SYLVIA LUKE LT. GOVERNOR



GARY S. SUGANUMA DIRECTOR

KRISTEN M.R. SAKAMOTO DEPUTY DIRECTOR

STATE OF HAWAI'I DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION Ka 'Oihana 'Auhau P.O. BOX 259 HONOLULU, HAWAI'I 96809 PHONE NO: (808) 587-1540 FAX NO: (808) 587-1560

TESTIMONY OF GARY S. SUGANUMA, DIRECTOR OF TAXATION

TESTIMONY ON THE FOLLOWING MEASURE:

H.B. No. 1805, H.D. 1, Relating to the Procedure for Payment Under Protest Lawsuits.

BEFORE THE:

Senate Committee on Judiciary

DATE:	Friday, March 15, 2024
TIME:	9:45 a.m.
LOCATION:	State Capitol, Room 016

Chair Rhoads, Vice-Chair Gabbard, and Members of the Committee:

The Department of Taxation ("Department") offers the following <u>comments</u> regarding H.B. 1805, H.D. 1, for your consideration.

H.B. 1805, H.D. 1, would amend section 40-35, Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS), to allow interest earned on payments under protest in the State's litigated claims fund to be paid in nontaxation cases if the claimant prevails, and to establish a procedure for the disposition of moneys and refiling of actions when a payment under protest suit is filed prematurely.

The bill provides that if a claimant's suit is determined to have been brought prematurely because an agency has not yet rendered a final decision, the claimant's payment under protest may be retained in the litigated claims fund. If the agency does not render a decision within 180 days after the date of the claimant's payment under protest, or decides in favor of the claimant, the agency will refund the payment with interest. If the agency renders a final decision against the claimant within 180 days, the claimant may, within two years after notice of that decision, refile the action for a refund of their payment. If the agency decides against the claimant and the claimant brings no suit or proceeding within two years of the decision, the money paid under protest will become a government realization. H.B. 1805, H.D.1, has a defective effective date of July 1, 3000.

Department of Taxation Testimony H.B. 1805, H.D. 1 March 15, 2024 Page 2 of 2

The Department notes that section 40-35(b), HRS, currently requires any action to recover payment of taxes under protest to be commenced in the tax appeal court within thirty days after a payment under protest is made. The Department is unaware of any valid reason why the time limit to bring an action to recover payment of taxes made under protest should be two years for a premature payment, but thirty days for a properly made payment. The Department requests H.B. 1805, H.D. 1, be amended to require the refiling of a premature action to recover payment of taxes paid under protest to be brought within the thirty-day period after a final agency decision is made. Specifically, the Department recommends amending subsection (c)(1) as follows:

(1) If the agency then renders a final decision, the claimant may, within [two years] thirty days after notice of the decision, refile the action for a refund of the payment that is in the litigated claims fund, whereupon the court shall have jurisdiction over the refiled action; provided that if the agency decides against the claimant and no suit or proceeding is brought within [two years] thirty days after notice of the decision, the money paid under protest shall be deposited into the appropriate account in the treasury of the State and the amount shall become a government realization; or

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments on this measure.

LEGISLATIVE TAX BILL SERVICE

TAX FOUNDATION OF HAWAII

126 Queen Street, Suite 305

Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Tel. 536-4587

SUBJECT: TAX APPEALS, Procedure for Payments Under Protest when Suit Brought Prematurely

BILL NUMBER: HB 1805 HD 1

INTRODUCED BY: House Committee on Finance

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: Establishes a procedure for the disposition of moneys and refiling of actions when a payment under protest suit is filed prematurely.

SYNOPSIS: Amends section 40-35, HRS, to provide that if a payment under protest suit is brought prematurely and the claimant's suit is dismissed, the claimant's payment may be retained in the litigated claims fund. If the agency then renders a final decision, the claimant has two years to refile the suit. If the agency does not render a final decision within 180 days after the date of the claimant's payment, the payment is to be refunded to the claimant with interest while the litigants await the agency's final decision.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 3000.

STAFF COMMENTS: The justification for this bill is *Grace Business Development Corporation v. Kamikawa*, 92 Haw. 608, 994 P.2d 540 (2000), held that if a taxpayer pays money under protest but then sues for a refund before the defendant agency has formally taken a position on whether the money is due, the appeal is to be dismissed. If the agency later decides that the money is indeed due, it is generally too late for the suit to be refiled (it needs to be filed within 30 days after the payment), potentially allowing the agency to keep the money whether or not the payment to the agency was legal. This bill prevents the Catch-22 situation described.

As an example, suppose a taxpayer is being audited. The auditor sends an email to the taxpayer directing him to pay \$10,000 in additional tax. The taxpayer does so and files suit under HRS section 40-35. The Department responds that the auditor's email was not a final agency determination, and the court dismisses the lawsuit. Assuming that 30 days have passed, under present law it appears that the taxpayer loses the money whether or not the taxpayer would have prevailed on the merits. Under the bill, the taxpayer's money is retained in the litigated claims fund. Assume further that a Notice of Final Assessment is then issued. The taxpayer can refile the lawsuit with no additional payment (except for the court filing fee) within two years after the Notice.

Digested: 3/11/2024