

CATHY BETTS
DIRECTOR

JOSEPH CAMPOS II
DEPUTY DIRECTOR

STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

P. O. Box 339 Honolulu, Hawaii 96809-0339

March 21, 2021

TO: The Honorable Senator Joy San Buenaventura, Chair

Senate Committee on Human Services

The Honorable Senator Brian T. Taniguchi, Chair Senate Committee on Labor, Culture and the Arts

FROM: Cathy Betts, Director

SUBJECT: **HB 718 HD1 – RELATING TO HEALTH.**

Hearing: March 23, 2021, 3:00 p.m.

Via Videoconference, State Capitol

<u>DEPARTMENT'S POSITION</u>: The Department of Human Services (DHS) appreciates the intent of the measure and offers comments. DHS defers to the Departments of the Attorney General and Labor & Industrial Relations (DLIR).

The Committee on Health, Human Services, & Homelessness amended the measure by defecting the effective date and making technical amendments. The House Committees on Consumer Protection & Commerce, and Finance passed the measure unamended.

<u>PURPOSE</u>: The purpose of this bill requires the DHS to compile information regarding employers having employees who receive public assistance and to submit an annual report to the legislature on the 50 employers with the highest number of employees receiving public assistance. Requires the DLIR to share employment data with the department of human services. Effective 7/1/2060. (HD1)

DHS agrees that understanding and containing the state's health care costs are issues that the Legislature and the public would benefit from a deeper understanding of, in addition to

appreciating the complexities of underemployment, poverty wages, and economic impacts on society, business, and the State budget.

Currently, although Med-QUEST Division (MQD) does have a data sharing agreement with the DLIR, the use of the data is restricted. However, it may be possible to amend the agreement to be used for the purposes in the bill. MQD will work with DLIR to revise the data-sharing agreement. With DLIR assistance, MQD would likely be able to compile most of the information listed and produce the report relative to employers and Medicaid enrollment.

Of note, individuals can enroll in both employer-sponsored insurance and Medicaid at the same time. Medicaid would be the secondary insurance since Medicaid is the payer of last resort (the last to be billed). Thus, employers that have individuals covered by Medicaid may also be providing health insurance for those same employees. Per the intent outlined in the preamble, the identified employers actually may be providing health insurance for their employees; however, the report would not be able to distinguish between employers that are or are not offering health insurance to their employees.

However, we are not able to run a report for all public benefit programs at this time. We are currently in the development phase of the Benefits Enterprise Solution (BES), which is the department's 2nd phase of its major modernization of DHS IT systems. Once completed, BES will serve the financial and SNAP programs of the department's Benefits, Employment & Support Services Division (BESSD). Also, as previously reported, the name of the recipient's employer is not a factor in eligibility determination for BESSD programs, so it is unlikely that we would be able to meet this requirement for all of the public assistance programs that we administer.

DHS notes DLIR's testimony states that "all expenses associated with providing the data must be reimbursed by the requesting agency in accordance with the federal requirements."

DHS will likely require an appropriation to reimburse DLIR for its expenses associated to implement this proposal, including its development. However, we ask that any appropriation not replace or reduce budget priorities identified in the executive biennium budget.

In further consideration of the proposal, DHS has additional privacy concerns.

Depending upon the number of employees and the location of the employer, revealing the name of the employer may lead to re-identification of employees. The need to suppress data per each location of the same employer, may be cumbersome and thwart the overall goal of the measure.

Also, as mentioned above, individuals may be receiving both employment-based health care coverage and Medicaid. By implementing this law, we would not want to jeopardize an individual's employment as the employer may not want to be identified on the top 50 employers list and may terminate the individual for having accessed publicly available health care coverage.

As the bill progresses, we will likely update the Legislature with additional resource needs or clarifications and request an effective date that will allow us enough time to modify the agreements, build, and implement the functional capability to run the requested report for all benefits.

Additionally, DHS respectfully requests the support of the Legislature to implement this and other measures. However, DHS needs to be properly resourced to remain responsive to residents' and providers' needs and remain compliant with multiple existing program requirements. Additional proposed cuts to positions and existing program funds will have long term negative consequences on the agency's ability to support our workforce, sustain current caseloads, distribute and provide oversight over additional federal stimulus funds, and maintain the level of services delivered by community providers. Continuing to reduce the capacity of DHS to deliver needed services will impact the residents and the communities we serve and will hamper our ability to work on innovative programming.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments on this measure.

ANNE PERREIRA-EUSTAQUIO DIRECTOR

JOANN A. VIDINHAR DEPUTY



March 23, 2021

To: The Honorable Joy A. San Buenaventura, Chair,

The Honorable Les Ihara, Jr, Vice Chair, and

Members of the Senate Committee on Human Services

The Honorable Brian T. Taniguchi, Chair The Honorable Les Ihara, Jr, Vice Chair

Members of the Senate Committee on Labor, Culture and the Arts

Date: Tuesday, March 23, 2021

Time: 3:00 p.m.

Place: Conference Room 225 and Videoconference, State Capitol

From: Anne Perreira-Eustaquio, Director

Department of Labor and Industrial Relations (DLIR)

Re: H.B. No. 718 HD1 RELATING TO HEALTH

I. OVERVIEW OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION

This proposal seeks to add a new section to chapter 346, Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS), requiring the Department of Human Services (DHS) to compile information regarding employers with employees who receive public assistance. It requires DLIR to assist the DHS in the form of sharing employment data to achieve this purpose.

The Department offers <u>comments</u> on the measure.

II. CURRENT LAW

The current law does not require DHS access to Unemployment Insurance (UI) data as proposed in this measure.

III. COMMENTS ON THE HOUSE BILL

The DLIR is willing to work with DHS to determine how the legislative purpose can be achieved within the statutory disclosure provisions contained in Chapter 383, HRS, and within cost or resource restrictions. The U.S. Department of Labor (U.S.DOL) has long interpreted methods of administration to require the confidentiality of UI information and to follow the Congressional mandate that UI information be used only for the purpose for which it is directed. According to HRS

§383-95 and HAR §12-5-211 to §12-5-220, disclosure of information from workers, employers, or other persons or groups in the course of administering the state employment security program shall be held confidential and shall not be disclosed unless authorized requesting agencies have entered into a written agreement with the Department.

U.S.DOL guidance in <u>Unemployment Insurance Program Letter NO. 34-97</u> sets forth the criteria regarding the basic confidentiality and disclosure requirements for the Federal-State unemployment compensation (UC) program for sharing information with other public officials. Records may be disclosed where the public official is enforcing a law and:

- 1. The disclosure is permitted by State law (§383-95),
- 2. The disclosure would not significantly hinder or delay the processing of UI claims, or significantly hinder other activities of the State employment security agency, or such disclosure would not impede the efficient administration of the State employment security law,
- 3. The public officials continue to safeguard the confidentiality of the records, and
- 4. If disclosure entails more than incidental time, arrangements are made for the reimbursement of costs.

Should this proposal be enacted, an information sharing agreement with DHS would be required to satisfy its limited purposes. As a condition for the data exchange, DHS would have to provide relevant recipients' personal identifying information to crossmatch against the DLIR-UI employer and wage records. All expenses associated with providing the data must be reimbursed by the requesting agency in accordance with the federal requirements.

The DLIR is concerned about the support required to determine the number of employees who were public-service recipients and who were also eligible to receive employer-provided health benefits in excess of the minimum requirements established by chapter 393, HRS. This determination requires a week-by-week review of the employees' payroll records to ascertain the employees' eligibility, as qualifying for health benefits may change month-to-month based on the number of hours the employees work each week. The DLIR estimates it will require one additional Auditor to provide the support required.

HB718 HD1 March 23, 2021 Page 2

THE CIVIL BEAT LAW CENTER FOR THE PUBLIC INTEREST

700 Bishop Street, Suite 1701 Honolulu, HI 96813 Office: (808) 531-4000 Fax: (808) 380-3580 info@civilbeatlawcenter.org

Senate Committee on Human Services Honorable Joy A. San Buenaventura, Chair Honorable Les Ihara, Jr., Vice Chair

Senate Committee on Labor, Culture and the Arts Honorable Brian T. Taniguchi, Chair Honorable Les Ihara, Jr., Vice Chair

RE: Testimony Commenting on H.B. 718 H.D. 1, Relating to Health Hearing: March 23, 2021 at 3:00 p.m.

Dear Chairs and Members of the Committees:

My name is Brian Black. I am the Executive Director of the Civil Beat Law Center for the Public Interest, a nonprofit organization whose primary mission concerns solutions that promote government transparency. Thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony commenting on H.B. 718 H.D. 1. The reference to HIPAA in this bill will be confusing to implement and should be deleted in favor of clearer language in the bill or committee report.

HIPAA privacy standards apply to limited categories of personal health information as maintained by specific recordkeepers (covered entities). From the description of the report identified in the bill, it does not appear that the report is intended to include any information protected by HIPAA.

To the extent that the bill seeks to incorporate the safe harbor de-identification standards in the Privacy Rule, 45 C.F.R. 164.514—even if the information to be disclosed is not covered by HIPAA—that analysis might lead the Department of Human Services to conclude that nothing may be provided. For example, the safe harbor standards require removal of "all geographic subdivisions smaller than a State"; identifying an employer would provide identifying geographic information smaller than a State. The HIPAA Privacy Rule imposes exceptionally strict standards because it protects exceptionally strong privacy interests. Those standards do not fit all situations.

In any event, the standards for withholding information about public assistance benefits are clear already under the Uniform Information Practices Act, HRS chapter 92F. *E.g.*, HRS § 92F-14(b)(3) (recognizing significant privacy interest in "[i]nformation relating to eligibility for social services or welfare benefits or to the determination of benefit levels"). The Office of Information Practices has held "that only in an unusual case would the disclosure of information concerning an individual's . . . welfare status . . . shed light upon the conduct and actions of agencies or their officials"; thus public

Senate Committee on Human Services Senate Committee on Labor, Culture, and the Arts March 23, 2021 Page 2

disclosure of such information would "constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy under the UIPA." OIP Op. No. 91-12 at 5 & n.4. OIP has explained the standards for segregating individually identifiable information from records to ensure that information "will not be identified as pertaining to a particular claimant." *E.g.*, OIP Op. No. 00-02 at 11-12.

If there is particular information of concern to the Committee beyond personally identifiable information that should be withheld from reports, it would be better to specify that information in the statute or committee report, rather than cite HIPAA. Specificity will ensure that implementation follows the legislative intent because recent events have demonstrated the State's overly restrictive understanding of HIPAA and how it works. Otherwise, it is likely that the Legislature will not get what it appears to want from this bill.

Thank you again for the opportunity to testify with comments on H.B. 718 H.D. 1.

HB-718-HD-1

Submitted on: 3/20/2021 10:47:17 AM

Testimony for HMS on 3/23/2021 3:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Melanie Crowe	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

I oppose this bill, because this bill does not address the main reasons while residents of Hawaii are having to turn to public assistance. I think it is absurb to make employers feel that they are the reason many people that are employed with their company are on public assistance because of the wage the employer provides to an employee. A minimum wage is set and with many employers, there are many factors that effect the wage offered to the employee before hire. This bill tries to place blame or point fingers instead of focusing on the root of the problem. Instead of pointing fingers and placing blame, the root of the problem needs to be identified. Cost of living, cost of food, and cost of basic activities of daily living should be evaluated.