OFFICE OF INFORMATION PRACTICES

State of Hawaii No. 1 Capitol District building 250 South Hotel Street, Suite 107 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Telephone: 808-586-1400 Fax: 808-586-1412 EMAIL: oip@hawaii.gov

To:	House Committee on Consumer Protection & Commerce
From:	Cheryl Kakazu Park, Director
Date:	February 10, 2022, 2:00 p.m. State Capitol, Conference Room 415 and Via Videoconference
Re:	Testimony on H.B. No. 1579, H.D. 1 Relating to the Department of Health

Thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony on this bill, which would extend the deadline for transfer of the Oahu Regional Health Care System to the Department of Health. The Office of Information Practices (OIP) takes no position on the substance of this bill, but offers comments and a technical amendment regarding the exemption of the Oahu Regional Health Care Transition Working Group from chapter 92, part I of which is the Sunshine Law.

The Oahu Regional Health Care Transition Working Group is an existing group that has not previously been exempted from the Sunshine Law, part I of chapter 92, HRS. The group is charged with developing a plan and framework for a transition that is of general interest to Oahu residents, and the proposed exemption would cut off access to this policymaking process for the public interested in how the transition is being managed. The bill as introduced was vague about why the exemption was proposed, but the H.D. 1 version of the bill was amended to add a reason: "[t]o protect patient privacy and ensure a fair procurement process by maintaining the confidentiality of prospective bidders[.]" However, **OIP notes** House Committee on Consumer Protection & Commerce February 10, 2022 Page 2 of 2

that the goals of protecting patient privacy and maintaining confidentiality for prospective bidders can be achieved by allowing the group to discuss those matters in an executive session, without the drastic step of fully exempting the group from the Sunshine Law. OIP also notes that the Sunshine Law is only part I of chapter 92, and the rest of the chapter includes provisions addressing an assortment of topics including quorum, authorized copy charges for government records, and neighborhood boards, so as a technical matter, an exemption from the Sunshine Law should be an exemption from part I of chapter 92 rather than from chapter 92 as a whole.

To allow the group to maintain confidentiality for patient information and information about prospective bidders, **OIP recommends that proposed subsection (h) at bill page 19, lines 14-17, be replaced with the following:**

"(h) The working group may hold an executive session following the procedures set out in section 92-4, HRS, to discuss individually identifiable information that could affect patient privacy or information that could identify prospective bidders."

Thank you for considering OIP's testimony and suggested amendment.



AIRPORT CONCESSIONAIRES COMMITTEE

Honorable Aaron Ling Johanson, Chair Committee on Consumer Protection & Commerce House of Representative State of Hawaii For Hearing: February 11, 2022, 2pm; Room 329

Re: HB 1953 - Relating to Concessions

Chair Johanson and Honorable Committee Members,

My name is Ron Tang and I am the co-chair of the Legislative Committee for the Airport Concessionaires Committee which assists a majority of the concessions at Hawaii's public airports.

We stand in strong support of this bill with amendments:

- Will allow DOT to correct unfairness where some concessions got an extension due to Covid, some got less and <u>some got "nothing</u>".
- Will clarify antiquated laws and any contract provisions that result in unfairness and no consideration for relief when "significant hardships" occur such as with Covid.
- 3) Will allow the DOT to have flexible powers like other US airports to grant relief in times of crisis like Covid which presents new-future challenges to airports across the United States and elsewhere.
- Contrary to misleading arguments, this bill does not create any financial hardships for the DOT since it can say "no" to any request for relief for "any reason".
- 5) Contrary to past arguments by the airlines that this bill will burden them is not a fair statement. The DOT can say "no" and it's the DOT --- not the airlines ------that decides what type of relief is fair, if any, and what is in the "best interest of the airports" including services to passengers.
- 6) Will clearly explain what discretion the DOT has to say "yes' or "no" to relief in times of significant hardships such as Covid.

Proposed Amendments:

- Page 6, line 13 Request the "call back" provision be deleted unless you are gong to require it for not only airport concessions but all other businesses in Hawaii on and off the airport. It's a struggle finding employees to begin with who are all demanding higher hourly pay. This provision will complicate things and make things more difficult.
- 2) Page 7, line 6 The 15 years should be changed back to the original 25 years in the original version of this bill. No other airports have maximum term limits and thus in times of Covid/significant hardships other airports can do whatever the airports deem fair. Thus, while arguably Hawaii should have no limits at all including a 25-year limit, extending the limit to no more than an extra 10 years is reasonable.

By keeping at 15 years, the current language in HD1 means no extension can be granted during times of significant hardship.

One needs to recognize a concession with a 10 or 15 year contract (as allowed by current law, see Exhibit 1 attached) may face a number of ups and downs as to business during the 10 to 15 year term of contract. So far the Covid/Delta/Omicron crisis has dragged on for 2 years (April 1, 2020 to March 31, 2022) and State's economist/UH Hero have predicted there will be no return to 2019 travel/visitor levels until the end of 2025 "assuming" no more virus or other significant hardships.

Thus, given the number of potential ups and owns and time a concession may need to help recover it's loss of gross receipts, a maximum of an additional 10 added to the current limit of 15 years when significant hardships occur is reasonable especially when other airports have no limits.

Please also recognize with this bill the DOT has the discretion to say "no" to any such request if it doesn't want to say yes for any reason.

- 3) Page 7, line 13, to ensure that DOT has the flexibility to grant relief to 1) those who got "nothing" as to relief while some got relief; and 2) any further granting of relief will be fairly applied to all concessions who suffered as of the start of Covid, April 1, 2020 going forward, we suggest the following language be added, please see Exhibit 2 attached.
- 4) Page 8, line 17, we suggest that given the sudden rise in construction costs following Covid and also supply chain delays, that the words suggested on Exhibit 3 attached be added to identify consideration of significant hardship events.

In closing allow us to again say we thank you for seeking to provide flexibility to Hawaii's airports like mainland airports.

This bill provides "flexibility" to the DOT so it has powers to provide relief to concessions during times of significant hardship like Covid, etc as set forth in this bill.

The DOT can say "no" to any request for relief and arguments that this bill will cause problems or burden the DOT is simply not valid.

Since it can say "no" for DOT to oppose this bill is simply not fair or appropriate in our view.

It's in the best interests of Hawaii's airports seeking to maintain services to passengers during times of Covid or other significant hardships that this bill pass to grant flexibility to deal with a variety of problems as they may arise.

Again, this bill does not require DOT to do anything and thus not a burden as some try to argue or suggest .

Thank your for allowing us to testify on this important bill that gives the DOT the discretion to correct unfairness which it presently does not have and also powers to provide relief in its discretion like other US mainland airports.

Please don't hesitate to ask any questions you may have . Mahalo.

\$102-2 Contracts for concessions; bid required, exception. (a) Except as otherwise specifically provided by law, no concession or concession space shall be leased, let, licensed, rented out, or otherwise disposed of either by contract, lease, license, permit or any other arrangement, except under contract let after public notice for sealed bids in the manner provided by law; provided that the duration of the grant of the concession or concession space shall be related to The investment required but in no event to exceed fifteen years for the initial term, and if amended, any then remaining term plus any agreed extension thereof awarded or granted by the government agency making a lease or contract or other arrangement relating to a concession; provided further that and subject to approval by county council resolution, the fifteenyear limit shall not apply to nonprofit corporations organized pursuant to chapter 414D.

(b) The bidding requirements of subsection (a) shall not apply to concessions or space on public property set aside for the following purposes:

- (1)For operation of ground transportation services and parking lot operations at airports, except for motor vehicle rental operations under chapter 437D;
- (2) For lei vendors;
- (3) For airline and aircraft operations;
- (4)For automated teller machines and vending machines, except vending machines located at public schools operated by blind or visually handicapped persons in accordance with section 302A-412;
- (5) For operation of concessions set aside without any charge;
- (6)For operation of concessions by handicapped or blind persons; except concessions operated in the public schools by blind or visually handicapped persons in accordance with section 302A-412;
- (7)For operation of concessions on permits revocable on notice of thirty days or less; provided that no such permits shall be issued for more than a one year period;
- (8)For operation of concessions or concession spaces for a beach service association dedicated to the preservation of the Hawaii beach boy tradition, incorporated as a nonprofit corporation in accordance with state law, and whose members are appropriately licensed or certified as required by law;
- For operation of concessions at county zoos, botanic (9) gardens, or other county parks which are environmentally, culturally, historically, or GRMBML

H.B. NO.

7

1	(c) For	airport concessions, the term of the contract
2		ore than twenty-five years, which shall include
3		term of the contract and any extension thereof.
4		he extent that the provisions of chapter 171
5		the purpose and intent of this section, chapter
6		apply to airport concessions.
7		
		purposes of this section, "significant hardship"
8	includes one o	r more of the following that may occur or
9	continue, or b	oth, from time to time 544 ct APRIL 1, 70707
10	<u>(1) A re</u>	duction of: FOR AGRECTION TO THE GURTHER MEN
11	<u>(A)</u>	Fifteen per cent or more in the volume of Title, Man-
12		r more of the following that may occur or oth, from time to time 544 66 APRIL 1 70907 duction of: APRIL 1, VOYO AND FURTHER Fifteen per cent or more in the volume of THERE HAVE business of the concessionaire for a period of THERE
13		sixty days or more, computed on the average
14		monthly gross income for the eighteen months
15		immediately preceding the period or the length of
16		time that the concessionaire has been in
17		business, whichever is shorter; and
18	<u>(B)</u>	Ten per cent or more in the volume of business of
19		the concessionaire for a period of one hundred
20		eighty days or more, computed on the average
21		monthly gross income for the period one hundred
		ERMBIT V

.

2022-0656 HB HMSO-1

Page 7

H.B. NO.

1		eighty days immediately preceding the period or	
2		the length of time that the concessionaire has	
3		been in business, whichever is shorter;	
4	(2)	A delay of more than ninety days in the anticipated	
5		substantial completion of premises being constructed	
6		by the State resulting in less time for the	
7		concessionaire to construct, occupy, and amortize the	
8		concessionaire's tenant improvements over the	
9		remaining term of the concessionaire's contract with	
10		the State;	_
11	<u>(3)</u>	Unexpected circumstances, including but not limited to	2
12		rising international tariffs, construction site or	
13		design problems, or other circumstances resulting in	
14		the infeasibility or other significant burden for the	
15 .		concessionaire to proceed with the improvements	
16		described in the concessionaire's contract with the	
17		<u>State;</u>	
18	(4)	Situations in which one or more concession contracts	
19		have more favorable relief terms to address financial	
20		or operating hardships when compared to the relief	
21		terms of other concession contracts;	

2022-0656 HB HMSO-1

AIRLINES COMMITTEE OF HAWAII



Honolulu International Airport 300 Rodgers Blvd., #62 Honolulu, Hawaii 96819-1832 Phone (808) 838-0011 Fax (808) 838-0231

Representative Aaron Ling Johanson, Chair Representative Lisa Kitagawa, Vice Chair Committee on Consumer Protection & Commerce

Re: HB 1953 HD1 – RELATING TO CONCESSIONS – IN OPPOSITION February 11, 2022; 2:00 p.m.

Aloha Chair Johanson, Vice Chair Kitagawa, and members of the committee:

The Airlines Committee of Hawaii (ACH), comprised of 20 signatory air carriers that underwrite the State of Hawaii Airports System, is in opposition to HB 1953 HD1, which provides the Department of Transportation with more flexibility and discretion to address substantial hardship situations that impact airport concession contracts.

This bill is unnecessary as the Department of Transportation already has the discretion to address substantial financial hardship situations and has, in fact, provided over \$120M in relief to date to airport concessionaires since the start of the pandemic.

Any reduction in concessions revenue to the Department of Transportation is directly passed on to the airlines. The airline industry is still recovering from the pandemic, reporting multibillion dollar losses each quarter, and this would add to our already heavy financial burden.

We respectfully ask the committee to hold this bill. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brendan Baker ACH Co-chair Mark Berg ACH Co-chair LATE *Testimony submitted late may not be considered by the Committee for decision making purposes.

DAVID Y. IGE GOVERNOR



TESTIMONY BY:

JADE T. BUTAY DIRECTOR

Deputy Directors ROSS M. HIGASHI EDUARDO P. MANGLALLAN PATRICK H. MCCAIN EDWIN H. SNIFFEN

LATE

STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION 869 PUNCHBOWL STREET HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813-5097

February 11, 2022 2:00 pm State Capitol, Teleconference

H.B. 1953, H.D. 1 RELATING TO CONCESSIONS

House Committee on Consumer Protection & Commerce

The Department of Transportation – Airports Division (DOTA) provides **comments** to H.B. 1953 HD1 which offers the DOTA with more flexibility and discretion to address substantial hardship situations that impact airport concession contracts.

The DOTA would like to again emphasize that it already has the flexibility and discretion to grant relief to airport concessions under Section 102-10, Hawaii Revised Statutes, and provisions in the concession agreements.

Any type of relief should be based upon an actual "Sudden Event" (defined in the concession agreements as "the occurrence of an event that is sudden, extraordinary, and generates relatively immediate sever adverse impacts for the State of Hawaii"), which is also beyond the control of the airport concessionaire, such as COVID-19. The proposed bill creates broad relief trigger mechanisms which may not be directly related to substantial hardship situations and may create undue risk and obligation to the DOTA.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide testimony.