JOSH GREEN, M.D. GOVERNOR KE KIA'ĀINA



JORDAN LOWE DIRECTOR

MICHAEL VINCENT Deputy Director Administration

SYLVIA LUKE LT GOVERNOR KE KE'ENA

STATE OF HAWAI'I | KA MOKU'ĀINA O HAWAI'I **DEPARTMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT** *Ka 'Oihana Ho'okō Kānāwai*

715 South King Street Honolulu, Hawai'i 96813 JARED K. REDULLA Deputy Director Law Enforcement

TESTIMONY ON HOUSE BILL 2622, HOUSE DRAFT 1 RELATING TO FIREARMS

Before the Senate Committee on

Public Safety and Intergovernmental and Military Affairs

Friday, March 15, 2024; 3:03 p.m.

State Capitol Conference Room 225, Via Videoconference

Testifier: Michael Vincent

Chair Wakai, Vice Chair Elefante, and members of the Committee:

The Department of Law Enforcement (DLE) **strongly supports**, House Bill 2622, House Draft 1.

This bill appropriates moneys to the DLE to continue with the voluntary firearm buyback program.

The DLE would like to conduct voluntary firearm buyback programs in each county. The requested appropriation would be used to conduct the buybacks, storage and disposal of firearms, costs incurred by supporting agencies and partners, and administrative costs associated with the program.

The DLE recommends \$825,000 for the appropriation.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify in support of this bill.

Submitted on: 3/12/2024 4:50:02 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Marcus Tanaka	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

This should be cash and offered way more than \$100. More like \$600 for a handgun and \$1200 for a rifle. And this should be 24/7 available at either all HPD stations or you can call them and they can come and pick it up anywhere. And an immunity for places to keep if HPD meets you anywhere.

Submitted on: 3/12/2024 6:01:09 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Michael I Rice	Individual	Comments	Remotely Via Zoom

Comments:

I disagree with the assertion that Gun Buybacks work to reduce gun related crimes, and there has been no evidence to show as such, and for that reason I disagree with gun buybacks on principle, however I am not fully against buybacks as they can serve a legitimate purpose, however limited.

Typically a criminal will either dump, or sell their gun after using it in a crime to another criminal. There are even some criminal rings out there that treat firearms as if they're a rental service. Though some criminals have used buybacks as a means to dispose of evidence by turning in guns that are 'too hot' for them to resell.

There has also been some controversy about buybacks recently, where parts from firearms that were supposedly destroyed after a buyback were found intact after the contractor responsible disassembled the firearms and legally resold parts. This isn't counting other incidents where contractors and even law enforcement officers have stolen firearms, marked them as destroyed, then turned around and sold them intact on the black market.

In previous testimony on SB2346, specifically, in response to the issue of people making cheaply made ghost guns in order to take advantage of the turn in, the Department of Law Enforcement claimed they would not accept such firearms, which is counterintuitive since such weapons are still deadly and illegal under state law despite their crude nature. Through such an act of irresponsibility, public safety is impaired rather than enhanced.

The Department of Law Enforcement also testified that one officer at the buyback mentioned that some of the firearms turned in at the buyback were not only suitable for police use but were actually of the same make and model that they were in the process of buying and inquired if they could simply add the weapon to their armory. The officer in charge reluctantly said they could not keep the firearm due to the wording of the law.

During the recent buybacks on Oahu, people were waiting in their cars for long periods of time, backing up traffic on the road while officers processed the firearms. This also raises the possibility that if such buybacks become a regular thing, criminals might take advantage of a large number of unregistered guns concentrated in one area. They might either go car to car offering a larger amount of cash for the firearms, or simply take a risk and carjack someone waiting in line in the hopes of netting not only a vehicle but weapons as well. Despite the presence of police at the buyback, their presence was still minimal.

The only aspect of the bill that I can support is that the bill does offer a method for those who inherit firearms from a family member or loved one who have no idea what to do with them and conveniently provides a means to safely dispose of them. However, this often causes guns with historic provenance to be destroyed or be turned in for a petty fraction of what their worth is. Thus, I would like to propose the following changes:

- 1) Advertise and offer a year round turn in where the public can turn in firearms in their possession to their local Police Department's precinct, not the main headquarters. This reduces the distance people will have to travel since guns will be on the streets for a lesser duration of time and will only be on the road for a short distance. If not, everyone will be forced to be funneled to a remote location and may defer any desire for turn-in, thereby counteracting the point of the bill.
- 2) The Police Department will take custody of the firearms and check to make sure they were not stolen, prohibited, or connected to a past crime.
- a) should the firearm in question be connected to a crime or is otherwise illegal, they will be given whatever the standard payout is for the firearm turn in and the Police will properly dispose of the firearm.
- b) should the firearm in question be otherwise legal to sell, Police will maintain custody of the firearm and inform the individual that they may elect to have one or more Federal Firearms Licensee(s) (Gunstore) appraise the firearm for its value. If the Possessor elects to instead sell their firearm to an FFL, Police will turn over the firearm directly to the FFL and the FFL will pay the Possessor. If the Possessor does not wish to go through with the FFL transaction they will receive the standard payout.
- 3) Give some discretion to the responsible department regarding the fate of firearms, whether they be destroyed, retained by law enforcement or another City/State agency for use, offered as a donation to a museum, ect, or auctioned off for the legal gun market to raise funds.

I believe these suggestions would not only save taxpayer money, but also allow those who turn in firearms to get fair value for their property through private means.

Submitted on: 3/12/2024 10:44:44 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Beth Anderson	Individual	Support	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Please support HB2622 HD1. Gun buy back programs work They successfully decrease the huge numbers of unnecessary guns in the community. The fewer guns in this state, the safer Hawaii citizens will be.

Guns don't belong in Hawaii. Get rid of them. Thank you for this effort to make our streets safer.

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 9:33:18 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Brian Isaacson	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Studies have shown that no significant reduction in crime results from gun buy backs. Criminals benefit by being able to dispose of evidence without consequences. Uninformed gun owners are cheated out of the value of their firearms for the sake of "good publicity" and "doing something". A waste of money with little positive benefit.

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 1:56:21 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Ryan Arakawa	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I OPPOSE this bill. This is a waste of taxpayer money & gov't resources and doesn't do anything to reduce crime.

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 3:36:01 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Andrew Crossland	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I **oppose** this Bill and I urge all committee members to **VOTE NO**.

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 3:52:06 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Esther Lim	Individual	Support	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Chair of the House Committee, I am in SUPPORT OF HB2622. I am attending Leilehua High School as a child of a military family. I am testifying in favor of passing this bill because I believe in the importance of proactive measures addressing gun violence in our communities.

The consequences and damage of gun violence don't stop at taking lives; it wounds the community and disrupts orderly, peaceful living. To prevent future tragedies, gun buyback programs should receive funding so that the program can grow and promote safety in our communities. Lessening the number of guns in our neighborhoods is crucial, and the constant anxiety about gun violence in communities, schools, and other public spaces can start to reduce only when the number of guns, especially illegal guns, is disposed of.

There have been numerous times gunshots were heard while studying at school, heard to be around two streets away; there were also times I attended school with my father's bulletproof vest during finals week because of a gun threat from a student who had acquired a gun. Most recently, there was an instance when my entire family's lives were in danger because an active shooter wandered around my younger siblings' elementary school when my parents were there to pick them up. Shooting of any kind should not be occurring in communities, especially around schools, and the effectiveness of previous gun buybacks gives me hope that we can take a step towards safer communities.

Therefore, I urge the passage of HB2622 because funding gun buybacks is one of the foundational steps the State needs to take to reduce gun violence and prevent the horrors that follow in local communities.

<u>HB-2622-HD-1</u> Submitted on: 3/13/2024 5:26:00 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Michael Moylan	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I, Michael Moylan, oppose Bill HB2622 HD1.

<u>HB-2622-HD-1</u> Submitted on: 3/13/2024 5:29:25 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Robin D. Ganitano	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Unconstitutional and infringing on We the People of Hawaii's 2nd Amendment rights.

<u>HB-2622-HD-1</u> Submitted on: 3/13/2024 5:29:26 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
April Handog	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose this bill.

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 5:31:41 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Andy ozie	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Your economic policies, tax hikes and covid lockdowns have drained the savings accounts of your citizens.

They resorted to welfare and income from the government to survive. Meanwhile, this state is rated LOW for being small business friendly.

Businesses are leaving because they can't make it. People are struggling to afford groceries because half their checks go to the useless government.

Now you have the audacity to extort this situation by offering food gift cards for folks to surrender their firearms?

What is the motivation behind this? I think of Polpot, Stailin and Hitler. Those dictators began by gun buy backs before they killed millions of their own people.

There's an old saying that history repeats itself.

This also reminds me of when McDonald's partnered with the Hawaii Dept. of Health to get the vaccine and get a \$400.00 gift cards.

That aged well didn't it?

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 5:42:56 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Tracy Gillard	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

The Law Enforcement fund should be used for more training for the officers and for more protection for them.

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 5:48:20 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Kaiulani Bowers	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose this Bill because it is a poor use of funds and We the People should be an armed society, there in a polite society

<u>HB-2622-HD-1</u> Submitted on: 3/13/2024 5:55:14 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
David Williams	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Strongly oppose

<u>HB-2622-HD-1</u> Submitted on: 3/13/2024 6:36:41 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Elmer Domingo	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I strongly oppose this bill.

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 7:37:30 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Lesha Mathes	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I feel law enforcement funds could be better spent. Especially since we are so short staffed on officers.

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 7:53:38 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
James R Cabodol Jr	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

OPPOSE,OPPOSE,OPPOSE

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 8:28:57 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Alice Abellanida	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose this bill. It is a sneaky, back door attempt to confiscate firearms and use law enforcement funding to do so. Shame. Vote no on this bill.

<u>HB-2622-HD-1</u> Submitted on: 3/13/2024 9:46:14 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Jon DS	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose this bill.

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 9:38:49 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
CHESTER LUM	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Thank you for allowing me to submit testimony opposing this bill.

HB2622 should be withdrawn and no funds appropriated.

Once again, thank you for allowing me to submit testimony opposing this bill.

Chester Lum

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 11:06:04 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Chase Cavitt	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I am opposed to HB2622 and ask you to please vote no on this measure:m. This bill is a waste of our valuable and limited tax resources and will not prevent any crime in this already record low firearm abuse state. This bill is a slap in the face to all those who face persecution of the bill SB3196 which has already passed through the senate. You will vote to destroy our constitutional rights and take away currently legal firearm parts and then steal our money to pay for firearms, at a fraction of what they are truly worth. You value cannot possibly pay for the years of hunting and feeding my family, the business I own that sells these parts, the thousands of hours I spend spot shooting at a range for fun or for competition shooting. This is an unnecessary bill and should not be passed. We have far greater issues such as banning drugs like marijuana for our state but I bet you will likely vote yes for drugs and no for law abiding citizens to use firearms safely. This bill is a waste of tax resources and I do not want a single dollar of mine going to pay for firearms. I will make as many shotgun tubes from 1" pipe and take them in for the reward as possible as that is how easily a "firearm" can be made. This program is unnecessary and a joke if you think you'll be proventing anybody from committing a crime by voting yes. Please vote NO on HB2622.

Submitted on: 3/13/2024 11:18:13 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Lionel Delos Santos	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Aloha legislater, i stronly opposed this bills becasue its a waste of tax payer and dosent make a dent on getting gun off the street where crimminals gets firearm easy.

thank you

Submitted on: 3/14/2024 5:12:41 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
james wallace	Individual	Oppose	In Person

Comments:

I oppose HB2622. That is clearly a gun grab program. Buy back is what austrailia did and ceased there firearms. They want to eliminate all people from having any firearms. That is the meaning of Gun Grab. That is anti 2nd amendedment and is 100% communism!!!

Submitted on: 3/14/2024 7:16:21 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Sean J deMello	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Morning,

My name is Sean J DeMello and i am opposing this bill because i don't want my tax dollars going to another scheme trying to cast a negative light on legal firearm ownership.

Thank you.

Submitted on: 3/14/2024 7:33:59 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Todd Yukutake	Individual	Comments	Remotely Via Zoom

Comments:

I offer comments on HB2622

I agree with the intent of this bill to give people an easy way to remove unwanted guns without fear of prosecution or interrogation. However I disagree with using taxpayer money to fund the program. In the Senate version SB2346, the Dept of Law Enforcement requested \$825,000 to administer this program. Although this is just a drop in the bucket for the State budget, it feels very excessive for a taxpayer such as myself. As seen in the recent Manoa family tragedy where a knife was used, the weapon is not the problem, it's the person who needs help. The \$825,000 per year could be better spent on mental health programs that target extreme people in need to prevent a tragedy like this from occurring in the future.

I understand that people want the peace of mind for letting the guns go and I feel that gift cards or other compensation is not necessary. I propose changes that accomplishes all of these things in a better way.

- 1. Allow gun stores to accept the firearms at anytime. This allows for convenient drop off of the firearms anywhere in the State and people would not being intimidated having to turn them into law enforcement.
- 2. The gun stores can offer to resell the firearm on consignment or turn them into police. This gives the stores the incentive to participate in the program while offering the person more money for their firearm and prevent destruction of a useful item. Or the person can just drop off the firearm with no questions asked and the gun store can then give the firearm to police.

Please consider my recommendations.

Mahalo Todd Yukutake toddyukutake@gmail.com (808) 255-3066

Submitted on: 3/14/2024 10:33:40 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
scott shimoda	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose this bill. Money would be better used for education.

Submitted on: 3/14/2024 11:27:05 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
ANDREA DEMELLO	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

My name is Andrea DeMello. I am a tax paying Hawaii resident. I STRONGLY OPPOSE this bill. Mahalo

<u>HB-2622-HD-1</u> Submitted on: 3/14/2024 11:49:54 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM

Submitted B	\mathbf{y}	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Jeanine Acop	an	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Opposed!!!

<u>HB-2622-HD-1</u> Submitted on: 3/14/2024 11:55:53 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
James K. Rzonca	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose this bill

<u>HB-2622-HD-1</u> Submitted on: 3/14/2024 1:31:53 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
KELVIN AWAYA	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose HB2622 HD1

<u>HB-2622-HD-1</u> Submitted on: 3/14/2024 1:56:21 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Cheryl Rzonca	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose this bill.

Submitted on: 3/14/2024 2:33:53 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM

Subm	itted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Charle	ene Lum	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose! People who purchased guns had various reasons (recreational sport, home protection) and were willing to pay a price for it. \$200 by back is an insult.

Submitted on: 3/14/2024 2:42:18 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Mark	Individual	Comments	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Hawaii's voluntary gun buyback bill is a positive step towards addressing gun-related concerns, offering an opportunity for individuals to voluntarily surrender firearms. However, to enhance its effectiveness, the bill could benefit from broader community engagement and increased outreach efforts. Implementing comprehensive public education campaigns to raise awareness about the program's benefits and encourage participation could boost its impact.

Moreover, providing additional incentives, such as tax credits or vouchers for community services, could further incentivize participation and ensure the program reaches a wider audience. Additionally, establishing partnerships with local law enforcement agencies and community organizations to facilitate safe and convenient drop-off locations would enhance accessibility and trust in the program.

By incorporating these improvements, Hawaii's voluntary gun buyback bill could better achieve its goal of reducing the number of firearms in circulation and promoting community safety while respecting individual rights and preferences.

Submitted on: 3/14/2024 3:01:25 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM



Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Stanley Mendes	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I strongly oppose HB2622

Submitted on: 3/14/2024 3:02:07 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM



Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
kaipo ballungay	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

aloha

Please do not have a gun buy back. It is a waste of time and effort. If citizen want to give their guns to the police have a dump box. A gun buy back waste time and money.

Thank you

Submitted on: 3/14/2024 3:41:38 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM



Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Wendy	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

The Constitution is the "LAW OF THE LAND"

This bill is in violation of the Second Amendment of the United States Constitution!

Mayberry VS. Madison (1803) decision concluded, "a law repugnant to the Constitution is void, and courts, as well as other departments are bound by that instrument."

Stop breaking the LAW. By using the taxpayer's money, our money, to buy back guns that we have every right to own, you are stealing from the people, we are your constituents, YOU derive your power from US, WE THE PEOPLE. We are tired of you not abiding by the LAWS set forth in the Constitution.

Submitted on: 3/14/2024 5:34:34 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM



Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Wayne Asam	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I am writing to express my strong opposition to SB 2845, which seeks to ban the sale and possession of ammunition to individuals under the age of 21. While I understand the importance of firearm safety and regulation, I believe that this bill is both unconstitutional and unnecessary.

First and foremost, SB 2845 infringes upon the Second Amendment rights of law-abiding citizens who are under the age of 21. The right to keep and bear arms is a fundamental constitutional right that should not be arbitrarily restricted based on age. By denying individuals in this age group access to ammunition, the bill effectively undermines their ability to exercise their constitutional rights and participate in activities such as self-defense, recreational shooting, and hunting.

Furthermore, the exemptions provided for individuals engaged in hunting and target shooting are insufficient to address the broader implications of this legislation. While these exemptions may offer some degree of relief for certain individuals, they fail to recognize the diverse range of legitimate reasons why individuals under the age of 21 may seek to purchase and possess ammunition. Moreover, the process for determining eligibility for these exemptions is likely to be cumbersome and burdensome, further deterring individuals from exercising their rights.

Additionally, SB 2845 represents an unnecessary and ineffective approach to addressing concerns related to firearm safety and violence prevention. Instead of targeting law-abiding individuals who pose no threat to public safety, our efforts should be directed towards implementing evidence-based solutions that address the root causes of gun violence, such as mental health issues, socioeconomic disparities, and illegal firearm trafficking.

In conclusion, I urge you to reject SB 2845 and explore alternative strategies that respect the constitutional rights of individuals under the age of 21 while promoting responsible firearm ownership and safety. It is imperative that we uphold the principles of freedom, fairness, and due process in our efforts to address firearm-related issues.

Thank you for considering my concerns regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

Wayne Asam

Submitted on: 3/15/2024 11:45:21 AM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM



 Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
John D'Adamo III	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I STRONGLY OPPOSE HB2622 HD1

Submitted on: 3/15/2024 2:42:06 PM

Testimony for PSM on 3/15/2024 3:03:00 PM



Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Scott Kidd	Individual	Support	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I support this measure