THE SENATE THIRTY-SECOND LEGISLATURE, 2023 STATE OF HAWAII

S.R. NO. 114

MAR 1 0 2023

SENATE RESOLUTION

URGING THE JUDICIARY TO IMPLEMENT THE HOPE PROBATION PROGRAM AS IT WAS CONCEIVED AND IMPLEMENTED FROM 2004 TO 2020.

1 WHEREAS, in 2004, then First Circuit Judge Steven Alm and 2 the Probation Section Administrator Cheryl Inouye launched 3 Hawaii Opportunity Probation with Enforcement ("HOPE") to 4 address high rates of recidivism among sex offenders, domestic 5 violence offenders, drug users, and other probationers at high 6 risk of recidivating; and 7

8 WHEREAS, in regular probation no action is typically taken 9 immediately after a probation violation, and the probation 10 officer has total discretion on how to handle any given 11 violation and when to refer a case back to the judge for action; 12 and

WHEREAS, regular probation typically allows multiple
violations to occur before any consequences are imposed, and
consequences are imposed months after violations occur, which
may appear to probationers to be entirely unpredictable and
subjective; and

20 WHEREAS, HOPE was designed to effect behavioral change in a supportive environment created by the probation officer and the 21 judge that teaches participants to correlate poor choices with 22 negative consequences by imposing swift, predictable, and 23 immediate sanctions on a consistent basis in the form of a few 24 days in jail for lower level violations such as drug use or 25 missed appointments with a probation officer and tougher 26 sanctions for higher level violations such as being terminated 27 from treatment; and 28 29

30 WHEREAS, in addition to reinforcing the behavioral change 31 process, HOPE also provides a mechanism by which offenders who 32 present a risk to the public can be immediately removed from the 33 community, such as in the case of a child molester caught 34 frequenting a youth arcade; and 35

-

13

19

S.R. NO. 14

WHEREAS, according to a 2007 through 2008 evaluation by 1 2 Pepperdine University and UCLA, First Circuit HOPE probationers 3 at their one-year follow up were fifty-five percent less likely to be arrested for a new crime, seventy-two percent less likely 4 5 to test positive for illegal drugs, sixty-one percent less likely to skip appointments with their probation officer, and 6 fifty-three percent less likely to have their probation revoked 7 8 when compared to offenders on regular probation; and 9 10 WHEREAS, the research showed that women in HOPE failed at 11 probation and were sent to prison fifty percent less often than women in regular probation, and Native Hawaiian women failed at 12 13 probation and were sent to prison forty-two percent less often, even though HOPE probationers overall spent no more time in jail 14 than those on regular probation; and 15 16 17 WHEREAS, long-term positive outcomes for HOPE participants include fewer arrests for new crimes, fewer crime victims, fewer 18 multi-year prison sentences, sustained abstinence from alcohol 19 20 and drugs, improved health, reduced criminal recidivism, greater employments and income, and ultimately an improved quality of 21 22 life; and 23 24 WHEREAS, for state and local communities, these outcome result in reduced crime, reduced need for imprisonments, reduced 25 26 costs to the criminal justice system, and increase in the number 27 of working individuals who pay taxes and the repayment of courtordered restitution for victims; and 28 29 30 WHEREAS, one judge can effectively supervise more than two 31 thousand felony HOPE probationers at the same time to ensure 32 that violations are heard and decided on a timely and consistent 33 basis; and 34 35 WHEREAS, this level of timeliness and consistency resulted in overall greater "buy-in" from, and greater outcomes for, 36 37 participants; and 38 39 WHEREAS, new HOPE cases are interspersed amongst the existing caseloads of nine judges, which has led to widespread, 40 41 inconsistent decisions and a much higher percentage of HOPE

S.R. NO. 114

participants being re-sentenced to multi-year prison sentences 1 than before 2020; and 2 3 WHEREAS, current HOPE probationers are no longer receiving 4 the swift, predictable, and immediate sanctions upon which HOPE 5 was built, as violations are being allowed to accumulate until 6 the probationer absconds, is arrested on a bench warrant, or a 7 revocations hearing is set, and many are then sent to prison; 8 9 and 10 WHEREAS, criminal justice system policy should be based on 11 proven and relevant data and research; now, therefore, 12 13 14 BE IT RESOLVED by the Senate of the Thirty-second Legislature of the State of Hawaii, Regular Session of 2023, 15 that in judicial circuits of five hundred thousand or more 16 residents, the Judiciary is urged to return to implementing HOPE 17 the way it was conceived and implemented from 2004 to 2020, by 18 assigning one judge and one back-up judge to handle all HOPE 19 20 cases; and 21 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the HOPE judge and back-up 22 23 judge, while always retaining discretion and always providing encouragement and support to probationers, are strongly urged to 24 follow the HOPE sanctions guidelines to ensure consistency and 25 hear cases in an expedited and timely manner; and 26 27 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Adult Client Services 28 Branch of the First Circuit is urged to follow HOPE procedures 29 as implemented from 2004 to 2020, including by focusing on the 30 higher risk offenders as identified by a validating risk 31 assessment instrument and by taking immediate action when 32 probationers violate; and 33 34 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a certified copy of this 35 Resolution be transmitted to the Chief Justice of the Hawaii 36 37 Supreme Court. 38 39 40 OFFERED BY: