DAVID Y. IGE GOVERNOR



BONNIE KAHAKUI ACTING ADMINISTRATOR

STATE OF HAWAII STATE PROCUREMENT OFFICE

P.O. Box 119 Honolulu, Hawaii 96810-0119 Tel: (808) 586-0554 email: <u>state.procurement.office@hawaii.gov</u> <u>http://spo.hawaii.gov</u>

TESTIMONY OF BONNIE KAHAKUI, ACTING ADMINISTRATOR STATE PROCUREMENT OFFICE

TO THE HOUSE COMMITTEES ON EDUCATION AND ENERGY & ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION MARCH 18, 2021, 2:00 P.M.

SENATE BILL 466, SD2 RELATING TO A SCHOOL FACILITIES AGENCY

Chair Woodson, Chair Lowen, Vice Chair Kapela, Vice Chair Marten, and members of the committees, thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony on SB 466, SD2. The State Procurement Office (SPO) supports the intent of the bill, specifically Section 1, page 3-line 1 lines 4-5:

" The agency shall comply with chapter 103D. "

The SPO believes that if the original requirement remains as stated below:

" Any award of a contract for construction shall be subject to the requirements of section 103D-302; provided that the agency shall give preference to construction bids submitted by a contractor or subcontractor domiciled within the State."

it may be problematic for the Department of Education (DOE) to implement. One important note: restricting construction contracts to section 103D-302, Hawaii Revised Statues (HRS) Competitive Sealed Bidding, will eliminate the benefits of awarding contracts pursuant to Small Purchase, and Competitive Sealed Proposals, specifically design build. This may cause confusion as DOE's Facilities and Operations Auxiliary Service Branch are not bound by this requirement and will continue to use the Request for Proposal (RFP) method to award contracts. SB466, SD2 House Committee on Education House Committee on Energy & Environmental Protection March 18, 2021 Page 2

As it stands, Act 72 (SLH 2020) does not address the methodology of applying such a local construction preference. This act is not clear as to what local preference the agency will be applying, if it will any of the following:

- Act 17 (SLH 2009) Apprenticeship Program
- Act 68 (SLH 2010) Employment of State Residents on Construction Procurement Contracts
- §103D-1002, HRS Hawaii Products

It is unclear if the DOE will create a new preference exclusive for the school facilities agency.

The implementation of a new DOE construction preference may cause undue confusion and frustration among agencies and construction companies.

Chapter 103D, HRS, the Hawaii Public Procurement Code, should be universally applied to all agencies statewide.

Thank you.

SB466, SD2 House Committee on Education House Committee on Energy & Environmental Protection March 18, 2021 Page 2

((CONTINUATION OF TESTIMONY))

•

SB466, SD2 House Committee on Education House Committee on Energy & Environmental Protection March 18, 2021 Page 2 DAVID Y. IGE GOVERNOR



DR. CHRISTINA M. KISHIMOTO SUPERINTENDENT

STATE OF HAWAI'I DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION P.O. BOX 2360 HONOLULU, HAWAI'I 96804

> Date: 03/18/2021 Time: 02:00 PM Location: 309 & 430 Via Videoconference Committee: House Education

Department:	Education
-------------	-----------

Person Testifying: Dr. Christina M. Kishimoto, Superintendent of Education

Title of Bill:SB 0466, SD2 RELATING TO A SCHOOL FACILITIES
AGENCY.

Purpose of Bill: Amends Act 72, Session Laws of Hawaii 2020, to require that the school facilities agency complies with the Hawaii Public Procurement Code. Authorizes the school facilities agency to have additional powers and duties. Specifies additional uses for the school facilities special fund. Provides the executive director of the school facilities agency with authority relating to capital improvement projects for the school facilities agency. Effective 7/1/2050. (SD2)

Department's Position:

The Hawaii State Department of Education (Department) respectfully offers comments on SB 0466, SD2.

The Department appreciates the legislature's commitment to addressing the needs of Hawaii's K-12 public school students. This measure further clarifies the roles and responsibilities of the School Facilities Agency. The Department looks forward to working with the School Facilities Agency and its Executive Director in determining how to best address the facilities needs for the Department's students and schools.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide testimony on this measure.

The Hawai'i State Department of Education is committed to delivering on our promises to students, providing an equitable, excellent, and innovative learning environment in

every school to engage and elevate our communities. This is achieved through targeted work around three impact strategies: school design, student voice, and teacher collaboration. Detailed information is available at www.hawaiipublicschools.org. LATE *Testimony submitted late may not be considered by the Committee for decision making purposes.

DAVID Y. IGE GOVERNOR



TESTIMONY BY:

JADE T. BUTAY DIRECTOR

Deputy Directors LYNN A.S. ARAKI-REGAN DEREK J. CHOW ROSS M. HIGASHI EDWIN H. SNIFFEN

STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION 869 PUNCHBOWL STREET HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813-5097

March 18, 2021 2:00 P.M. State Capitol, Teleconference

S.B. 466, S.D. 2 RELATING TO THE SCHOOL FACILITIES AGENCY

House Committee on Education and Energy & Environmental Protection

The Department of Transportation, Highways Division, as the Administration's lead for setting up the School Facilities Agency (SFA), and operationalizing the provisions of Act 72, Session Laws of Hawaii 2020, **offers comments** and respectfully requests that S.B. 466, S.D. 2, be held, in favor of presenting all proposed amendments to Act 72 in a single bill, S.B. 808, S.D. 2.

S.B. 808, S.D. 2 proposes more amendments to Act 72 than S.B. 466, S.D. 2, and includes most, if not all of the amendments that S.B. 466, S.D. 2 proposes. S.B. 808 also amends Act 72, as codified by the Revisor of Statutes, i.e., as Hawaii Revised Statues §§ 302A-1701 – 302A-1707 of Subpart C, of Part VI, of HRS Ch. 302A. Most importantly, using a single bill to consider and amend the provisions of Act 72, to set out the duties and responsibilities of the SFA, and its Board and Executive Director more clearly, will avoid any unintended consequences if both bills were enacted in their present form. Our testimony in support of S.B. 808, S.D. 2, details how S.B. 466's proposed amendments to Act 72 have already been, or will be incorporated into S.B. 808, S.D. 2 when S.B. 466, S.D. 2 is held.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify on this measure.