STAND. COM. REP. NO. 1408

Honolulu, Hawaii

, 2021 MAR 25

S.B. No. 601 RE:

> S.D. 1 H.D. 1

Honorable Scott K. Saiki Speaker, House of Representatives Thirty-First State Legislature Regular Session of 2021 State of Hawaii

Sir:

Your Committee on Consumer Protection & Commerce, to which was referred S.B. No. 601, S.D. 1, entitled:

"A BILL FOR AN ACT RELATING TO ROOFING CONTRACTORS,"

begs leave to report as follows:

The purpose of this measure is to protect consumers from unscrupulous roofing contractors by:

- Prohibiting roofing contractors from advertising or (1)promising to pay or rebate a property or casualty insurance deductible to induce an insured homeowner to purchase goods or services;
- (2) Establishing an insured's right to rescind a contract with a roofing contractor to provide goods or services to be paid from the proceeds of an insurance policy claim and requiring the roofing contractor to return funds to the insured after the rescission, in certain circumstances;
- (3) Requiring roofing contractors to provide certain forms to an insured, prior to entering into a contract;

- (4) Prohibiting roofing contractors from representing or negotiating, or offering or advertising such, on behalf of an insured in any insurance claim; and
- (5) Specifying that violations are deemed unfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive acts or practices and subject to the laws that govern such acts.

Your Committee received testimony in support of this measure from the National Insurance Crime Bureau. Your Committee received testimony in opposition to this measure from the Contractors License Board and Roofing Contractors Association of Hawaii. Your Committee received comments on this measure from the State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company.

Your Committee finds that, after significant weather events, contractors may take advantage of homeowners by convincing homeowners to replace their entire roof even in scenarios where a homeowner's roof has sustained minimal or no damage. Your Committee further finds that unscrupulous individuals in these situations may represent themselves to homeowners as insurance claims experts who can obtain insurance proceeds to fix a house, even when these individuals are not licensed independent adjusters. This measure seeks to prohibit or remedy these types of actions.

Your Committee notes that the rescission period of five business days, as proposed in this measure, conflicts with existing law governing door-to-door sales, which provides a rescission period of three business days.

Your Committee has amended this measure by:

- (1) Changing the time window in which an insured may rescind a contract with a roofing contractor from five business days to an unspecified number of business days;
- (2) Requiring that evidence of denial or partial denial of the claim by the insurer must be provided by the insured with the notice of rescission to the roofing contractor;
- (3) Specifying that, if the notice of rescission is mailed, then it is effective upon dispatch when mailed by registered or certified mail;

2021-2618 SB601 HD1 HSCR HMSO

- (4) Amending the definition of "roofing contractor" to specify that a roofing contractor is a person whose scope of practice is within the C-42 specialty contractor classification specified under the laws regulating contractors;
- (5) Changing the effective date to January 1, 2050, to encourage further discussion; and
- (6) Making technical, nonsubstantive amendments for the purposes of clarity, consistency, and style.

As affirmed by the record of votes of the members of your Committee on Consumer Protection & Commerce that is attached to this report, your Committee is in accord with the intent and purpose of S.B. No. 601, S.D. 1, as amended herein, and recommends that it pass Second Reading in the form attached hereto as S.B. No. 601, S.D. 1, H.D. 1, and be referred to your Committee on Finance.

Respectfully submitted on behalf of the members of the Committee on Consumer Protection & Commerce,

AARON NG JOHANSON, Chair

State of Hawaii



Record of Votes of the Committee on Consumer Protection & Commerce

Bill/Resolution No.:	Committee Referral: Date:		3/18/2	1
SBGOI SDI	CPC, FIN		211012	1
☐ The committee is reconsidering its previous	ious decision on the measure.			!
The recommendation is to: Pass, unamended (as is) Pass, with amendments (HD) Hold Pass short form bill with HD to recommit for future public hearing (recommit)				
CPC Members	Ayes	Ayes (WR)	Nays	Excused
1. JOHANSON, Aaron Ling (C)				
2. KITAGAWA, Lisa (VC)				
3. AQUINO, Henry J.C.				
4 HAD Shoron E		The state of the s		
4. HAR, Sharon E.	V			
5. HASHEM, Mark J.			and the control of th	The state of the state of the same and the state of the same
The second of se			udita da barak	
6. KONG, Sam Satoru	V		Hillian Billian a Na seeda a la tiikata aan an eel aan ata a	All manus and a state of the control
7. MIZUNO, John M.	V			
	and the same of th			
8. MORIKAWA, Dee				
9. ONISHI, Richard H.K.	Y_			
10 TADNAS David A				
10. TARNAS, David A.				
11. MATSUMOTO, Lauren	V			A and a minimum and a minimum for the second
The state of the s	•			
		1		
TOTAL (11)		0	0	0
The recommendation is: Adopted If joint referral, did not support recommendation. committee acronym(s)				
Vice Chair's or designee's signature:				
Distribution: Original (White) – Committee Duplicate (Yellow) – Chief Clerk's Office Duplicate (Pink) – HMSO				