JOSH GREEN M.D. LT. GOVERNOR



STATE OF HAWAII **DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION** P.O. BOX 259 HONOLULU, HAWAII 96809 PHONE NO: (808) 587-1540 FAX NO: (808) 587-1560

To:	The Honorable Sylvia Luke, Chair;
	The Honorable Ty J.K. Cullen, Vice Chair;
	and Members of the House Committee on Finance

From: Isaac W. Choy, Director Department of Taxation

Date: February 25, 2021

Time: 1:00 P.M.

Place: Via Video Conference, State Capitol

Re: H.B. 333, H.D. 2, Relating to Peer-to-Peer Car-Sharing

The Department of Taxation (Department) offers the following <u>comments</u> regarding H.B. 333, H.D. 2, for your consideration.

With respect to taxation, H.B. 333, H.D. 2 creates a new peer-to-peer car-sharing surcharge tax in chapter 251, Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS), imposed in lieu of the existing rental motor vehicle surcharge tax (RVST) under section 251-2, HRS. H.D. 2 has a defective effective date of July 1, 2050.

The Department first notes that it recently promulgated temporary administrative rules related to peer-to-peer car-sharing.¹ Those temporary rules deem a peer-to-peer car-sharing marketplace facilitator to be the "lessor" for purposes of the RVST. Thus, the tax this bill intends to capture is already being captured under existing administrative rules.

The Department notes the Committee on Consumer Protection & Commerce made clarifying amendments at the Department's suggestion. The Department appreciates the consideration of its testimony.

However, the Department notes that that the tax imposition provision can be further clarified by adding the following sentence to the end of the new section 251-__, HRS:

The tax imposed under this section shall be levied in lieu of the tax under section 251-2 or 251-2.5 and shall be

https://files.hawaii.gov/tax/legal/har_temp/RVST_marketplace_temporary_STANDARD_eff_20210209.pdf

Department of Taxation Testimony FIN HB 333 HD2 February 25, 2021 Page 2 of 2

paid only once on the same transaction.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide testimony on this measure.



DAVID Y. IGE

JOSH GREEN LT. GOVERNOR

STATE OF HAWAII OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND CONSUMER AFFAIRS

335 MERCHANT STREET, ROOM 310 P.O. BOX 541 HONOLULU, HAWAII 96809 Phone Number: 586-2850 Fax Number: 586-2856 cca.hawaii.gov CATHERINE P. AWAKUNI COLÓN DIRECTOR

JO ANN M. UCHIDA TAKEUCHI DEPUTY DIRECTOR

Testimony of the Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs

Before the House Committee on Finance Thursday, February 25, 2021 1:00 p.m. Via Videoconference

On the following measure: H.B. 333, H.D. 2, RELATING TO PEER-TO-PEER CAR-SHARING

Chair Luke and Members of the Committee:

My name is Colin Hayashida, and I am the Insurance Commissioner of the Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs' Insurance Division (INS). The INS offers comments on this bill.

The purposes of this bill are to: (1) authorize peer-to-peer car-sharing; and (2) establish the peer-to-peer car-sharing surcharge tax on the operator of the peer-to-peer program.

The INS has had the opportunity to confer with the Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs' Office of Consumer Protection regarding proposed revisions to this bill as it relates to the Insurance Code. The INS is available to answer any questions the Committee may have regarding the impact this bill may have on insurance coverage.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify on this bill.



DAVID Y. IGE

JOSH GREEN LT. GOVERNOR

STATE OF HAWAII OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND CONSUMER AFFAIRS

335 MERCHANT STREET, ROOM 310 P.O. BOX 541 HONOLULU, HAWAII 96809 Phone Number: 586-2850 Fax Number: 586-2856 cca.hawaii.gov CATHERINE P. AWAKUNI COLÓN DIRECTOR

JO ANN M. UCHIDA TAKEUCHI DEPUTY DIRECTOR

Testimony of the Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs

Before the House Committee on Finance Thursday, February 25, 2021 1:00 p.m. Via Videoconference

On the following measure: H.B. 333, H.D. 2, RELATING TO PEER-TO-PEER CAR-SHARING

Chair Luke and Members of the Committee:

My name is Stephen Levins, and I am the Executive Director of the Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs' Office of Consumer Protection (OCP). The OCP appreciates the intent of and offers comments on this bill.

The purposes of this bill are to: (1) authorize peer-to-peer car-sharing; and (2) establish the peer-to-peer car-sharing surcharge tax.

The business model of peer-to-peer car rental differs markedly from that of the existing traditional car rental, which Hawaii Revised Statutes chapter 437D currently regulates. Consequently, the OCP believes that creating a new chapter governing peer-to-peer car sharing in Hawaii is a sensible legal adaptation to address this new business model.

While H.D. 2 attempts to provide a semblance of regulation of the peer-to-peer industry, the OCP believes that it does so inadequately. In this regard, the OCP offers several amendments in the enclosed proposed H.D. 3, which it believes will better protect consumers.

Testimony of DCCA H.B. 333, H.D. 2 Page 2 of 28

Insurance Coverage

From a consumer protection standpoint, requiring peer-to-peer car-sharing programs to provide primary insurance coverage for each shared car vehicle will provide minimal protection to consumers and innocent bystanders in the event of an accident. In this regard, proposed H.D. 3 amends page 6, line 3 to page 8, line 8, in section -2 to include this critical consumer protection.

Vicarious Liability

The OCP recommends removing section -6, the vicarious liability provision, in its entirety because it duplicates existing federal law.

Disclosures

Disclosures related to the terms and conditions associated with the car sharing agreement must be clearly and conspicuously disclosed to consumers. Consequently, all required taxes and fees, charges, insurance costs, as well as the total price to rent the vehicle, should be obvious to the consumer. To achieve this, proposed H.D. 3 requires clear disclosure of all terms and conditions and all costs to be charged prior to execution of the car-sharing program agreement.

Record Keeping

Consumer access to complete and accurate data is critically important when a disputed claim results from an event involving a consumer, such as an accident resulting in vehicle damage. Proposed H.D. 3 strengthens the record keeping provision of H.B. 333 by requiring peer-to-peer car-sharing programs to collect more complete information, such as insurance policy numbers, coverage amounts, and the names and contact information of car share driver and car share owners.

Motor Vehicle Recalls

A vehicle under recall should not be made available to a consumer through a platform hosted by a peer-to-peer company. The average completion rate for newer passenger vehicle recalls is only 75%, and this percentage drops to 44% for vehicles 5 to 10 years old. Proposed H.D. 3's recall provision, as derived from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, will help protect consumers and innocent

Testimony of DCCA H.B. 333, H.D. 2 Page 3 of 28

bystanders of a peer-to-peer car-sharing program by removing potentially unsafe vehicles from the road, such as those with defective Takata airbags.

Federal law already requires conventional car rental companies to remove vehicles subject to recall from their rental fleets. Therefore, it only makes sense to have this same restriction apply to the peer-to-peer car-sharing industry.

Surcharge Tax

Finally, to remove any ambiguity in H.B. 333, proposed H.D. 3 amends the surcharge tax provision to clarify that surcharge tax should be assessed against the peer-to-peer car-sharing program.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify on this bill.

H.B. NO. 333 Proposed H.D. 3

A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO PEER-TO-PEER CAR-SHARING.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

1	SECTION 1. The Hawaii Revised Statutes is amended by				
2	adding a new chapter to title 15 to be appropriately designated				
3	and to read as follows:				
4	"CHAPTER				
5	PEER-TO-PEER CAR-SHARING				
6	§ -1 Definitions. As used in this chapter:				
7	"Car-sharing delivery period" means the period of time				
8	during which a shared car is being delivered to the location of				
9	the car-sharing start time, if applicable, as documented by the				
10	governing car-sharing program agreement.				
11	"Car-sharing period" means the period of time that				
12	commences with the car-sharing delivery period or, if there is				
13	no delivery period, that commences with the car-sharing start				
14	time and in either case ends at the car-sharing termination				
15	time.				

1 "Car-sharing program agreement" means the terms and 2 conditions applicable to a shared car owner, [and] a shared car driver, and a peer-to-peer car-sharing platform that govern the 3 4 use of a shared car through a peer-to-peer car-sharing 5 program. "Car-sharing program agreement" does not [mean] include a "rental agreement" as defined in section 437D-3. 6 "Car-sharing start time" means the time [when the shared 7 8 car becomes subject to the control of] the shared car driver [at 9 or after the time the reservation of a shared car is scheduled 10 to begin as documented in the records of] obtains control of a 11 shared car through a peer-to-peer car sharing program. 12 "Car-sharing termination time" means the earliest of the 13 following events: 14 The expiration of the agreed upon period of time (1) 15 established for the use of a shared car according to 16 the terms of the car-sharing program agreement if the 17 shared car is delivered to the location agreed upon in 18 the car-sharing program agreement; 19 When the shared car is returned to a location as (2) 20 alternatively agreed upon by the shared car owner and 21 shared car driver as communicated through a peer-to-22 peer car-sharing program; or 23 When a shared car is returned to the location agreed (3) 24 upon in the car-sharing agreement or alternatively

1		agreed upon by the shared car owner and the shared car			
2		driver, as communicated through a peer-to-peer car-			
3		sharing program, before the expiration of the period			
4		of time established for the use of a shared car			
5		according to the terms of the car-sharing program			
6		agreement, and the shared car driver notifies the			
7		peer-to-peer car-sharing program of the location of			
8		the shared car;			
9	(4)	When a shared car, during the car-sharing period,			
10		cannot safely or legally be operated and the shared			
11		car driver notifies the peer-to-peer car-sharing			
12		program that the shared car is inoperable and			
13		identifies the location of the shared car;			
14	(5)	When the shared car driver receives notice of a safety			
15		recall affecting the shared car and the shared car			
16		driver returns the shared car to the location agreed			
17		upon in the car-sharing agreement, or alternatively			
18					
		agreed upon by the shared car owner and the shared car			
19		agreed upon by the shared car owner and the shared car driver, and the shared car driver notifies the peer-			
19 20					
		driver, and the shared car driver notifies the peer-			
20		driver, and the shared car driver notifies the peer- to-peer car-sharing program of the location of the			
20 21		driver, and the shared car driver notifies the peer- to-peer car-sharing program of the location of the shared car; or			

1	"Peer-to-peer car-sharing" means the authorized [use]				
2	control and operation of a vehicle by an individual other than				
3	the vehicle's owner through a peer-to-peer car-sharing				
4	program. For the purposes of assessing a vehicle surcharge tax,				
5	"[Peer] <u>peer</u> -to-peer car-sharing" [does] <u>shall</u> not mean the				
6	"business of providing rental motor vehicles to the public" as				
7	the phrase is used in section 251-3 [or the business of a				
8	"lessor" as defined in section 437D-3].				
9	"Peer-to-peer car-sharing program" [means a business				
10	platform that connects vehicle owners with drivers to enable the				
11	sharing of vehicles for financial consideration.] means:				
12	(1) Any person who enables a shared car driver to				
13	identify, reserve, or use a shared car owned by a				
14	shared car owner; or				
15	(2) Any person who enables a shared car owner to describe,				
16	list, or make available a shared car for				
17	identification, reservation, or use by a shared car				
18	driver.				
19	["Peer-to-peer car-sharing program" does not mean "lessor" as				
20	defined in section 437D-3.] "Peer-to-peer car-sharing program"				
21	[is not a "car-sharing organization" as defined in section 251-				
22	1] does not include:				
23	(1) A "transportation network company" as defined in				
24	section 431:1C-701;				

- 1 (2) <u>A "car-sharing organization" as defined in section</u> 2 <u>251-1;</u>
- 3 (3) Any person registered and acting as a travel agency
 4 pursuant to chapter 468L; or
- 5 (4) Any person registered and acting as an activity desk
 6 pursuant to chapter 468M.

7 "Shared car" means a <u>motor</u> vehicle that is <u>registered</u>
8 <u>pursuant to chapter 286 and is not owned, controlled, operated,</u>
9 <u>maintained, or managed by or registered, directly or indirectly</u>
10 <u>through an affiliate, to the peer-to-peer car-sharing program</u>
11 <u>and is</u> available for sharing through a peer-to-peer car-sharing
12 program. "Shared car" [does] <u>shall</u> not [mean] <u>include</u> a "rental
13 motor vehicle" or "vehicle" as defined in section 437D-3.

14 "Shared car driver" means an individual who has been 15 authorized to drive the shared car by the shared car owner under 16 a car-sharing program agreement. <u>"Shared car driver" does not</u> 17 include "lessee" as defined in section 437D-3.

18 "Shared car owner" means the registered owner[, or a person 19 or entity designated by the registered owner,] of a [vehicle 20 made available for sharing to] shared [vehicle drivers through a 21 peer-to-peer car-sharing program.] car. ["Shared car owner" is 22 not a "lessor" as defined in section 437D-3.]

23 § -2 Insurance coverage during car-sharing period. (a)
 24 [A peer-to-peer car-sharing program shall assume liability,

1	except as provided in subsection (b), of a shared car owner for		
2	bodily injury or property damage to third parties or uninsured		
3	and underinsured motorist or personal injury protection losses		
4	during the car-sharing period in an amount stated in the peer-		
5	to-peer car-sharing program agreement which amount may not be		
6	less than those set forth in section 431:10C-301.		
7	(b) Notwithstanding the definition of "car-sharing		
8	termination time" as set forth in section -1, the assumption		
9	of liability under subsection (a) shall not apply to any shared		
10	vehicle owner when:		
11	(1) A shared car owner makes an intentional or fraudulent		
12	material misrepresentation or omission to the peer-to-		
13	peer car-sharing program before the car-sharing period		
14	in which the loss occurred; or		
15	(2) Acting in concert with a shared vehicle driver who		
16	fails to return the shared vehicle pursuant to the		
17	terms of program agreement.		
18	(c) Notwithstanding the definition of "car-sharing		
19	termination time" in section -1, the assumption of liability		
20	under subsection (a) shall apply to bodily injury, property		
21	damage, uninsured and underinsured motorist or personal injury		
22	protection losses by damaged third parties as required by		
23	section 431:10C-301.		

1 (d)] A peer-to-peer car-sharing program shall ensure
2 that[¬] during each car-sharing period, the shared car owner and
3 the shared car driver shall be insured under a motor vehicle
4 insurance policy that provides insurance coverage in amounts no
5 less than the minimum amounts required under section 431:10C-301
6 and:

7 (1) [Recognizes that] Insurance to pay on behalf of the
8 shared car owner and the shared car driver while the
9 shared [vehicle] car insured under the policy is made
10 available and used through a peer-to-peer car-sharing
11 program; [or] and

12 (2) Does not exclude use of a shared car by a shared car13 driver.

14 (b) A peer-to-peer car-sharing program shall be insured 15 under a policy that provides primary insurance coverage for each 16 shared car available and used through a peer-to-peer car-sharing 17 program in amounts no less than the minimum amounts required for 18 a transportation network driver or a transportation network 19 company under 431:10C-703.

20 (c) In addition to the insurance coverage required by this 21 section, insurers offering insurance through a peer-to-peer car 22 sharing program shall be subject to chapter 431. 23 [(e) The insurance described under subsection (d) may be

24 satisfied by the motor vehicle insurance maintained by:

1	(1)	A shared car owner;			
2	(2)	A shared car driver;			
3	(3) A peer-to-peer car-sharing program; or				
4	(4)	Both a shared car owner, a shared car driver, and a			
5		peer-to-peer car-sharing program.			
6	(1)	Insurance described in subsection (e) that satisfies			
7	the insur	ance requirement of subsection (d) shall be primary			
8	during ea	ch car-sharing period.			
9	(g)	If the peer-to-peer car-sharing program, in whole or			
10	in part,	provides the insurance required under subsections (d)			
11	and (e),	it shall assume primary liability for a claim when:			
12	(1)	A dispute exists as to who was in control of the			
13		shared car at the time of the loss; and			
14	-(2) -	The peer-to-peer car-sharing program does not have			
15		available, did not retain, or fails to provide the			
16		information required by section -5.			
17	The	shared car's insurer shall indemnify the car-sharing			
18	program t	o the extent of its obligation under, if any, the			
19	applicabl	e insurance policy, if it is determined that the shared			
20	car's own	er was in control of the shared car at the time of the			
21	loss.				
22	(h)—	If insurance maintained by a shared car owner or			
23	shared ca	r driver in accordance with subsection (e) has lapsed			
24	or does n	ot provide the required coverage, insurance maintained			

1	by a peer-to-peer car-sharing program shall provide the coverage
2	required by subsection (d) beginning with the first dollar of a
3	claim and have the duty to defend the claim except under
4	circumstances as set forth in section -2(b).
5	(i) Coverage under a motor vehicle insurance policy
6	maintained by the peer-to-peer car-sharing program shall not be
7	dependent upon another motor vehicle insurer first denying a
8	claim nor shall another motor vehicle insurance policy be
9	required to first deny a claim.
10	(j) Nothing in this chapter:
11	(1) Limits the liability of the peer-to-peer car-sharing
12	program for any act or omission of the peer-to-peer
13	car-sharing program itself that results in injury to
14	any person as a result of the use of a shared car
15	through a peer-to-peer car-sharing program; or
16	(2) Limits the ability of the peer-to-peer car-sharing
17	program to, by contract, indemnification from the
18	shared vehicle owner or the shared car driver for
19	economic loss sustained by the peer-to-peer car-
20	sharing program resulting from a breach of the terms
21	and conditions of the car-sharing program agreement.]
22	§ -3 Notification of implications of lien. When a car
23	owner registers as a shared car owner on a peer-to-peer car-
24	sharing program and prior to when the shared car owner makes a

1 shared car available for car-sharing on the peer-to-peer car-2 sharing program, the peer-to-peer car-sharing program shall 3 notify the shared car owner that, if the shared car has a lien 4 against it, the use of the shared car through a peer-to-peer 5 car-sharing program, including use without physical damage 6 coverage, may violate the terms of the contract with the 7 lienholder.

§ -4 Exclusions in motor vehicle insurance
9 policies. (a) An authorized insurer that writes motor vehicle
10 insurance in the State may exclude any and all coverage and the
11 duty to defend or indemnify any claim afforded under a shared
12 car owner's <u>or shared car driver's</u> motor vehicle insurance
13 policy <u>during the car-sharing period</u>, including:

- 14 (1) Liability coverage for bodily injury and property15 damage;
- 16 (2) Personal injury protection coverage as set forth in 17 section 431:10C-304;
- 18 (3) Uninsured and underinsured motorist coverage;
- 19 (4) Medical payments coverage;
- 20 (5) Comprehensive physical damage coverage; and
- 21 (6) Collision physical damage coverage.

(b) Nothing in this chapter shall invalidate or limit an exclusion contained in a motor vehicle insurance policy,

24 including any insurance policy in use or approved for use that

excludes coverage for motor vehicles made available for rent,
 sharing, or hire or for any business use.

3 (c) No peer-to-peer car-sharing program shall make 4 available through its business platform any shared car to a 5 shared car driver when the shared car owner's motor vehicle 6 insurance policy excludes any coverage required under this 7 chapter.

8 (d) No peer-to-peer car-sharing program shall make 9 available through its business platform any shared car to a 10 shared car driver when the shared car driver's motor vehicle 11 insurance policy excludes any coverage required under this 12 chapter.

13 § -5 Recordkeeping; use of vehicle in car-sharing. A 14 peer-to-peer car-sharing program shall collect and verify 15 records pertaining to the use of a [vehicle, including] shared 16 car for each car-sharing program agreement, including:

- 17(1)Dates and times [used]of the car-sharing start time18and the car-sharing termination time in the car-
- 19 sharing program agreement;
- 20 (2) Dates and times of the actual car-sharing start time 21 and car-sharing termination time;
- 22 (3) Itemized descriptions and amounts of all fees and
 23 costs charged to the share car driver;

- 1(4)Itemized descriptions and amounts of all fees and2costs paid by the shared car [driver, and revenues3received by] driver;
- 4 (5) Itemized descriptions and amounts of all fees and 5 costs paid to the shared car [owner,] owner;
- 6 (6) The name and contact information of the shared car 7 owner and the shared car driver; and
- 8 (7) The insurance policy number, effective date, coverage,
- 9 and coverage amounts of each insurance policy that
- 10 identifies the peer-to-peer car-sharing program,
- 11 shared car owner, and shared car driver as the insured
- 12 [and provide that information upon request to the
- 13 shared car owner, the shared car owner's insurer, or
- 14 the shared car driver's insurer to facilitate a claim
- 15 coverage investigation].

16 The peer-to-peer car-sharing program shall retain the records

17 for a time period not less than the six-year statute of

18 limitations period set forth under section 657-1(4). Upon

19 request, the peer-to-peer car-sharing program shall provide the

20 information required in this section, and any information

21 relating to the peer-to-peer program agreement in its possession

22 and control, to the shared car owner, the shared car owner's

- 23 insurer, the shared car driver, or the shared car driver's
- 24 insurer, to facilitate a claim coverage investigation.

1 [S -6 Exemption; vicarious liability. Consistent with 2 title 49 United States Code section 30106, a peer-to-peer car-3 sharing program and a shared car owner shall be exempt from 4 vicarious liability under any state or local law that imposes 5 liability solely based upon motor vehicle ownership.]

6 § -[7]6 Contribution against indemnification. A motor 7 vehicle insurer that defends or indemnifies a claim against a 8 shared car that is excluded under the terms of its policy shall 9 have the right to seek contribution against the motor vehicle 10 insurer of the peer-to-peer car-sharing program if the claim is:

11 (1) Made against the shared car owner or the shared car 12 driver for loss or injury that occurs during the car-13 sharing period; and

14 (2) Excluded under the terms of [its policy.] the shared
15 <u>car owner's or shared car driver's insurance policy.</u>
16 § -[8]7 Insurable interest. (a) Notwithstanding any
17 other law, statute, or rule to the contrary, a peer-to-peer car18 sharing program shall have an insurable interest in a shared car

19 during the car-sharing period.

(b) [A] <u>In addition to the insurance coverage mandated by</u>
<u>section -2, a</u> peer-to-peer car-sharing program may own and
maintain as the named insured one or more policies of motor
vehicle insurance that provides coverage for:

1	(1)	Liabilities assumed by the peer-to-peer car-sharing			
2		program under a peer-to-peer car-sharing program			
3		agreement;			
4	(2)	Any liability of the shared car owner; or			
5	(3)	Damage or loss to the shared car or any liability of			
6		the shared car driver.			
7	S	-[9]8 Required disclosures and notices. For each			
8	shared ca	r participating in a car-sharing agreement on its			
9	platform,	a peer-to-peer car-sharing program shall:			
10	(1)	Provide, prior to the execution of a car-sharing			
11		program agreement, the shared car owner and shared car			
12		driver with the terms and conditions of the car-			
13		sharing agreement;			
14	(2)	Disclose to the shared car driver, prior to the			
15		execution of a car-sharing program agreement, [any]			
16		all costs or fees that are charged to the shared car			
17		driver under the car-sharing [agreement;] <u>agreement,</u>			
18		including all costs or fees for mandatory insurance			
19		coverage charged by the peer-to-peer car-sharing			
20		program;			
21	(3)	Disclose to the shared car owner, prior to the			
22		execution of a car-sharing program agreement, [any]			
23		costs or fees that are charged to the shared car owner			
24		under the peer-to-peer car-sharing [agreement;]			

- 1agreement, including fees or costs for mandatory2insurance coverage charged by the peer-to-peer car-3sharing program;
- 4 (4) Provide [an] <u>a twenty-four-hour</u> emergency telephone
 5 number for a person capable of facilitating roadside
 6 assistance [to] for the shared car driver;
- 7 (5) Disclose any right of the peer-to-peer car-sharing
 8 program to seek indemnification from the shared car
 9 owner or the shared car driver for economic loss
 10 sustained by the car-sharing program caused by a
- 11 breach of the car-sharing program [agreement;]
- 12 agreement, and the peer-to-peer car-sharing program
- 13 shall require the shared car owner and the shared car
- 14 driver to specifically and separately acknowledge
- 15 notice of the disclosure prior to execution of a car-16 sharing program agreement;
- 17 (6) Disclose that a motor vehicle insurance policy issued
 18 to the shared car owner for the shared car or to the
 19 shared car driver [does] may not provide a defense or
 20 indemnification for any claim asserted by the peer-to21 peer car-sharing [program;] program, and the peer-to22 peer car-sharing program shall require the shared car
 23 owner and the shared car driver to specifically and

1 separately acknowledge notice of the disclosure prior 2 to execution of a car-sharing program agreement; 3 Disclose that the peer-to-peer car-sharing program's (7) 4 insurance coverage on the shared car owner and the 5 shared car driver is in effect only during each 6 sharing period and that the shared car may not have 7 insurance coverage for use of the shared car by the 8 shared car driver after the sharing termination 9 [time;] time, and the peer-to-peer car-sharing program 10 shall require the shared car owner and the shared car 11 driver to specifically and separately acknowledge 12 notice of the disclosure prior to execution of a car-13 sharing program agreement; 14 (8) Disclose any insurance or protection package costs 15 that are charged to the shared car owner or the shared 16 car [driver;] driver, and the peer-to-peer car-sharing 17 program shall require the shared car owner and the hared car driver to specifically and separately 18 19 acknowledge notice of the disclosure prior to 20 execution of a car-sharing program agreement; 21 Disclose that if the shared car owner's or shared car (9) 22 driver's motor vehicle insurance policy [may] does not 23 provide or excludes coverage for a shared [car;] car, 24 the shared car owner and the shared car driver are

- 1 prohibited from participating in the peer-to-peer car-2 sharing program; [and]
- 3 (10) Disclose to the shared car driver any conditions in
 4 which the shared car driver is required to maintain a
 5 motor vehicle insurance policy as the primary coverage
 6 for the shared car in order to drive a shared [car.]
 7 car; and
- 8 (11) Disclose that a shared car owner shall be permitted to 9 obtain insurance that provides coverage for loss of
- 10 use of a shared car.
- 11 § -[10]9 Driver's license verification and data

12 retention. (a) A peer-to-peer car-sharing program shall not 13 enter into a car-sharing program agreement with a shared car 14 driver unless the shared car driver:

- 15 (1) Holds a driver's license issued under section 286-102
 16 that authorizes the shared car driver to operate
 17 vehicles of the class of the shared car;
- 18 (2) Is a nonresident who:
- 19 (A) Has a driver's license issued by the state or
 20 country of the driver's residence that authorizes
 21 the shared car driver in that state or country to
 22 drive vehicles of the class of the shared car;
 23 and

1 Is at least the same age as that required of a (B) 2 resident to drive; or 3 Otherwise is specifically authorized to drive vehicles (3) 4 of the class of the shared [car.] car; and 5 Has obtained insurance required by section -2. (4) 6 (b) A peer-to-peer car-sharing program shall record: 7 (1)The name and address of the shared car driver; and 8 The place of issuance and number of the driver's (2) 9 license of the shared car driver and each other 10 person, if any, who will operate the shared car. 11 S -[11]10 Responsibility for equipment. (a) A peer-to-12 peer car-sharing program shall have sole responsibility for any 13 equipment, such as a global positioning system or other special 14 equipment that is put in or on the shared car to monitor or 15 facilitate the car-sharing transaction, and shall agree to 16 indemnify and hold harmless the shared car owner or shared car 17 driver for any damage to or theft of the equipment during the 18 [sharing] car-sharing period [not caused by the shared car 19 owner. The peer-to-peer car-sharing program has the right to 20 seek indemnity from the shared car driver for any loss or damage 21 to the equipment that occurs during the sharing period]. 22 (b) A shared car owner has the right to seek indemnity 23 from the peer-to-peer car-sharing program for any loss or damage 24 to a shared car that occurs as a result of any equipment, such

1 as a global positioning system or other special equipment, that 2 is put in or on a vehicle to monitor or facilitate the car 3 sharing. 4 (c) A shared car driver has the right to seek indemnity 5 from the peer-to-peer car-sharing program for any loss or damage 6 that occurs as a result of any equipment, such as a global 7 positioning system or other special equipment, that is put in or 8 on a vehicle to monitor or facilitate the car sharing. 9 (d) No peer-to-peer car-sharing program or shared car 10 owner shall require a shared car driver to make an advance 11 deposit in any form, including an advance charge against the 12 credit card of a shared car driver, for damages to a shared car 13 occurring during the car-sharing period. No peer-to-peer car-14 sharing program or shared car owner shall require any payment 15 for damages to a shared car that occur during the car-sharing 16 period, until after the cost to repair shared car damages and 17 liability therefor is agreed to by the shared car driver or is 18 determined pursuant to law. 19 (e) No peer-to-peer car-sharing program or shared car 20 owner shall charge a shared car driver more than the cost of the 21 parts and labor necessary to repair damages to a shared car that 22 occur during the car-sharing period, if the vehicle is repaired. 23 The costs to repair the damages to the shared car shall be in

1 accordance with standard practice in the motor vehicle industry
2 in the community.

3	<u>(f)</u>	No peer-to-peer car-sharing program or shared car					
4	<u>owner sha</u>	ner shall charge a shared car driver more than the actual cash					
5	value of a vehicle determined in accordance with standard						
6	practice in the motor vehicle insurance industry, if the share						
7	car damaged during the car-sharing period is declared a total						
8	loss.						
9	S	-[12] <u>11</u> Motor vehicle safety recalls. (a) [At the					
10	time when	a vehicle owner registers as a shared car owner on a					
11	peer-to-p	eer car-sharing program and prior to the time when the					
12	shared ca	r owner makes a shared car available for car-sharing on					
13	3 the peer-to-peer car-sharing program, the] <u>A</u> peer-to-peer car-						
14	sharing program shall:						
15	(1)	Verify that no safety recalls exist for [the make and					
16		model of the] each shared car [for which repairs have					
16 17		model of the] <u>each</u> shared car [for which repairs have not been made; and] <u>available for peer-to-peer car-</u>					
17	(2)	<pre>not been made; and] available for peer-to-peer car-</pre>					
17 18	(2)	<pre>not been made; and] available for peer-to-peer car- sharing through the peer-to-peer car-sharing program;</pre>					
17 18 19	(2)	<pre>not been made; and] available for peer-to-peer car- sharing through the peer-to-peer car-sharing program; [Notify the shared car owner of the requirements under</pre>					
17 18 19 20	(2)	<pre>not been made; and] available for peer-to-peer car- sharing through the peer-to-peer car-sharing program; [Notify the shared car owner of the requirements under subsection (b).] Verify that all repairs of the</pre>					
17 18 19 20 21	(2)	<pre>not been made; and] available for peer-to-peer car- sharing through the peer-to-peer car-sharing program; [Notify the shared car owner of the requirements under subsection (b).] Verify that all repairs of the safety recall have been completed for each shared car</pre>					

1		shared car may be removed from use until the shared			
2		car owner effects the necessary safety recall repair.			
3		Continued use of the shared car by the shared car			
4		driver, pursuant to the car-sharing program agreement,			
5		shall not indemnify the peer-to-peer sharing program			
6		or shared car owner from loss arising out of use of			
7		the shared car by the shared car driver;			
8	(4)	Make no vehicle available for car-sharing that is			
9		subject to a safety recall and the safety recall			
10		repair has not been completed; and			
11	(5)	Prior to each car-sharing period, notify the shared			
12		car owner of the requirements under subsection (b).			
13	(b)	A shared car owner shall:			
14	(1)	Not make the shared car available for use through a			
15		peer-to-peer car-sharing program if the shared car			
16		owner has received notice of a safety recall on the			
17		shared car, until the safety repair has been $[made;]$			
18		<pre>completed;</pre>			
19	(2)	Remove any vehicle listed for use through a peer-to-			
20		peer car-sharing program upon receipt of notice of a			
21		safety recall as soon as practicably possible but no			
22		longer than seventy-two hours after receipt of notice			
23		of a safety recall; and			

1 Notify the peer-to-peer car-sharing program of a (3) 2 safety recall within [seventy-two] forty-eight hours 3 of receipt of a safety recall when the vehicle is in 4 the possession of a shared car driver [so that the 5 peer-to-peer car-sharing program may notify the shared 6 car driver and the shared car may be removed from use until the shared car owner effects the necessary 7 8 safety recall repair]. 9 S -12 Department of transportation; airports division; 10 contract or agreement; rules. A peer-to-peer car-sharing 11 program shall enter into a contract or other agreement with the 12 airports division of the department of transportation pursuant 13 to section 261-7(a) prior to operating at any airport in the 14 State. A peer-to-peer car-sharing program shall comply with all 15 rules of the airports division of the department of 16 transportation. [5 -13 Relation to other laws. Chapter 437D shall not 17 18 apply to peer-to-peer car-sharing.] 19 -13 Additional mandatory charges prohibited. (a) S 20 Prior to the execution of a car-sharing agreement, the peer-to-21 peer car-sharing program shall disclose to the shared car driver 22 the total cost to be charged to the shared car driver that shall 23 include the total cost, as well as itemized descriptions of the 24 amount of each charge, that is required as a condition to the

1	peer-to-peer car-sharing program agreement. As part of any					
2	quotations of price, the peer-to-peer car-sharing program shall					
3	disclose, including all quotations contained in advertising or					
4	through online quotations, all costs that a shared car driver is					
5	required to pay as part of the car-sharing program agreement.					
6	(b) A peer-to-peer car-sharing program shall separately					
7	disclose the cost of any insurance offered to a shared car owner					
8	or shared car driver by or through the peer-to-peer car-sharing					
9	program. The cost of insurance shall be included in the total					
10	cost to be disclosed to a shared car driver as required by					
11	subsection (a).					
12	(c) A peer-to-peer car-sharing program and shared car					
13	owner shall not visibly pass on any costs or expenses, except					
14	the costs of insurance identified in subsection (b), incurred by					
15	the peer-to-peer car-sharing program or shared car owner					
16	relating to ownership, maintenance, or operation of the shared					
17	car or participation in or operation of the peer-to-peer car-					
18	sharing program.					
19	§ -14 Civil penalties. Any person who violates or					
20	attempts to violate any provision of this chapter shall be					
21	deemed to have engaged in an unfair or deceptive act or practice					
22	in the conduct of trade or commerce within the meaning of					
23	section 480-2.					

SECTION 2. Chapter 251, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is amended by adding a new section to be appropriately designated and to read as follows:

4 "§251- Peer-to-peer car-sharing surcharge tax. (a) 5 There is levied, assessed, and collected each month a peer-to-6 peer car-sharing tax of \$ per day, or any portion of a day, 7 that a shared vehicle is shared pursuant to a car-sharing 8 program agreement. [Notwithstanding any law to the contrary, 9 neither the tax levied pursuant to section 251-2(a) for rental 10 motor vehicles rented or leased by rental motor vehicle lessors, nor the tax provided for pursuant to section 251-2.5 on car-11 12 sharing organizations is applicable to peer-to-peer car 13 sharing.] 14 (b) The peer-to-peer car-sharing program shall be 15 responsible for remitting the surcharge tax to the department, 16 which shall deposit all of the moneys from the surcharge tax 17 into the state highway fund established by section 248-8. 18 [(b)] (c) For purposes of this section: 19 "Car-sharing program agreement" has the same meaning as in 20 section -1. 21 "Peer-to-peer car-sharing program" has the same meaning as 22 in section -1.

23 "Shared car" has the same meaning as in section -1."
24 SECTION 3. New statutory material is underscored.

1 SECTION 4. This Act shall take effect upon its approval.

<u>HB-333-HD-2</u>

Submitted on: 2/24/2021 8:49:48 PM Testimony for FIN on 2/25/2021 1:00:00 PM

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Paul Yuen	SOH/DCCA/Insurance Division	Comments	No

Comments:

Testifying in place of Insurance Commissioner Colin Hayashida. Standing on the Division's testimony. Thank you.

LATE *Testimony submitted late may not be considered by the Committee for decision making purposes.

DAVID Y. IGE GOVERNOR



TESTIMONY BY:

JADE T. BUTAY DIRECTOR

Deputy Directors LYNN A.S. ARAKI-REGAN DEREK J. CHOW ROSS M. HIGASHI EDWIN H. SNIFFEN

STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION 869 PUNCHBOWL STREET HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813-5097

February 25, 2021 1:00 P.M. State Capitol, Teleconference

H.B. 333, H.D. 2 RELATING TO PEER-TO-PEER CAR-SHARING

House Committee on Finance

The Department of Transportation (DOT) **supports** this bill which authorizes peer-to-peer car-sharing; and establishes the peer-to-peer car sharing surcharge tax. However, the DOT requests consideration be given to the preferred H.D. 2 language as proposed by the Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs' Office of Consumer Protection.

Notably, page 17 Section 2. Beginning on line 10 to be revised to read,

(b) For purposes of this section: The peer-to-peer car-sharing program shall be

responsible for remitting the surcharge tax to the department, which shall deposit all of

the moneys from the surcharge tax into the state highway fund established by section

<u>248-8.</u>

(c) For purposes of this section:"

The DOT agrees that peer-to-peer car-sharing is a green transportation innovation and may provide the benefits of automobile access to our community that are not able to own or prefer not to own vehicles but have an occasional need to access vehicles for daily activities.

The rental motor vehicle surcharge revenues deposited into the state highway fund amounted to \$58 million or 20% of the total revenues for fiscal year 2019. The rental vehicle surcharge tax is a major source of revenues for the State Highway Fund. The State Highway Fund financial plan relies on these revenues to support the continued operations, maintenance and construction of the State Highway System. The rental vehicle surcharge tax is also a major source of pledged revenues for the Highway Revenue Bonds. The peer-to-peer car-sharing vehicle surcharge tax may help to preserve the revenues deposited into the State Highway Fund.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide testimony.



February 25, 2021

The Honorable Sylvia Luke, Chair House Committee on Finance Hawaii State Capitol 415 South Beretania Street Honolulu HI 96813

RE: Internet Association Supports HB 333 (HD2)

Dear Chair Luke and Members of the Committee:

Internet Association (IA) appreciates the opportunity to explain our support for HB 333 (HD 2) which establishes statewide regulations for peer-to-peer car sharing. IA represents more than 40 of the world's leading internet companies, and advances public policy solutions that foster innovation, promote economic growth, and empower people through the free and open internet.

I would like to clarify that peer-to-peer vehicle sharing is not a rental car company. The biggest difference being rental car companies own fleets of vehicles and peer-to-peer (P2P) car sharing companies are online marketplaces which connect individual car owners also known as hosts with guests (persons needing a vehicle for a short period of time). This difference is important because establishing statewide regulation and safety standards is important for the residents of Hawaii, whether or not they engage in P2P car sharing.

IA appreciates your consideration of this important bill that defines the peer-to-peer car sharing industry separate and distinct from the rental car industry. As such, we hope future conversations around the surcharge in this bill take into account in ways that encourage opportunities for local Hawaii residents to engage in peer-to-peer car sharing.

HB 333 (HD 2) is an important piece of legislation because it establishes regulations for peer-to-peer (P2P) car sharing which is a nascent, yet vital, industry in the state of Hawaii. Residents of Hawaii use P2P for a variety of reasons, sometimes they want to do things not allowed in a rental car (go off road). Residents offer their vehicles for a variety of reasons, maybe pay off their car quicker or to supplement their income. Regardless, Hawaiians should be given the opportunity to know when they engage in car sharing they will have uniform protections and safety standards.

The legislation before you does five things:

- 1. Clearly defines peer-to-peer vehicle sharing
- 2. Establishes insurance requirements agreed to at the national level involving many stakeholders
- 3. Establishes consumer protection laws
- 4. Establishes safety regulations for P2P car sharing
- 5. Establishes a tax structure appropriate for P2P car sharing

Having these uniform regulations will ensure all Hawaiians are protected. It will also open up new opportunities to Hawaiians interested in hosting their vehicle to their neighbors or tourists.



....

IA believes Hawaii has an opportunity with passing HB 333 (HD 2) to promote this growing industry. IA requests you pass HB 333 (HD 2). If you have any questions please contact me at <u>rose@internetassociation.org</u> or 206-326-0712.

Sincerely,

Rose Feliciano Director, Northwest Region, State Government Affairs
LEGISLATIVE TAX BILL SERVICE

TAX FOUNDATION OF HAWAII

126 Queen Street, Suite 304

Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Tel. 536-4587

SUBJECT: RENTAL MOTOR VEHICLE, Add Tax for Peer-to-Peer Rentals

BILL NUMBER: HB 333, HD2

INTRODUCED BY: House Committee on Consumer Protection & Commerce

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: Authorizes peer to peer-to-peer car sharing. Establishes the peer-to-peer car sharing surcharge tax on the operator of the peer-to-peer program.

SYNOPSIS: Adds a new chapter to the HRS that regulates peer-to-peer car sharing.

Adds a new section to chapter 251, HRS, to impose a peer-to-peer car-sharing surcharge tax of \$_____ per day or portion of a day that a shared car is shared pursuant to a car-sharing program agreement. The peer-to-peer car-sharing surcharge tax shall be levied upon the operator of the peer-to-peer car-sharing program. Provides that this tax is in lieu of any tax under section 251-2(a) (for motor vehicles rented or leased by rental motor vehicle lessors) or 251-2.5 (for carsharing organizations).

EFFECTIVE DATE: 7/1/2050.

STAFF COMMENTS: Effective February 9, 2021, the Department adopted temporary rules under the authority of section 231-10.7, HRS, interpreting the existing RVST rules to apply to the peer-to-peer car-sharing industry.¹ At a minimum, the proposed measure should be compared against the temporary rules to evaluate secondary consequences of both the rules and this proposed measure.

The tax is currently an unspecified amount. We would understand if the tax is the same as the rate of RVST that is now imposed upon car rentals. If the tax is a different amount, we would be concerned about how the difference is justified.

Digested 2/23/2021

¹ <u>https://files.hawaii.gov/tax/legal/har_temp/RVST_marketplace_temporary_STANDARD_eff_20210209.pdf</u>



February 24, 2021

Representative Sylvia Luke, Chair Hawaii State Capitol 415 S Beretania St, Room 306 Honolulu, HI 96813

RE: HB 333 HD 2 (Aquino) Peer-to-Peer Vehicle Sharing - Support

Dear Chair Luke and Members,

TechNet is the national, bipartisan network of innovation economy CEOs and senior executives. Our diverse membership includes dynamic American businesses ranging from revolutionary start-ups to some of the most recognizable companies in the world. TechNet represents over three and a half million employees and countless customers in the fields of information technology, e-commerce, sharing and gig economies, advanced energy, cybersecurity, venture capital, and finance.

TechNet respectfully submits this letter in strong support of HB 333 HD 2 (Aquino) which sets out a comprehensive peer-to-peer vehicle sharing regulatory framework and is in line with language previously approved by the National Conference of Insurance Legislators (NCOIL) and the Council of State Governments (CSG). HB 333 HD2 has been developed between peer-to-peer vehicle sharing companies and all stakeholders who have worked in good faith this session.

The bill includes important consumer protections and safety measures including recall protections, robust insurance and driver licensure requirements, disclosures and much more. Importantly, this bill defines peer-to-peer vehicle as a novel and *unique* source of transportation and mobility, benefiting all Hawaii residents participating in the sharing economy. Furthermore, this legislation will enable and support Hawaii residents to share their personal vehicle or, get access to a vehicle from their neighbor or another resident of Hawaii.

Consumer protections outlined in HB 333 HD 2, include required emergency and roadside assistance for all customers; prohibitions on vehicles subject to federal safety recalls; establishes a notification process and protections for lienholders, lenders and lessors; and requires consumer disclosures of insurance and indemnification provisions, fees, terms and conditions of sharing agreements.

Mandatory insurance requirements in HB 333 HD 2 create clear mandatory insurance coverages for peer-to-peer vehicle sharing, requires vehicle sharing platforms to provide liability insurance for every transaction and ensures that the shared vehicle owner and



shared vehicle driver are provided insurance coverage. This bill also includes a surcharge that would be applied to Hawaii residents and TechNet urges the legislature to take into consideration an amount that is fair and that will continue to encourage the growth of the innovation economy.

Peer-to-peer vehicle sharing has become an incredibly convenient way of connecting people wishing to utilize internet-based platforms to safely and securely share their personal vehicle with drivers seeking affordable, convenient, accessible and locally sourced mobility options. Peer-to-peer vehicle sharing connects vehicle owners in your district and across Hawaii with people who need alternative methods of transportation. This technology provides users with more choice and allows Hawaii vehicle owners to create passive income opportunities using one of their largest assets – which is needed more than ever in these trying economic times. We urge you to support HB 333 HD 2 which TechNet believes fosters and encourages this growing innovation while establishing important safeguards and consumer protections.

Thank you for your consideration of this important bill that rightfully defines the peer-to-peer car sharing industry, *separate and distinct from the rental car industry*. As such, we hope future conversations around the surcharge in this bill take this into account in ways that encourage opportunities for local Hawaii residents to engage in peer-to-peer car sharing. If you have any questions regarding our support to HB 333 HD 2 please contact Cameron Demetre, Executive Director, at <u>cdemetre@technet.org</u> or 916-903-8070.

Sincerely,

in the

Cameron Demetre Executive Director, California and the Southwest TechNet



DATE: February 24, 2021

TO: Representative Sylvia Luke Chair, Committee on Finance

FROM: Matt Tsujimura

RE: H.B. 333, H.D. 2 Relating to Peer-to-Peer Car Sharing Hearing Date: Thursday, February 25, 2021 at 1:00 p.m.

Dear Chair Luke, Vice Chair Cullen, and members of the House Committee on Finance:

We submit this testimony on behalf of Enterprise Holdings, which includes Enterprise Rent-A-Car, Alamo Rent-A-Car, National Car Rental, and Enterprise Commute (Van Pool).

Enterprise **supports** H.B. 333, H.D. 2 which authorizes and regulates peer-to-peer car-sharing in the State. H.B. 333, H.D. 2 creates a new chapter in the Hawaii Revised Statutes to regulate peer-to-peer vehicle sharing in Hawaii.

The evolution of the rental car industry has created new and innovative ways to rent a car. Enterprise supports the evolution of the industry so long as consumer safety and accountability remain the priority. The emergence of the peer-to-peer carsharing model is a beneficial and innovative model that should be embraced with appropriate rules to allow it to grow in Hawaii. Providing the right structure through legislation will give greater choice to consumers; create more competition within the industry; and allow local car owners to earn extra income – all while creating a fair and equal competitive market for the companies.

H.B. 333, H.D. 2, based on model language from the National Council of Insurance Legislators. While NCOIL has left room for state specific amendments, the model language covers all major issues including: insurance coverage, vicarious liability, disclosures, record keeping, motor vehicle recalls, and surcharges. Enterprise supports this draft created by insurance companies, peer-to-peer companies, and the rental car industry.

H.B. 333, H.D. 2 is a comprehensive bill that includes language regarding consumer safety, insurance and indemnification, and applicable taxes and fees. Enterprise supports the passage of H.B. 333, H.D. 2 because it brings parity to the marketplace and ensures that all industries providing transportation to residents and visitors in Hawaii operate on a level and fair playing field.

We strongly support the passage of H.B. 333, H.D. 2.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify.



Testimony of Tami Bui – Senior Government Affairs Manager Turo Inc., San Francisco, CA **Support of HB 333, HD 2** February 25, 2021

Chair Luke and Vice Chair Cullen of the House Committee on Finance, I respectfully submit comments in **SUPPORT of HB 333, HD 2** on behalf of Turo, an internet-based, peer-to-peer car sharing platform.

Local Impact

Turo is a peer-to-peer car sharing platform that connects personal car owners with those in need of a mobility solution. Through the Turo online marketplace, anyone with the need of a mobility option can obtain the freedom a car can provide. In Hawai`i, our community of car owners share their car with mothers, fathers, neighbors and community members while earning a little extra income to help recover the high cost of car ownership or who are facing economic hardship.

Over the difficult past year, the Hawai`i peer-to-peer car sharing community has been there to support one another. In our community, there are stories of car owners sharing their car with an essential worker who needed a mobility option when public transportation was reduced, to a resident getting a car from a neighbor to get to their job because the DMV was not open and they could not update their own vehicle's registration, to Hawai`i residents making the choice to put money in the hands of other residents through utilizing peer-to-peer car sharing; Hawai`i residents have been there to support one another through these challenging and hard times.

HB 333, HD 2 enables peer-to-peer car sharing to continue to serve Hawai`i residents and their neighbors. This legislation clearly defines peer-to-peer car sharing platforms and activities; establishes new consumer protections for vehicle owners and drivers, including mandatory insurance coverages and liability insurance for every transaction; requires verification of valid drivers licenses; requires clear disclosures of insurance, fees, and terms and conditions of sharing agreements; explicitly defines the legal responsibilities of car sharing platforms, holds car sharing platforms accountable by mandating clear record keeping; places a daily surcharge on vehicle sharing in Hawai`i; in addition to establishing a comprehensive regulatory structure for a new industry.

Background on Peer-to-Peer Car Sharing Program Model Act and Compromises to Date

The foundation of this legislation is based on the Peer-to-Peer Car Sharing Program Model Act. This National Model is supported by the National Council of Insurance Legislators (NCOIL), where stakeholders, including the insurance industry, the peer-to-peer car sharing industry and the rental car industry, participated in the development of the legislation. What emerged was model legislation that was developed through compromises of all parties involved.

Additionally, this legislation is supported by the Council of State Governments as part of their Shared State Legislation. Over a dozen states to date regulate peer-to-peer car sharing and the national model continues to be adopted in full or part across the country.

HB 333, HD 2 aligns with the National Model as well as includes some key updates to reflect input from various stakeholders in Hawai'i. These compromises include:

• Vehicle Safety Recalls

This language would require the platform ensure that no vehicle with an active safety recall is made available on the platform both at the time of listing and prior to a car sharing transaction.

• Insurable Interest

In the 2020 legislative session, the Hawai'i Insurance Council raised an issue regarding Section 8, Insurable Interest, and the peer-to-peer car sharing industry agreed to remove language to address the concerns of the Hawai'i Insurance Council.

• Surcharge Tax:

The peer-to-peer car sharing community has agreed to include language in this legislation that would impose a surcharge for every day, or portion of a day, a vehicle is shared on a peer-to-peer car sharing platform.

Clarification on Surcharge Tax

At the last House Consumer Protection Committee hearing, there was an amendment which specifically notes that the surcharge tax be assessed against the peer-to-peer car sharing program.

First, we would like to offer some clarification. The intent of HB 333 is to define peer-to-peer car sharing as its own distinct industry and to differentiate a peer-to-peer marketplace from a traditional rental car company. While Turo accepts collecting and remitting the to be determined peer-to-peer surcharge on behalf of our hosts since we are the marketplace facilitating the transaction, we would like to clarify that the platform does not own vehicles and thus cannot ourselves make vehicles available for sharing which is an action taken by the shared car owner. We wish to underscore that this amendment can be confusing as it falsely equates a peer-to-peer car sharing platform with a lessor or vehicle owner which is in clear conflict with the definitions in the bill.

HB 333, HD 2 defines peer-to-peer car sharing different from traditional rental activity as a key intent of the legislation based upon the thoroughly negotiated NCOIL model bill. Policymakers

are in the position to consider, based on this clear distinction, what the proper tax and fee structure should be for this new and emerging industry.

While we respect that reasonable minds can have a range of opinions on this particular point, we must respectfully underscore that simply characterizing peer-to-peer car sharing as car rental for the purpose of levying the same surcharge, is not an appropriate answer to this question. And, it would seem that advocating for higher fees and taxes on consumers to be contrary to the objective of protecting consumers. At the very least, policy must take into consideration the unique three-party transaction of peer-to-peer car sharing and the benefits already accorded to the traditional rental car industry.

Differences between Peer-to-Peer Car Sharing and Rental Car

Rental car companies purchase their fleets with a tax break on the GET, no county taxes, and pass on any additional fees to their customers. This tax exemption costs the state an estimated \$13.5 million annually. During a rental car transaction, rental companies collect and remit the GET, applicable county taxes, a \$5 daily surcharge fee, and recover vehicle licensing fees from their customers.

By contrast, local residents pay the full GET, county taxes, and all titling costs when purchasing their vehicles. When they engage in peer-to-peer car sharing (in this case, they become a "host" who is sharing their car to a customer), they are obligated to collect GET on this transaction (as is the platform). In sum, rental car companies pass on nearly all taxes and fees to their customers whereas a peer-to-peer host has massive upfront costs and is only allowed to pass on the GET from a peer-to-peer transaction, not the taxes and fees paid on the purchase of their vehicle.

The surcharge fee on peer-to-peer car sharing should be less and thought of differently because the rental cars industry already receives a GET tax break on the purchase of the car, which justifies the \$5 surcharge fee. Turo hosts do not get that GET tax break on the purchase, nor are we advocating they do, but this underscores why there is a need for a different surcharge structure on peer-to-peer car sharing that is less than rental cars.

Since peer-to-peer hosts (as a reminder, platforms do not own vehicles) did not benefit from this exemption and did pay full taxes and fees upon purchasing their car, and are not engaged in the business of rental, applying a full rental surcharge to peer-to-peer transactions in addition to GET (which is addressed in a marketplace facilitator law) would amount to double taxation on peer-to-peer while preserving the extraordinary tax subsidy of the rental companies.

Put simply, if the peer-to-peer car sharing industry and the local resident/host is paying the full GET twice (both at the time of purchase and again for the transaction), we maintain that the peer-to-peer car sharing platform and local resident/host should pay a proportionately lower

surcharge fee to ensure a level playing field instead of a cumulatively higher tax burden on one industry.

No state to date has taxed peer-to-peer at the same rate as rental

States that have considered peer-to-peer regulatory legislation have decided to either add no additional fees or create a lower tax structure taking into consideration the tax advantages provided to the traditional rental car industry.

For example, in the state of Maryland, one of the first to consider peer-to-peer car sharing legislation, decided to tax this emerging industry an excise tax rate lower than that of traditional rental car companies, specifically taking into consideration the tax exemption afforded to the rental car industry that cannot be enjoyed by peer-to-peer car sharing.

Additionally, states like Tennessee completely exempted peer-to-peer car sharing from the rental car excise tax. In Indiana, another early state to consider this industry, chose to charge peer-to-peer a surcharge that was 50% of the rental car surcharge to account for their front-end exemption.

The impact of not taking this tax difference into consideration

The cumulatively higher tax burden placed on peer-to-peer car sharing will result in higher prices that will not make hosts competitive in the market.

The incentive for use of peer-to-peer car sharing is gone if the surcharge is too steep so that the resident host is unable to market their car at a favorable cost due to increased taxes and fees.

This logic was shown in a <u>Colorado Department of Transportation study</u> that found high elasticity of demand for peer-to-peer car sharing as compared to rental, with increased prices, even in very small increments, heavily impacting demand for the emerging industry.

Turo and our community of Hawai`i peer-to-peer car sharing residents are appreciative of the legislature's interest in protecting consumers while also establishing a comprehensive regulatory framework for peer-to-peer car sharing that supports Hawai`i residents who share their personal vehicles.

We look forward to a discussion on the surcharge rate taking into consideration the aforementioned differences between peer-to-peer car sharing and the rental car industry.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments in **SUPPORT of HB 333, HD 2**.

TESTIMONY OF NAHELANI WEBSTER ON BEHALF OF THE HAWAII ASSOCIATION FOR JUSTICE (HAJ) IN OPOSITION TO H.B. 333 HD2

Date: Tuesday, February 25, 2021 Time: 1:00 p.m.

My name is Nahelani Webster and I am presenting this testimony on behalf of the Hawaii Association for Justice (HAJ) in opposition to H.B. 333 HD2, Relating to Peer-to-Peer Car Sharing. We oppose H.B. 333 HD2 because it does not ensure that vehicles used for car sharing are covered by insurance that is adequate in amount and complies with the requirements of Hawaii motor vehicle insurance law.

The rise of Peer-to-Peer Car Sharing throughout the United States has resulted in a wave of legislative efforts and lobbying. The Peer-to-Peer trend has an impact on the insurance industry, the rent-a-car industry, state tax collectors, and of course the companies deriving revenue from Peer-to-Peer transactions. They have all had a voice in crafting legislation. But who speaks for the drivers, passengers and pedestrians injured in motor vehicle accidents on Hawaii's roadways? We submit that this current bill does not take their interest into account as currently drafted and recommend the following amendments.

The question lawmakers face is how to address the fact that many automobiles licensed in Hawaii lose their state-mandated coverage when they are used in a Peer-to-Peer Car Share. Individual motor vehicle policies commonly exclude coverage for injuries arising from the use of an auto for business or financial gain. This means cars on our roadways with ample insurance to cover the loss of life and property suddenly provide no protection for motor vehicle accidents that occur in or around a car-sharing period. A pedestrian or motorist injured in an accident with a car share driver will not be able to rely on the coverage that the vehicle owner purchased and may find themselves forced to pursue an out of state policy issued to an at-fault driver (if they are lucky and driver possessed insurance, which is certainly not guaranteed).

H.B. 333 HD2 creates a gap in insurance, in a way that provides the least protection to Hawaii drivers, passengers and pedestrians. Insurers are expressly permitted to withdraw statutorily mandated, UM, UIM and optional coverage for insured vehicles use in car sharing. Wealthy car share platforms are permitted to satisfy the bill's meagre requirements by ensuring that there is insurance available providing the minimum \$20,000 in liability coverage; the carrier need not even be licensed in Hawaii. The net effect of H.B. 333 HD2 will be to reduce the amount and quality of insurance protection available to people injured in motor vehicle accidents.

The bill resembles one proposed last year by the National Council of Insurance Legislators. The Model Act was drafted with input from corporate stakeholders including Turo, Enterprise and the State Farm, but apparently with no input from consumers, drivers or pedestrians. We submit that it is not an appropriate model for Hawaii, and this state should look instead to the laws enacted in California, Oregon, Washington, Maine and other states, which require that peer-to-peer companies provide an appropriate type and level of coverage on the vehicle during the car share.

Requiring adequate coverage from Peer-to Peer corporations would be consistent with the Hawaii statute regulating Transportation Network Companies, Act 236 enacted in 2016 (HB260 SD1 CD1). Lawmakers faced an analogous situation: licensed vehicles losing protection, in that case because their owners were using them for commercial activity. Lawmakers appropriately put the burden on the companies making substantial profit from the activity, the Ubers and the Lyfts. It mandated that they provide \$1 million liability coverage provided by an insurance company licensed in Hawaii or an approved surplus lines broker. There is no rational basis for giving \$1 million to a pedestrian struck by an Uber driver, and \$20,000 for a pedestrian struck by a person sharing a vehicle through Turo.

In the preamble to the model legislation, the National Council cautions that the model act needs to be tailored to "accommodate the particular needs of that state." In this case the bill needs to be tailored to reflect Hawaii's particular need to ensure adequate coverage for persons injured in motor vehicle accidents in this remote state. Tailoring is also required because Hawaii's liability limits are among the lowest in the United States. Forty states have higher liability coverage requirements than Hawaii. The model act requires only that the company providing the peer to peer platform ensure liability coverage meeting the state minimum, but that has a different impact in North Carolina where the minimum is \$30,000; or Maine where the limit is \$50,000; than it would here.

Hawaii has kept its liability coverage limits low to benefit cash strapped residents who struggle to afford coverage. It was not intended to benefit non-resident Corporations deriving millions from transactions in the State. Those entities should be made to pay their fair share.

HAJ also believes that the provision of the bill allowing Hawaii licensed insurers to avoid all coverage for car sharing is wrong and dangerous. Given the growing prevalence of car sharing by Hawaii residents, this provision of the bill will result in many vehicles in this state being stripped of coverage mandated by the motor vehicle insurance laws, and many persons injured lacking the minimum coverage deemed essential for health and safety. If lawmakers want to allow insurers a car-share exclusion out of a concern that car sharing poses risks not usually found under personal motor vehicle policies, it should require insurers to offer a car sharing endorsement for an appropriate fee.

Thank you for allowing us to testify regarding this measure. Please feel free to contact us should you have any questions or desire additional information.