
HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

REQUESTING THE AUDITOR TO UPDATE ITS REPORT NO. 12-09, WHICH
ASSESSES THE SOCIAL AND FINANCIAL EFFECTS OF MANDATORY
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE FOR FERTILITY PRESERVATION
PROCEDURES FOR PERSONS OF REPRODUCTIVE AGE WHO HAVE BEEN
DIAGNOSED WITH CANCER.

1 WHEREAS, certain cancers and treatment procedures may
2 affect a person's ability to procreate by damaging the person's
3 reproductive organs; and
4

5 WHEREAS, due to the high costs of fertility preservation
6 procedures and the narrow window to obtain services, the
7 procedure is unattainable for many people; and
8

9 WHEREAS, mandated health care coverage for fertility
10 preservation procedures would allow persons who are diagnosed
11 with cancer, and who will undergo treatment that may affect
12 their fertility, to have the opportunity to have a family in the
13 future; and
14

15 WHEREAS, section 23-51, Hawaii Revised Statutes, requires
16 that "before any legislative measure that mandates health
17 insurance coverage for specific health services, specific
18 diseases, or certain providers of health care services as part
19 of individual or group health insurance policies, can be
20 considered, there shall be concurrent resolutions passed
21 requesting the [A]uditor to prepare and submit to the
22 [L]egislature a report that assesses both the social and
23 financial effects of the proposed mandated coverage . . ."; and
24

25 WHEREAS, section 23-52, Hawaii Revised Statutes, outlines
26 the specific topics to be addressed in the Auditor's report
27 required under section 23-51, Hawaii Revised Statutes; and
28

29 WHEREAS, the Legislature adopted H.C.R. No. 9, S.D. 1
30 during the Regular Session of 2012 to assess mandating health



H.C.R. NO. 110

1 insurance screening coverage of fertility preservation
2 procedures for persons of reproductive age diagnosed with
3 cancer; and
4

5 WHEREAS, in October 2012, the Auditor issued its Report No.
6 12-09, entitled "Mandatory Health Insurance Coverage for
7 Fertility Preservation Procedures for People of Reproductive Age
8 Diagnosed with Cancer"; and
9

10 WHEREAS, the report found that "there is insufficient data
11 to assess the social and financial impacts of mandating
12 insurance coverage. Individuals diagnosed with cancer, who may
13 want to preserve their reproductive ability, must seek the
14 service on their own and bear the full costs, which could be
15 upwards of \$10,000. . . . [B]ut we conclude that the number of
16 people generally utilizing the procedures is unknown and the
17 level of public demand is low"; and
18

19 WHEREAS, since that time, there have been ten states that
20 have enacted fertility preservation coverage: California,
21 Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Illinois, Maryland, New
22 Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, and Rhode Island; and
23

24 WHEREAS, with the experience in ten other states of various
25 size, geography, and demographics, the Auditor can now evaluate
26 additional experiential data and actual financial impacts can
27 likely be better assessed for updated and more accurate
28 findings, especially with published detailed reports from
29 California and Connecticut; now, therefore,
30

31 BE IT RESOLVED by the House of Representatives of the
32 Thirty-first Legislature of the State of Hawaii, Regular Session
33 of 2021, the Senate concurring, that the Auditor is requested to
34 update its impact assessment Report No. 12-09 and pursuant to
35 sections 23-51 and 23-52, Hawaii Revised Statutes, evaluate the
36 social and financial effects of mandating coverage for fertility
37 preservation procedures for persons who are of reproductive age
38 and have been diagnosed with cancer, and will undergo treatment
39 that may adversely affect the person's fertility; and
40

41 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Auditor is requested to
42 submit a report of its findings and recommendations to the



H.C.R. NO. 110

1 Legislature no later than twenty days prior to the convening of
2 the Regular Session of 2022; and

3
4 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that certified copies of this
5 Concurrent Resolution be transmitted to the Auditor and the
6 Insurance Commissioner who, in turn, is requested to transmit
7 copies to each organization that issues health insurance
8 policies in the State that may be affected by this Concurrent
9 Resolution.

10
11
12

OFFERED BY: *Debra A. Delotti*

MAR 11 2021

