

HAWAII STATE ENERGY OFFICE STATE OF HAWAII

JOSH GREEN, M.D. **GOVERNOR**

> SYLVIA LUKE LT. GOVERNOR

MARK B. GLICK

CHIEF ENERGY OFFICER (808) 451-6648

Telephone: Web: energy.hawaii.gov

235 South Beretania Street, 5th Floor, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 2359, Honolulu, Hawaii 96804

Testimony of MARK B. GLICK, Chief Energy Officer

before the SENATE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

Thursday, April 4, 2024 10:00 AM State Capitol, Conference Room 016 and Videoconference

> In Support of HB 2582, HD1, SD1

RELATING TO CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE INFORMATION.

Chair Rhoads, Vice Chair Gabbard, and members of the Committee, the Hawaii State Energy Office (HSEO) supports HB 2582, HD1, SD1, that excludes critical infrastructure information from disclosure requirements under the Uniform Information Practices Act (UIPA).

HSEO, through our work with energy sector partners in emergency response and energy security planning, understands the sensitive nature of energy system information. Energy isn't simply one of the Federal Emergency Management Agency's designated lifelines; it's the enabling lifeline, powering every aspect of our communities, from communication and healthcare to transportation and water treatment. A disruption to our energy system has impacts that are felt in every facet of our lives, highlighting the critical need for robust security measures.

HSEO collaborates closely with industry partners as well as other agencies such as the Office of Homeland Security on their Critical Infrastructure Protection (CIP) program, actively engaging in information sharing and threat mitigation strategies. However, a persistent hurdle remains: private industry's concerns about government's ability to protect sensitive information under transparency laws such as UIPA.

Hawai'i State Energy Office HB 2582, HD1, SD1 - RELATING TO CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE INFORMATION - Support April 4, 2024 Page 2

Exclusion of critical infrastructure information from UIPA, as proposed in this bill, presents an opportunity to alleviate these concerns and foster a more collaborative environment, encouraging the necessary flow of information between industry partners and government agencies. By understanding vulnerabilities and potential threats, we can anticipate, mitigate, and work to prevent catastrophic disruptions to our energy infrastructure.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

OFFICE OF INFORMATION PRACTICES

STATE OF HAWAII NO. 1 CAPITOL DISTRICT BUILDING 250 SOUTH HOTEL STREET, SUITE 107 HONOLULU, HAWAI'I 96813

TELEPHONE: 808-586-1400 FAX: 808-586-1412

EMAIL: oip@hawaii.gov

To: Senate Committee on Judiciary

From: Carlotta Amerino, Acting Director

Date: April 4, 2024, 10:00 a.m.

State Capitol, Conference Room 016

Re: Testimony on H.B. No. 2582, H.D. 1, S.D. 1

Relating to Critical Infrastructure Information

Thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony on this bill, which would add a new exception to disclosure to the Uniform Information Practices Act (UIPA), chapter 92F, HRS, allowing state and county agencies to withhold any information concerning the possibility of compromise of or attacks on critical infrastructure, the resiliency of critical infrastructure, and critical infrastructure operational problems past or present. The Office of Information Practices (OIP) has concerns about this overly broad new UIPA exception and prefers the more narrowly applicable and program-specific protection provided in the H.D. 1 version of the bill.

The proposed new exception under section 92F-13, HRS, is so broadly worded as to cover not just truly sensitive records that would give a potential attacker information detailed technical information about potential vulnerabilities in critical infrastructure, but all sorts of information, including information of high public interest, relating to the ongoing operations of and public safety of critical infrastructure. The proposal is <u>not</u> limited to specific records whose disclosure would create a security threat and thus should rightfully be withheld, but would

also prevent disclosure of records currently deemed to be public, such as an agency's Annual Report detailing its current operations and challenges involving transportation systems, water systems, a computer network, or similar infrastructure. If this overbroad exception had been in place when OIP decided OIP Opinion Letter No. F18-02, for example, tsunami inundation maps could continue to be withheld by the Civil Defense Division, even though other coastal states routinely publish such maps to prepare their communities for potential tsunamis. And if a future Honolulu Board of Water Supply wanted to withhold any and all information about a situation like Red Hill, this proposal would allow this important information of high public interest to be kept secret. Similarly, if the Legislature or the public wanted to learn general information about the safety status of dams or risks of potential cyberattacks or misuse of artificial intelligence technology, this exception would allow agencies to withhold such records. OIP has concerns about the overbreadth of this proposed new exception.

The UIPA's frustration exception at section 92F-13(3) already allows agencies to withhold records that "must be confidential in order for the government to avoid the frustration of a legitimate government function." Keeping our critical infrastructure secure from attacks, or the need to encourage information sharing by protecting confidential business information or trade secrets, would provide justifications to fall under this existing UIPA exception to disclosure. The frustration exception thus allows an agency to withhold specific information, especially detailed technical information, that could be used to exploit vulnerabilities in either government or private infrastructure, while keeping more general information available to the extent it traditionally has been for the public to request from the government agency maintaining it, so as not to deny the public access to important public safety information such as government reports on dam

safety, information about the Red Hill water contamination, or information about the potential for the power grid to contribute to a future wildfire.

OIP's concern is with the overbreadth of this proposed UIPA exception; OIP would not object to an appropriately limited confidentiality provision for a specific critical infrastructure security program or programs within an agency. For instance, the H.D. 1 version of this bill would augment the frustration exception's general protection by providing program-specific certainty in the form of a confidentiality provision specifically for the critical infrastructure information that federal law requires a county board of water supply to maintain in connection with a federal or state critical infrastructure security and resilience program. Similarly, H.B. 2460, H.D. 1, which was not heard by the Senate Committee on Public Safety and Intergovernmental and Military Affairs, would have protected critical infrastructure maintained specifically by the Office of Homeland Security's Hawaii State Critical Infrastructure Security and Resilience Program, which collects information about critical infrastructure and its vulnerabilities from both other government agencies and private parties such as utilities.

Whatever additional protection for truly sensitive information this bill would provide would come at the expense of the public, which has a legitimate interest in information about the State's critical infrastructure, since the new UIPA exception would allow agencies to cut off public access to information that is of limited use to an attacker but of high public interest. If this Committee wishes to amend this measure to create a narrowly tailored confidentiality provision or provisions to specifically address critical infrastructure information maintained by federally required programs, OIP recommends that this Committee use either the language from the H.D. 1 version of this bill, or the language from H.B. 2460, H.D. 1, or a combination of both. Thank you for considering OIP's testimony.

BOARD OF WATER SUPPLY KA 'OIHANA WAI

CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU

630 SOUTH BERETANIA STREET • HONOLULU, HAWAI'I 96843 Phone: (808) 748-5000 • www.boardofwatersupply.com

RICK BLANGIARDI MAYOR *MEIA*

ERNEST Y. W. LAU, P.E. MANAGER AND CHIEF ENGINEER MANAKIA A ME KAHU WILIKĪ

ERWIN KAWATA DEPUTY MANAGER HOPE MANAKIA



April 4, 2024

NĀ'ĀLEHU ANTHONY, Chair KAPUA SPROAT, Vice Chair BRYAN P. ANDAYA JONATHAN KANESHIRO EDWIN H. SNIFFEN, EX-Officio GENE C. ALBANO, P.E., EX-Officio

The Honorable Karl Rhoads, Chair and Members
Senate Committee on Judiciary
Hawai'i State Capitol, Room 016
Honolulu, Hawai'i 96813

Dear Chair Rhoads and Members:

SUBJECT: House Bill 2582, HD1, SD1: Relating to Critical Infrastructure Information

The Honolulu Board of Water Supply supports House Bill (HB) 2582, House Draft (HD) 1, Senate Draft (SD) 1, relating to Critical Infrastructure Information, which excludes critical infrastructure information from disclosure requirements under the Uniform Information Practices Act.

BWS believes that this measure will establish explicit protections for critical infrastructure information that is created, received, or maintained by government agencies such as BWS to ensure public health and safety.

On November 25, 2002, the U.S. Congress passed the Homeland Security Act of 2002, which includes Subtitle B. Critical Infrastructure Information Act of 2002 (CII Act) to protect information voluntarily shared with the government on the security of private and state/local government critical infrastructure. Accordingly, the term "critical infrastructure information" means "information not customarily in the public domain and related to the security of critical infrastructure or protected systems . . ." To date, there are 16 Critical Infrastructure Sectors of which water and wastewater systems sector (water systems) is included to protect the stability and health of the nation and is the mission of the water and wastewater systems sector. According to the Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA) of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), water systems are vulnerable to a variety of attacks, including contamination

¹ Public Law No. 107-296 (H.R. 5005)

The Honorable Karl Rhoads, Chair and Members April 4, 2024 Page 2

with deadly agents; physical attacks, such as the release of toxic gaseous chemicals; and cyberattacks.²

On October 23, 2018, America's Water Infrastructure Act (AWIA) was signed into law amending numerous provisions of the Safe Drinking Water Act. Section 2013 of the AWIA mandates that community (drinking) water systems serving more than 3,300 people, including BWS, develop or update risk and resilience assessments (RRAs) and emergency response plans (ERPs). The law specifies that the RRA address various aspects, including the risk from malevolent acts and natural hazards, resilience of infrastructure, monitoring practices, financial infrastructure, chemical handling, and system operation and maintenance, while the ERP address strategies to enhance system resilience, plans and procedures for malevolent acts or natural hazards, actions to mitigate impact, and strategies for detecting threats.

Due to the sensitive nature of the mandated RRA and ERP, AWIA safeguards any information submitted to the EPA from disclosure at the federal level. However, it is important to note that the actual RRA and ERP is not required to be submitted to the EPA, and therefore explicit protection from disclosure under the federal statute is not provided. Consequently, protection of the information contained in documents such as the RRA and ERP thus defaults to state law concerning public disclosure requirements. Recognizing the security sensitive nature of information that is created, received, or maintained by state and local entities, 34 states have taken measures to exempt such information from public release. Unfortunately, Hawai'i is among the eight remaining states that do not explicitly protect the information included in the RRA and ERP. While HRS chapter 92F may offer *some* protections that may or may not apply to critical infrastructure information, we strongly believe that the critical infrastructure information in the RRA and ERP must be securely maintained and treated as confidential with absolute certainty.

The EPA has been considering other measures to address critical infrastructure information and these requirements are going to change over time as it impacts the 16 critical infrastructure sectors named in the CII Act. In particular, the DHS and the Biden-Harris Administration are presently taking new actions to protect American maritime critical infrastructure, bolster port cybersecurity, and improve supply chain resilience given the recent cybersecurity attacks on the nation's transportation system.³ In today's

² https://www.cisa.gov/topics/critical-infrastructure-security-and-resilience/critical-infrastructure-sectors/water-and-wastewater-sector

³ Homeland Security Fact Sheet, February 21, 2024. https://dhs.gov/news/2024/02/21/fact-sheet-dhs-moves-improve-supply-chain-resilience-and-cybersecurity-within-our

The Honorable Karl Rhoads, Chair and Members April 4, 2024 Page 3

changing world, the DHS asserts it is imperative we meet the threats and challenges of securing our digital ecosystem and defend our Nation's critical infrastructure.⁴

Thank you for your consideration of our testimony in support of HB 2582, HD1, SD1.

Very truly yours,

ERNEST Y. W. LAU, P.E. Manager and Chief Engineer

741

⁴ Ibid.



TESTIMONY BEFORE THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

HB 2582 HD1, SD1 Relating to Critical Infrastructure Information

Thursday, April 4, 2024 10:00 AM State Capitol, Conference Room 016

> James Abraham in Associate General Counsel Hawaiian Electric

Dear Chair Rhoads, Vice Chair Gabbard, and Members of the Committee,

My name is James Abraham and I am testifying on behalf of Hawaiian Electric support of HB 2582 HD1, SD1, Relating to Critical Infrastructure Information.

Energy is one of the sixteen federally designated critical infrastructure sectors. Here in Hawai'i, this sector includes the electric grid and supporting systems owned and maintained by Hawaiian Electric. We support this bill's alignment of the state Uniform Information Practices Act with the federal Critical Infrastructure Information Act of 2002, which is intended to protect confidential information not only within the energy sector, but also among the many other critical infrastructure sectors that make up the backbone of Hawai'i.

Hawaiian Electric agrees that the protections proposed in this bill would enhance sharing of critical infrastructure information between private entities like Hawaiian Electric and government agencies, and promote collaborative efforts to harden energy infrastructure, supporting openness and transparency between the private and public sectors as we all work together toward a more resilient Hawai'i.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify in support of HB 2582 HD1, SD1.



Senate Committee on Judiciary Honorable Karl Rhoads, Chair Honorable Mike Gabbard, Vice Chair

RE: Testimony in Opposition to H.B. 2582 H.D. 1 S.D.1, Relating to Critical Infrastructure Information

Hearing: April 4, 2024 at 10:00 a.m.

Dear Chair and Members of the Committee:

My name is Ben Creps. I am a staff attorney at the Public First Law Center, a nonprofit organization that promotes government transparency. Thank you for the opportunity to respectfully submit testimony **in opposition** to H.B. 2582 H.D.1 S.D.1.

This measure is **unnecessary**. H.B. 2582 H.D.1 S.D.1 adds a new exemption to the Uniform Information Practices Act (UIPA) for "critical infrastructure information related to critical infrastructure or protected systems." The information this bill intends to protect, however, is already shielded from disclosure under the "frustration of a legitimate government function" exemption provided by Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS) § 92F-13(3). *E.g.*, OIP Op. Ltr. 07-05 (agency need not disclose sensitive information regarding physical security of critical infrastructure) (link). Moreover, Public First has been monitoring UIPA requests for the last decade and is not aware of any instances in which a request was made that would fall under the exemption proposed by H.B. 2582 H.D.1 S.D.1 but not 92F-13(3).

This measure is **overly-complicated**. In contrast to the efficient simplicity of HRS § 92F-13(3), the proposed exemption is complicated and unwieldy. An agency invoking the proposed exemption would first need to establish that the request requires disclosure of "critical infrastructure information" and then establish that the information is "related to the security" of "critical infrastructure or protected systems." Depending on the circumstances, an agency would also need to determine whether the information concerns:

- "[a]ctual, potential, or threatened interference with, attacks on, compromise of, or incapacitation of critical infrastructure of protected systems by either physical or computer-based attack or other similar conduct";
- "the ability of any critical infrastructure or protected system to resist" such interference; or
- "[a]ny planned or past operational problem or solution regarding critical infrastructure or protected systems."



Senate Committee on Judiciary April 4, 2024 Page 2

The proposed amendment further calls on agencies to analyze whether disclosure: (i) violates federal, state, local, or tribal law; (ii) harms interstate commerce; or (iii) threatens public health or safety.

This measure is **overly-broad**. The terms "critical infrastructure" and "protected systems" are undefined. The term "critical infrastructure information" is vague and expansively defined as including certain information without limitation. This creates significant potential for misuse as these terms can be read to swallow public records with any connection to infrastructure—like records of water main breaks—that pose no legitimate security risk to critical infrastructure.

This measure is **inconsistent** with the UIPA framework. The UIPA's exemptions are structured as broad categories, whereas other state public records laws identify specific records that are exempt. *Compare* HRS § 92F-13 (providing broad categories of exempt records) *with* Fla. Stat. § 119.071 (identifying specific records that are exempt). By adding a new exemption that is inconsistent with the existing framework, this bill would give rise to the argument that specific records are identified and exempted to the exclusion of others, diluting the strength of existing exemptions.

This measure is **unbalanced**. It is ostensibly patterned off the Critical Infrastructure Information Act of 2002 (CII Act), federal legislation broadly intended to protect from public disclosure information provided to the federal government by private parties for purposes of homeland security. Yet the exemption proposed by H.B. 2582 H.D.1 S.D.1 only adopts the confidentiality aspect of the CII Act—and strips out key definitions and other provisions that balance transparency. This measure should be deferred for further development.

Thank you again for the opportunity to testify in opposition to H.B. 2582 H.D.1 S.D.1.

<u>HB-2582-SD-1</u> Submitted on: 3/30/2024 9:53:43 AM Testimony for JDC on 4/4/2024 10:00:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Jennifer Cabjuan	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Oppose this bill

<u>HB-2582-SD-1</u> Submitted on: 3/30/2024 10:10:28 AM Testimony for JDC on 4/4/2024 10:00:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
kamakani de dely	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

<u>HB-2582-SD-1</u> Submitted on: 3/30/2024 10:12:37 AM

Testimony for JDC on 4/4/2024 10:00:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Mallory De Dely	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

<u>HB-2582-SD-1</u> Submitted on: 3/30/2024 10:14:50 AM Testimony for JDC on 4/4/2024 10:00:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Susan Dedely	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

<u>HB-2582-SD-1</u> Submitted on: 3/30/2024 10:31:12 AM

Testimony for JDC on 4/4/2024 10:00:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Jadine L Brown	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose this because it decreases transparency in our elections.

<u>HB-2582-SD-1</u> Submitted on: 3/30/2024 10:38:47 AM

Testimony for JDC on 4/4/2024 10:00:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Deven English	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I strongly oppose this bill

<u>HB-2582-SD-1</u> Submitted on: 3/30/2024 12:49:05 PM

Testimony for JDC on 4/4/2024 10:00:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
April Handog	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

<u>HB-2582-SD-1</u> Submitted on: 3/30/2024 1:57:31 PM

Testimony for JDC on 4/4/2024 10:00:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Andrew Crossland	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I **oppose** this Bill and I urge all committee members to **vote NO**.

<u>HB-2582-SD-1</u> Submitted on: 3/30/2024 2:22:55 PM

Testimony for JDC on 4/4/2024 10:00:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Anne Kamau	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose this bill. Mahalo

<u>HB-2582-SD-1</u> Submitted on: 3/30/2024 7:13:37 PM

Testimony for JDC on 4/4/2024 10:00:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
THOMAS KENT	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

<u>HB-2582-SD-1</u> Submitted on: 3/30/2024 9:13:40 PM

Testimony for JDC on 4/4/2024 10:00:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Marlies Lee	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose

<u>HB-2582-SD-1</u> Submitted on: 3/30/2024 10:30:05 PM Testimony for JDC on 4/4/2024 10:00:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Terry Murakami	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

HB-2582-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/31/2024 9:09:03 AM

Testimony for JDC on 4/4/2024 10:00:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
CHESTER LUM	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Thank you for allowing me to submit testimony opposing this bill.

HB2582 is too broad and should be withdrawn.

Once again, thank you for allowing me to submit testimony opposing this bill.

Chester Lum

<u>HB-2582-SD-1</u> Submitted on: 3/31/2024 10:32:46 AM Testimony for JDC on 4/4/2024 10:00:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Christopher Tabangcura	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose

<u>HB-2582-SD-1</u> Submitted on: 3/31/2024 7:05:22 PM

Testimony for JDC on 4/4/2024 10:00:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Elmer Domingo	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I strongly oppose this bill.

<u>HB-2582-SD-1</u> Submitted on: 3/31/2024 7:10:13 PM

Testimony for JDC on 4/4/2024 10:00:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Wendy D BowlesDomingo	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

i strongly oppose this bill.

<u>HB-2582-SD-1</u> Submitted on: 3/31/2024 7:14:39 PM

Testimony for JDC on 4/4/2024 10:00:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Pacita Domingo	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

i strongly oppose this bill.

<u>HB-2582-SD-1</u> Submitted on: 3/31/2024 8:29:03 PM

Testimony for JDC on 4/4/2024 10:00:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Ruben Ongos	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I OPPOSE this bill!!

HB-2582-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/31/2024 9:05:09 PM

Testimony for JDC on 4/4/2024 10:00:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Sunshine	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I strongly OPPOSE this bill! Disclosing critical infrastructure information is required for a legitimate reason. Don't choose to withhold such critical information.

HB-2582-SD-1

Submitted on: 4/1/2024 12:57:50 AM

Testimony for JDC on 4/4/2024 10:00:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Magoon Ohana	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Oppose as is. Wording is too broad and could withhold information that should rightfully be public. Needs to be more specific. Could lead to easy excuses for lack of transparency. If certain individuals do not want to disclose specific info, they can just claim it "critical infrastructure".

<u>HB-2582-SD-1</u> Submitted on: 4/1/2024 6:54:06 AM

Testimony for JDC on 4/4/2024 10:00:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
David Williams	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I Oppose this bill.

<u>HB-2582-SD-1</u> Submitted on: 4/1/2024 7:46:08 AM

Testimony for JDC on 4/4/2024 10:00:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Alice Abellanida	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

<u>HB-2582-SD-1</u> Submitted on: 4/1/2024 8:43:29 AM

Testimony for JDC on 4/4/2024 10:00:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Leolani Mahuka iwaki	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

<u>HB-2582-SD-1</u> Submitted on: 4/1/2024 4:53:52 PM

Testimony for JDC on 4/4/2024 10:00:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Terri Yoshinaga	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

<u>HB-2582-SD-1</u> Submitted on: 4/1/2024 7:25:29 PM

Testimony for JDC on 4/4/2024 10:00:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
James K. Rzonca	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

<u>HB-2582-SD-1</u> Submitted on: 4/1/2024 8:30:28 PM

Testimony for JDC on 4/4/2024 10:00:00 AM

Sub	mitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Jeani	ne Acopan	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Oppose!!!

<u>HB-2582-SD-1</u> Submitted on: 4/2/2024 10:14:57 AM

Testimony for JDC on 4/4/2024 10:00:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Elethia	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

<u>HB-2582-SD-1</u> Submitted on: 4/2/2024 10:49:03 PM

Testimony for JDC on 4/4/2024 10:00:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
TERI SAVAIINAEA	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

I oppose HB2582 HD1 SD1.

Thank you,

Teri Kia SAVAIINAEA

HB-2582-SD-1

Submitted on: 4/2/2024 11:17:18 PM

Testimony for JDC on 4/4/2024 10:00:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
Catherine Thyne	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Legislature,

This Bill should have never come up, all Election information should always be transparent and above board. Otherwise we are living in a third world country that is run with corruption!

Do not Pass.

Thank you.

<u>HB-2582-SD-1</u> Submitted on: 4/3/2024 10:25:25 AM Testimony for JDC on 4/4/2024 10:00:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Testify
David Ruiz	Individual	Oppose	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

No, you're trying to hide election infrastructure.