

JOSH GREEN, M.D. GOVERNOR | KE KIA'ĀINA

**SYLVIA LUKE**LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR | KA HOPE KIA'ĀINA

# STATE OF HAWAII | KA MOKUʻĀINA 'O HAWAIʻI OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND CONSUMER AFFAIRS KA 'OIHANA PILI KĀLEPA

NADINE Y. ANDO DIRECTOR | KA LUNA HO'OKELE

**DEAN I HAZAMA**DEPUTY DIRECTOR | KA HOPE LUNA HO'OKELE

335 MERCHANT STREET, ROOM 310 P.O. BOX 541 HONOLULU, HAWAII 96809

Phone Number: (808) 586-2850 Fax Number: (808) 586-2856 cca.hawaii.gov

### **Testimony of the Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs**

Before the
House Committee on Tourism
Tuesday, March 14, 2023
10:00 a.m.
Conference Room 423 and Videoconference

On the following measure: S.B. 798, S.D. 1, RELATING TO TIME SHARING

Chair Quinlan and Members of the Committees:

My name is Lori Beth Van Cantfort, and I am the Time Share Administrator for the Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs' (Department) Professional and Vocational Licensing Division. The Department appreciates the intent and offers comments on this bill.

S.B. 798 is the companion bill to H.B. 12, which your Committee heard previously. The purpose of this bill is to clarify that a developer is responsible for ensuring that the use of out-of-state time share units for time sharing purposes complies with zoning and land use laws and rules of the jurisdiction where the time share units are located, but is not required to submit evidence of such compliance to register in Hawaii.

For time share plans located in Hawaii, developers are required to provide a Confirmation of County Zoning Regulations form, which is signed by the county and confirms that the property is located in an area properly zoned to allow time share use.

Testimony of DCCA S.B. 798, S.D. 1 Page 2 of 2

For time share plans located outside the State, the Department currently requires other evidence that the out-of-state property is in an area properly zoned to allow time share use in the property. This bill merely requires developers to ensure that an out-of-state property is compliant with the zoning and land use laws of the jurisdiction where the property is located. Developers would not be required to provide any proof of compliance or even make a representation that this is true. The Department is concerned that, should it later be determined that the property is not allowed to be time shared, purchasers who own in that time share plan would lose their ability to use the time share, and the time share plan would no longer meet the one-to-one use-right to use-night requirement. In addition, the Department has concerns with providing Hawaii consumers adequate protections and recourse for these out-of-state time shares.

If developers are not required to provide evidence of compliance, they should be required to certify that they have reviewed the jurisdiction's zoning and land use regulations and have concluded that the out-of-state properties are in compliance with those regulations.

The Department has been working with the American Resort Development Association (ARDA), the proponents of this bill, to come to an agreement on what should be required to be submitted. The Department has reviewed ARDA's proposed S.D. 2, which would require the developer to provide a statement that they have verified that the use of the properties are compliant with the out-of-state jurisdiction's zoning and land use regulations, and the Department finds their proposal acceptable.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify on this bill.



Testimony of Lisa H. Paulson **Executive Director** Maui Hotel & Lodging Association

House Committee on Tourism Senate Bill 798 SD1: Relating To Time Sharing March 14, 2023, 10:00 am Conference Room 423

The Maui Hotel & Lodging Association (MHLA) is the legislative arm of the visitor industry for Maui County. We represent over 180 property and allied business members and 22,000 employees. MHLA supports Senate Bill 798 SD1, which clarifies that a developer is responsible for ensuring that the use of out-of-state time share units for time sharing purposes complies with zoning and land use laws and rules of the jurisdiction where the time share units are located but is not required to submit evidence of such compliance to register in Hawaii.

MHLA supports the intent of SB798 SD1 to address ongoing delays of reviews of timeshare registrations by clarifying the purpose of the already existing zoning compliance requirement. Section 514E-5, HRS currently prohibits time sharing in Hawaii areas that are not zoned for time sharing. It was adopted to protect residential neighborhoods in Hawaii from transient and timeshare use.

Section 54E-5 applies only to Hawaii property and not other jurisdictions. Section 514E-30 specifically exempts out-of-state property from the zoning compliance requirement outlined in Section 514E-5. Over time, the Department has requested governmental confirmation or legal opinions from other jurisdictions that out-of-state timeshare units comply with the applicable jurisdiction's zoning requirements to register such units here in Hawaii. This constitutionally questionable requirement has resulted in an unnecessary step, which at times is nearly impossible to comply with due to the varying zoning regulations and governmental processes of different countries. Consequently, aside from being in direct contravention of existing law, this requirement has made the registration review of time-share projects in Hawaii slower, more expensive, and in some cases, nearly impossible to achieve.

This requirement is inconsistent with the original intent of the zoning requirement and can be difficult, expensive, and nearly impossible to comply with. For example, other countries worldwide that allow time sharing may not share the same zoning principles we have here in Hawaii and the broader United States. Thus, requiring zoning confirmation, specifically from a foreign government, is a challenging requirement to fulfill and is not currently required under Hawaii law. On the other hand, under this measure, developers will be held responsible for ensuring that these requirements imposed by other jurisdictions are met.



SB 798 seeks to restate and clarify the original intent of the zoning confirmation requirement while maintaining adequate protection for purchasers. Under SB798 SD1, the use of Hawaii property for time sharing would still be subject to written confirmation by the applicable county. As drafted, the measure places responsibility and liability for non-compliance of out-of-state timeshare units squarely on timeshare developers.

For the reasons stated above, MHLA supports Senate Bill 798 SD1.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this testimony.



March 13, 2023

Rep. Sean Quinlan, Chair Rep. Natalia Hussey-Burdick, Vice Chair Members of the House Committee on Tourism Thirty-Second State Legislature Regular Session of 2023

RE: Senate Bill 798, SD1 – Relating to Time Sharing Hearing date: March 14, 2023 at 10:00 am

Aloha Chair Quinlan, Vice-Chair Hussey-Burdick and Members of the Committee,

Thank you for allowing me to submit testimony on behalf of Marriott Vacations Worldwide Corporation ("MVWC") in <u>STRONG SUPPORT</u> of SB 798, SD1 – Relating to Time Sharing. MVWC is a global leader in the timeshare industry, with five resort properties in Hawaii. Timeshare units are an important and stabilizing part of the tourism industry, and resort development and operations provide thousands of jobs in the islands year after year.

SB 798, SD1 would provide clarity on the intent of HRS §§ 514E-5 and 514E-30 for a registration in Hawaii. The intent of the zoning confirmation requirement in HRS § 514E-5 was to ensure that Hawaii timeshare properties conformed to local zoning laws. HRS § 514E-30, however, would appear to indicate that the zoning confirmation of HRS § 514E-5 does not apply to time share units located outside of Hawaii. In some instances, however, these provisions have prompted the DCCA to request zoning confirmation for out-of-state time share units. Some modern timeshare trust plans have 50 or more component sites located in a variety of states and local counties. Not all states and counties provide evidence of zoning similar to Hawaii. In addition, such zoning confirmation can cost developers as much as \$30,000 or more to obtain and does nothing to further consumer protection. For example, a resort may have a confirmation of zoning but may not be available due to a variety of other factors. Requiring zoning compliance for out of state properties has served to delay Hawaii's already long review process. A long review process impacts consumers as key updates to the disclosure statements are delayed from being provided to the consumers while the review is ongoing.

Accordingly, MVWC supports SB 798, SD1 as a way to streamline the timeshare review process while maintaining the proper level of consumer protection. Accordingly, MVWC strongly urges the committee to pass SB 798, SD1.

Mahalo for your consideration,

Robin Suarez Senior Vice President & Associate General Counsel Marriott Vacations Worldwide Corporation CHARLES E. PEAR, JR., P.A. ATTORNEY

DIRECT #S:
PHONE - (808) 223-1212
FAX - (808) 524-8293
E-MAIL - PEAR@M4LAW.COM

March 13, 2023

Representative Sean Quinlan, Chair Representative Natalia Hussey-Burdick, Vice Chair House Committee on Tourism

Re:

SB798, S.D.1 – Relating to Time Sharing Hearing on March 14, 2023, at 10:00 AM

Conference Room 423

Dear Chair, Vice-Chair, and Members of the Committee:

My name is Charles Pear. I am testifying as legislative counsel for ARDA Hawaii.

Earlier this session, several House committees heard testimony on HB84, dealing with zoning for short-term rental properties. I did not attend the oral hearings on that bill but some of the written testimony appears to be very passionate.

In some respects, this is similar to the impassioned feelings expressed when the Time Share Act was enacted in 1980. The 1980 legislators were very concerned with whether the introduction of time sharing in residential neighborhoods such as Kailua or Hawaii Kai was disruptive to the residential character of such neighborhoods.

In particular, I believe that the guidance provided by this bill will offer a mandate for the protection of residential lifestyle whether in Waikiki or Hawaii Kai. Remarks of Rep. Ikeda, House Journal P. 914-915, 1980 Legislature.

The legislature intends by this Act that the counties will be guided by the notion that time sharing and transient vacation rental should not be permitted where the lifestyles of the permanent residents will be disrupted in an unreasonable manner. \* \* \* Therefore, it is my understanding that we are voting for this measure in order to protect residents in apartment precincts such as the Waikiki Special Design District, Kahala, Lanikai and all areas where time-sharing may be attempted. Remarks of Rep. Larsen, House Journal P. 916 - 917, 1980 Legislature.

Chair, Vice-Chair, and Members of the Committee on Tourism March 13, 2023 Page 2

To address this concern, Sections 514E-4 and 5 were adopted by the legislature. Section 514E-4 requires that the counties designate areas appropriate for time sharing using their zoning authority. Section 514E-5 prohibits time sharing in areas not zoned for time sharing.

It is important to note that Sections 514E-4 and 5 address only zoning of Hawaii property. Section 514E-30 makes it clear that these sections do not apply to out-of-state property.

Even so, the DCCA is requiring time share developers to provide evidence that out-of-state time share units are in compliance with local zoning laws that regulate the use of property in their state or country. This is being done despite the fact that nothing in the statute or regulations authorizes the DCCA to impose this requirement.

Hawaii is unique in requiring this information. No other state requires it. And the Hawaii legislature has not required it.

The Hawaii Time Share Administrator asserts that zoning is basic and that it is not unreasonable to require that out-of-state properties are in compliance with applicable zoning laws.

When a time share developer represents that a unit is available for occupancy, it is implied that local zoning laws permit such use, that building permits were obtained, that construction of the unit has been completed, that the unit complies with federal and state health and safety requirements, that a certificate of occupancy has been issued, that the unit is furnished, and that water, electric and other utility services required for occupancy are in place. If any of these things are not in place, the developer would be risking liability for misrepresentation under the Act.

The point here is that while there may be many things that are relevant to determining the availability of out-of-state time share units for occupancy, nothing in our statute or regulation requires it or authorizes the DCCA to require evidence of it.

Moreover, the DCCA is unable to keep up with the things that actually are required by law. More specifically, the DCCA is simply not able to process time share developers' applications for registration in a timely fashion. As a result, time share registrations can take months, if not years, to process in Hawaii.

To satisfy the zoning request of the DCCA, the developers typically furnish a legal opinion. Legal opinions can be expensive, especially when a separate opinion may be required for each site (many plans contain dozens of sites). Each time that additional inventory is annexed in a previously approved site, a new opinion of counsel may be required for each affected site. Each such opinion must be reviewed, negotiated, and approved by the DCCA consultant reviewing the application. This further slows the already protracted registration timeline.

Chair, Vice-Chair, and Members of the Committee on Tourism March 13, 2023 Page 3

In light of the fact that (i) there is no legal basis for requiring zoning confirmation for out-of-state time share units, and (ii) the Department is unable to timely review the things that actually are required by law, ARDA respectfully requests that you pass this bill.

Very truly yours,

McCORRISTON MILLER MUKAI MacKINNON LLP

Charles E. Pear, Jr., R.A.

CEP:kn



March 13, 2023

TO: Chair Quinlan

Vice-Chair Hussey-Burdick

Members of the House Committee on

Tourism

FR: AMERICAN RESORT DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION – HAWAII (ARDA-Hawaii)

RE: SB 798 SD1 – RELATING TO TIME SHARING

Hearing date: March 14, 2023, at 10:00 AM

Aloha Chair Quinlan, Vice Chair Hussey-Burdick and members of the House Committee on Tourism,

ARDA-Hawaii is the local chapter of the trade association for the timeshare industry. There are currently 98 resorts and more than 15,000 timeshare units throughout Hawaii. ARDA-Hawaii hereby submits testimony in **STRONG SUPPORT of SB 798-** RELATING TO TIME SHARING.

The timeshare industry in Hawaii enjoys high occupancy levels (90+ percent) during good times and bad, with visitors staying for an average of 9 days in length every visit. Further, the timeshare industry employs 5,000+ hard working local employees in Hawaii and is a major economic driver contributing \$55 million in state and county taxes. Timeshare is an important and stabilizing part of the tourism industry and Hawaii's economy, supporting economic development and job creation throughout the state. Timeshare owners have made a long-term commitment to our state and are consistent and dependable visitors who bring substantial tax dollars to Hawaii and who continue to visit Hawaii even during periods of economic downturn.

SB 798 imposes an obligation on a developer to ensure out-of-state time share units comply with zoning and land use laws and rules of the jurisdiction where the time share units are located. SB798 further clarifies that a developer is not required to submit evidence of compliance with zoning requirements in other jurisdictions upon registering in Hawaii, as that is inconsistent with the express language in the statute. (See HRS Section 514E-5; 514E-30.)

ARDA Hawaii supports the intent of SB 798 to address ongoing delays of reviews of time share registrations by clarifying the intent of the already existing zoning compliance requirement. Currently, Section 514E-5, HRS prohibits time sharing in areas of Hawaii that are not zoned for

time sharing. It was adopted to protect residential neighborhoods in Hawaii from transient and time share use.

Section 54E-5 applies only to property located in Hawaii and not in other jurisdictions. In fact, Section 514E-30 specifically exempts out-of-state property from the zoning compliance requirement set forth in Section 514E-5. Over time, however, the Department has requested governmental confirmation or legal opinions from other jurisdictions that out-of-state time share units comply with the applicable jurisdiction's zoning requirements in order to register such units here in Hawaii. This requirement, which is constitutionally questionable, has resulted in an unnecessary step, which at times is nearly impossible to comply with due to the varying zoning regulations and governmental processes of different countries. Consequently, aside from being in direct contravention of existing law, this requirement has made the registration review of time share projects in Hawaii slower, more expensive, and in some cases, nearly impossible to achieve.

As indicated previously, this requirement is inconsistent with the original intent of the zoning requirement, and can be difficult, expensive, and nearly impossible to comply with. For example, other countries around the world that allow time sharing may not share the same zoning principles we have here in Hawaii and the broader United States. Thus, requiring zoning confirmation, specifically from a foreign government, is an extremely difficult requirement to fulfill and not currently required under Hawaii law. On the other hand, under this measure, developers will be held responsible to assure that these requirements imposed by other jurisdictions are met.

SB 798 seeks to restate and clarify the original intent of the zoning confirmation requirement while maintaining adequate protection for purchasers. Under SB 798, the use of Hawaii property for time sharing would still be subject to written confirmation by the applicable county. As drafted, the measure places responsibility and liability for non-compliance of out-of-state time share units squarely on time share developers.

In order to further the purpose of the measure and provide additional clarification, ARDA Hawaii respectfully recommends a few amendments in the attached proposed HD1. In sum, the proposed HD1 makes the following amendments:

- 1. Add clarifying language to the new subsection (h), regarding verification of out of state units; and
- 2. Amend language regarding compliance with or not prohibited by the zoning and land use laws and regulations of the jurisdiction where it is located.

ARDA Hawaii stands in strong support of SB 798, as it seeks to balance consumer protection with greater efficiency in registering a time share project in order to allow our industry to continue to thrive in Hawaii. ARDA Hawaii looks forward to working with all interested parties on this issue.

Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

Mitchell A. Imanaka ARDA Hawaii Chair

H.B. NO. Proposed H.D. 2

# A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO TIME SHARING.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

SECTION 1. The legislature finds that the State's time share law was adopted by the legislature in 1980. At the time, there was considerable concern over where in the State time sharing should be permitted. In particular, there was concern over whether the introduction of time sharing in residential areas such as Hawaii Kai or Kailua was disruptive to the residential character of such neighborhoods.

The legislature further finds that to address this concern, the 1980 time share law required that the counties amend their zoning ordinances to designate areas appropriate for time sharing and transient vacation rentals. The 1980 time share law also prohibited time sharing and transient vacation rentals in areas not zoned for time sharing.

The legislature additionally finds that to enforce the zoning requirements for time sharing and transient vacation rentals, the regulations adopted by the department of commerce and consumer affairs require that developers submit a written confirmation of county zoning form. This form must be signed by the county where the time share units are located and is intended to confirm that the time share plan meets statutory zoning limitations.

The legislature notes that the State's time share law was intended to address only the zoning of Hawaii property and not apply to time shares outside of the State. The 1980 time share law does not attempt to impose or enforce the zoning requirements applicable to time share units located outside the State, nor does that Act require that developers submit evidence that time share units located outside the State comply with the zoning laws where the time share units are located.

The purpose of this Act is to require that a developer's application for registration or application for annexation include a list of all out-of-state time share units included in the application. The application must also include a statement by the developer stating that the developer has verified that the use of those units for time sharing purposes in the manner contemplated by the developer's time share plan complies with the zoning and land use laws and rules of the jurisdiction where

the units are located. In jurisdictions that do not specifically regulate such time sharing use, the developer's verification must state that use of the out-of-state units for time sharing purposes in the manner contemplated by the developer's time share plan is not prohibited by the zoning and land use laws and regulations of the jurisdiction where the units are located. This Act also establishes that it is not necessary for the developer to submit additional evidence of such compliance as part of the registration process.

SECTION 2. Section 514E-10, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is amended to read as follows:

"\$514E-10 Registration required; developer, acquisition agent, plan manager, and exchange agent; registration renewal. (a) A developer shall not offer or dispose of a time share unit or a time share interest unless the disclosure statement required by section 514E-9 is filed with the director pursuant to the time specified in this chapter, or the development is exempt from filing, and the time share plan to be offered by the developer is accepted by the director for registration under this chapter. The director shall not accept a developer's time share plan if the developer does not possess a history of honesty, truthfulness, financial integrity, and fair dealing.

- An acquisition agent (including the developer if it is (b) also the acquisition agent) shall register under this chapter by filing with the director a statement setting forth the time sharing plan for which it is providing prospective purchasers, its address, the telephone number, other information required by the director as provided by rules adopted pursuant to chapter 91, and, if the acquisition agent is not a natural person, the name of the responsible managing employee; provided that an acquisition agent licensed under chapter 467 as a real estate broker shall not be required to register under this chapter. All acquisition agents not licensed under chapter 467 shall be approved by the director. The director shall not approve any acquisition agent who is not of good character and who does not possess a reputation for honesty, truthfulness, and fair dealing. The acquisition agent shall furnish evidence that the acquisition agent is bonded as required by rules adopted by the director pursuant to chapter 91 to cover any violation by the acquisition agent of any solicitation ordinance or other regulation governing the use of the premise or premises in which the time share plan is promoted; provided that the acquisition agent shall be separately bonded for each time share plan for which it is providing prospective purchases.
- (c) A plan manager (including the developer if it is also the plan manager) shall register under this chapter by filing

with the director a statement setting forth the time sharing plan that it is managing, its principal office address, telephone number, and responsible managing employee. The plan manager shall furnish evidence that the plan manager is bonded as required by rules adopted by the director pursuant to chapter 91 to cover any default of the plan manager and any of its employees of their duties and responsibilities; provided that the plan manager shall be separately bonded for each time share plan under the management of the plan manager.

- (d) An exchange agent (including the developer if it is also an exchange agent) shall register under this chapter by filing with the director a statement setting forth the time sharing plan for which it is offering exchange services, its principal office address and telephone number, and designate its responsible managing employee.
- (e) Any plan manager or developer registration required in this section shall be renewed by December 31 of each even-numbered year, and any acquisition agent or exchange agent registration required in this section shall be renewed on December 31 of each odd-numbered year; provided that this subsection shall not relieve the person required to register from the obligation to notify the director promptly of any material change in any information submitted to the director, nor shall it relieve the developer of its obligation to promptly

file amendments or supplements to the disclosure statement, and to promptly supply the amendments or supplements to purchasers of time share interests.

- (f) An application for renewal of a developer registration shall be on a form prescribed by the director and shall include:
- (1) A current disclosure statement that meets the requirements of section 514E-9 and section 16-106-3, Hawaii Administrative Rules, if not already on file;
- (2) A statement that is certified by the developer to be true and correct in all respects and that identifies, as appropriate:
- (A) The time share units in the time share plan registered pursuant to this chapter; the total number of time share interests registered for sale in each unit pursuant to this chapter; and the total number of time share interests that have not yet been sold as of the date specified in the developer's certification, which date shall not be more than sixty days prior to the date of the developer's certification; or
- (B) The property in the time share plan registered pursuant to this chapter; the total number of points registered for sale in each property pursuant to this chapter; and the total number of points in the time share plan that have not yet been sold as of the date specified in the developer's

certification, which date shall not be more than sixty days prior to the date of the developer's certification;

- (3) If the developer is a corporation, partnership, joint venture, limited liability company, or limited liability partnership, an original certificate of good standing issued by the business registration division of the department of commerce and consumer affairs not more than forty-five days before the date of submission of the renewal application; and
  - (4) The biennial renewal fee.
- (g) Developers shall not be required to include the following in an application for renewal of a developer registration of a time share plan:
  - (1) A financial statement of the developer; or
- (2) A policy of title insurance, a preliminary title report, abstract of title, or certificate of title on the units or time share interests in the time share plan.
- (h) A developer's application for registration or annexation shall include (i) a list of the projects containing the time share units that are included in the application and that are located outside of the state, and (ii) a statement by the developer that it has verified that the use of each of those out-of-state time share units for time sharing purposes in the manner contemplated by the developer's time share plan is either in compliance with or not prohibited by the zoning and land use

laws and regulations of the jurisdiction where the time share units are located. The developer shall not be required, as part of the developer registration, to submit any other evidence that the use of the out-of-state time share units for time sharing purposes in the developer's time share plan is either in compliance with or not prohibited by the zoning and land use laws and regulations of the jurisdiction where the time share units are located."

SECTION 3. New statutory material is underscored.

SECTION 4. This Act shall take effect on June 30, 3000.

#### Report Title:

Time Sharing; Time Share; Zoning Requirements for Out-of-State Time Share Units;

#### Description:

Clarifies that evidence of compliance with zoning and land use laws and rules is not necessary for out-of-state time share units.

The summary description of legislation appearing on this page is for informational purposes only and is not legislation or evidence of legislative intent.



March 13, 2023

TO: Chair Quinlan
Vice Chair Hussey-Burdick
Members of the Senate Committee on Water and Land
Thirty-Second State Legislature
Regular Session of 2023

RE: Senate Bill 798, SD1 - RELATING TO TIME SHARING Hearing date: March 14, 2023 at 10 a.m.

Aloha Chair Quinlan, Vice Chair Hussey-Burdick and members of the House Committee on Tourism,

My name is Thomas Goodman of Hilton Grand Vacations, and I'm writing on behalf of our company in **strong support of SB798**, **HD1 - Relating to Time Sharing**. Hilton Grand Vacations (HGV) is a leading global timeshare company, with resorts and timeshares units across Hawai'i Island, Oahu, Maui, and Kauai. We have a longstanding history of investing in the local communities where our team members and timeshare owners live, work and vacation.

The bill presents an opportunity to streamline the review process for timeshare registration filings by restating and clarifying the zoning confirmation requirements. Further, this measure will not decrease substantive consumer protection for buyers. If enacted, developers would not be required to submit evidence of compliance with zoning restrictions in other jurisdictions when registering in Hawai'i. This unnecessary step has not only proven challenging to comply with due to varying zoning regulations and governmental processes but results in lengthy delays and increased expenses.

Like many companies in the timeshare industry, HGV has awaited approval for new timeshare registration fillings for 12 to 15 months and amendments to existing filings for six to nine months. These delays prevent us from submitting all of the filings that we would otherwise pursue, making it difficult to realize the benefits and support our industry can fully provide to the state.

Our company employs 1,700 people and supports over 540 local businesses across its footprint. We maintain consistently high occupancy levels from people who have owned their vacation properties and supported our local communities for decades, even in times of economic downturn. We also contributed \$88M in

annual state and county taxes from 2016 to 2022. Most importantly, we have a long-standing history of supporting communities throughout the state through HGV Serves, our CSR platform, **which is driven by key** efforts to support and elevate our society, including initiatives focused on sustainability, homelessness, youth development, disaster relief and veterans.

We strongly support SB798, HD1 <u>and the amendments proposed by the American Resort Development Association</u>. On behalf of Hilton Grand Vacations, I respectfully ask the Commissioners to vote in support of Senate Bill 798, SD1.

Mahalo Nui Loa,

Thomas Goodman Vice President, Legal Hilton Grand Vacations

## SB-798-SD-1

Submitted on: 3/13/2023 6:19:47 PM

Testimony for TOU on 3/14/2023 10:00:00 AM

Submitted By	Organization	<b>Testifier Position</b>	Testify
Julia Estigoy-Kahoonei	Individual	Comments	Written Testimony Only

#### Comments:

I support the requirement that developers comply with the zoning laws for their units but should also be required to submit evidence otherwise without checks and balance there will be no follow up to assure they are in compliance. Residents should always come first above transient and part time visitors.