

The Judiciary, State of Hawai'i

Testimony to the Thirty-Second State Legislature 2024 Regular Session

House Committee on Judiciary and Hawaiian Affairs Representative David A. Tarnas, Chair Representative Gregg Takayama, Vice-Chair

> Wednesday, January 31, 2024 at 2:00 p.m. Conference Room 325 & Videoconference

> > by

Melanie M. May Deputy Chief Judge District Court of the First Circuit

Michelle D. Acosta Deputy Chief Court Administrator District Court of the First Circuit

Bill No. and Title: House Bill No. 1912 – Relating to Judges for the District Court of the First Circuit.

Purpose: Establishes one additional district court judgeship in the First Circuit.

Judiciary's Position:

The Judiciary STRONGLY SUPPORTS this bill, which is part of the Judiciary's 2024 legislative package. A request for an appropriation for this position was included in the Judiciary's budget request in 2023 and the Judiciary is grateful that the appropriation was approved last session. This bill will amend the statute needed to authorize the additional District Court judge for the First Circuit.

As the legislature is well aware, the challenges faced by our community and the needs of its constituents have changed dramatically over time. The Judiciary, along with many other

Testimony for House Bill No. 1912, Relating to Judges for the District Court of the First Circuit. House Committee on Judiciary and Hawaiian Affairs Wednesday, January 31, 2024 Page 2

entities, has been called upon to address these needs. An additional District Court judge will enable District Court to increase capacity and to expand specialized dockets based on community needs.

It has been 40 years since the last District Court judge was authorized during the 11th Legislative Session in 1982. Since then, there has been a significant increase of the population in the City and County of Honolulu. According to the Department of Business and Economic Development and Tourism, the population of the City and County of Honolulu grew from 762,565 people in 1981 to 1,000,890 in 2021 -- an increase of 31%. Additionally, our community has faced new challenges, which can also be seen in the cases moving through the court system.

At the outset of the pandemic, the Judiciary quickly implemented remote hearings in order to continue providing vital services and ensuring litigants still had the opportunity to be heard. For many court users, the option to appear remotely increased access to justice as it was easier for them to attend and participate in their hearing. However, District Court found that remote and hybrid proceedings require additional resources and take longer than in-person proceedings. Our experience is supported by a recent study conducted by the National Center for State Courts found that remote proceedings take about a third longer than in-person hearings. In light of these access to justice considerations, remote hearings will continue to be an option for most matters in District Court, but they have resulted in an increase to the amount of time spent in court. Over time, longer court sessions mean that fewer hearings can be scheduled per session. If left unaddressed, this could result in case backlogs.

In addition, many of the cases that come before the court have become more complex, involving interdisciplinary issues that we can see reflected in our community as well. For example, our community has seen the prevalence of those who experience mental illness or substance use disorders and many of these individuals are justice involved. Another population that we see come through our district courts more frequently are those who are experiencing homelessness and have long case histories of non-violent offenses. We have created specialized dockets such as a mental health calendar (which includes cases under Act 26), DWI Court Program, and the Community Outreach Court to address these types of cases but they ultimately take longer to resolve, requiring numerous hearings, additional time and resources to assess competency to stand trial and other needs, and referral to treatment services when appropriate. We have also implemented an Environmental Court docket at each of the five District Court court courthouses and provided training to all District Court judges assigned to those dockets to adjudicate cases involving our natural resources. An additional District Court judge will enable District Court to expand specialized programs and dockets.

Additionally, other responsibilities outside of the courtroom affects the availability of judges to preside over cases in the courtroom. A District Court judge must be on call 24-hours a day, 7 days a week to address police requests for bail, contempt proceedings, in-custody and non-custody information charging, search warrants, arrest warrants, and judicial determinations

Testimony for House Bill No. 1912, Relating to Judges for the District Court of the First Circuit. House Committee on Judiciary and Hawaiian Affairs Wednesday, January 31, 2024 Page 2

of probable cause, which allow persons suspected of crimes to be held in police custody. Each week, a District Court judge is designated for this assignment on a rotational schedule, and is precluded from sitting in court due to the volume and urgent nature of these law enforcement requests.

Currently, there are sixteen (16) District Court courtrooms in the First Circuit. The Honolulu Division has ten (10) courtrooms, the Ewa Division has two (2) courtrooms, the Kaneohe Division has two (2) courtrooms, the Waianae Division has one (1) courtroom, and the Wahiawa Division has one (1) courtroom. However, there are only fourteen (14) District Court judges. As a result, the Judiciary relies heavily on per diem judges to preside over District Court calendars; the availability of per diem judges is often limited because most per diem judges are practicing attorneys who have other professional responsibilities. Looking ahead to the future, the Wahiawa Division, which currently only has one courtroom, will expand to two courtrooms after the completion of the Wahiawa Civic Center in 2025.

Authorization for an additional District Court judge will provide District Court with the ability to better manage court dockets, to be more responsive to the needs of the community, to increase capacity in the rural courts, and to develop and/or expand specialized court calendars.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify on this measure.