



JOSH GREEN, M.D.  
GOVERNOR  
SYLVIA LUKE  
LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR

**STATE OF HAWAII**  
**HAWAII EMPLOYER-UNION HEALTH BENEFITS TRUST FUND**  
201 MERCHANT STREET, SUITE 1700  
HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813  
Oahu (808) 586-7390  
Toll Free 1(800) 295-0089  
[www.eutf.hawaii.gov](http://www.eutf.hawaii.gov)

**BOARD OF TRUSTEES**  
JACQUELINE FERGUSON-MIYAMOTO, CHAIRPERSON  
JAMES WATARU, VICE-CHAIRPERSON  
WESLEY MACHIDA, SECRETARY-TREASURER  
CHRISTIAN FERN  
AUDREY HIDANO  
SABRINA NASIR  
OSA TUJ  
MAUREEN WAKUZAWA  
RYAN YAMANE  
ROBERT YU  
**ADMINISTRATOR**  
DEREK M. MIZUNO  
**ASSISTANT ADMINISTRATOR**  
DONNA A. TONAKI

**WRITTEN ONLY**

TESTIMONY BY DEREK MIZUNO  
ADMINISTRATOR, HAWAII EMPLOYER-UNION HEALTH BENEFITS TRUST FUND  
DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET AND FINANCE  
STATE OF HAWAII  
TO THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY AND HAWAIIAN AFFAIRS  
ON HOUSE BILL NO. 1599

**February 23, 2024**  
**2:00 p.m.**  
**Conference Room 325 & Videoconference**

RELATING TO THE SUNSHINE LAW

Chair Tarnas, Vice Chair Takayama, and Members of the Committee:

The Hawaii Employer-Union Health Benefits Trust Fund (EUTF) Board of Trustees supports this bill. The EUTF Board believes that this bill encourages public participation by requiring that board meeting notices inform the public how to be visible when providing oral testimony. The EUTF currently allows written and oral public testimony. Members of the public providing oral testimony can also be visible via Teams. The Teams link is currently included on the board meeting notice.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

# OFFICE OF INFORMATION PRACTICES

STATE OF HAWAII  
NO. 1 CAPITOL DISTRICT BUILDING  
250 SOUTH HOTEL STREET, SUITE 107  
HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813  
TELEPHONE: 808-586-1400 FAX: 808-586-1412  
EMAIL: oip@hawaii.gov

To: House Committee on Judiciary

From: Cheryl Kakazu Park, Director

Date: February 23, 2024, 2:00 p.m.  
State Capitol, Conference Room 325

Re: Testimony on H.B. No. 1599  
Relating to the Sunshine Law

---

---

Thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony on this bill, which would require a board holding a remote Sunshine Law meeting to provide all testifiers the option to present video testimony. The Office of Information Practices (OIP) offers comments.

Section 92-3.7, HRS, which allows remote meetings under the Sunshine Law, part I of chapter 92, currently requires boards to accept remote oral testimony in a way that allows meeting participants to hear the testimony – in other words, a board can satisfy the remote oral testimony requirement either (1) by providing a phone number for remote testifiers to call in to testify or (2) by providing a Zoom link or something similar for remote testifiers to testify on camera. This bill would require boards holding remote meetings to always provide a remote testimony method that allows testifiers to be seen as well as heard, meaning that the board would need to provide a Zoom link or similar on-camera option, while still leaving testifiers the option to be camera-off if they prefer. If someone in the public is unable to successfully stream or remotely testify at a remote meeting due to problems with that person's own internet connection, it is not considered a failure of

remote meeting connectivity on the board's part. In other words, remote meeting participants will still need to have their own adequate internet connection, or they have the option to attend at the connected physical location that is required for every remote meeting.

The current law allowing boards to use a telephone line or similar audio-only method for remote oral testimony gives boards more control over their meetings and can be a tool to help them avoid zoombombing, where a meeting is disrupted by someone taking control of the meeting feed and using it to broadcast obscene images or video. **Boards have the legal ability to remove someone who willfully disrupts a meeting, and this bill would reiterate that legal authority in the remote meetings section. However, zoombombers appear unexpectedly and may be more technically sophisticated than the board members or staff running a remote meeting, and thus the legal authority to remove zoombombers is of little help when the board has no prior notice or is technically incapable of doing so.** While rare, OIP is aware of instances in which a board has had to cancel its meeting because it was unable to prevent zoombombers from returning and retaking control every time the board tried to eject them. The easiest way for a board holding a remote meeting to avoid this situation is by using telephone testimony.

**At the same time, OIP recognizes the valid concern that the telephone testimony experience can be an unsatisfactory one,** especially as compared to in-person or even audio-video remote participation. Requiring boards to give remote testifiers the option of being visible would help to mitigate that.

**Thus, OIP recommends that this Committee decide whether to move this bill based on what it finds to be the best balance between competing interests** – a board's interest in being better able to control its remote meetings

House Committee on Judiciary & Hawaiian Affairs  
HB 1599  
February 23, 2024  
Page 3 of 3

versus the remote testifiers' interest in feeling confident that the board is receiving the full benefit of their testimony and they are not being ignored in favor of the in-person attendees who the board members can see.

Thank you for considering OIP's testimony.



House Committee on Judiciary & Hawaiian Affairs  
Honorable David A. Tarnas, Chair  
Honorable Gregg Takayama, Vice Chair

**RE: Testimony in Support of H.B. 1599, Relating to the Sunshine Law**  
Hearing: February 23, 2024 at 2:00 p.m.

Dear Chairs and Members of the Committees:

My name is Ben Creps. I am a staff attorney at the Public First Law Center, a nonprofit organization that promotes government transparency. Thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony **in strong support of H.B. 1599**.

Some boards refuse to permit individuals to testify by videoconference without giving up certain rights (*e.g.*, disclosing identity, registering in advance of a meeting). The statute concerning remote meetings permits this behavior as long as the meeting has a telephone conference option. This process, however, establishes a tiered system that denies remote testifiers the opportunity to be *seen* by the board while testifying.

H.B. 1599 amends Hawai'i Revised Statutes (HRS) § 92-3.7 to clarify that boards must provide members of the public the option to participate by both audio *and* video means at remote meetings subject to Sunshine Law. This ensures that members of the public have the opportunity to

While boards may raise concerns about "Zoom-bombing," there are technological solutions to preserve the decorum of the meeting in the rare instances in which individuals may be disruptive in a meeting. To address this concern, H.B. 1599 expressly recognizes a board's authority to remove and block individuals who disrupt remote meetings.

Thank you again for the opportunity to testify in support of H.B. 1599.



**HB-1599**

Submitted on: 2/22/2024 3:01:22 PM

Testimony for JHA on 2/23/2024 2:00:00 PM

<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Testifier Position</b>	<b>Testify</b>
Greg Misakian	Individual	Support	Remotely Via Zoom

Comments:

I support HB1599.

Greg Misakian

**HB-1599**

Submitted on: 2/21/2024 10:11:43 AM

Testimony for JHA on 2/23/2024 2:00:00 PM

<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Testifier Position</b>	<b>Testify</b>
Lu Ann Mahiki Lankford-Faborito	Individual	Support	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

Strong support especially for neighbor island residents

**HB-1599**

Submitted on: 2/21/2024 10:33:38 AM

Testimony for JHA on 2/23/2024 2:00:00 PM

<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Testifier Position</b>	<b>Testify</b>
Cathy Goeggel	Individual	Support	Written Testimony Only

Comments:

HB1599 is an important step in the direction of making it easier for citizens to participate in government. Please support HB1599. Mahalo!