limits—only adds to its ridiculousness, potentially ensnaring someone carrying a legal pocketknife or tool. I urge lawmakers to reject this bill and preserve a balanced approach that respects both voting rights and personal liberties, reminding them that fairness demands we judge actions, not appearances, and uphold innocence until guilt is proven.

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 12:41:37 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
John Bickel	Individual	Support	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Although most of our voting is by mail, it does seem that this would be a good step to protect the safety of those voting in person.

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 1:00:48 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Terry Murakami	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose.

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 1:16:27 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Jennifer Cabjuan	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Oppose this bill. Denies my self protection in a public place. Not safe.

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 1:27:30 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Deborah Umiamaka	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Dear Members of the Hawaii State Legislature,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to Senate Bill 1030 regarding election fraud. While I agree that firearms should not be present at polling places, I believe this bill conflates unrelated issues and fails to address genuine election integrity concerns.

The U.S. Constitution and existing Hawaii state laws already provide a robust framework for preventing election fraud:

- 1. The Constitution grants states the primary responsibility for regulating elections, with Congress having the power to alter state regulations for federal elections.**
- 2. Hawaii Revised Statutes §19-3 already defines and prohibits various forms of election fraud, including:**
 - Bribery or offering valuable consideration to influence voting**
 - Voting under false pretenses or multiple voting**
 - Tampering with ballots or voting systems**
- 3. Current laws make it illegal to intimidate voters, including through the use of firearms.**

SB1030's focus on firearms at polling places does not substantively address election integrity issues. Instead, it distracts from more pertinent concerns such as:

- Ensuring accurate voter rolls**
- Maintaining secure voting systems**
- Preventing unauthorized ballot collection or "harvesting"**

While public safety is crucial, existing laws already prohibit voter intimidation and regulate firearm possession in sensitive areas. SB1030 unnecessarily complicates election law without enhancing the integrity of our electoral process.

I urge you to reconsider this bill and instead focus on measures that directly address election security and fraud prevention without infringing on constitutional rights or conflating unrelated issues.

**Sincerely,
Deborah Umiamaka**

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 1:58:58 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Sierra Mcveigh	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Aloha,

I oppose sb1030.

-Sierra Mcveigh

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 2:24:17 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Alika Valdez	Individual	Support	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I support this bill.

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 2:49:19 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Chanara Caey Richmond	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose SB 1030. Go back to precinct voting. Act 136 was a mistake. Chanara Richmond, HD42

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 3:24:16 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Justin Kaawa	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Strongly oppose

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 4:04:37 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Lois Langham	Individual	Support	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

No-brainer! People should not have guns near a polling place!

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 4:22:49 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Tim Huycke	Individual	Support	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I support SB1030.

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 4:52:40 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Lesha Mathes	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I strongly oppose this bill! This goes against the 2nd amendment. You are over stepping your authority! JUST STOP IT! Someone having their gun with them, dropping off their ballot is not ballot fraud. This is utterly ridiculous!

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 5:36:36 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Ryan Willis	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I Strongly Oppose

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 5:48:46 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
james wallace	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose SB1030 SD2.Its kind of ridiculas election fraud in hawaii is live and well and now this bill is trying to define fraud?Its a big JOKE!!!!

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 6:00:52 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Kanoe Willis	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I strongly oppose

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 6:48:58 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Dayna Matsumura	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Oppose

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 7:20:40 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Louella Vidinha	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

No to this bill. Election fraud equates to carrying of firearms ? No.

Louella Vidinha

Hawaii resident

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/11/2025 10:35:14 PM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Zac Nosugref	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose this bill.

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/12/2025 6:32:56 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Shani Hough	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Ridiculousl defines election fraud as carrying a firearm near a polling place!

SB-1030-SD-2

Submitted on: 3/12/2025 9:18:29 AM

Testimony for JHA on 3/12/2025 2:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Mary Healy	Individual	Oppose	Remotely Via Zoom

Comments:

I strongly oppose this bill.