# HB 71

### A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO SURPLUS LINES.

#### BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

- 1 SECTION 1. Section 431:8-302, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
- 2 amended to read as follows:
- 3 "§431:8-302 Surplus lines insurers. (a) No surplus lines
- 4 broker shall, either knowingly or without reasonable
- 5 investigation of the financial condition and general reputation
- 6 of the insurer, place insurance with a financially unsound
- 7 insurer or with an insurer engaging in an unfair practice.
- 8 (b) A surplus lines broker may place surplus lines
- 9 insurance only with insurers who are authorized to write that
- 10 type of insurance in the insurer's domiciliary state.
- 11 (c) A surplus lines broker shall not place coverage with
- 12 an unauthorized insurer unless, at the time of placement, the
- 13 surplus lines broker has determined that:
- 14 (1) The unauthorized insurer has capital and surplus or
- its equivalent under the laws of its domiciliary state
- that equal the greater of the minimum capital

1		requ	irement of this State or a minimum of \$15,000,000;
2		prov	rided that:
3		(A)	Minimum capital requirements may be satisfied by
4			the insurer's possessing less than the minimum
5			capital and surplus upon an affirmative finding
6			of acceptability by the commissioner;
7		(B)	A finding of acceptability pursuant to
8			subparagraph (A) shall be based upon factors such
9			as quality of management, capital and surplus of
10			any parent company, company underwriting profit
11			and investment income trends, market
12			availability, and company record and reputation
13			within the industry; and
14		(C)	The commissioner shall not make an affirmative
15			finding of acceptability pursuant to subparagraph
16			(A) if the unauthorized insurer's capital and
17			surplus is less than \$4,500,000; or
18	(2)	For	an insurer not domiciled in the United States or
19		its	territories, the insurer shall be listed on the
20		Qua	rterly Listing of Alien Insurers maintained by the

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			

19

20

21

National Association of Insurance Commissioners
International Insurers Department; provided that:

- (A) If an alien insurer is not in the Quarterly
  Listing of Alien Insurers, the surplus lines
  broker shall maintain in the broker's office
  evidence of the financial responsibility of the
  insurer; and
- (B) Evidence satisfactory to the commissioner that the insurer maintains in the United States an irrevocable trust fund in either a national bank or a member of the Federal Reserve System in an amount of not less than \$5,400,000 consisting of cash, securities, letters of credit, or of investments of substantially the same character and quality as those which are eligible investments for the capital and statutory reserves of authorized insurers writing like kinds of insurance in this State, for the protection of all its policyholders in the United States, shall constitute prima facie evidence of the financial responsibility of the insurer.

1	(d) The commissioner is authorized to enter into a
2	cooperative agreement or interstate agreement or compact to
3	establish additional and alternative nationwide uniform
4	eligibility requirements that shall be applicable to
5	unauthorized insurers domiciled in another state.] "
6	SECTION 2. Section 431:8-305, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
7	amended by amending subsection (a) to read as follows:
8	"(a) Upon placing surplus lines insurance, the surplus
9	lines broker shall as soon as reasonably possible deliver to the
10	insured the policy or, if the policy is not available, the
11	surplus lines broker's certificate, cover note, binder, or other
12	evidence of insurance. Any confirmation of insurance shall be
13	executed by the surplus lines broker and shall show:
14	(1) The policy number, effective date, home state, and a
15	description and location of the subject of the
16	insurance;
17	(2) A general description of the coverages, including any
18	material limitations other than those in standard
19	forms;
20	(3) The premium and rate charged[, itemized by each
21	<pre>state];</pre>

1	(4)	The taxes and fees to be collected from the insured[ $\!\tau\!$
2		<pre>itemized by each state];</pre>
3	(5)	The name and address of the insured;
4	(6)	The name and address of the insurer;
5	(7)	If the direct risk is assumed by more than one
6		insurer, the certificate shall state the name and
7		address and proportion of the entire direct risk
8		assumed by each insurer; and
9	(8)	The name of the surplus lines broker and such broker's
10		license number."
11	SECT	ION 3. Section 431:8-312, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
12	amended by	y amending subsection (a) to read as follows:
13	"(a)	Each licensed surplus lines broker shall keep in the
14	broker's	office in this State a full and true record of each
15	surplus l	ines contract placed by the broker including a copy of
16	the polic	y, certificate, cover note, or other evidence of
17	insurance	including, as applicable:
18	(1)	Amount of the insurance and perils insured;
19	(2)	Brief description of the property insured and its
20		location;

### H.B. NO. 7(

1 Gross premium, taxes, and fees charged[, itemized by (3) 2 each state]; 3 Any return premium, taxes, and fees paid[, itemized by (4)4 each state]; 5 (5) Rate of premium charged upon the several items of 6 property; Effective date of the contract and its terms; 7 (6) Name, address, and home state of the insured; 8 (7) Name and address of the insurer; 9 (8) (9) Amount of tax and other sums to be collected from the 10 insured[, itemized by each state]; and 11 Any additional information required by the 12 (10)commissioner." 13 SECTION 4. Section 431:8-313, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is 14 15 amended by amending subsection (b) to read as follows: The statement shall be on forms as prescribed and 16 "(b) furnished by the commissioner and shall show: 17 (1) Gross amount of premiums for each kind of insurance 18 19 transacted; (2) [Aggregate-gross] Gross premiums charged[, itemized by 20 21 each state];

1	(3)	[Aggregate of returned] Returned premiums paid to		
2		<pre>insureds[<del>, itemized by each state</del>];</pre>		
3	(4)	[Aggregate of net] Net premiums and fees[, itemized by		
4		each state];		
5	(5)	Amount of [aggregate] remitted taxes and fees[ $ au$		
6		itemized by each state]; and		
7	(6)	Additional information as required by the		
8		commissioner."		
9	SECT	ION 5. Section 431:8-315, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is		
10	amended to read as follows:			
11	"§43	1:8-315 Tax on surplus lines. (a) On or before		
12	March 15,	2011, each surplus lines broker shall pay to the		
13	director	of finance, through the commissioner, a premium tax on		
14	surplus 1	ines insurance transacted by the broker during 2010.		
15	On or before September 15, 2011, each surplus lines broker shal			
16	pay to the director of finance, through the commissioner, a			
17	premium t	ax on surplus lines insurance transacted by the broker		
18	after Dec	cember 31, 2010, and before July 1, 2011. After		
19	June 30,	2011, within forty-five days after the end of each		
20	calendar	quarter, each surplus lines broker shall pay to the		
21	director	of finance, through the commissioner, a premium tax on		

surplus lines insurance transacted by the broker during the 1 calendar quarter for insurance for which this State is the home 2 state of the insured. The tax rate shall be in the amount of 3 4.68 per cent of gross premiums, less return premiums, on 4 5 surplus lines insurance [allocated to] for which the home state is this State. [The tax rate and fees of other states shall be 6 applied to the gross premiums, less return premiums, allocated 7 8 to those states. As used in this subsection, "gross premiums" means the 9 amount of the policy or coverage premium charged by the insurer 10 in consideration for the insurance contract. Any charges for 11 policy, survey, inspection, service, or similar fees or other 12 charges added by the broker shall not be considered part of 13 14 gross premiums. (b) The commissioner shall collect the taxes and fees on 15 independently procured surplus lines insurance and from surplus 16 lines licensees and disburse to the other states the funds 17 18 earned by each state; provided that the other state has a reciprocal allocation and disbursement procedure for the benefit 19 of this State. To the extent that other states, where portions 20 of the properties, risks, or exposures reside, have failed to 21

1	establish a reciprocal allocation and disbursement procedure
2	with this State, the net premium tax collected shall be retained
3	by this State.
4	(c) If a surplus lines policy covers risks or exposures
5	only partially resident in this State, the tax payable shall be
6	computed upon the proportion of the premium which is properly
7	allocable to the risks or exposures located in this State. The
8	taxes and fees payable to this State on policies that cover
9	risks and exposures only partially resident in this State shall
10	be remitted on the quarterly schedule established by subsection
11	(a) to the home state of the insured for disbursement to this
12	<del>State.</del>
13	$\frac{(d)}{(d)}$ The tax on any portion of the premium unearned at
14	the termination of the insurance contract shall be returned to
15	the policyholder.
16	[ <del>(e) The commissioner may:</del>
17	(1) Enter into a cooperative agreement, reciprocal
18	agreement, or compact with other states to facilitate
19	and provide for the collection, allocation, and
20	disbursement of premium taxes attributable to the
21	placement of surplus lines insurance;

1	<del>(2)</del>	Provide for uniform methods of allocation and
2		reporting among surplus lines insurance risk
3		classifications;
4	<del>(3)</del>	Conform to the requirements of the federal Nonadmitted
5		and Reinsurance Reform Act of 2010;
6	<del>(4)</del>	Share information among states relating to surplus
7		lines insurance premium taxes; and
8	<del>(5)</del>	Utilize a method adopted in cooperation with other
9		states to allocate risk and compute the tax due on the
10		portion of premium attributable to each risk
11		classification and to each state where properties,
12		risks, or exposures are located.
13	The	commissioner shall assess the insured for the cost of
14	the coope	erative agreement, reciprocal agreement, or compact to
15	<del>collect a</del>	nd distribute the premium taxes. Upon application of
16	the insur	ed, the commissioner shall refund the insured for
17	<del>excess pa</del>	ryments of taxes received by the State that are the
18	<del>result of</del>	the statewide tax rate.]"
19	SECT	ION 6. Statutory material to be repealed is bracketed
20	and stric	ken. New statutory material is underscored.

1 SECTION 7. This Act shall take effect upon its approval.

2

INTRODUCED BY:

JAN 1 7 2019

#### Report Title:

Surplus Lines Premium Tax; Insurance

#### Description:

Amends the calculation of surplus lines insurance premium tax to tax the entirety of the premium using the rate established by Hawaii statute regardless of location of risk.

The summary description of legislation appearing on this page is for informational purposes only and is not legislation or evidence of legislative intent.



DAVID Y. IGE GOVERNOR

JOSH GREEN

## STATE OF HAWAII OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND CONSUMER AFFAIRS

335 MERCHANT STREET, ROOM 310 P.O. BOX 541

HONOLULU, HAWAII 96809 Phone Number: 586-2850 Fax Number: 586-2856 cca.hawaii.gov CATHERINE P. AWAKUNI COLÓN

JO ANN M. UCHIDA TAKEUCHI

#### **Testimony of the Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs**

Before the
House Committee on Consumer Protection and Commerce
Wednesday, February 6, 2019
2:00 p.m.
State Capitol, Conference Room 329

### On the following measure: H.B. 71, RELATING TO SURPLUS LINES

Chair Takumi and Members of the Committee:

My name is Colin Hayashida, and I am the Insurance Commissioner of the Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs' (Department) Insurance Division. The Department offers the following comments.

The purpose of this bill is to amend the calculation of surplus lines insurance premium tax to tax the entirety of the premium using the rate established by Hawaii statute, regardless of location of risk.

This bill would repeal language authorizing the Insurance Commissioner to enter an interstate compact to collect surplus lines premium taxes. Under current law, the interstate compact requires the State to, among other things, use a method in cooperation with other states in allocating risk and computing taxes due on premium to each state where properties, risks, or exposures are located. A very small minority of states have signed onto this interstate compact, and it is more beneficial for the State to

Testimony of DCCA H.B. 71 Page 2 of 2

use a system where surplus lines brokers make direct payments to the Director of Finance.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify on this bill.



To: The Honorable Roy Takumi, Chair

The Honorable Linda Ichiyama, Vice Chair

House Committee on Consumer Protection & Commerce

FROM: John Meetz, State Relations Manager

Wholesale and Specialty Insurance Association (WSIA)

Re: HB 71 - Relating to Surplus Lines

Position: SUPPORT with amendment

Date: Wednesday, February 6, 2019

2:00 p.m., Conference Room 329

Aloha Chair Takumi, Vice Chair Ichiyama and Members of the Committee:

The Wholesale & Specialty Insurance Association (WSIA)<sup>1</sup> appreciates the opportunity to provide testimony in strong support of HB 71, regarding surplus lines insurance premium taxes. We respectfully request a technical amendment that would delay the implementation of the bill by 90 days after signing so that our members have time to adjust IT programs for pending business.

We would like to provide some background on surplus lines insurance and the unique process for collecting surplus lines tax. Surplus lines insurance premium tax is collected differently than standard insurance premium tax for admitted lines. It is the responsibility of the insurance broker to collect the tax from the consumer and pay the tax to the state. This differs from a standard insurance transaction where the tax is included as part of the consumer's insurance premium and paid by the insurance company to the state.

In 2010, Congress passed the Nonadmitted and Reinsurance Reform Act (NRRA) as part of the Dodd Frank Act. The purpose of the NRRA was to achieve a simpler and more efficient system of regulation and taxation of the surplus lines industry by establishing the insured's (the consumer's) "home state" as the one and only jurisdiction to regulate and tax surplus lines transactions. Because of the NRRA, surplus lines insurance transactions are now regulated solely by the "home state" of the consumer. Therefore, if a consumer, whether an individual or a business, is principally located in Hawaii, then Hawaii regulates the entire transaction, even when parts of the risk are located in other states.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Effective August 1, 2017, the National Association of Professional Surplus Lines Offices (NAPSLO) and the American Association of Managing General Agents (AAMGA) merged to form the WSIA. WSIA is the U.S. professional trade association representing the wholesale and specialty insurance market and the wholesale distribution system. WSIA presents approximately 400 wholesale broker member firms, 100 surplus lines insurance companies, and 200 associates and service providers to the surplus lines market, our membership operates in more than 1,500 offices representing tens of thousands of individual brokers, insurance company professionals, underwriters and other insurance professionals worldwide – all of whom are committed to the wholesale distribution system and U.S. surplus lines market.

As part of the implementation of the NRRA, Congress allowed states to voluntarily enter into tax sharing agreements, and for a short time, several states pursued agreements to enact reciprocal allocation procedures. The current law governing Hawaii surplus lines taxation passed in 2011 in anticipation of joining one of these reciprocal allocation procedures as soon as it became viable. Evidence ultimately emerged showing that the reciprocal allocation procedures resulted in an inefficient tax structure and each of the agreements eventually dissolved. In their place, states embraced the "home state" approach of taxing and retaining 100% of the premiums at the home state rate.

Although Hawaii retains 100% of the tax that is remitted to the state, risks that are located outside Hawaii are taxed based on where the risk resides, rather than exclusively at the Hawaii rate (4.68%). This results in unnecessary regulatory burdens for the broker, requires a difficult allocation of risk when it resides in multiple states, results in additional costs of compliance which ultimately impacts the consumer, and in some cases, collects less tax for the state than the amount to which it is legally entitled. HB 71 would amend Hawaii law to tax all surplus lines premiums, for which Hawaii is the home state, entirely at the home state rate of 4.68%, just as 46 states plus the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico do today (legislation is pending in all four remaining states).

In addition to easing the regulatory burden for surplus lines brokers, another beneficial effect of this legislation will likely be increased premium tax revenue. Hawaii's tax rate of 4.68% exceeds the average U.S. surplus lines premium tax rate of 3.53%. Because of this, most insurance policies that contain multi-state premium are currently being taxed at a rate below the 4.68% Hawaii rate and below the states authority under the NRRA. For surplus lines insurance policies where Hawaii is the "home state," HB 71 would amend the law to tax all surplus lines premium at 4.68% regardless of the location of that risk.

HB 71 would codify the "home state" approach in Hawaii as it has been done in most of the U.S. and is being pursued in the remaining states. In passing HB 71, Hawaii will reduce the regulatory burden for local surplus lines brokers, simplify compliance procedures for brokers and regulators, increase nationwide uniformity in surplus lines taxation and very likely increase premium tax revenue for the state.

WSIA strongly supports the measure and asks the committee for its consideration of an amendment to delay the effective date of the bill to 90 days following the enactment of the legislation to allow surplus lines brokers to accurately tax any transactions that are pending in proximity to the date of signing.

Sincerely,

John H. Meetz

State Relations Manager

john@wsia.org 816.799.0863 Keri A Kish

Director of Government Relations

keri@wsia.org

816.799.0855

### **American Property Casualty Insurance Association**

To: The Honorable Representative Roy M. Takumi, Chair

The Honorable Representative Linda Ichiyama, Vice Chair House Committee on Consumer Protection & Commerce

From: Mark Sektnan, Vice President

Re: **HB 71 – Relating to Surplus Lines** 

**APCIA Position: Support** 

Date: Wednesday, February 6, 2019

2:00 p.m., Conference Room 329

Aloha Chair Takumi, Vice Chair Ichiyama and Members of the Committee:

The American Property Casualty Insurers Association of America (APCIA) is pleased to support HB 71 which would amend the calculation of surplus lines insurance premium tax to tax the entirety of the premium using the rate established by Hawaii statute regardless of location of risk. Representing nearly 60 percent of the U.S. property casualty insurance market, the American Property Casualty Insurance Association (APCIA) promotes and protects the viability of private competition for the benefit of consumers and insurers. APCIA represents the broadest cross-section of home, auto, and business insurers of any national trade association. APCIA members represent all sizes, structures, and regions, which protect families, communities, and businesses in the U.S. and across the globe. APCIA also includes member insurance companies that write over 70% of the surplus lines' premiums reported by U.S. insurers.

The APCIA has been a strong advocate for states to adopt the provisions of the Nonadmitted and Reinsurance Reform Act (NRRA), enacted within the Dodd-Frank Act of 2010 (DFA), which calls for greater uniformity and consistency across the states on the regulation and taxation of nonadmitted insurance, commonly known as surplus lines.

Since the effective date of the NRRA on July 21, 2011, 46 states have correspondingly amended their laws to streamline the taxation of surplus lines premiums on multi-state policies. In those states, the taxation rules of the home state of the insured requires that 100% of the gross premiums of the multi-state policy be solely subject to the tax rate of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. § 8206. Definitions. (6) Home State - (A) In general Except as provided in subparagraph (B), the term "home State" means, with respect to an insured— (i) the State in which an insured maintains its principal place of business or, in the case of an individual, the individual's principal residence; or (ii) if 100 percent of the insured risk is located out of the State referred to in clause (i), the State to which the greatest percentage of the insured's taxable premium for that insurance contract is allocated.

the home state. This subsequently eliminates the time-consuming computation, reporting, allocation, and reconciliation of state-by-state tax monies that ultimately are all retained by the home state.

So, as provided under the NRRA, HB 71 would likewise amend the calculation of surplus lines insurance premium tax where Hawaii is the home state of the insured to tax the entirety of the policy premium using the rate established by Hawaii statute regardless of where other parts of the insured's risks are located.

The surplus lines market represents only around 7% of the premium writings in the property & casualty insurance industry. However, it responds to critical insurance needs for commercial and individual consumers who cannot otherwise find their necessary insurance coverage in the standard admitted market. The APCIA believes HB 71 not only appropriately addresses the Congressional call under the NRRA, it further enables this efficient and effective response to the benefit of the Hawaii marketplace.

For these reasons, APCIA asks for your support of HB 71.