JAN 2 3 2020

### A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO EMPLOYMENT.

#### BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

1 SECTION 1. The legislature finds that the employment 2 practices laws under sections 378-2, 378-2.3, 378-2.5, and 3 378-2.7, Hawaii Revised Statutes, relate to discriminatory 4 practices, unequal pay due to sex discrimination, and employer 5 investigations into criminal conviction records and credit history, respectively. These sections were enacted to prohibit 6 7 employment discrimination against individuals based upon 8 protected categories but were not intended to prevent employers 9 from taking employment action for reasons unrelated to the 10 categories protected by the legislature in those sections.

Pursuant to a 2015 Hawaii Supreme Court decision, Adams v. CDM Media USA, Inc., 135 Haw. 1, 346 P.3d 70 (2015), an employer is prohibited from carrying its burden of production to support that the employer legitimately refused to hire an applicant solely by alleging that the applicant did not meet a nonmandatory core job function that was stated in the posting for the open position. The legislature believes that this ruling



Page 2

### S.B. NO. 2785

unreasonably narrows the basis upon which an employer can
 decline to hire applicants. This narrowing may result in costly
 litigation even if a non-discriminatory reason that is not based
 on a protected classification supports the disqualification of
 an applicant.

6 Accordingly, the purpose of this Act is to state the 7 legislature's intent and to clarify that Hawaii's anti-8 discrimination law, as set forth in part I of chapter 378, 9 Hawaii Revised Statutes, does not prohibit refusals to hire or 10 refer or terminations of employment that are not based on any 11 prohibited discriminatory practices in section 378-2, Hawaii 12 Revised Statutes; unequal pay due to sex discrimination as 13 prohibited in section 378-2.3, Hawaii Revised Statutes; criminal 14 conviction record inquiries prohibited in section 378-2.5, 15 Hawaii Revised Statutes; and credit history inquiries prohibited 16 in section 378-2.7, Hawaii Revised Statutes.

17 SECTION 2. Section 378-3, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is18 amended to read as follows:

19 "§378-3 Exceptions. Nothing in this part shall be deemed
20 to:



1	(1)	Repeal or affect any law, ordinance, or government
2		rule having the force and effect of law;
3	(2)	Prohibit or prevent the establishment and maintenance
4		of bona fide occupational qualifications reasonably
5		necessary to the normal operation of a particular
6		business or enterprise, and that have a substantial
7		relationship to the functions and responsibilities of
8		prospective or continued employment;
9	(3)	Prohibit or prevent an employer, employment agency, or
10		labor organization from refusing to hire, from
11		refusing to refer, or [discharge] from discharging any
12		individual for reasons relating to the ability of the
13		individual to perform the work in question[+] <u>or</u>
14		unrelated to any practices or actions prohibited by
15		sections 378-2, 378-2.3, 378-2.5, or 378-2.7;
16	(4)	Affect the operation of the terms or conditions of any
17		bona fide retirement, pension, employee benefit, or
18		insurance plan that is not intended to evade the
19		purpose of this chapter; provided that this exception
20		shall not be construed to permit any employee plan to



Page 3

1		set a maximum age requirement for hiring or a
2		mandatory retirement age;
3	(5)	Prohibit or prevent any religious or denominational
4		institution or organization, or any organization
5		operated for charitable or educational purposes, that
6		is operated, supervised, or controlled by or in
7		connection with a religious organization, from giving
8		preference to individuals of the same religion or
9		denomination or from making a selection calculated to
10		promote the religious principles for which the
11		organization is established or maintained;
12	(6)	Conflict with or affect the application of security
13		regulations or rules in employment established by the
14		United States or the State;
15	(7)	Require the employer to execute unreasonable
16		structural changes or expensive equipment alterations
17		to accommodate the employment of a person with a
18		disability;
19	(8)	Prohibit or prevent the department of education or
20		private schools from considering criminal convictions



Page 5

## S.B. NO. 2785

1 in determining whether a prospective employee is suited to working in close proximity to children; 2 3 (9) Prohibit or prevent any financial institution in which deposits are insured by a federal agency having 4 5 jurisdiction over the financial institution from denying employment to or discharging from employment 6 any person who has been convicted of any criminal 7 offense involving dishonesty or a breach of trust, 8 9 unless it has the prior written consent of the federal 10 agency having jurisdiction over the financial 11 institution to hire or retain the person; 12 (10)Preclude any employee from bringing a civil action for 13 sexual harassment or sexual assault and infliction of 14 emotional distress or invasion of privacy related 15 thereto; provided that notwithstanding section 368-12, 16 the commission shall issue a right to sue on a 17 complaint filed with the commission if it determines 18 that a civil action alleging similar facts has been 19 filed in circuit court; or 20 (11) Require the employer to accommodate the needs of a

nondisabled person associated with or related to a

21



1 person with a disability in any way not required by 2 title I of the Americans with Disabilities Act." 3 SECTION 3. Statutory material to be repealed is bracketed 4 and stricken. New statutory material is underscored. SECTION 4. This Act shall take effect upon its approval. 5 6 Mll

INTRODUCED BY:

By Request



#### Report Title:

Employment Practices; Discriminatory Practices

#### Description:

Clarifies that Hawaii's anti-discrimination law does not prohibit or prevent an employer, employment agency, or labor organization from refusing to hire or refer or from discharging an individual for reasons unrelated to unlawful discriminatory practices.

The summary description of legislation appearing on this page is for informational purposes only and is not legislation or evidence of legislative intent.

