JOSH GREEN, M.D. GOVERNOR KE KIA'ĀINA





#### OFFICE OF ENTERPRISE TECHNOLOGY SERVICES KE'ENA HO'OLANA 'ENEHANA

P.O. BOX 119, HONOLULU, HAWAI'I 96810-0119 Ph: (808) 586-6000 | Fax: (808) 586-1922 ETS.HAWAII.GOV

August 14, 2024

The Honorable Ronald D. Kouchi President of the Senate and Members of the Senate Thirty-Second State Legislature State Capitol, Room 409 Honolulu, Hawai'i 96813 The Honorable Scott K. Saiki Speaker and Members of the House of Representatives Thirty-Second State Legislature State Capitol, Room 431 Honolulu, Hawai'i 96813

Aloha Senate President Kouchi, Speaker Saiki, and Members of the Legislature:

Pursuant to HRS section 27-43.6, which requires the Chief Information Officer to submit applicable independent verification and validation (IV&V) reports to the Legislature within ten days of receiving the report, please find attached the report the Office of Enterprise Technology Services received for the State of Hawai'i, Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs, Business Registration Modernization Project.

In accordance with HRS section 93-16, this report may be viewed electronically at <u>http://ets.hawaii.gov</u> (see "Reports").

Sincerely,

Christine M. Sakuda Chief Information Officer State of Hawai'i

Attachment

alirror\_od.use\_y alirror\_od.use\_y if operation minum\_c alirror\_od.use\_s = False alirror\_od.use\_y = False alirror\_od.use\_z = True

#selection at the end interior a mirror\_ob.select-1 modifier\_ob.select-1 hpy.context.store.objects.active print("Selected" + str(modifier\_c interior + str(modifier\_c)

STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND CONSUMER AFFAIRS (DCCA)

Business Registration Modernization (BRM) Project

AND

MONTHLY IV&V REVIEW REPORT

June 30, 2024 | Version 1.0



# Table of Contents

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Background	3
IV&V Dashboard	4
IV&V Summary	5

### IV&V OBSERVATIONS

Appendix A: IV&V Criticality and Severity Ratings	7
Appendix B: Industry Standards and Best Practices	ç
Appendix C: Prior Observations Log	12
Appendix D: Comment Log on Draft Report	30



N/A

# Document History

DATE	DESCRIPTION	AUTHOR	VERSION
07/26/24	Monthly IV&V Review Report Draft created	Diana Dumitrascu	0.0
08/09/24	Monthly IV&V Review Report finalized	Todd Nacapuy	1.0

## BACKGROUND

The State of Hawaii (State), Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs (DCCA) contracted Century Computers, Inc. (Pacxa) on July 1, 2022 to provide services for the Business Registration Modernization (BRM) Project to redesign the Business Registration (BREG) Division's business registration processes and modernize its systems. DCCA contracted Aalta LLC (Aalta) to provide project management services for DCCA and also contracted Accuity LLP (Accuity) to provide Independent Verification and Validation (IV&V) services for the BRM Project. The project had a three-month pause to reassess the technology solution and design, and restarted on November 20, 2023.

Our initial assessment of project health was provided in the first Monthly IV&V Review Report as of August 31, 2022. IV&V's contract was extended to match the revised project timeline, and Monthly IV&V Review Reports will be issued through July 2024 to continually update and evaluate project progress and performance.

The project sustained another two-month pause. Based upon a June 27, 2024 weekly update meeting and according to a June 26, 2024 Status and Coordination Meeting project report, the project resumed in June 2024. A new change order was made between PACXA and the DCCA extending the project schedule and moving out the "Go-Live" date to November 15, 2024, however, no formal documentation was provided to verify this change and related details. This report will be noted as necessary.

Our IV&V Assessment Areas include People, Process, and Technology. IV&V has areas of limited visibility or access to all project activities that may limit a complete identification of project risks.

The IV&V Dashboard and IV&V Summary provide a quick visual and narrative snapshot of both the project status and project assessment as of June 30, 2024. Ratings are provided monthly for each IV&V Assessment Area (refer to Appendix A: IV&V Criticality and Severity Ratings). The overall rating is assigned based on the criticality ratings of the IV&V Assessment Categories and the severity ratings of the underlying observations.

#### PROJECT RESTART

"Though we may not have reached the heights we anticipated yesterday, TODAY is a brand new day to begin a new climb."

- Chinouye J. Chidolue

# PROJECT ASSESSMENT

**JUNE 2024** 

SUMMARY RATINGS

OVERALL RATING

Limited information available for conclusive observations and recommendations.



LOW

N/A

MEDIUM

HIGH





## **KEY PROGRESS & RISKS**

- The project restarted in June 2024. IV&V has not received the change order to date and cannot verify the effective date, the amount of additional project costs, and whether the schedule has been rebaselined.
- Linvio integration, DocuSign conversion, and two full data test loads are complete.
- Sprint 12 development was completed.
- Project team meetings including risk discussions have resumed.
- OCM activities were conducted including a meeting and a newsletter that was distributed on June 24, 2024
- A revised project management plan (PMP) was submitted to the DCCA. The updated PMP's approval is dependent on the successful completion of the Sprints 7-9 demo which is scheduled for the first week of July.
- A new business analyst manager was added to the AST team. A transition period is expected.
- Delayed feedback for earlier Sprints increases the risk of project development delays.



# JUNE 2024 · BRM PROJECT

## APR MAY JUNE IV&V ASSESSMENT AREA IV&V SUMMARY

NA	NA	R	Overall	The project restarted in June 2024. A change order was signed by DCCA and Pacxa. IV&V has not received nor is able to verify the effective date, the amount of additional project costs, and whether the schedule has been rebaselined. If the change order does not address and alleviate the concerns regarding scope, schedule, and budget, the overall project risk will be red. The latest verified schedule included and a Go-Live date of July 22, 2024 which will not be met.
				Development progress occurred. However, because of the restart certain activities such as the acceptance of deliverables will need to be repeated.
				Project Schedule: According to the June 26, 2024 project status report, the Go-Live date has been extended to November 15, 2024. Furthermore, the project management plan has been updated and submitted to the DCCA. It is pending approval. (2024.01.001).
				Project Costs: Updated project costs are presently unknown. A Pacxa/AST change order presentation dated April 5, 2024 was the last known update. The presentation included several options with different defined scope of work and cost estimates. IV&V did not receive the final signed change order.
				Quality: Quality metrics are currently included in the project status report. Recommendations on modifying the burndown chart and velocity metrics are provided in Appendix C, process observation (2024.04.001). Updating the reporting metrics will provide a clearer understanding of project progress.
				Project Success: The signed DCCA and Pacxa change order is needed to determine the total scope of work and total project costs. The modified burndown chart, velocity metric, work completed, and actual project spend are needed to be able to provide a clearer picture on overall project success.
				For these reasons, IV&V is constrained in terms of depth of analysis. While ratings could be assigned to most areas in this report, insufficient information and the recent project restart prevent a determination of trends and trajectory.
			People	A new business analyst manager was added to the AST team. A transition period is expected.
NA	NA	NA	Team, Stakeholders,	<ul> <li>Steering committee and project status meetings have restarted in June 2024.</li> </ul>
			& Culture	The OCM team met and released a newsletter on June 24, 2024.
				<ul> <li>The DCCA team is engaged and is actively questioning project development during project meetings.</li> </ul>
				<ul> <li>IV&amp;V is unable to assign a rating for this category without additional insight and data to determine whether insufficient resources is one of the key underlying factors responsible for the ongoing challenges faced by this project.</li> </ul>
				5

# JUNE 2024 · BRM PROJECT

APR	MAY	JUNE	IV&V ASSESSMENT AREA	IV&V SUMMARY
NA	NA	R	Process Approach & Execution	<ul> <li>Sprint demos are lagging behind project development. Sprint 7-9 demo is scheduled for the first week of July. However, Sprint 12 development has already occurred. There is concern that if feedback is provided for prior sprints, either the update will be delayed or not included at all. If the feedback is a refinement or related to a must-have requirement, it should be addressed. Furthermore, it is unclear as to the review process for changes made as a result of sprint demo feedback. DCCA should be provided an opportunity to receive an update or view any changes made.</li> <li>A lessons learned review is recommended to help overcome repetitive project challenges and avoid future delays. This issue is discussed in Appendix C, process observation (2024.04.001).</li> <li>Communication and collaboration has improved with the restart of project meetings, sprint reviews, and project status summaries.</li> <li>A revised project management plan was submitted. Deliverable approval is contingent upon the successful completion of Sprints 7-9.</li> <li>Performance metrics were reported in the project summary report. Recommendations on modifying the metrics are provided in Appendix C, process observation (2024.04.001).</li> <li>In accordance with PMBOK Section 11.2.3 reviewing risks and maintaining a risk registry help to keep a project on track and help resolve issues that were identified quickly. Recommendations on modifying the risk log are provided in Appendix C, process (2023.02.001).</li> <li>IV&amp;V's rating is based on the assumption that a change order document between DCCA and Pacxa occurred and is pending verification with a formal change order document.</li> </ul>
NA	NA		Technology System, Data, & Security	<ul> <li>Development progress occurred. Sprint 12 was completed. Development for Sprint 13 has started.</li> <li>SFDC full data load testing of 2 cycles is complete. Data migration load 2.1 was completed.</li> <li>Mapping is completed.</li> <li>The data conversion and migration plan, deployment plan, security management plan, system architecture and design documentation have been updated and need to be reviewed and approved.</li> <li>Quality metrics are being reported. Recommendations on modifying the quality metrics are provided in Appendix C, process observation (2024.04.001).</li> <li>The June 26, 2024 project summary report stated that they are preparing to data migration to run data load cycle 3.0.</li> <li>A governance structure is needed for DCCA's single-org Salesforce architecture (2023.05.002).</li> <li>IV&amp;V's rating is based on the assumption that a change order document between DCCA and Pacxa occurred and is pending verification with a formal change order document.</li> </ul>

## Appendix A: IV&V Criticality and Severity Ratings

#### **IV&V CRITICALITY AND SEVERITY RATINGS**

Criticality and severity ratings provide insight on where significant deficiencies are observed and immediate remediation or risk mitigation is required. Criticality ratings are assigned to the overall project as well as each IV&V Assessment Area. Severity ratings are assigned to each risk or issue identified.

#### **Criticality Rating**

The criticality ratings are assessed based on consideration of the severity ratings of each related risk and issue within the respective IV&V Assessment Area, the overall impact of the related observations to the success of the project, and the urgency of and length of time to implement remediation or risk mitigation strategies. Arrows indicate trends in the project assessment from the prior report and take into consideration areas of increasing risk and approaching timeline. Up arrows indicate adequate improvements or progress made. Down arrows indicate a decline, inadequate progress, or incomplete resolution of previously identified observations. No arrow indicates there was neither improving nor declining progress from the prior report.

**ISSUE** An event that is already occurring or

An event that has not

happened yet.

happelinedy

TERMS

RISK



A **RED**, high criticality rating is assigned when significant severe deficiencies were observed and immediate remediation or risk mitigation is required.

A YELLOW, medium criticality rating is assigned when deficiencies were observed that merit attention. Remediation or risk mitigation should be performed in a timely manner.

A **GREEN**, low criticality rating is assigned when the activity is on track and minimal deficiencies were observed. Some oversight may be needed to ensure the risk stays low and the activity remains on track.

A GRAY rating is assigned when the category being assessed has incomplete information available for a conclusive observation and recommendation or is not applicable at the time of the IV&V review.



#### **Severity Rating**

Once risks are identified and characterized, Accuity will examine project conditions to determine the probability of the risk being identified and the impact to the project, if the risk is realized. We know that a risk is in the future, so we must provide the probability and impact to determine if the risk has a Risk Severity, such as Severity 1 (High), Severity 2 (Moderate), or Severity 3 (Low).

While a risk is an event that has not happened yet, an issue is something that is already occurring or has already happened. Accuity will examine project conditions and business impact to determine if the issue has an Issue Severity, such as Severity 1 (High/Critical Impact/System Down), Severity 2 (Moderate/Significant Impact), or Severity 3 (Low/Normal/Minor Impact/Informational).

Observations that are positive, preliminary concerns, or opportunities are not assigned a severity rating.



#### TERMS

**POSITIVE** Celebrates high performance or project successes.

PRELIMINARY CONCERN Potential risk requiring further analysis.

Accur

# Appendix B: Industry Standards and Best Practices

STANDARD	DESCRIPTION
ADA	Americans with Disabilities Act
ADKAR®	Prosci ADKAR: Awareness, Desire, Knowledge, Ability, and Reinforcement
BABOK® v3	Business Analyst Body of Knowledge
DAMA-DMBOK® v2	DAMA International's Guide to the Data Management Body of Knowledge
PMBOK® v7	Project Management Institute (PMI) Project Management Body of Knowledge
SPM	PMI The Standard for Project Management
PROSCI ADKAR®	Leading organization providing research, methodology, and tools on change management practices
SWEBOK v3	Guide to the Software Engineering Body of Knowledge
IEEE 828-2012	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) Standard for Configuration Management in Systems and Software Engineering
IEEE 1062-2015	IEEE Recommended Practice for Software Acquisition
IEEE 1012-2016	IEEE Standard for System, Software, and Hardware Verification and Validation
IEEE 730-2014	IEEE Standard for Software Quality Assurance Processes
ISO 9001:2015	International Organization for Standardization (ISO) Quality Management Systems – Requirements
ISO/IEC 25010:2011	ISO/International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) Systems and Software Engineering – Systems and Software Quality Requirements and Evaluation (SQuaRE) – System and Software Quality Models
ISO/IEC 16085:2021	ISO/IEC Systems and Software Engineering – Life Cycle Processes – Risk Management
IEEE 16326-2019	ISO/IEC/IEEE International Standard – Systems and Software Engineering – Life Cycle Processes – Project Management
IEEE 29148-2018	ISO/IEC/IEEE International Standard – Systems and Software Engineering – Life Cycle Processes – Requirements Engineering



STANDARD	DESCRIPTION
IEEE 15288-2023	ISO/IEC/IEEE International Standard – Systems and Software Engineering – System Life Cycle Processes
IEEE 12207-2017	ISO/IEC/IEEE International Standard – Systems and Software Engineering – Software Life Cycle Processes
IEEE 24748-1-2018	ISO/IEC/IEEE International Standard – Systems and Software Engineering – Life Cycle Management – Part 1: Guidelines for Life Cycle Management
IEEE 24748-2-2018	ISO/IEC/IEEE International Standard – Systems and Software Engineering – Life Cycle Management – Part 2: Guidelines for the Application of ISO/IEC/IEEE 15288 (System Life Cycle Processes)
IEEE 24748-3-2020	IEEE Guide: Adoption of ISO/IEC TR 24748-3:2011, Systems and Software Engineering – Life Cycle Management – Part 3: Guide to the Application of ISO/IEC 12207 (Software Life Cycle Processes)
IEEE 14764-2021	ISO/IEC/IEEE International Standard for Software Engineering – Software Life Cycle Processes – Maintenance
IEEE 15289-2019	ISO/IEC/IEEE International Standard – Systems and Software Engineering – Content of Life Cycle Information Items (Documentation)
IEEE 24765-2017	ISO/IEC/IEEE International Standard – Systems and Software Engineering – Vocabulary
IEEE 26511-2018	ISO/IEC/IEEE International Standard – Systems and Software Engineering – Requirements for Managers of Information for Users of Systems, Software, and Services
IEEE 23026-2015	ISO/IEC/IEEE International Standard – Systems and Software Engineering – Engineering and Management of Websites for Systems, Software, and Services Information
IEEE 29119-1-2021	ISO/IEC/IEEE International Standard – Software and Systems Engineering – Software Testing – Part 1: Concepts and Definitions
IEEE 29119-2-2021	ISO/IEC/IEEE International Standard – Software and Systems Engineering – Software Testing – Part 2: Test Processes
IEEE 29119-3-2021	ISO/IEC/IEEE International Standard – Software and Systems Engineering – Software Testing – Part 3: Test Documentation
IEEE 29119-4-2021	ISO/IEC/IEEE International Standard – Software and Systems Engineering – Software Testing – Part 4: Test Techniques
IEEE 1484.13.1-2012	IEEE Standard for Learning Technology – Conceptual Model for Resource Aggregation for Learning, Education, and Training
ISO/IEC TR 20000- 11:2021	ISO/IEC Information Technology – Service Management – Part 11: Guidance on the Relationship Between ISO/IEC 20000-1:2011 and Service Management Frameworks: ITIL®
ISO/IEC 27002:2022	Information Technology – Security Techniques – Code of Practice for Information Security Controls



STANDARD	DESCRIPTION
FIPS 199	Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) Publication 199, Standards for Security Categorization of Federal Information and Information Systems
FIPS 200	FIPS Publication 200, Minimum Security Requirements for Federal Information and Information Systems
NIST 800-53 Rev 5	National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Security and Privacy Controls for Federal Information Systems and Organizations
NIST Cybersecurity Framework v1.1	NIST Framework for Improving Critical Infrastructure Cybersecurity
LSS	Lean Six Sigma



# Appendix C: Prior Observations Log



## Appendix C: Prior Observations Log

SSESSMENT	OBSERVATION	N	ORIGINAL	CURRENT						0	
EA	ID	TYPE	SEVERITY	SEVERITY	OBSERVATION	ANALYSIS	RECOMMENDATIONS		STATUS UPDATE	CLOSED DAT	E CLOSURE REASON
Cess	2024.05.001	Risk	N/A	High	and governance activities while technic activities continue may result in lack clear direction, incomplete functionalit	overall project management were suspended, key technical activities in the areas of data migration and system development continued. For example, as the success of technical activities such as sprint development hinges on several key factors such as sprint planning, sprint goal approvals, and requirement management and traceability that require project management and oversight, the project is facing several risks that can lead to incomplete functionality, delayed delivery, and increased costs and time to fix the issues that are likely to arise. To ensure that the project remains on track and aligned with its goals, resuming project meetings and communications can ensure project team members, leadership, and other stakeholders clearly understand what work is being performed, and can raise questions, issues, or concerns timely. In addition, the resumption of these activities is crucial to monitor progress, address issues and risks, perform requirements traceability, and ensure quality control measures. This is also a good opportunity for project managers and	<ul> <li>2024.05.001.R1 – During the partial pause, maintain a balance between technical, project management and governance activities to ensure effective project execution.</li> <li>If project resources are a concern during the pause, determine the right method of oversight and reporting such as less meetings, streamlined reporting, and utilizing technology tools to help summarize meeting minutes.</li> <li>Ensure that any issues or concerns that arise during the pause are addressed in a timely manner, and that stakeholders remain engaged and committed to the project</li> <li>2024.05.001.R2 – Provide oversight and processes for ongoing technical activities to prevent wasted effort and resources.</li> <li>Confirm that all work being prioritized and done aligns with project goals and the approved scope and project requirements to prevent rework.</li> <li>Have clear communication channels and reporting in place to communicate status and ensure team members, leadership, and other stakeholders can raise questions, issues, or concerns.</li> <li>Develop processes to ensure that the quality of the work is maintained and data migration and system development work is not compromised.</li> <li>2024.05.001.R3 – Proactively prepare for the plan forward so the team is productive once the change request is approved.</li> <li>Develop a plan to re-establish and communicate the project objectives, scope, and requirements and make sure that everyone on the team is clear about what</li> </ul>	CLOSED	6/30/24: Closed as project management and governance activities have resumed in June.	6/30/24	Closed as a new change order w made between DCCA and Pac pending IV&V verification with a formal document.
rocess	2024.04.001	Issue	High	High	The failure to capture and integrate lessons learned from earlier project phases and restarts, is leading to the repetition of the same project challenges.		2024.04.001.R2 – Incorporate lessons learned and any resulting actions into the new revised schedule and processes. Prioritize based on relevance to upcoming activities. Develop high-level timeline and tasks for addressing deficiencies and begin		05/31/24: Per the Project Sponsor, the PMs will not conduct activities such as lessons learned sessions until the partial pause is lifted. IV&V will continue to monitor the project's progress related to capturing and addressing lessons learned. 6/30/24: No lessons learned formal meeting has been noted to date. The updated project schedule is pending approved by DCCA.		
DCESS	2024.02.001	Issue	High	Moderate	A lack of agreement on a path forward and the critical changes needed for course correction, may hinder the likelihood of overall project success and result in the recurrence of the same project issues.	<ul> <li>The project has faced a number of challenges and setbacks since its restart, which can be attributed to various factors such as lack of detailed project schedule with clear assignments, misinterpretation of workload expectations, unclear roles and responsibilities among project managers, and confusion regarding development methodologies and approaches.</li> <li>DCCA communicated twelve concerns to Pacxa related to many areas including project methodology, reporting, development progress, participation of project resources, data migration, etc. Pacxa provided a preliminary written response to all twelve concerns, which reflected differences between DCCA and Pacxa's view of the current challenges. The project team must strive to find common ground and work collaboratively to address the fundamental project challenges.</li> <li>In addition to the recommendations below, IV&amp;V made additional recommendations in previous reports that address outstanding risks and are included in Appendix C: Prior Observations Log.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2024.02.001.R1 – Improve communication and collaboration.</li> <li>Establish clear communication channels and protocols to ensure that project stakeholders are on the same page.</li> <li>Obtain agreement on project status reports and metrics to help keep everyone informed with the relevant and valuable information to monitor if the project is on track.</li> <li>CLOSED: 2024.02.001.R2 – Develop an action plan to achieve project success.</li> <li>Review lessons learned from previous sprints and develop a plan to prevent the same issues from recurring.</li> <li>Determine root causes of issues identified by DCCA and identify actions and responsibilities to address the causes.</li> <li>Come together and increase efforts to support one another and agree on a more effective way of working together to achieve success.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>03/31/24: DCCA and Pacxa discussed and are working to address twelve concerns raised regarding project methodology, reporting, and development progress. Team Pacxa is providing daily summaries of activities performed in development, testing, design, data migration and integrations. A clear, defined action plan may be needed to make significant improvements.</li> <li>04/30/24: Accuity converted this from a risk to an issue. The DCCA Project Sponsor informed IV&amp;V that most SI and PM activities have been paused. Accuity closed the recommendations related to reviewing lessons learned and developing an action plan and opened separate IV&amp;V observation to capture the issue (2024.04.001).</li> <li>05/31/24: Due to the project's partial pause and suspension of project management and governance activities, IV&amp;V does not have any updated information.</li> <li>IV&amp;V will continue to monitor this as the project determines and work together towards a path forward.</li> <li>6/30/24: The project restarted in June 2024. Project metrics and a status report have been</li> </ul>		

SSESSMENT REA	OBSERVATION		ORIGINAL SEVERITY	CURRENT SEVERITY	OBSERVATION	ANALYSIS	RECOMMENDATIONS	STATUS	STATUS UPDATE	CLOSED DATE CLOSURE REASON
ocess		Issue	Moderate	Moderate	2024.01.001 Project is lacking rigorous schedule management practices which may impact the ability to properly manage resources, quality, and timeliness of project execution.	2024.001.001- The current high-level project schedule lacks sufficient detail to ensure that the project team has a comprehensive understanding of all project activities, and the project managers can better estimate the time and resources required for each task. Given that there are less than six months before system deployment and the project is experiencing some delays, it is vital that a detailed work breakdown structure (WBS) of the project scope and requirements is broken down into smaller, components that can be easily scheduled and tracked. For example, the current schedule is too high-level. It is unclear who is preforming key critical project activities related to data extraction, cleansing, conversion, and validation. Based on ongoing	<ul> <li>2024.01.001.R1 – Improve the project schedule by creating a WBS broken down into smaller, more manageable components.</li> <li>Provide the appropriate detail of tasks, durations, due dates, milestones, and key work products. Both DCCA- and Pacxa-assigned tasks and resources should be clearly reflected in the project schedule, including data validation and user</li> </ul>			
					discussions, a meeting is planned in February to build the schedule out with additional detail.	<ul> <li>2024.01.001.R2 – Assess the need for additional Pacxa resources for project management support.</li> <li>The current Pacxa project manager is actively facilitating functional design sessions and other key activities, which may impact his ability to focus on project management execution.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>05/31/24: The July 2024 Go-Live date will not be met and the new project timeline is highly dependent on the pending change request and resulting decisions on the path forward. Due to the project's partial pause and suspension of project management and governance activities, IV&amp;V does not have any updated information.</li> <li>IV&amp;V will continue to monitor the project's schedule management processes.</li> <li>6/30/24: A revised project management plan was submitted to the DCCA and is pending</li> </ul>		

	OBSERVATION		ORIGINAL		ORSERVATION		RECOMMENDATIONS	ATUS			
A	ID 2024.01.002	Issue	SEVERITY Moderate	High	and objectives, low quality of work, and potential rework.	of sprint goals. Pacxa provided a preliminary report showing 13 items completed, 10 items in progress, 4 to be started and 1 blocked item. For the first two sprints, the team did not review the product backlog, discuss priorities	<ul> <li>2024.06.001 - Modify the reported performance metrics</li> <li>In order to properly assess if development is on track the burndown chart needs to show the <i>total story points</i> ( user stories or requirements) <i>divided by the remaining sprints</i> where at the end of the sprints, there are no story points (user stories or requirements) remaining.</li> <li>Furthermore, consider adding this metric to the 'Velocity' table. In this way, the actual 'story pts done' to planned velocity will show the comparative project development progress.</li> </ul>	en	<ul> <li>STATUS UPDATE</li> <li>02/29/24: Accuity converted this from a risk to an issue, and increased the severity rating from Level 2 (Moderate) to Level 1 (High). More rigor on sprint planning and execution is needed to get the project back on track, prevent further delays, and increase mutual understanding.</li> <li>03/31/24: The project completed approximately 34% of known development work, with only two sprints remaining. Given the current pace of development, a significant number of additional sprints may be required to complete the new BRM solution. A Sprint 6 demo was conducted and Sprint 7 development is in progress. The total number of remaining sprints to complete development still needs to be determined.</li> <li>04/30/24: System development is significantly delayed. Sprint 8 is the last planned sprint and almost complete. The number of additional sprints needed to complete development is still unknown.</li> <li>05/31/24: Some system development work is currently underway; however, the absence of reporting and quality metrics makes it difficult to ascertain the extent of work in progress, completed, and remaining. Refer to observation 2024.05.001 related to the suspension of project management and governance activities while technical activities continue.</li> <li>1V&amp;V will continue to monitor the planning and execution of sprints.</li> <li>6/30/24: Project meetings have resumed. A summary report has been created and two key metrics the burn down chart and velocity metrics were included 1) see recommendation 2024.06.001. 2) Based on the, "Burn down chart-Sprint 12 as of 6/26" it appears that story points goals were not realized. 3) Furthermore, it appears that IV&amp;V and DCCA do not have access to Pacxa's Jira site where the backlog is stored. 4) Lastly, Sprint 7 to 9 demo is scheduled for the first week of July, however, development for Sprint 12 has already ended. IV&amp;V is concerned about delayed</li> </ul>	CLOSED DATE	CLOSURE REASON
echnology	2023.05.002	Risk	N/A	Moderate	to oversee multiple applications in a single Salesforce organization may lead to errors with application development, data management, integration, maintenance, and operations of the applications.	other significant progress made to address this gap. An effective governance model is important to provide proper oversight and management of DCCA's	2023.05.002.R1 – Create a plan and assign responsibilities to develop a formal governance structure. • Clearly define the scope of the governance structure, which applications it will oversee, and what activities it will cover. • Identify all stakeholders who will be impacted by the governance structure. • Determine the governance structure, policies, and guidelines that will govern the development, change management, issue resolution, security, maintenance, and operations of the applications.	en	feedback from prior sprints, the ability of Pacxa to respond, and whether DCCA will be able to 06/30/23: The discovery of the Salesforce application in DCCA's main organization was completed. In July, the Discovery analysis of the remaining application's code will be completed in order for DCCA leaders to make an informed decision regarding the overall solution design and architecture. 07/31/23 and 08/31/23: The Discovery Assessment Report outlining the impacts of moving the current implementation to DCCA's main Salesforce Org was completed; however, additional information and the final decision is still pending. 12/31/23: With the project restart, the project will move forward with placing the BRM application in DCCA main Salesforce organization. As the revised System Architectures and Design Documentation of the solution is due in late January, IV&V will continue to monitor this observation. 01/31/24 and 02/29/24: Pacxa is conducting meetings to address questions regarding integrations with the payment processing system and other applications within DCCA's main Salesforce organization. 03/31/24: This observation was changed from a preliminary concern to a risk as enough time has passed knowing the BRM solution will be housed in DCCA's existing salesforce instance. The planning around the development of a formal governance structure should begin. 04/30/24 and 05/31/24: Due to the partial project pause placed on many project activities this month by the DCCA executive sponsor, IV&V does not believe any progress was made on addressing this risk.		
									6/30/24: Based on the June 26, 2024 status report, Pacxa and DCCA are working to together to ensure proper system governance processes are in place. BRIMS BRA_INFO to		

ESSMENT	OBSERVATION	-	ORIGINAL	CURRENT						
s	2023.02.001	TYPE Issue	SEVERITY Moderate	SEVERITY Moderate	OBSERVATION Current risk management processes	ANALYSIS	RECOMMENDATIONS 2024.06.001- Adding risks provided in the IV&V reports helps to ensure that other d	STATUS	STATUS UPDATE 03/31/23: Strong risk management is required as the project continues to be confronted	CLOSED DATE CLOSURE REASON
	2023.02.001	Issue	Moderate	wouerate	aren't communicating risks or executing	· · ·	identified issues, concerns, and observations are properly discussed and	Open	by technology challenges, unanticipated changes, and delays. Additional focus on	
					risk mitigating tasks early enough which	many instances where delays and risks are not proactively communicated. For			identifying root causes of risks and challenges, and executing mitigation plans timely will	
			1			example, although risks are discussed at weekly status meetings; the risk			help reduce ongoing concerns.	
					costs	regarding adequate data storage was not communicated for three weeks after	2023 02 001 R1 – Foster an open, transparent culture where it is safe and			
						initial discovery. Also, the project was not made aware that 25 out of 111	comfortable to discuss risks.		04/30/23: Accuity observed more active discussion and logging of project risks; however,	
						(23%) user stories tagged for Sprint 2 were at risk of not being completed until	Foster a culture of having candid dialogue, discussing potential risks, asking		improvement still needs to be made in the development of risk mitigation strategies,	
						after the Sprint was completed.	difficult questions, and holding each other accountable.		change management processes, communication of risks by all stakeholders, and	
									accountability. As the project direction shifts and multiple workstreams reassess their tasks	
						Although IV&V has observed some initial improvement in recent meetings and	2023.02.001.R2 – In instances where changes are unavoidable, the project team		and responsibilities, it is paramount that risks continue to be discussed openly and timely.	
						the earlier communication of technology uncertainties and exploration of	should initiate change management processes early.			
						alternatives, it is imperative to foster an open, transparent culture where the	Risks, costs, schedule, and quality impacts should be assessed and clearly		05/31/23 and 06/30/23: Project risks and mitigation plans should be actively discussed	
						discussion of risks and issues is expected and encouraged.	communicated.		during this period of transition and replanning. As the project's Discovery phase is	
									prolonged, it is critical that the team promote a healthy culture of transparency to support	
									the active identification of risks and development of risk mitigation plans.	
									07/31/23 and 08/31/23: As the project pivots direction, it is important that any potential	
									risks and issues are promptly identified and addressed.	
									12/31/23: Closed due to the restart of the project. Accuity will reassess the risk	
									management process when project activities and meetings commence.	
									01/31/24: Reopened due to the need for more formalized risk management processes	
									given the aggressive timeline and current project delays. As there are no separate risk	
									meetings, the team needs to ensure risks are identified, addressed, and mitigated timely.	
									There are risks raised in January and there appear to be differences in the understanding	
									and plan for mitigating risks.	
									02/29/24: Accuity converted this from a risk to an issue, and increased the severity rating	
									from Level 2 (Moderate) to Level 1 (High). Current risk management practices did not	
									prevent this project from reaching this state. Risk management processes need to be	
									revisited and improved to actively prevent and mitigate current and future project risks.	
									03/31/24: The Pacxa team formalized the use of a team risk log to track and report risks	
									starting in March. Improvements are still needed in this area to proactively identify,	
									discuss, and mitigate risks.	
									04/30/24 and 05/31/24: As limited project activities are still executed, risk management	
									activities should also continue to identify any risks and issues that need to be mitigated or	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	11						addressed.	

	000000		opieni	0.00							
SMENT	OBSERVATION	TYPE	ORIGINAL	CURRENT	OBSERVATION	ANALYSIS	RECOMMENDATIONS	STATUS	STATUS UPDATE		CLOSURE REASON
-	2023.02.002	Issue	N/A	Moderate	Untimely and insufficient completion of	Alta was contracted to provide various project, oversight, risk, and quality	2023.02.002.R1 – Clarify roles and expectations of DCCA PM	Open	Refer to prior Monthly IV&V Reports for status updates before May 2023.	CLOSED DATE	CLOSURE REASON
s					project management responsibilities may	management services to DCCA. Aalta's deliverables were defined; however,	Clarify and prioritize purpose and expectations of project manager contracted				
					impact effective project execution.	many key deliverables are still pending including the criticality and risk	services in light of project risks and lessons learned		05/31/23 and 06/30/23: Aalta's progress on outstanding responsibilities is still unclear.		
						assessment (CARA) report, interim User Acceptance Testing (UAT) Plan,			More active project management support is needed to optimize project resources, reduce		
						project success metrics, and performance work statement (PWS) dashboard.	2023.02.002.R2 – Develop a project schedule to manage Aalta tasks and		project stress for employees, communicate expectations, and improve resource planning		
						Although some preliminary drafts and demos have been provided, additional	deliverables		for project activities. A formalized approach and process for adequately reviewing and		
						information is needed on how to implement those plans and processes to successfully execute upcoming project activities.	• Provide the appropriate detail of tasks, durations, due dates, milestones, and deliverables.		approving project deliverables such as design documentation is also needed (2023.05.001).		
							Consider either developing a separate schedule or incorporating into Pacxa's		07/31/23 and 08/31/23: Aalta's new project manager is getting up to speed on the project		
						Possible root causes or contributing factors are an aggressive project pace, the	project schedule.		and helping to coordinate the results of the Discovery Analysis and next steps. The Project		
						turnover and adequacy of project management resources, and project			PM's need to work together to address systemic project challenges such as ongoing		
						complexity. The Aalta Project Manager is collaborative and a team player; however, may not have adequate time to perform all of the required project			project delays, resource management, and the need for more formalized processes.		
	_	<b>x</b> -				management tasks. DCCA and Aalta will need to work together to establish			12/31/23: Accuity decreased the severity rating from Level 1 (High) to Level 3 (Low) with		
						appropriate project management processes and clarify the priority of project			the restart of the project. Although project deliverables and activities appear to be		
						management deliverables and activities.			delayed in the first six weeks, Aalta's role in supporting project oversight and execution is unknown.		
									01/31/24: Aalta is meeting regularly with the project sponsor and Pacxa PM; however,		
									IV&V has limited visibility into those interactions. As some deliverables need improvement or appear identical to the ones submitted in the original project phase, DCCA and Aalta		
									should carefully review them to avoid previous project issues. Aalta did not complete		
									formal deliverable review checklists on submitted deliverables. Review of Pacxa		
									deliverables using the comment log was not provided timely, and the deliverable review		
									process is being reevaluated by DCCA and Aalta.		
									02/29/24: Accuity increased the severity rating from Level 3 (Low) to Level 2 (Moderate).		
									The role of the PM is to actively identify and address risks before they become issues.		
									03/31/24: The PMs are working closer together to address concerns; however, DCCA's PM		
									should take a more proactive approach to ensuring the project is back on track even before		
								the revised schedule is approved. Laying the groundwork now will allow the project to			
									recover more quickly once the path forward is determined.		
									04/20/24: Acquity converted this from a risk to an issue, and increased the acycrity rating		
									04/30/24: Accuity converted this from a risk to an issue, and increased the severity rating from Level 2 (Moderate) to Level 1 (High). More rigor on project management activities is		
									critical during this time in reviewing the change request, projected revised timeline, and		
									requirements traceability especially as Sprints 7 and 8 conclude.		
									05/31/24: The resources and activities dedicated to project management were limited		
									leading to the suspension of critical project management tasks. Refer to observation		
									2024.05.001 related to the suspension of project management and governance activities		
									while technical activities continue.		
									IV&V will continue to review project management processes and the rigor with which		
								- 19	project deliverables are reviewed.		
S	2022.08.006	Risk	Low	Moderate		Project goals were drafted; however, quantitative success metrics were not ye		Open	Refer to prior Monthly IV&V Reports for status updates before February 2023.		
					project success.	f defined. Clear and measurable success metrics ensure that everyone is working to the same definition of success, that progress can be monitored, and	2024.01.002.		02/28/23: Accuity increased the severity rating from Level 3 (Low) to Level 2 (Moderate).		
						corrective actions can be taken if necessary.	2022.08.006.R1 – Formalize measurable goals and success metrics.		Project success metrics are delayed and may lead to differences in the interpretation of		
							• Consider financial, nonfinancial, tangible, and intangible metrics such as operational key performance indicators (KPIs), customer or employee satisfaction,		project success. The DCCA PM plans to finalize the metrics in March 2023.		
							user adoption, return on investment, or cycle or processing times.		03/31/23: Project success metrics are still not defined and an updated target date is not		
							Consider benefits realization management objectives as well as alignment to     BREG goals.		available.		
									04/30/23: Project success metrics are under development and expected in May 2023.		
							<ul> <li>2022.08.006.R2 – Collect baseline data and monitor progress.</li> <li>Consider methods for collecting data such as process mining, surveys, queries,</li> </ul>		05/31/23: Draft project success metrics were provided in May and are being updated to		
			1				observation, or open forums.		reflect comments received. A target date for finalization is unknown.		
							Consider sources of data such as legacy systems, operations, and internal and				
							external stakeholders.		06/30/23, 07/31/23, and 08/31/23: Project success metrics are overdue and still pending		
									finalization.		
									12/31/23: Accuity decreased the severity rating from Level 2 (Moderate) to Level 3 (Low)		
									with the project restart. IV&V reviewed this finding with both PMs in December 2023, emphasizing the importance to define project success metrics considering lessons learned		
									from the past efforts. The project did define critical success factors as part of the Project		
		1							Restart Kick-off meeting, and should now develop specific metrics that will be used to		

EA	OBSERVATION ID TYPE	ORIGINAL SEVERITY	CURRENT SEVERITY	OBSERVATION	ANALYSIS	RECOMMENDATIONS	STATUS	STATUS UPDATE	CLOSED DATE CLOSURE REASO
								measure project success and to monitor how well the project is meeting its goals and objectives.	
								01/31/24 and 02/29/24: Accuity reviewed the Project Management Plan DED and	
								commented on the need for project success metrics.	
								03/31/24: The development of project success metrics is being tracked as an action item for the project managers.	
								04/30/24 and 05/31/24: The development of project success metrics is on pause and	
								would be important to establish to ensure the project team holds the same definition of	
								future success.	
				1				IV&V will review the development and communication of success metrics in the upcoming	
								months.	
								6/30/2024: The project restarted in June 2024. Regularly scheduled project meetings	
								provides updates on key development metrics. The severity level has been reduced as a	
cess	2023.08.001 Risk	High	High			2024.06.001- Review the quality deliverables and recommendations provided	Open	12/31/23: Closed due to the restart of the project. Accuity will reassess quality	
						below.		management process and practices when applicable.	
				the solution.	requirements and customer needs. A number of project deliverables were provided; however, more rigor is needed to ensure stronger execution of	2023.08.001.R1 – Improve the execution of the project's quality plans.		03/31/24: Reopened as the project has completed five sprints; however, has not	
					quality activities:	<ul> <li>Clarify project team's quality roles and responsibilities and assign specific qualit</li> </ul>	tv	developed a Quality Management Plan or started reporting on key metrics such as quality	
					Quality Management Plan: Pacxa developed a quality plan in December	tasks.		assurance testing metrics.	
					2022 describing the approach, processes, and controls put in place to ensure	Increase the frequency of quality reports to monitor adherence to quality			
					the BRM project objectives are met and expected results are achieved.	standards.		04/30/24: Detailed quality metrics, including testing metrics for sprint development, are	
					• Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan: Aalta provided their quality plan in December 2022 with guidelines DCCA will use in evaluating the technical	<ul> <li>If quality standards are not being met, document the gap, the quality improvements that need to be made, and take corrective action.</li> </ul>		overdue. Sprint 7 development work was completed and Sprint 8 is in progress.	
					performance of the system integrator. As the DCCA project manager, it			05/31/24: While the project is on partial pause, quality metrics are not being reported to	
					outlines its quality responsibilities as overseeing the quality aspects of the	2023.08.001.R2 – Conduct periodic technical reviews to increase visibility of		ensure proper oversight. While technical activities continue, the analysis of metrics help	
					project, servicing as the technical liaison, and being responsible for the final inspection and acceptance of all reports.	<ul><li>development best practices.</li><li>Consider discussing development practices updates and key development</li></ul>		ensure the quality of processes and the overall solution.	
						metrics (e.g., % of configuration using out-of-the-box features; % of code		IV&V will review the development and communication of quality metrics.	
					once in May 2023 with plans to update it with results form the Client Interim	customized, % of apex code considered to be high complexity, etc.) at technical			
					Review.	reviews.		6/30/24: The project restarted in June 2024. Based on the June 26, 2024 project status	
						Consider including technical SMEs from all stakeholder groups for meaningful		report, the quality management plan DEL-10 and quality management reports DEL-11 will	
					in the project, including project managers, team members, vendors, and users.	review and teedback.		be resubmitted for approval on July 12, 2024. Furthermore, story points that are being	
					All stakeholders must work together to establish clear quality objectives, define the quality standards, and implement quality control processes. Roles			reviewed by QA staff are being reported. The backlog that are awaiting QA review is also being reported. Additional QA resources have been added along with daily monitoring.	
					and responsibilities should be clearly communicated and reinforced so			being reported. Additional warresources have been added along with daily monitoring.	
					stakeholders know their role in executing plans and utilizing quality metrics				
					effectively. Despite the delivered quality plans and reports, the project still				
					displayed signs that the execution of quality activities could be improved.				

ASSESSMENT AREA	OBSERVATION	TYPE	ORIGINAL SEVERITY	CURRENT SEVERITY	OBSERVATION	ANALYSIS	RECOMMENDATIONS	STATUS	STATUS UPDATE	CLOSED DATE	CLOSURE REASON
						<ul> <li>Some examples include:</li> <li>The project does not have a clear requirements management process in place and did not identify noncompliance with the FedRAMP-certified environment requirement until March 2023.</li> <li>Based on the results of an independent Salesforce Health Check, a substantial quantity of code with high complexity was identified, along with opportunities to enhance coding practices.</li> <li>The Client Interim Review resulted in over 40 defects and 105</li> </ul>					
Process	2023.12.001	Prelim	N/A	N/A	Slow project restart may impact the timeliness of project activities and deliverables	<ul> <li>Not having any formal team meetings for the first seven weeks of a project may make it difficult to meet an ambitious timeline. As Sprint 1 is scheduled to begin January 2, 2024 and be completed by January 22, 2024, it is unclear if the current timeline is realistic or achievable. Sprint 1's prioritized backlog of user stories or features that will be worked on is unknown.</li> <li>Possible root causes or contributing factors is a new Pacxa project manager, availability of resources during the holidays, delayed access to the Salesforce sandbox environment, and need for additional project management support. DCCA and Pacxa's project managers are working closely to discuss project</li> </ul>		Closed	01/31/24: Closed as formal weekly project meetings started in January. Risks and recommendations regarding schedule management practices were incorporated into 2024.01.001.		Closed as project activities started January. The need for more rigoro schedule management practices w incorporated into 2024.01.001.

SESSMENT EA	OBSERVATION	TYPE	ORIGINAL SEVERITY	CURRENT SEVERITY	OBSERVATION	ANALYSIS	RECOMMENDATIONS	STATUS	STATUS UPDATE	CLOSED DATE	CLOSURE REASON
chnology	2023.05.001	Risk	High	High	-			Closed	06/30/23: DCCA completed thorough reviews of JAD 13 and 14 design documents timely.	12/31/23	Closed due to the restart of the
							resources to revisit and review previously approved design deliverables from JAD		The Pacxa functional team will conduct additional design sessions to address the design		project with a new project team
								1	gaps discovered in the previously approved design documentation.		processes.
					solution.		Clarify and prioritize purpose, responsibilities, and expectations of project				
						clarification and information:	members in light of resource constraints.		07/31/23: DCCA reviewed JAD 15 and 16 design documentation timely. For JAD 1 to JAD		
						• 67 Enhancements: The item is not working per the approved design	Consider sharing the workload with more DCCA project team members to assist		12, Pacxa plans to update the previously approved design documents based on		
						specification, or there are small changes needed to correct the item.	with the workload and who will be involved in future CIR and user acceptance		information gathered during the CIR triage sessions and send them to DCCA for review and		
						• 47 Worked as Designed: The items worked as intended.	testing.		approval.		
							Aalta conduct an independent review of deliverables based on best practices				
						, .	and JAD sessions, support DCCA's review process, and consider holding sessions		08/31/23: All project resources are on hold, including updating and reviewing design		
		··· ·		with key SMEs to walkthrough/discuss design documentation.	1	documents.					
						• 19 Triage in process: Findings are being discussed and triaged by the DCCA					
		observed a gap bet according to approv	and Pacxa.	2023.05.001.R2 – Develop a process for reviewing design documentation for		12/31/23: Closed due to the restart of the project. Accuity will reassess this process of					
				current and future JAD sessions.		design documentation review when applicable.					
			During these meetings to triage and understand the CIR findings, IV&V	Consider sharing the workload with more DCCA project team members to assist							
				with the workload and who will be involved in future CIR and user acceptance							
			according to approved design documentation, and how DCCA expected the	testing.							
							DCCA and Pacxa continue to work collaboratively during JAD sessions to				
							actively give feedback, call out areas of complexity, and clearly work through				
						design documentation and what DCCA needed to review as part of their	business scenarios.				
						quality review and acceptance process of design documentation. It was	Aalta should conduct an independent review of deliverables and continuously				
							look for ways to facilitate and improve the deliverable review process. Aalta's	16			
						actively coordinated with key DCCA SMES and Aalta to ensure that accepted					
						deliverables were adequately reviewed by the business to accurately capture					
				5		business requirements. The insufficient review and unclear review process of	other best practices.				
						design documentation may lead to inaccurate development impacting the					
						quality of the solution. Packa extended the time period for DCCA to review					
						and approve JAD 13 and 14 design documents.					
		Furthermore, Aalta was contracted to provide various project over	Furthermore, Aalta was contracted to provide various project oversight								
			services including requirements management and review of project								
						deliverables. More rigor reviewing project deliverables and a formalized					
						requirements management process is needed for the review of design					
						documentation (2023.02.002, 2022.09.001, and 2023.01.001).					
						Aalta has been forwarding DCCA's approval of the design documentation;					
				1		however, was not following their own process of reviewing deliverables and					

SSESSMENT OBSERV/ REA ID				CURRENT SEVERITY	OBSERVATION	ANALYSIS	RECOMMENDATIONS	STATUS	STATUS UPDATE	CLOSED DATE	CLOSURE REASON
chnology 2023.03.	.03.001 Is	SSUE	High	High	Uncertainty regarding the solution capabilities, architecture, and design could lead to corrective actions and impact project planning, costs, and schedule.	<ul> <li>beginning of the project, and the impacts of the outstanding technical questions is resulting in lack of confidence that the stakeholders have a full understanding of the systems, requirements, and integrations.</li> <li>A requirement of the solution was that the solution be hosted by a "FedRAMP Certified" Cloud Service Provider. Pacxa is in discussions with Clariti as they are not confident that the right licenses were procured.</li> <li>DocuSign does not support all the required, critical features required by DCCA and will require implementation of other tools or other workaround solutions.</li> <li>Pacxa identified the need for more data storage in Salesforce to continue with their migration activities.</li> <li>There is no documentation available for the validation and logic embedded within DCCA's current Kofax scanners, so Pacxa must reverse engineer and confirm user requirements.</li> <li>The Architecture and Technical Design deliverable is not scheduled to be delivered until November 2023, which is only one month prior to the planned Go-live date of December 2023. In light of recent concerns, DCCA has requested that this deliverable be provided earlier; however, a completion date is still pending.</li> <li>It is crucial for DCCA and Pacxa to work closely together on an overall solution. The project schedule will need to reflect the path forward and any</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2023.03.001.R1 – Strategic choices regarding system architecture and design should be revisited.</li> <li>Perform a thorough review and tracking of technical requirements to identify all major gaps. Assign risk/criticality ratings for each identified gap.</li> <li>Evaluate how each option addresses all major gaps.</li> <li>Consider impacts to current phase as well as total solution/project; short-term costs and total cost of ownership (TCO); and impacts to the implementation plan and users.</li> <li>2023.03.001.R2 – Review and agree on solution architecture and design.</li> <li>Conduct a thorough review of the Architecture and Technical Design to ensure mutual understanding.</li> <li>2023.03.001.R3 – Formally document the technology decisions and follow the project's established change management process.</li> <li>Formally document the decision. Consider using Aalta's proposed Decision Analysis and Resolution (DAR) Process to analyze and document the pending technology options.</li> <li>Follow the project's approved Change Management Plan to fully assess, document, and approve the change.</li> <li>Document plans to address and mitigate the known impacts, gaps, and risks with the selected option.</li> <li>2023.03.001.R4 - Conduct a lessons learned meeting to identify areas for improvement and avoid past project challenges.</li> </ul>	Closed	<ul> <li>04/30/23: DCCA and Pacxa are reviewing different options for the overall solution architecture and design, including options to meet the FedRAMP cloud requirement. The decision is expected in May. We added two additional recommendations, 2023.03.001.R3 and 2023.03.001.R4, to document the technology decisions, follow the project's established change management process, and conduct a lessons learned meeting.</li> <li>05/31/23: Pacxa completed 60% of their Discovery session to determine the impacts of a single-org Salesforce architecture and other technical decisions on the timeline, costs, resources, and other project activities. The Discovery session is delayed as Pacxa waits for additional administrative access and separate environment to complete the remaining analysis. Pacxa needs to complete their Discovery session as part of the change management process. Aalta requested that key DCCA members document their evaluation of the technology options using the Decision Analysis and Resolution (DAR) Process and this is targeted for completion in June.</li> <li>06/30/23: There is still no decision on the new solution architecture and design. The discovery of the Salesforce application in DCCA's main organization was completed. In July, the Discovery analysis of the remaining application's code will be completed resulting in a report of findings, solution options, and estimated costs in order for DCCA leaders to make an informed decision.</li> <li>07/31/23: The Discovery Assessment Report outlining the impacts of moving the current implementation to the DCCA Main Salesforce Org was completed; however, additional information and the final decision are still pending.</li> <li>08/31/23: Due to the full pause placed on all project activities this month by the DCCA executive sponsor, Accuity changed this observation type from a Risk to an Issue as the project costs and schedule are impacted.</li> <li>12/31/23: Closed due to the restart of the project with a new project development team,</li> </ul>	12/31/23	Closed due to the restart of the project with a new project team ar processes.

SSMENT	OBSERVATION	TYPE	ORIGINAL		OBSERVATION	ANALYSIS	RECOMMENDATIONS	STATUS	STATUS UPDATE		E CLOSURE REASON
SS	2023.01.001	Risk	N/A	High	The DCCA PM's delay in developing	This was originally reported in the January 2023 IV&V Monthly Report as a	2023.01.001.R1 – DCCA PM to formalize and communicate a clear process to	Closed	02/28/23: This was originally reported in the January 2023 IV&V Monthly Report as a	12/31/23	Closed due to the restart of the
					processes to trace, test, and approve	preliminary concern but is upgraded to a risk in this report. Since our initial	review and accept project requirements and deliverables.		preliminary concern but is upgraded to a risk in the February 2023 report.	1.2.0	project with a new project team a
					requirements may impact the ability to	preliminary observation, Pacxa provided access to the Azure DevOps (ADO)	Define roles and responsibilities of project team members to eliminate				processes.
				f i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	ensure the overall BRM solution fulfills all		duplication of efforts or process gaps.		03/31/23: Aalta provided a high-level walkthrough of the Smartsheets		
					requirements and expectations.	early March 2023. The tool includes acceptance criteria, test cases, defect	• Streamline the use of tools and clearly define the steps to ensure requirements		tool showing how Pacxa's contract requirements will be tracked. The Smartsheets tool is		
					i i	tracking, and reporting and dashboard capabilities.	satisfaction.		still being refined and access is still pending. Furthermore, a process for traceability and		
							Communicate DCCA PM and SME roles and responsibilities for reviewing the		validation against the requirements traceability matrix (RTM) is also being developed. For		
						Per contract requirements, the Aalta PM is responsible for working with DCCA	fulfillment of requirements after JAD Sessions and Sprint Demonstrations.		deliverable tracking, Deliverable Review Checklists are still pending for Pacxa deliverables.		
						to develop objective and measurable standards that are traceable to the					
						objectives of the system integrator (SI) contract and reconcile the gap on an	2023.01.001.R2 – Develop clear traceability and understanding of all contract		04/30/23: Accuity increased the severity rating from Level 2 (Moderate) to Level 1 (High).		
						ongoing basis. Aalta is developing a requirements dashboard using	requirements.		As the FedRAMP cloud requirement gap should have been caught earlier, the importance		
						Smartsheets for tracking Pacxa's contract requirements. Although the project	The DCCA and Aalta PMs should reference and track all contractual		of timely and active tracing of requirements is an important lesson learned for this project.		
_						kicked-off Development Sprint 3, the Smartsheets tool is still incomplete, has	requirements and vendor responsibilities contained within the Request for		The process to trace, test, and approve requirements is still pending.		
						not been put into use, and DCCA's processes to trace, test, and approve	Proposal, RTM, proposals, best and final offer documents, and contracts.				
						requirements are still not defined.			05/31/23: IV&V and the project managers brainstormed ways to trace, test, and approve		
									requirements. A formalized requirements management process is still urgently needed.		
						Furthermore, as there are currently four separate tools with various project					
						requirements, clarifying who is cross-referencing the requirements, contract			06/30/23, 07/31/23, and 8/31/23: Pacxa and DCCA worked together to develop an		
						deliverables, and project objectives is paramount to ensuring there is no			approach to tracking DCCA's solution requirements in the ADO tool. DCCA's PM now		
						duplication of efforts or gaps in the process.			needs to formalize and communicate a clear process to review and accept project		
						1) Smartsheets Tool: Aalta loaded Pacxa's contract requirements into			requirements.		
						Smartsheets. It has contract requirements, but does not include all		10			
_						functional/technical requirements or project deliverables.			12/31/23: Closed due to the restart of the project. Accuity will reassess requirements		
						2) ADO Tool: Pacxa uses this tool to track their development work including			management processes when applicable.		
						user stories, bugs, features, test cases, and defects.					
						3) Requirements Traceability Matrix (RTM): The RTM maps the projects					
						functional and technical requirements to each epic and feature in the ADO					
						Tool. The one-to-many mapping of requirements to user stories may increase					
						the complexity of testing, approving, and validating requirements.					
						4) Microsoft Project Schedule Tool: Pacxa's document deliverables are being					
			traced in both the Smartsheets tool and Microsoft Project Schedule. A								
				deliverables are not being tracked in any tool.							
						The project has completed 8 of 19 planned JAD sessions. After each JAD					
						session, Pacxa provides design documents with process flow diagrams, use					
						cases, use case diagrams, and other information for the SI to build and test the					
						solution. It is unclear if these documents are being thoroughly reviewed by					
						DCCA and cross-checked against the contractual documents and the RTM to					

SSESSMENT OBSERVAT			ORIGINAL	CURRENT				1		1	
	-	YPE	SEVERITY	SEVERITY	OBSERVATION	ANALYSIS	RECOMMENDATIONS	STATUS	STATUS UPDATE	CLOSED DATE	CLOSURE REASON
hnology 2023.01.00			-	Moderate	Multiple outstanding data conversion items are preventing the timely execution of data activities which may have impacts on the project schedule.	There are multiple phases and iterations of Data and Document Conversion		Closed	<ul> <li>02/28/23: Some data conversion activities are progressing; however, there are continued delays due to the reliance on third-party vendors and other pending decisions.</li> <li>03/31/23: Progress continues to be made for the various data conversion phases; however, they are behind schedule. The largest risk remains with the RDPMS to DocuSign Conversion. There is a currently a DocuSign limitation with the bulk import process, and alternative bulk upload approaches are being investigated and developed. Pacxa needs to develop an approach to test that all documents are uploading to DocuSign in the correct folder with the proper metadata. An approach to address how previously scanned documents can be converted to consumable format for the new Clariti solution is still pending.</li> <li>04/30/23, 05/31/23, 06/30/23, and 07/31/23: Limited progress continues to be made for the various data conversion phases. Some phases and activities are dependent on storage and other technical decisions.</li> <li>08/31/23: The DCCA BRM Project Sponsor officially put the project on hold on August 17, 2023, suspending all project meetings and activities, including data conversion.</li> </ul>	4-2-	Closed due to the restart of the project with a new project team technology solution.
ocess 2022.09.00	.001 Ri	lisk	Low	High	Current project delays may impact the overall project timeline.	Status and steps to address the open data conversion issues are tracked in the The Planning and Discovery stages were expected to be completed in early October 2022 but are estimated to be two weeks behind schedule. The	2022.09.001.R1 – Improve schedule management processes. • Identify and address the root causes of the delays.	Closed	12/31/23: Closed due to the restart of the project. Accuity will reassess data conversion processes and activities when applicable.         Refer to prior Monthly IV&V Reports for status updates before December 2022.	12/31/23	Closed due to the restart of the project with a new project team
						detailed project schedule is a deliverable of the Planning stage and the	Implement processes to monitor and report task delays.     Consider using project performance metrics to better detect schedule trends and		<ul> <li>12/31/22: The baseline project schedule was approved; however, there are some delayed tasks. Additional improvements are needed to more closely monitor the schedule and project progress.</li> <li>01/31/23: There are some delayed technology activities that may impact future JAD sessions and the overall timeline if not addressed in the upcoming weeks. These delays are being tracked on the RAID Log.</li> <li>02/28/23 and 03/31/23: Accuity increased the severity rating from Level 2 (Moderate) to Level 1 (High). It is unclear if ongoing schedule delays will impact the overall timeline. Additionally, key pending decisions and technical issues could significantly impact the ability to meet the aggressive December 2023 Go-Live date.</li> <li>04/30/23, 05/31/23, 06/30/23, and 07/31/23: The change in architecture and design will push back the December 2023 Go-Live date. The project schedule will be re-baselined upon making key technical decisions. Some project deliverables and activities are on hold, delayed, or will need to be updated based on the technology direction selected.</li> <li>08/31/23: Due to the full pause and time taken to complete a comprehensive review, the project costs and schedule will be impacted.</li> <li>12/31/23: Closed due to the restart of the project. Accuity will reassess schedule</li> </ul>		processes.

SESSMENT	OBSERVATION		ORIGINAL	CURRENT							1.
EA		TYPE	SEVERITY	SEVERITY	OBSERVATION	ANALYSIS		STATUS		CLOSED DATE	CLOSURE REASON
ople	2022.08.002	Risk	Low	Moderate	Insufficient DCCA project resources may	· · · ·	2022.08.002.R1 – Evaluate project resource needs and acquire additional	Closed	Refer to prior Monthly IV&V Reports for status updates before December 2022.	12/31/23	Closed due to the restart of the
							resources.				project with a new project team a
							Estimate resource time requirements and identify required knowledge or	1.	12/31/22: Project team members are generally able to keep up with current project		schedule.
						, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	skillsets.		activities. Additional project activities involving DCCA team members will begin to run in		
						resource in the Project Manager role has been shown to increase project	• Develop a plan to minimize the impact to operations (e.g., backfill, reassign work)		parallel with development Sprint 1 in January 2023.		
							so that assigned project resources are not pulled back from project work.				
						job duties. DCCA also appointed resources for the OCM and communications	-		01/31/23: Project managers are working closely together and developed an approach to		
							perform project work.		proactively communicate project activities and better facilitate the coordination of DCCA		
						The new DCCA Project Manager is working to identify the additional DCCA			project resources. With growing technology delays, it is important to clarify technical lead		
							2022.08.002.R2 – Provide adequate training and support to assigned resources to		roles to ensure tasks are assigned and completed timely (See 2023.01.002).		
							be able to perform role.	1			
						candidates within DCCA to fill these lead roles. A common issue in SOH	Consider performing general project management training so that resources		02/28/23: Progress continues to be made in many project workstreams, but stronger task		
						modernization projects is that assigned resources must often balance competing priorities of project work and ongoing operational work.	understand general project processes and the purpose of project activities. <ul> <li>Consider providing additional support and information to resources regarding</li> </ul>		management, communication, and coordination of resources may help facilitate the completion of action items and ongoing delays.		
							best practices and common approaches for assigned tasks or areas of				
							responsibility.		03/31/23: Accuity increased the severity rating from Level 3 (Low) to Level 2 (Moderate).		
						plan to backfill and train DCCA resources is developed to prevent project	i caporializinty.		The Client Interim Review and JAD 13 sessions are going to occur simultaneously in May.		
						delays.	2022.08.002.R3 - Develop a plan and processes to optimize utilization of DCCA		IV&V has raised the concern regarding resource constraints during this period, but the PMs		
							project resources.		feel confident that the DCCA resources will be adequate to perform these tasks at the		
							• Ensure that only the necessary resources are attending each of the various Build		same time. A schedule and resource plan for the Client Interim Review including assigned		
							and Validation stage sessions.		test scripts is still pending.		
							Plan out resources assigned to the various sessions that will be running in parallel				
							to ensure there will be adequate resources and that resources are not		04/30/23: Proper resource management planning is still a need. The impact of the		
							overbooked.		pending technical decisions on resources should be carefully assessed, including the need		
									for a revised resource management plan.		
									05/31/23: Accuity increased the severity rating from Level 2 (Moderate) to Level 1 (High).		
									With the need to revisit and review JAD 1 to JAD 12 design documents, and stay current		
									with reviewing current JAD sessions, a clearly defined process and reasonable schedule is		
									needed to support project staff given their heavy workloads (2023.05.001).		
									06/30/23: DCCA project members actively participated in Joint Application Design (JAD)		
									sessions, completed a detailed review of JAD 13 and 14 design documents, and met their		
									deadline to update 60 new business registration forms. Despite heavy workloads, DCCA		
									employees are committed and continue to meet target deadlines. To ensure that this level		
									of project effort is sustainable into the future, the revised project schedule should carefully		
									evaluate project resource needs and develop a realistic plan to ensure resources are not		
									overbooked.		
									07/31/23: Accuity decreased the severity rating from Level 1 (High) to Level 2 (Moderate).		
									Despite heavy workloads, DCCA employees are committed and reviewed Joint Application		
									Design (JAD) 15 and 16 design documentation timely		
									08/31/23: Due to the full project pause, all project resources are currently on hold, except		
								6	key project leaders who continue to work through the technical decisions.		

ASSESSMENT	OBSERVATION		ORIGINAL	CURRENT							
REA	2	TYPE	SEVERITY	SEVERITY	OBSERVATION	ANALYSIS		STATUS	STATUS UPDATE		E CLOSURE REASON
ocess	2022.11.001 F	Risk	Moderate	Moderate		services for the BRM Project. Aalta's proposal provides the details of their approach for performing the high-level scope of work outlined in their contract. The following is a summary of IV&V observations regarding the Aalta contract: •A number of reports, activities, and work products were discussed in Aalta's	<ul> <li>Discuss the reports and work products from Aalta's proposal and classify as either</li> <li>1) contract deliverables or 2) activities that Aalta only assists the project with.</li> <li>For each contract deliverable, clarify purpose, content, and expectations.</li> <li>For activities that Aalta only assists with, clearly outline the respective roles and responsibilities of each party.</li> <li>Consider whether contract deliverables and activities still make sense for the areas of overlapping scope of work.</li> <li>2022.11.001.R2 – Provide schedule information for Aalta tasks.</li> <li>Provide the appropriate detail of tasks, durations, due dates, milestones, and deliverables.</li> </ul>	Closed	<ul> <li>12/31/22: Accuity decreased the severity rating from Level 2 (Moderate) to Level 3 (Low) as Aalta clarified and delivered some of their contract deliverables including monthly reports, project management plan, and quality assurance surveillance plan. Additional clarification of Aalta's contract requirements and deliverables is needed.</li> <li>01/31/23: Aalta confirmed their contract deliverables. A timeline and schedule for open deliverables is still pending.</li> <li>02/28/23: Accuity increased the severity rating from Level 3 (Low) to Moderate as many key PM deliverables are still pending including the criticality and risk assessment (CARA) report, interim User Acceptance Testing (UAT) Plan, project success metrics, and performance work statement (PWS) dashboard. Although some preliminary drafts and demos have been provided, additional information is needed on how to implement those plans and processes to successfully execute upcoming project activities (see preliminary concern 2023.02.002).</li> <li>03/31/23: Although the UAT Plan is still high level, Aalta held several meetings to walk through UAT responsibilities and processes. A high-level walkthrough of the PWS dashboard was provided showing how Pacxa's contract requirements will be tracked.</li> </ul>		Closed as Aalta's project deliverab were defined. The recommendation to provide schedule information for Aalta's tas was incorporated into observation 2023.02.002.
pople	2022.08.003 F	Risk	Low	Low	A delay in formalizing the executive steering committee may limit the strategic guidance and support to the project.	A clear understanding of the Aalta's scope of work, approach, and timeline is The Pacxa kickoff presentation noted that a governance model will be developed. The topic of a steering committee was also raised during meetings. However, the selection of the steering committee members and kickoff of the committee meetings are still pending.	2022.08.003.R1 – Assemble and formalize an executive steering committee. • The size and selection of committee members should balance the representation of key stakeholders with the need for efficient decision making. • Formalize the committee mission, responsibilities, and the types and the thresholds of decisions that need committee approval in a steering committee charter.	Closed	09/30/22: DCCA is still in the process of formalizing steering committee members and documenting the governance model.         10/31/22: The steering committee members were selected and the first meeting is expected to be scheduled in November 2022. Committee meetings should commence soon to ensure there is adequate guidance, support, and oversight of the project.         11/30/22: The project governance model was established and the first executive steering committee (ESC) meeting was held.	11/30/22	Closed as the governance model we established.
ocess	2022.08.004 F	Risk	Low	Low	A lack of cost management practices may lead to unexpected or improper costs.		<ul> <li>Develop processes to prepare cost variance analysis and reports.</li> <li>Develop processes to monitor contract deliverables against payment terms.</li> </ul>	Closed	<ul> <li>09/30/22: The contracted DCCA Project Manager will be responsible for monitoring and reporting costs for the project contracts. DCCA still needs to determine who will be responsible for managing and procuring other project costs (e.g., additional licensing, project tools).</li> <li>10/31/22: Processes for monitoring contract costs and tracking a comprehensive project budget still need to be formalized.</li> <li>11/30/22: The additional procurement for licensing was completed. Other costs related to a conversion tool for proprietary format files and the project management tool will be covered by Pacxa's contract and are not additional project costs. The contracted DCCA project manager will identify potential project costs and will be responsible for monitoring Pacxa contract deliverables for milestone payments.</li> </ul>	11/30/22	Closed as sufficient cost management processes are in place

ASSESSMENT AREA	OBSERVATION ID	TYPE	ORIGINAL SEVERITY	CURRENT SEVERITY	OBSERVATION	ANALYSIS	RECOMMENDATIONS	STATUS	STATUS UPDATE	CLOSED DATE	CLOSURE REASON
Process	2022.08.007	Risk	Prelim	Moderate	Key technical decisions are pending and may impact the project schedule and costs (Updated).	This was originally reported in the August 2022 IV&V Monthly Report as a preliminary concern but was upgraded to a risk in September 2022. There are some key technical decisions that are pending (e.g., DocuSign repository, Salesforce org, NIC). Pending decisions could impact progress for configuring the solution for the upcoming Joint Application Design (JAD) sessions in late October 2022 as well as the development of the data conversion plan. These key technical decisions need to be made in a timely manner to prevent impact to the project schedule. Further discussions are still needed to understand potential costs, project impact, and risk mitigation options. A plan of action needs to be developed and closely monitored to manage the many individual but critical tasks needed for timely resolution.	Detail out the tasks, targeted due dates, and responsible parties.	Closed	<ul> <li>09/30/22: This was originally reported in the August 2022 IV&amp;V Monthly Report as a preliminary concern but was upgraded to and rewritten as a risk this month with recommendations. The project team did discuss a couple possible mitigation strategies to minimize the potential impact to the project schedule of the pending technical decisions. However, there may be other risks that these strategies will create. While it is critical that the decisions are made in a timely manner, it is also important that these options and associated risks must be thoroughly discussed and fully understood by the Project.</li> <li>10/31/22: Progress was made on key technical decisions but final resolution is still pending.</li> <li>11/30/22: Key technical decisions were made regarding the system architecture of the DocuSign and Salesforce orgs. Decisions were also made regarding data conversion (e.g., conversion tool, NIC) allowing data conversion planning activities to move forward.</li> </ul>	11/30/22	Closed as key decisions were mad Although this risk was addressed, th execution and implementation of th decisions will continue to be monitored for impact to the project Additionally, as the speed of execution to make these decisions could be improved, we will continue to evaluate schedule management processes in observation 2022.08.002.
People	2022.08.001	Positive	N/A	N/A	The project team environment between Pacxa and DCCA is collaborative and respectful.	The project team members regularly seek feedback, input, and clarification in an open and respectful manner. The experience and knowledge of Pacxa team members combined with the dedication and high level of engagement from DCCA SMEs support the positive project team environment.	N/A	Closed	N/A	09/30/22	Closed as this is a positive observation.
Process	2022.08.005	Opportunit y	N/A	N/A	Implementation of recurring meetings help to promote frequent and focused discussions.	Recurring meetings help to promote collaboration and transparency, engage project team members, and coordinate various workstreams. They also provide regular touchpoints and communication channels to help keep critical project activities moving forward. Recurring project management meetings provide visibility of all project activities to Pacxa, DCCA, as well as IV&V. Recurring technical meetings have worked well in other projects as standing meeting to discuss different technical issues or topics. Recurring risk meetings promote regular and focused discussion of risks and mitigation strategies.	project.	Closed	09/30/22: Weekly project manager and team meetings were implemented. DCCA also plans to kickoff recurring technical meetings in October 2022. Risks will be discussed in the weekly team meetings. The need for separate risk-focused meetings will be reassessed later.	09/30/22	Closed as the Project established a plan for recurring meetings and began to implement meetings.



# Appendix D: Comment Log on Draft Report



## BRM Project: IV&V Document Comment Log



ID #	Page #	Comment	Comm enter's Organization	Accuity Resolution
1		No DCCA or Pacxa comments.		
2				
3				
4				
5				

# **ACCUITY**

FIRST HAWAIIAN CENTER Accuity LLP 999 Bishop Street Suite 2300 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

P 808.531.3400
 F 808.531.3433
 www.accuityllp.com



Accuity LLP is an independent member of Baker Tilly International. Baker Tilly International Limited is an English company. Baker Tilly International provides no professional services to clients. Each member firm is a separate and independent legal entity, and each describes itself as such. Accuity LLP is not Baker Tilly International's agent and does not have the authority to bind Baker Tilly International no ract on Baker Tilly International's behalf. None of Baker Tilly International, Accuity LLP, nor any of the other member firms of Baker Tilly International has any liability for each other's acts or omissions. The name Baker Tilly and its associated logo are used under license from Baker Tilly International Limited.

© 2024 Accuity LLP. This publication is protected under the copyright laws of the United States and other countries as an unpublished work. All rights reserved.