A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO COSMETICS.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

- 1 SECTION 1. The legislature finds that for more than fifty
- 2 years, cosmetic manufacturers have used animals in painful tests
- 3 to assess the safety of chemicals used in cosmetic products.
- 4 Today, modern approaches that are cheaper, faster, and more
- 5 reliable at predicting adverse human reactions are widely
- 6 available and are becoming more accessible each year. In
- 7 addition, companies now have thousands of existing cosmetic
- 8 ingredients with histories of safe use that have long been sold
- 9 and utilized.
- 10 California was the first state to prohibit the sale of
- 11 cosmetics tested on animals, which was supported by dozens of
- 12 cosmetic companies and industry associations, including the
- 13 Personal Care Products Council, California Retailers
- 14 Association, and California Manufacturers and Technology
- 15 Association. The California ban took effect on January 1, 2020,
- 16 and was joined by Nevada and Illinois. In 2013, the United
- 17 States' largest trading partner, the European Union, ended the



S.B. NO. 345 S.D. 2 H.D. 1

- 1 importation and sale of cosmetics that have been tested on
- 2 animals. Today, more than thirty countries have banned cosmetic
- 3 animal testing, and several others have legislation pending.
- 4 The purpose of this Act is to prohibit manufacturers from
- 5 selling cosmetic products in the State that are tested on
- $\mathbf{6}$ animals on or after January 1, 2022, in a cruel manner. It is
- 7 not the intent of this Act to penalize retailers or consumers
- 8 who rely on manufacturers to meet state laws or rules, nor is it
- 9 the intent to prohibit the continued import or export of
- 10 cosmetic products in the State from or to other countries if the
- 11 safety of the product sold in the State does not rely on data
- 12 from animal testing that was performed after 2021. This
- 13 approach is consistent with the implementation of the European
- 14 Union regulations and the recently adopted laws in California,
- 15 Nevada, and Illinois.
- 16 SECTION 2. Chapter 321, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
- 17 amended by adding a new section to part I to be appropriately
- 18 designated and to read as follows:
- 19 "\$321- Cosmetics; animal testing; prohibition. (a)
- 20 Notwithstanding any other law to the contrary, and except as
- 21 otherwise provided in this section, it shall be unlawful for a

S.B. NO. 345 S.D. 2

- 1 manufacturer to import for profit, sell, or offer for sale in
- 2 the State any cosmetic for which the manufacturer knew or
- 3 reasonably should have known that an animal test was conducted
- 4 or contracted, by or on behalf of the manufacturer or any
- 5 supplier of the manufacturer, on or after January 1, 2022, in a
- 6 cruel manner, as identified in section 711-1108.5(1)(a).
- 7 (b) A violation of this section shall be punishable by a
- 8 fine of \$5,000 and an additional \$1,000 for each day the
- 9 violation continues.
- 10 (c) A violation of this section may be enforced by the
- 11 prosecuting attorney of the county in which the violation
- 12 occurred. The fine shall be paid to the county in which the
- 13 violation occurred.
- 14 (d) A prosecuting attorney may, upon a determination that
- 15 there is a reasonable likelihood that a violation has occurred
- 16 under this section, require a cosmetic manufacturer to disclose
- 17 for the prosecuting attorney's review the testing data upon
- 18 which the cosmetic manufacturer has relied in the development or
- 19 manufacturing of the relevant cosmetic product sold in the
- 20 State.

I	<u>(e)</u>	To the extent testing data disclosed under this
2	section m	ay be withheld from public disclosure as confidential
3	business	information or otherwise under section 92F-13, it shall
4	be treate	d as confidential and shall not be disclosed except to
5	the exten	t necessary for enforcement of this section. A
6	prosecuti	ng attorney shall take other appropriate measures
7	necessary	to preserve the confidentiality of the information
8	produced	pursuant to this section.
9	<u>(f)</u>	Counties or other political subdivisions of the State
10	shall not	establish any prohibition on or relating to animal
11	tests as	defined in this section that are not identical to the
12	prohibiti	ons set forth in this section and that do not include
13	the exemp	tions contained in this section.
14	(g)	This section shall not apply to:
15	(1)	An animal test of a cosmetic that is required by a
16		federal or state regulatory authority if all of the
17		following apply:
18		(A) The cosmetic or an ingredient in the cosmetic
19		that is being tested is in wide use and cannot be
20		replaced by another cosmetic or ingredient
21		capable of performing a similar function;

S.B. NO. 345 S.D. 2 H.D. 1

1		<u>(B)</u>	A specific human health problem relating to the
2			cosmetic or ingredient is substantiated and the
3			need to conduct animal tests is justified and is
4			supported by a detailed research protocol
5			proposed as the basis for the evaluation of the
6			cosmetic or ingredient; and
7		<u>(C)</u>	There is no non-animal testing method accepted
8			for the relevant purpose by the applicable
9			federal or state regulatory authority;
10	(2)	<u>An a</u>	nimal test that was conducted to comply with a
11		requ	irement of a foreign regulatory authority, if no
12		evid	ence derived from that test was relied upon to
13		subs	tantiate the safety of a cosmetic sold within the
14		Stat	e by the manufacturer;
15	(3)	<u>An a</u>	nimal test that was conducted on any product or
16		ingr	edient subject to the requirements of subchapter V
17		of t	he Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 United
18		Stat	es Code 351 et seq.), as amended;
19	(4)	Exce	pt as otherwise provided in this subsection, an
20		anim	al test that was conducted for purposes unrelated
21		to c	osmetics pursuant to a requirement of a federal,

S.B. NO. 345 S.D. 2 H.D. 1

1		state, or foreign regulatory agency; provided that no
2		evidence derived from the testing was relied upon to
3		substantiate the safety of a cosmetic sold within this
4		State by the manufacturer; provided further that if
5		evidence from such testing was relied upon for that
6		purpose, the prohibition in paragraph (1) does not
7		apply if:
8		(A) Documentary evidence exists of the intent of the
9		test that was unrelated to cosmetics; and
10		(B) The ingredient that was the subject of the
11		testing has been used for purposes unrelated to
12		cosmetics for not less than twelve months prior
13		to the reliance;
14	(5)	A cosmetic if the cosmetic in its final form was
15		tested on animals before January 1, 2022, even if the
16		cosmetic is manufactured on or after that date;
17	(6)	An ingredient in a cosmetic if the ingredient was sold
18		in this State and tested on animals before January 1,
19		2022, even if the ingredient is manufactured on or
20		after that date; or

S.B. NO. 345 S.D. 2 H.D. 1

1	(1) A manufacturer reviewing, assessing, or recarming			
2	evidence from animal testing as defined in this			
3	section.			
4	(h) As used in this section:			
5	"Animal test" means the internal or external application of			
6	a cosmetic, either in its final form or any ingredient thereof,			
7	to the skin, eyes, or other body part of a live, nonhuman			
8	vertebrate.			
9	"Cosmetic" means any article intended to be rubbed, poured,			
10	sprinkled, or sprayed on; introduced into; or otherwise applied			
11	to the human body or any part thereof for cleansing,			
12	beautifying, promoting attractiveness, or altering the			
13	appearance, including personal hygiene products such as			
14	deodorant, shampoo, or conditioner.			
15	"Ingredient" means any component of a cosmetic as defined			
16	by title 21 Code of Federal Regulations section 700.3, as			
17	amended.			
18	"Manufacturer" means any person whose name appears on the			
19	label of a cosmetic product pursuant to the requirements of			
20	title 21 Code of Federal Regulations section 701.12, as amended.			

- 1 "Supplier" means any entity that supplies, directly or
- 2 through a third party, any ingredient used in the formulation of
- 3 a manufacturer's cosmetic."
- 4 SECTION 3. This Act does not affect rights and duties that
- 5 matured, penalties that were incurred, and proceedings that were
- 6 begun before its effective date.
- 7 SECTION 4. New statutory material is underscored.
- 8 SECTION 5. This Act shall take effect on May 6, 2137.

Report Title:

Cosmetics; Cruelty-free; Animal Testing; Prohibition; Fines

Description:

Bans the import for profit, sale, and offer for sale of any cosmetic in the State if the final product or any component of the final product was developed or manufactured using animal testing performed on or after 1/1/2022 in a cruel manner. Effective 5/6/2137. (HD1)

The summary description of legislation appearing on this page is for informational purposes only and is not legislation or evidence of legislative intent.