DAVID Y. IGE GOVERNOR OF HAWAII





## STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES

POST OFFICE BOX 621 HONOLULU, HAWAII 96809

Testimony of SUZANNE D. CASE Chairperson

Before the Senate Committee on JUDICIARY

Monday, February 24, 2020 12:15 PM State Capitol, Conference Room 016

## In consideration of SENATE BILL 2249, SENATE DRAFT 1 RELATING TO HISTORIC PRESERVATION

Senate Bill 2249, Senate Draft 1 proposes to amend Section 6E-11, Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS) to clarify the definition of civil and administrative violations to include conducting certain archaeological activities by any person who: (1) has not complied with the provisions of Sections 6E-8 and 6E-42, HRS; (2) has conducted archaeological activities without obtaining the necessary permits from the Department of Land and Natural Resources (Department) or required permits or approvals from the appropriate county or state agency; or (3) failed comply with any terms or conditions of a mitigation plan approved by the Department or any agreed upon mitigation condition or commitment. **The Department strongly supports this measure.** 

Senate Bill 2249, Senate Draft 1 addresses the persistent issue involving archaeological activities conducted in violation of Sections 6E-8 or 6E-42, HRS. Senate Bill 2249, Senate Draft 1 clarifies that certain archaeological activities not consistent with Sections 6E- and 6E-42, HRS, including activities that are not consistent with the activities specified in a permit or approval, or an approved mitigation plan or commitment are violations of Section 6E-11, HRS

The Department believes that the provisions of Senate Bill 2249, Senate Draft 1 will support the efforts of participants in Chapter 6E, HRS, review processes, including agencies, developers and their project contractors and consultants, private landowners, the Native Hawaiian community, and historic preservation advocates, as well as the Department's State Historic Preservation Division by clarifying the definitions of civil and administrative violations to ensure greater compliance with the Section 6E-8 and 6E-42, HRS, review processes.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this measure.

## SUZANNE D. CASE CHAIRPERSON BOARD OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES COMMISSION ON WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

ROBERT K. MASUDA

M. KALEO MANUEL DEPUTY DIRECTOR - WATER

AQUATIC RESOURCES
BOATING AND OCEAN RECREATION
BUREAU OF CONVEY ANCES
COMMISSION ON WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT
CONSERVATION AND COASTAL LANDS
CONSERVATION AND RESOURCES ENFORCEMENT
ENGINEERING
FORESTRY AND WILDLIFE
HISTORIC PRESERVATION
KAHOOLAWE ISLAND RESERVE COMMISSION

LAND STATE PARKS TO: Senator Karl Rhoads, Chair

Senator Jarrett Keohokalole., Vice Chair

Senate Committee on Judiciary

FROM: Angus Raff-Tierney, M.A., Legislative Comittee Chair,

Society for Hawaiian Archaeology angusrafftierney@yahoo.com

HEARING: February, 24 2020, 12:15 PM, Conference Room 016

SUBJECT: Testimony in **support with comments** on SB 2249 SD1, Relating to Historic Preservation

SOCIETY FOR HAWAIIAN

ARCHAEOLOGY

I am Angus Raff-Tierney, Chair of the Legislative Committee of the Society for Hawaiian Archaeology (SHA). We have over 150 members including professional archaeologists and advocates of historic preservation. On behalf of SHA, we **support and offer comments** on SB 2249 SD1 (Relating to Historic Preservation).

The intent of SB 2249 is to ensure better protection of vulnerable historic properties, and to provide more accountability and transparency in historic preservation compliance, as managed by the State Historic Preservation Division (SHPD). There have been too many instances of archaeology firms and developers taking advantage of the lack of oversight and inability to intact consequences by the SHPD. This has allowed, in some cases, the destruction of sites with important research data and the states treasured heritage.

However, we caution that as it is currently written, the definitions herein are too vague. They leave open the possibility of fines being levied against persons that are not the intention of the bill. There are archaeological activities and work that are not governed by permits that can be conducted on sites from afar without ever setting foot on the site being researched, and without causing any damage to it. Examples of this archaeology are the following: background research, studying already curated collections, aerial photography, and other remote sensing. While it is clearly not the intent of this bill to target this non-invasive archaeology, we suggest adding language that clarifies this so that if it becomes law it is not misconstrued.

Should the bill pass out of this committee, we request to be consulted as a stakeholder in future deliberations on an amended bill. Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at the above email. Mahalo for considering our testimony.

<u>SB-2249-SD-1</u> Submitted on: 2/21/2020 6:55:27 PM

Testimony for JDC on 2/24/2020 12:15:00 PM

| Submitted By                 | Organization | Testifier<br>Position | Present at Hearing |
|------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Benton Kealii Pang,<br>Ph.D. | Individual   | Support               | No                 |

Comments: