
HOUSE RESOLUTION

REQUESTING THE AUDITOR TO ASSESS THE CHALLENGES TO THE TIMELY DELIVERY OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES IN THE STATE DUE TO PRIOR AUTHORIZATION REQUIREMENTS AND INCLUDE AN ANALYSIS OF PRIOR AUTHORIZATION REFORM, WITH INPUT OF DATA AND FEEDBACK FROM ALL STAKEHOLDERS, INCLUDING PATIENT ADVOCATES, PROVIDERS, FACILITIES, AND PAYERS.

1 WHEREAS, patients face continued challenges in accessing
2 health care due to the burdens of prior authorization
3 requirements, which serves as an upfront bottleneck to the
4 delivery of many commonly indicated diagnostic tests and medical
5 treatments; and

6
7 WHEREAS, prior authorization further compounds the
8 increased costs and administrative demands on providers and
9 staff, which are made worse by the health care workforce
10 shortages in the State; and

11
12 WHEREAS, recent changes to the Centers for Medicare and
13 Medicaid Services (CMS) rules on prior authorization are a step
14 in the right direction, but it is necessary to address the prior
15 authorization inconsistencies and concerns for all payers so
16 that Hawaii residents can receive the timely medical care that
17 they need; and

18
19 WHEREAS, time-consuming prior authorization processes
20 encumber family physicians, divert valuable resources from
21 direct patient care, and delay the start or continuation of
22 necessary treatment, leading to lower rates of patient adherence
23 to treatment and negative clinical outcomes; and

24
25 WHEREAS, administrative complexity in the United States
26 health care system has been identified as a source of enormous
27 spending and should be further examined for cost-saving
28 opportunities; and
29



1 WHEREAS, although payers use prior authorization and claims
2 processes to reduce medical costs and design custom benefit
3 designs to achieve a specific premium price, the misapplication
4 of prior authorization often leads to inappropriate and
5 dangerous delays in diagnosis and treatment and may result in
6 abandoned care; and

7
8 WHEREAS, the misapplication of prior authorization
9 increases the already substantial barriers to health care for
10 patients in rural and underserved areas; and

11
12 WHEREAS, recent CMS rules have mandated changes to reform
13 prior authorization that, taken together, will reduce overall
14 payer and provider burden and improve patient access in federal
15 programs; however, these changes do not apply to private
16 insurers; and

17
18 WHEREAS, Hawaii health care private payers still require
19 prior authorization for common inpatient, residential treatment
20 center, and partial hospitalization admissions that are not
21 directly from an emergency department, as well as for commonly
22 indicated diagnostic testing and treatment of urgent cases for
23 mental health, surgery, gynecology, and oncology; and

24
25 WHEREAS, the timeline is substantially variable and
26 inconsistent for private payers in terms of prior authorization
27 turnaround, and this complexity leads to confusion, additional
28 paperwork, cost for staff, and contributes to significant
29 provider team burnout; and

30
31 WHEREAS, an analysis by the Auditor is necessary to
32 facilitate collaboration on prior authorization reform, with
33 input of data and feedback from all stakeholders including
34 patient advocates, providers, facilities, and payers; now,
35 therefore,

36
37 BE IT RESOLVED by the House of Representatives of the
38 Thirty-second Legislature of the State of Hawaii, Regular
39 Session of 2024, that the Auditor is requested to assess the
40 challenges to the timely delivery of health care services in the
41 State due to prior authorization requirements and include an
42 analysis of prior authorization reform, with input of data and



1 feedback from all stakeholders, including patient advocates,
2 providers, facilities, and payers; and
3

4 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the assessment and analysis is
5 requested to evaluate the following:
6

- 7 (1) A determination of a reasonable and appropriate prior
8 authorization response time, including whether a
9 response time of twenty-four hours for urgent care and
10 forty-eight hours for non-urgent care is feasible;
11
- 12 (2) Whether adverse determinations should only be
13 conducted by a physician licensed in the State and of
14 the same specialty that typically manages the
15 patient's condition;
16
- 17 (3) The manner in which retroactive denials may be avoided
18 if care is preauthorized;
19
- 20 (4) Whether it is feasible for a prior authorization to be
21 valid for at least one year, regardless of dosage
22 changes;
23
- 24 (5) For patients with chronic conditions, whether the
25 prior authorization may be valid for the length of the
26 treatment;
27
- 28 (6) Whether private insurers may publicly release prior
29 authorization data by drug and service as it relates
30 to approvals, denials, appeals, wait times, and other
31 categories;
32
- 33 (7) Whether it is reasonable and appropriate for a new
34 health plan to honor the patient's prior authorization
35 for a transition prior of time; i.e., at least ninety
36 days;
37
- 38 (8) The factors that would allow for the reduction of
39 total volume of prior authorization requests, such as
40 exemptions or gold-carding programs; and
41



H.R. NO. 162

1 (9) A comparison of the State's prior authorization
2 policies with other states' prior authorization
3 policies; and
4

5 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Auditor is requested to
6 submit a report of its findings and recommendations, including
7 any proposed legislation, to the Legislature no later than
8 twenty days prior to the convening of the Regular Session of
9 2025; and
10

11 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a certified copy of this
12 Resolution be transmitted to the Auditor.
13
14
15

OFFERED BY:

Debra A. Bellotti

MAR 08 2024

