SYLVIA LUKE LT. GOVERNOR



GARY S. SUGANUMA DIRECTOR

KRISTEN M.R. SAKAMOTO DEPUTY DIRECTOR

STATE OF HAWAI'I DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION Ka 'Oihana 'Auhau P.O. BOX 259 HONOLULU, HAWAI'I 96809 PHONE NO: (808) 587-1540 FAX NO: (808) 587-1560

TESTIMONY OF GARY S. SUGANUMA, DIRECTOR OF TAXATION

TESTIMONY ON THE FOLLOWING MEASURE:

H.B. No. 1193 H.D.1, Relating to the Tax Credit for Research Activities

BEFORE THE:

House Committee on Finance

DATE:	Wednesday, March 1, 2023
TIME:	2:30 p.m.
LOCATION:	State Capitol, Room 308

Chair Yamashita, Vice-Chair Kitagawa, and Members of the Committee:

The Department of Taxation ("Department") offers the following <u>comments</u> regarding H.B. 1193, H.D.1, for your consideration.

H.B. 1193, H.D.1, seeks to amend section 235-110.91 Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS), relating to the tax credit for research activities, by: (1) imposing an unspecified cap that an eligible taxpayer and the taxpayer's related entities may receive per taxable year; (2) changing the deadline that the taxpayer must provide a certified statement to the Department of Business, Economic Development, and Tourism (DBEDT) from March 31 of each year to the last day of the third month following the end of the taxable year; (3) expanding the required data that qualified high technology businesses must submit to DBEDT; (4) clarifying that the certification is determined on a first-come, first-served basis and is based on the date that the complete application is received; (5) amending the aggregate cap from \$5,000,000 per taxable year to an unspecified amount per calendar year; (6) amending the definition of "qualified high technology business within the State, and occupy a business location and address within the State where at least 75 percent of the business's employees are located; and (7) extending the repeal date from December 31, 2024 to December 31, 2029.

The measure has a defective effective date of June 30, 3000.

Department of Taxation Testimony H.B. 1193, H.D.1 March 1, 2023 Page 2 of 2

First, the Department notes that the measure changes the certification deadline from March 31 after the end of the calendar year in which research was conducted to the last day of the third month following the close of the taxable year in which research was conducted. Some taxpayers are fiscal year filers, with the end of the tax year varying. Because of the aggregate cap, this change could result in some taxpayers having a substantial advantage or disadvantage in obtaining the credit, depending on when their fiscal year ends. The Department suggests deleting this amendment.

Second, the Department notes that that the taxpayer submission deadline in subsection (d) on page 3 of the bill, may be read to conflict with subsection (f) on page 7 of the bill. Subsection (f) refers to the aggregate cap and certifications in terms of a calendar year. As such, subsection (d) should also be set in terms of a calendar year so that no taxpayer has an advantage based on their specific tax year end date. The Department strongly suggests that the term "taxable year" on page 3, line 15, be replaced with "calendar year."

Third, to prevent the credit from being claimed on research that has been funded by tax-exempt receipts such as a grant, the Department suggests that subsection (b) on page 2 of the bill, be amended to read as follows:

(b) All references to Internal Revenue Code sections within sections 41 and 280C(c) of the Internal Revenue Code shall be operative for purposes of this section; provided that references to the base amount in section 41 of the Internal Revenue Code shall not apply, and credit for all qualified research expenses may be taken without regard to the amount of expenses for previous years. In determining the allowable credit, research funded by any grant, forgivable loan, or other amounts not included in gross income for purposes of this chapter shall not be eligible research.

Finally, the Department notes that it will require resources and time to implement the new credit. Accordingly, the Department requests that when the effective date of the measure is updated, it be amended to apply to qualified expenses incurred after December 31, 2023.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments on this measure.



521 Ala Moana Blvd, Ste 255 808-539-3806 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 www.htdc.org

Written Statement of Len Higashi Executive Director Hawaii Technology Development Corporation before the House Committee On Finance Wednesday, March 1, 2023 2:30 p.m. Conference Room 308 & Videoconference

In consideration of HB1193, HD1 RELATING TO THE TAX CREDIT FOR RESEARCH ACTIVITIES.

Chair Yamashita, Vice Chair Kitagawa, and Members of the Committee.

The Hawai'i Technology Development Corporation (HTDC) **supports** HB1193, HD1 that extends the repeal date of the tax credits for research activities for an additional 5 years; adds a cap amount on the tax credit for research activities; consolidates the survey and certification requirements for the tax credit for research activities; changes to an unspecified amount the annual aggregate cap amount on the tax credit for research activities; requires certification of the tax credit for research activities; requires certification of the tax credit for research activities; requires certification of the tax credit for research activities; requires certification of the tax credit for research activities is to be based on the date that a complete application is received, subject to certain conditions; requires a qualified high technology business and at least 75% of its employees to be physically located in the State.

HTDC supports initiatives aimed at growing tech and innovation jobs. Last year, HTDC assisted Department of Business, Economic Development and Tourism (DBEDT) with the online applications for the certification. Last year the entire \$5 million cap was claimed in the first **23 seconds** after the applications opened. HTDC suggests this bill be coordinated with the Admin bill HB990. HB1193 includes language from HB990 and extends the sunset date, changes to an unspecified cap and adds restrictions to eligibility based on percentage of employees in the state.

HTDC supports this measure provided it does not supplant the priorities in the Administration's budget and respectfully requests correction of the defective effective date. Thank you for the opportunity to offer these comments



Statement of Hermann Kugeler Vice President of Business Development Makai Ocean Engineering, Inc. before the House Committee on Finance Wednesday, March 1, 2023 2:30 pm Videoconference State Capitol, Conference Room 308 In consideration of HB1193 RELATING TO THE TAX CREDIT FOR RESEARCH ACTIVITIES.

Chair Yamashita, Vice Chair Kitagawa, and Members of the Committee

Makai Ocean Engineering, Inc. **SUPPORTS HB1193** with a request to extend the sunset date of this bill by 5 years.

Makai is a locally-owned and operated technology company based in Hawai`i for 50 years. We are currently performing R&D on a variety of exciting ocean technology projects, including autonomous underwater vehicles, ocean thermal energy conversion (OTEC) and seawater air conditioning (SWAC) systems, submarine and subsea cable systems, and a Department of Energy project to grow and harvest macroalgae offshore in Hawaiian waters to produce a renewable biofuel alternative for transportation.

Makai has been successful in bringing in tens of millions of dollars in R&D funds from federal and international sponsors to Hawai'i, helping to stem the tide of "brain drain" of talented kama'aina kids going to the mainland. Makai is not unique among Hawaii technology companies in this. There is a tech community here that has made a strong economic impact in terms of high paying jobs, and demonstrated success in <u>commercializing R&D</u>, which has increased taxable revenue for the state, and brought home kama'aina scientists and engineers.

This bill allows Hawaii companies like Makai to outshine mainland peers in competitive federal R&D projects, bring home R&D dollars that greatly exceed the initial investment, and create a critical mass and a truly vibrant industry of innovative R&D companies in Hawaii. It helps expand and diversify Hawaii's economy, and enable our tech industry to support high-paying, highly-skilled professional jobs for our keiki here at home.

This is why we **SUPPORT HB1193**, with the request to extend the sunset date of this bill by 5 years, which supports Hawaii's R&D companies enabling them to continue spending monies on wages, materials and subcontractors IN THE STATE OF HAWAII and recognizes the importance of Hawaii's innovation industries to our economy.



www.makai.com

Page | 1 of 1



Written Statement of MR. MATTHEW SULLIVAN DIRECTOR OF PRODUCT OCEANIT

Before the HOUSE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

Wednesday, March 1, 2023 2:30 p.m. State Capitol, Conference Room 308 and Videoconference

Offers Comments to HB1193 RELATING TO THE TAX CREDIT FOR RESEARCH ACTIVITIES

To: Representative Kyle Yamashita, Chair, Representative Lisa Kitagawa, Vice Chair, and Members of the Committee

From: Mr. Matthew Sullivan, Director of Product

Re: Support and Requested Changes to HB1193 HD1

Honorable Chair, Vice-Chair and Committee Members: Thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony in **Support and Requested Changes** to HB1193 HD1

Oceanit is one of the largest local science and technology companies in the State of Hawaii and has been around for over 35 years. We employ over 100 local scientists and engineers in specialized fields such as artificial intelligence and nanomaterials, addressing global problems such as climate change and energy transition.

Hawaii's R&D tax credit is one of the few state policies to support the local tech industry. We support HB1193 and request the following changes to HB1193, as amended by HD1:

- As provided in HRS §235-110.91(c), fill in the blank of this section with "\$1.5 million" to cap the amount of tax credits a taxpayer is eligible for per year.
- As provided in HRS §235-110.91(f), fill in the two blanks of this section with "\$15 million" for total amount of credits allocated per year.
- As provided in HRS §235-110.91(f), delete the last sentence of the first paragraph of this section which reads as follows, "Complete applications received on the same date shall be certified on a pro rata basis."
- As provided in HRS §235-110.91(m)(2), due to concerns raised by the Attorney General regarding this provision, we recommend replacing the language with a requirement that this program be limited to businesses that have no more than 500 employees, consistent with the small business definition that is utilized by the Federal Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) program.

- We agree with DOTAX Director's testimony on SB951 SD1 that references to a taxable year will create implementation issues and the program should remain tied to a calendar year to provide consistency in implementation and deadlines for filing.

The Hawaii R&D tax credit is a good investment for the State.

According to a 2021 UHERO report titled *The Hawaii Research Activity Tax Credit: Is It Effective and How Can It Be Improved?*, every \$1 in tax credits claimed generates an additional \$1-2 in additional spending in the State by private firms.

The tech industry supports a living wage for locals, empowering locals to compete for housing in Hawaii and remain in the State vs move to the mainland.

According to the *Report on Hawaii Tax Credit for Research Activities for Tax Year 2021* prepared by DBEDT, the average annual wage was \$93,634 for the 34 Qualified High Technology Businesses that applied for the Hawaii tax credit for research activities for the tax year 2021.

The R&D tax credit enables Hawaii companies to compete with other states for talent.

37 of the 50 states in the U.S. offer an R&D tax credit. Hawaii's R&D tax credit enables Hawaii companies to compete with other states to recruit and retain more local talent and stem Hawaii's "Brain Drain".

To grow our local tech industry, the annual \$5 million cap should be increased.

In 2021, 34 companies applied for the Hawaii tax credit but only 9 received it due to the \$5 million annual cap. In aggregate, the 34 QHTBs generated a total of \$223 million revenue from all goods and services produced in Hawaii, \$195 million as operating cost, and paid a total of \$80 million as payroll.

Mahalo for your consideration,

Matthew Sullivan



LATE *Testimony submitted late may not be considered by the Committee for decision making purposes.

LEGISLATIVE TAX BILL SERVICE

TAX FOUNDATION OF HAWAII

126 Queen Street, Suite 305

Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Tel. 536-4587

SUBJECT: INCOME, Additional Requirements for Tax Credit for Research Activities

BILL NUMBER: HB 1193 HD 1

INTRODUCED BY: House Committee on Economic Development

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: Extends the repeal date of the tax credits for research activities for an additional 5 years. Adds a cap amount on the tax credit for research activities. Consolidates the survey and certification requirements for the tax credit for research activities. Changes to an unspecified amount the annual aggregate cap amount on the tax credit for research activities. Requires certification of the tax credit for research activities on a first-come, first-served basis to be based on the date that a complete application is received, subject to certain conditions. Requires a qualified high technology business and at least 75% of its employees to be physically located in the State.

SYNOPSIS: Amends section 235-110.91(c), HRS, to provide that each taxpayer, together with all of the taxpayer's related entities, as determined under section 267(b) of the Internal Revenue Code, and all business entities under common control, as determined under sections 414(b), 414(c), and 1563(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, shall not be eligible for more than \$______ in tax credits provided by this section per taxable year.

Amends section 235-110.91(d), HRS, to require, as a condition of claiming the credit, a credit applicant to submit the following to DBEDT on or before the end of the third month following the end of the taxable year: (1) Qualified expenditures, if any, expended in the previous taxable year; (2) The amount of tax credits claimed pursuant to this section, if any, in the previous taxable year; (3) The industry sector or sectors in which the qualified high technology business conducts business, as set forth in paragraphs (2) to (8) of the definition of "qualified research" in section 235-7.3(c), HRS; (4) Revenue and expense data, including a breakdown of any licensing royalty or other forms of income generated from intellectual property; (5) Hawaii employment and wage data, including the numbers of full-time and part-time employees retained, new jobs, temporary positions, external services procured by the business, and payroll taxes; (6) The number of filed intellectual property, including invention disclosures, provisional patents, and patents issued or granted; (7) The number of new companies spun out or established in Hawaii to commercialize the intellectual property owned by the qualified high technology business; and (8) A written declaration signed by an officer of the qualified high technology business certifying that the information that is submitted is true and correct as to every material matter, which certification shall be subject to the penalties provided in section 231-36, HRS, including monetary fines or imprisonment. Failure to meet the requirements of this subsection shall constitute a waiver of the right to claim the credit.

DBEDT may request any additional information necessary to measure the effectiveness of the tax credit, such as additional information related to patents.

Re: HB 1193 HD1 Page 2

Amends section 235-110.91(f), HRS, to limit the amount of certified credits to \$_____ million per calendar year (used to be \$5 million). DBEDT shall certify credits on a first-come, first-served basis determined based on the date and time a complete application is received by DBEDT. Complete applications received on the same date shall be certified on a pro rata basis.

Removes the requirement of an annual survey contained in current subsections (i) and (j) of section 235-110.91, HRS.

Amends section 5 of Act 261, SLH 2019, to extend the sunset date of this credit to December 31, 2029.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 30, 3000.

STAFF COMMENTS: The legislature by Act 270, SLH 2013, reestablished the income tax credit for qualified research activities that expired on 12/31/10. The prior version of that law, under Act 221, SLH 2001, offered a credit for qualified research activities that was a flat percentage of qualified research expenses in Hawaii without regard to the federal base amount (the federal credit is supposed to be an incentive to increase research activities, so the federal credit is based on incremental research expenses). When Act 270 brought the credit back, it did so as an incremental credit, like the federal credit.

Act 261, SLH 2019, amended the credit by reinserting the phrase "provided that references to the base amount shall not apply and credit for all qualified research expenses may be taken without regard to the amount of expenses for previous years."

Substantively, over the last decade, Hawaii has adopted various tax incentives to encourage the development of high technology businesses in the state. The acts provided investment and research credits as well as income exclusions providing tax relief to high tech businesses and individuals associated with high tech businesses. While the focus on high technology in the last few years is commendable, it fails to recognize that investments are made with the prospect that the venture will yield a profit. If the prospects for making a profit are absent, no amount of tax credits will attract investment from outside Hawaii's capital short environment. People do not invest to lose money. It should be remembered that until Hawaii's high cost of living can be addressed, all the tax incentives in the world will not make a difference in attracting new investment to Hawaii. The only attractive aspect for resident investors to plow money into such activities is the fact that the credit provides a way to avoid paying state taxes.

At a minimum, lawmakers should carefully examine the results that have come out of this 20year-old incentive to see if the State has gotten its money's worth. If it hasn't, how can an extension or increase in the credit be justified?

Digested: 2/28/2023