HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES THIRTIETH LEGISLATURE, 2019 STATE OF HAWAII

H.B. NO. <sup>1146</sup> H.D. 1 S.D. 1

## A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO PROCUREMENT.

1

#### BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

#### PART I

2 SECTION 1. The legislature finds that the procurement 3 process is in need of clear legislative direction to award state 4 contracts to responsible bidders or offerors, increase 5 accountability with performance on state contracts, and more 6 efficiently utilize taxpayer dollars. Some state contracts may 7 currently be awarded to the lowest bidder through the invitation 8 for bid process without regard to poor past performance. Such 9 bidders may be considered qualified despite poor performance on 10 state, federal, or private contracts in the past, which may 11 result in repeated inefficiencies and substandard work.

12 The purpose of this part is to:

13 (1) Require that past performance be considered in future
14 bid selection of contractors for sole source contracts
15 and any competitive sealed bid or proposal contracts
16 that exceeds the small purchase threshold; and

### 2019-2709 HB1146 SD1 SMA.doc

Page 2

### H.B. NO. <sup>1146</sup> H.D. 1 S.D. 1

	1	(2) Require procurement officers to consider specific
	2	factors, including past performance, when making a
	3	determination of offeror responsibility.
	4	SECTION 2. Section 103D-302, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
	5	amended by amending subsection (f) to read as follows:
	6	"(f) Bids shall be evaluated based on the requirements set
	7	forth in the invitation for bids. These requirements may
	8	include criteria to determine acceptability such as inspection,
	9	testing, quality, workmanship, delivery, and suitability for a
١	10	particular purpose. Those criteria that will affect the bid
	11	price and be considered in evaluation for award shall be
1	12	objectively measurable, such as discounts, transportation costs,
1	13	and total or life cycle costs. Past performance shall be
14	14	evaluated in all bids expected to meet or exceed the small
1	15	purchase threshold. The invitation for bids shall set forth the
1	16	evaluation criteria to be used. No criteria may be used in bid
1	17	evaluation that are not set forth in the invitation for bids."
1	18	SECTION 3. Section 103D-303, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
1	19	amended by amending subsection (e) to read as follows:

.

# 2019-2709 HB1146 SD1 SMA.doc

### H.B. NO. <sup>1146</sup> H.D. 1 S.D. 1

1 The request for proposals shall state the relative "(e) 2 importance of price and other evaluation factors. Past 3 performance shall be evaluated in all solicitations expected to 4 meet or exceed the small purchase threshold." 5 SECTION 4. Section 103D-306, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is amended by amending subsection (a) to read as follows: 6 7 "(a) A contract may be awarded for goods, services, or 8 construction without competition when the head of a purchasing 9 agency determines in writing that there is only one source for 10 the required good, service, or construction, the determination 11 is reviewed and approved by the chief procurement officer, the 12 written determination is posted in the manner described in rules 13 adopted by the policy board, a review of past performance has 14 been conducted, and no objection is outstanding. The written 15 determination, any objection, past performance evaluations 16 relied upon, and a written summary of the disposition of any 17 objection shall be included in the contract file." SECTION 5. Section 103D-310, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is 18 19 amended to read as follows:

## 2019-2709 HB1146 SD1 SMA.doc

H.B. NO.

1 "§103D-310 Responsibility of offerors. (a) Purchases 2 shall be made from, and contracts shall be awarded to, 3 responsible prospective offerors only. 4 [<del>(a)</del>] (b) Unless the policy board, by rules, specifies 5 otherwise, before submitting an offer, a prospective offeror, not less than ten calendar days prior to the day designated for 6 7 opening offers, shall give written notice of the intention to 8 submit an offer to the procurement officer responsible for that 9 particular procurement. 10  $\left[\frac{b}{b}\right]$  (c) Whether or not an intention to bid is required, 11 the procurement officer shall determine whether the prospective 12 offeror has the financial ability, past performance, resources, 13 skills, capability, and business integrity necessary to perform 14 the work. For this purpose, the officer, in the officer's 15 discretion, may require any prospective offeror to submit 16 answers, under oath, to questions contained in a standard form 17 of questionnaire to be prepared by the policy board. Whenever it appears from answers to the questionnaire or otherwise, that 18 19 the prospective offeror is not fully qualified and able to 20 perform the intended work, a written determination of

## 2019-2709 HB1146 SD1 SMA.doc

#### H.B. NO. <sup>1146</sup> H.D. 1 S.D. 1

nonresponsibility of an offeror shall be made by the head of the 1 purchasing agency, in accordance with rules adopted by the 2 3 policy board. The unreasonable failure of an offeror to promptly supply information in connection with an inquiry with 4 5 respect to responsibility may be grounds for a determination of 6 nonresponsibility with respect to [such] the offeror. The decision of the head of the purchasing agency shall be final 7 8 unless the offeror applies for administrative review pursuant to 9 section 103D-709.

[(c)] (d) All offerors, upon award of contract, shall 10 comply with all laws governing entities doing business in the 11 State, including chapters 237, 383, 386, 392, and 393. Offerors 12 shall produce documents to the procuring officer to demonstrate 13 compliance with this subsection. Any offeror making a false 14 affirmation or certification under this subsection shall be 15 suspended from further offerings or awards pursuant to section 16 103D-702. The procuring officer shall verify compliance with 17 this subsection for all contracts awarded pursuant to sections 18 103D-302, 103D-303, 103D-304, and 103D-306, and for contracts 19 20 and procurements of \$2,500 or more awarded pursuant to section

## 2019-2709 HB1146 SD1 SMA.doc

H.B. NO. <sup>1146</sup> H.D. 1

103D-305; provided that the attorney general may waive the
 requirements of this subsection for contracts for legal services
 if the attorney general certifies in writing that comparable
 legal services are not available in this State.

5 [(d)] (e) Information furnished by an offeror pursuant to
6 this section shall not be disclosed to any person except to law
7 enforcement agencies as provided by chapter 92F."

8 SECTION 6. Section 103D-709, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
9 amended by amending subsection (c) to read as follows:

10 Only parties to the protest made and decided pursuant (C) 11 to sections 103D-701, 103D-709(a), [<del>103D-310(b),</del>] 103D-310(c), 12 and 103D-702(g) may initiate a proceeding under this section. The party initiating the proceeding shall have the burden of 13 proof, including the burden of producing evidence as well as the 14 15 burden of persuasion. The degree or quantum of proof shall be a 16 preponderance of the evidence. All parties to the proceeding 17 shall be afforded an opportunity to present oral or documentary evidence, conduct cross-examination as may be required, and 18 19 present argument on all issues involved. Fact finding under 20 section 91-10 shall apply."

## 2019-2709 HB1146 SD1 SMA.doc

### H.B. NO. <sup>1146</sup> H.D. 1 S.D. 1

1		PART II
2	SECI	ION 7. The purpose of this part is to form an initial
3	procureme	nt working group.
4	SECT	ION 8. (a) There is established the initial
5	procureme	nt working group, which shall be made up of procurement
6	represent	atives from the state and county. The working group
7	shall:	
8	(1)	Identify issues relating to existing procurement
9		methods and collect data to determine the magnitude of
10		the problem;
11	(2)	Document existing practices and processes, including
12		but not limited to procurement methods; preparation of
13		solicitation documents; evaluation and basis of award,
14		including the consideration of past performance when
15		deemed appropriate; post award contract
16		administration; suspension; and debarment;
17	(3)	Identify lessons learned from case studies of projects
18		identified as having bad contractors or
19		subcontractors;

# 2019-2709 HB1146 SD1 SMA.doc

### H.B. NO. <sup>1146</sup> H.D. 1 S.D. 1

1	(4)	Identify shortfalls, needs, gaps, or challenges in
2		laws and rules, processes, knowledge, and resources;
3	(5)	Find potential methods or mechanisms available to
4		address the problems identified, including but not
5		limited to the use of a past performance database, by:
6		(A) Examining the pros and cons of each potential
7		method or mechanism;
8		(B) Determining the most promising methods or
9		mechanisms to determine requirements for
10		implementation, including but not limited to
11		time, costs, and resources;
12		(C) Obtaining industry feedback; and
13		(D) Prioritizing for purposes of recommendations; and
14	(6)	Recommend specific objective criteria to be used to
15		evaluate the past performance of bidders in a
16		competitive sealed bid procurement.
17	(b)	The initial procurement working group shall consist of
18	the follo	wing members or their designees:
19	(1)	State procurement administrator, who shall serve as
20		chair;

# 2019-2709 HB1146 SD1 SMA.doc

### H.B. NO. <sup>1146</sup> H.D. 1 S.D. 1

1	(2)	Comptroller;
2	(3)	Attorney General;
3	(4)	Chief information officer;
4	(5)	University of Hawaii chief procurement officer;
5	(6)	Department of education chief procurement officer;
6	(7)	Director of transportation;
7	(8)	County of Hawaii chief procurement officer;
8	(9)	County of Maui chief procurement officer;
9	(10)	County of Kauai chief procurement officer; and
10	(11)	City and county of Honolulu chief procurement officer.
11	(c)	The initial procurement working group shall submit a
12	report of	its findings and recommendations, including any
13	proposed	legislation, to the legislature no later than
14	November	1, 2020; provided that the working group shall bring
15	together	leaders and organizations from the construction and
16	informati	on technology industry to review and discuss any gaps
17	or proble	ms with the proposed recommendations prior to
18	finalizat	ion of the working group's recommendations to the
19	legislatu	re.

20

PART III

....

## 2019-2709 HB1146 SD1 SMA.doc

### H.B. NO. <sup>1146</sup> H.D. 1 S.D. 1

1	SECTION 9. There is appropriated out of the general
2	revenues of the State of Hawaii the sum of \$250,000 or so much
3	thereof as may be necessary for fiscal year 2019-2020 and the
4	same sum or so much thereof as may be necessary for fiscal year
5	2020-2021 for the purposes of implementing this Act; provided
6	that the sums shall be allocated as follows:
7	(1) \$50,000 to develop and create a statewide past
8	performance database; and
9	(2) \$200,000 for the outsourcing of two full-time
10	equivalent (2.0 FTE) positions to assist with
11	developing rules, including facilitating community and
12	government meetings, and benchmarking analysis in
13	determining the most fair, objective, and descriptive
14	procedures for the State.
15	The sums appropriated shall be expended by the state
16	procurement office for the purposes of this Act.
17	PART IV
18	SECTION 10. This Act does not affect rights and duties
19	that matured, penalties that were incurred, and proceedings that
20	were begun before its effective date.

# 2019-2709 HB1146 SD1 SMA.doc

### H.B. NO. <sup>1146</sup> H.D. 1 S.D. 1

1	SECTION 11. If any provision of this Act, or the
2	application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held
3	invalid, the invalidity does not affect other provisions or
4	applications of the Act that can be given effect without the
5	invalid provision or application, and to this end the provisions
6	of this Act are severable.
7	SECTION 12. Statutory material to be repealed is bracketed
8	and stricken. New statutory material is underscored.
9	SECTION 13. This Act shall take effect on January 1, 2050.
<b>10</b> <sup>`</sup>	

# 2019-2709 HB1146 SD1 SMA.doc



#### Report Title:

Procurement; Past Performance; Appropriation; Working Group; Database

#### Description:

Requires procurement officers to complete past performance evaluations of contractors. Requires past performance to be considered in all sole source procurement and any competitive contracts that exceeds the small purchase threshold. Establishes an initial procurement working group that will evaluate and make recommendations to the legislature about the effectiveness of existing procurement methods. Requires the development and implementation of a statewide past performance database. Appropriates funds. Effective 1/1/2050. (SD1)

The summary description of legislation appearing on this page is for informational purposes only and is not legislation or evidence of legislative intent.

