DAVID Y. IGE GOVERNOR





OFFICE OF ENTERPRISE TECHNOLOGY SERVICES P.O. BOX 119, HONOLULU, HAWAI'I 96810-0119

P.O. BOX 119, HONOLOLD, HAWAI196010-0119 Ph: (808) 586-6000 | Fax: (808) 586-1922 ETS.HAWAII.GOV

September 18, 2019

The Honorable Ronald D. Kouchi, President, and Members of The Senate Thirtieth State Legislature Hawaii State Capitol, Room 409 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 The Honorable Scott K. Saiki, Speaker, and Members of The House of Representatives Thirtieth State Legislature Hawaii State Capitol, Room 431 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Dear President Kouchi, Speaker Saiki, and Members of the Legislature:

Pursuant to HRS section 27-43.6, which requires the Chief Information Officer to submit applicable independent verification and validation (IV&V) reports to the Legislature within ten days of receiving the report, please find attached the IV&V report the Office of Enterprise Technology Services received for the State of Hawaii Department of Human Services Systems Modernization Project.

In accordance with HRS section 93-16, this report may be viewed electronically at <u>http://ets.hawaii.gov</u> (see "Reports").

Sincerely,

DOUGLAS MURDOCK Chief Information Officer State of Hawai'i

Attachment (2)



Hawaii Department of Human Services Systems Modernization Project

Final IV&V Status Report for Reporting Period: August 1 - 31, 2019

Final submitted: September 18, 2019

Overview

- <u>Executive Summary</u>
- IV&V Findings and Recommendations
- IV&V Engagement Status
- <u>Appendices</u>
 - <u>A IV&V Criticality Ratings</u>
 - <u>B Risk Identification Report</u>
 - <u>C Acronyms and Glossary</u>
 - <u>D Background Information</u>



Executive Summary

Executive Summary



During August, the ASI submitted the first round of Functional Design Documents for the project to review, along with the requested "big picture" view of the solution. Although these documents provided some insight into the progress of JADs and solution design to this point, concerns remain regarding the level of detail provided and the extent to which the artifacts effectively illustrate how the functional components of the solution will work together from an overall perspective.

In addition, concern remains regarding the management of cross-JAD action items and the sequencing of JADs that may impact the project's ability to make workflow/task decisions on a timely basis. IV&V continues to track progress of schedule improvement, however, concerns remain regarding the level of detail in the master schedule, as well as the over-allocation of ASI resources.

While IV&V is aware that discussions continue at the project leadership level regarding long-term architecture decisions that could potentially impact the project scope, schedule, and budget, these decisions were still outstanding at the end of this review period.

IV&V opened one new issue (escalated from a concern), two new risks, and two new concerns in the August reporting period, one of which was closed via the issuance of the final draft of the August Status Report.

Jun	Jul	Aug	Category	IV&V Observations	
				In the August reporting period, IV&V opened a new concern about communications pertaining to the project CCB process. IV&V is also tracking a new issue specific to the outstanding potential changes to architecture, and the impacts the changes could have to the project. This was previously tracked as a concern.	
М	М	М	Project Management	IV&V continues to track three risks centered on the project schedule, as substantive improvements to resource over-allocation, task details, and activity/task due dates and milestones were not observed. Additionally, IV&V has been made aware of some newly surfacing communication gaps between the ASI and DHS specific to project decisions and status, which will be further investigated in September.	
				The August 2019 reporting period risk rating for the Project Management process area remains Medium (yellow).	

Executive Summary (cont.)



Jun	Jul	Aug	Category	IV&V Observations		
				During this review period, the ASI produced a preliminary "big picture" document to show how the functional components will work together from an overall solution perspective. DHS requested further detail.		
М	М	М	Configuration and Development	The contract amendment to reimburse the ASI for five cloud DDI environments and data extraction activities has not been approved by ETS as of the end of this review period. After several months of negotiations, the project anticipates an updated Change Request (CR) from the ASI to build out the Adobe portal during the second week of September. The August 2019 reporting period risk rating for the Configuration and Development		
			1	The August 2019 reporting period risk rating for the Configuration and Development process area remains Medium (yellow).		
	is resulting in workflow and task-related decisions JADs cycle, when the Workflow JAD is scheduled increasing the amount of rework needed to compl regarding the effectiveness of the process in place and how this process is being managed and mon	IV&V opened a new risk specific to the current sequencing of JADs. The planned sequence is resulting in workflow and task-related decisions largely being tabled until the end of the JADs cycle, when the Workflow JAD is scheduled to occur. This has the potential for increasing the amount of rework needed to complete design. Additionally, concern remains regarding the effectiveness of the process in place for managing cross-JAD action items, and how this process is being managed and monitored.				
N/A	N/A	М	System Design	IV&V continues to attend JAD/workgroup sessions and is documenting observations and opportunities for improvement that are verbally communicated to the PMO and the ASI. In September, IV&V plans to obtain a better understanding of the ASI's resource management approach related to BA and JAD session leads.		
	-			IV&V is rating this category as a Medium (yellow) risk rating for the August 2019 reporting period.		



As of the August 2019 reporting period, PCG is tracking 10 open findings (8 risks and 2 issues), 1 open concerns, and has closed out 25 findings. Of the 10 open risks and issues, 5 are related to Project Management, 3 are related to Configuration and Development, and 2 are related to System Design. IV&V opened 2 new risks and 2 new concerns during the August reporting period, one of which was also closed as of the Final August report. The following figures provide a breakdown of our <u>open</u> risks and issues by priority and category.



Open Risks and Issues

The following figure provides a breakdown of all IV&V findings (risks, issues, concerns) by status (open, retired).





New Findings Opened During the Reporting Period

#	Finding	Category
38	Risk - Due to the sequencing of JADs addressing Workflow at the end instead of during current JAD sessions, the project could be faced with significant design rework, which may result in schedule delays, and impact the quality of solution design.	System Design
36	Risk - As a result of the cross-JAD Action Items process not being fully defined and documented, there is potential for Action Items being missed or not appropriately addressed, which could impact design quality, and result in rework.	System Design
29	[Escalated from Concern] Issue - Uncertainty and/or a lack of communication around long term architecture decisions could lead to unexpected impacts to project budget, schedule, system design, and planning decisions.	Project Management



Findings Closed During the Reporting Period

#	Finding	Category
35	[Opened and closed within August] - Due to the volume of design rework anticipated by the ASI (20-25%), the planned use of Controlled Correspondence to manage updates to design artifacts may complicate the review and approval process of FDDs/TDDs and could result in schedule delays.	System Design



Preliminary Concerns Investigated During the Reporting Period

#	Finding	Category
37	New - Due to inconsistent communication about potential project changes between project executives and the CCB, the CCB's ability to conduct a complete impact analysis of proposed changes is limited.	Project Management

Project Management

#	Key Findings	Criticality Rating
2	Risk – Late Delivery of project deliverables may result in schedule delays. IVV continues to monitor schedule progress. The conversion activities and tasks were added to the master schedule, and task status was updated on August 28, 2019. The 90/10 functionality schedule is complete and is being managed separate from the Master Schedule. Additionally, changes may be necessary to the schedule once the FDD/TDD process to include integration points is finalized. Although the ASI reported that some tasks are late in the August 27, 2019 project schedule review meeting, they are not on the critical path. IVV will continue to monitor this finding.	М
Rec	commendations	Progress
• (Continue to manage and track the schedule to ensure deliverables are provided in a timely manner	In Process

Project Management

#	Key Findings	Criticality Rating
5	Risk – The Project Partnership Understanding (PPU) for the BES Project has not been approved by CMS, which may impact the project schedule and funding. IV&V has no material update for the August reporting period. There is a lack of visibility regarding the MEET checklists for the initial set of KOLEA functionality planned to be implemented in October 2019. Specifically IVV has not received confirmation via project artifacts that the requirements are managed, tracked and validated through all testing phases in ALM from the requirements validation phase through post implementation.	Ŀ
	IVV maintains this is a low project risk to the project as of the August 2019 reporting period.	
Rec	commendations	Progress

 Continue dialogue with CMS regarding the project's approach to the PPU, IAPDU, and confirm that the MEELC requirements as related to this project.
 In Process



mont

Project Management

#	Key Findings	Criticality Rating
26	Risk – Due to the lack of detail in the baseline schedule, unanticipated schedule delays may occur. No substantive update for the August reporting period. Tasks specific to JADs/Workgroups in the next 90 days continue to be updated, added, and/or further decomposed through the 8/23/19 version of the schedule. The Data Conversion schedule has been added to the Project Workplan, and up-to-date status on it is expected in early September. IVV notes that the October Release schedule details are managed in a workplan outside of the Baseline Project Workplan, and that milestones from that offline workplan are provided in the Baseline Project Workplan.	М
	** Note - IVV removed the word 'draft' from the finding title as the schedule is no longer in draft status, but the risk remains relevant.	
	IVV maintains this is a medium risk to the project as of the August reporting period.	
Rec	commendations	Progress
re S	V&V understands DHS and the ASI's efforts to find balance when detailing out the project schedule. It is ecommended that all tasks and activities should be decomposed by the individual project leads, and that ubsequent details are properly added to the schedule for all current tasks, as well as those commencing <i>v</i> ithin the next 90 days, weekly on a rolling wave basis.	In Process

Project Management

#	Key Findings	Criticality Rating
27	Risk - The baseline schedule lacks proper resource loading which could result in unanticipated schedule delays. IVV did not observe substantive change in the latest schedule version regarding this risk. Most named resources are still overallocated over the next 90 days as depicted on the Project Plan's Task Resource Sheet. IVV notes that the previously identified positions without named resources that were also overallocated over the next 90 days have had their task allocations dramatically reduced. IVV is unclear on why or how these overallocated resources workload were reduced but will further investigate in September. ** Note - IVV removed the word 'draft' from the finding title as the schedule is no longer in draft status, but the risk remains relevant.	М
	IV&V maintains this is a medium risk to the project as of the August reporting period.	
Rec	ommendations	Progress
• A • A	recommended that the ASI perform, at a minimum, the following: dd all project resources to the project schedule. ssign all project resources in the schedule to as to all current and planned tasks and activities. evel load for the next 90 days to ensure the accuracy and attainability of the schedule.	In process

Project Management

Key Findings

29 [Escalated from Concern] New Issue - Uncertainty and/or a lack of communication around long term architecture decisions could lead to unexpected impacts to the project budget, schedule, system design, and planning decisions. Some platform and BES system architecture decisions have yet to be made and socialized to the project. For example, the ASI and DHS have stated that they have reached agreement that the project will move forward with implementing two Siebel instances (one for KOLEA, one for BES), but this is not currently reflected in the project change log or the project decision log. It remains unclear if the details of the rationale for this decision or the plan for integrating the two instances post go-live have been thoroughly vetted and/or documented. Further, there may be some uncertainty around whether when/if all environments (including KOLEA and BES production) will be moved to the cloud.

R	Recommendations	
•	Efforts should be made to increase communication to create an awareness of potential architecture changes so that they can prepare for the possibility of a change	New
•	The project should vet possible architectural change impacts to platform, M&O, MQD, and BES systems before finalizing architectural decisions	New

Criticality

Rating

Configuration and Development

		ľ

Criticality

Rating

M

Key Findings

12 Risk – Changes in direction regarding the preferred platform for portal development may impact project schedule and cost. There is unclear communication between DHS and ASI regarding the portal. After the project was initiated, DHS informed Unisys that the Department decided to standardize on Adobe Sites and Forms and requested Unisys change its portal development including KOLEA from Liferay to Adobe. Because the decision was different than Unisys' proposal, Unisys submitted a CR and proposed hours for the change. Due to the high cost, DHS decided to competitively bid the portal work for KOLEA and to turn over the new portal to be used for BES. Later, in discussions between DHS and Unisys, Unisys offered to convert only the KOLEA portal to Adobe to validate the risks identified in the original CR. When a new CR was not prepared, DHS prepared the CR for submittal. The CR was not submitted because the ASI engagement manager indicated other discussions were underway. Unisys now understands DHS' decision is firm on Adobe and is re-evaluating the hours and associated cost of the initial CR. A revised CR is expected in two weeks. In the meantime, DHS has prepared an RFP to convert the KOLEA portal (which will be expanded to accommodate the BES functionalities).

IVV maintains this is a Medium severity risk to the project as of the August reporting period, as the portal development timeframe and the project budget is likely to be impacted by the move to Adobe

Recommendations	Progress
Complete the Change Request (CR) process to obtain a Rough Order of Magnitude (ROM) estimate and/or impact analysis as appropriate.	In Process



Configuration and Development

#	Key Findings	Criticality Rating
13	[Escalated from risk] Issue – Differing ASI and ESI expectations regarding DDI environments may impact project schedule and cost. Due to security issues related to remote access by offshore staff, the ASI has created the following cloud environments which DHS will reimburse: 3 dev, 1 testing, 1 training. A contract amendment for the reimbursement has been drafted and is awaiting approval. The following environments will be on-premise - UAT, staging, production. As the impact of this risk has been realized and accepted by DHS, resulting in drafting a contract amendment, IVV is escalating this to an Issue until the contract amendment is executed. Additionally, the issuance of the contract amendment and the implications it has on DDI, security, and migrating between cloud and on-prem will be tracked separately by IVV. See related findings, #29 and #12.	М
	IVV maintains this is a medium issue as of the August 2019 reporting period.	
Red	commendations	Progress

- ASI work with the State to reach a common understanding of the requirements for the BES DDI environments.
- ESI and ASI work together to formulate an environment strategy that will meet the project platform and development needs and minimize impact to the State.

In Process



Configuration and Development

#	Key Findings	Criticality Rating
16	Risk – Lack of clear understanding of DDI approach may reduce effectiveness of JARs and JADs. The ASI presented their 'big picture' documentation to DHS on 8/23/19, intending to clarify how design documents (UC/FDD/TDD) from various JAD's would come together and effectively address interactions between the different functional areas. However, the documents/presentation did not meet DHS expectations, and additional detail and clarification has been requested by DHS. IVV has opened a related risk (#36) that addresses the risks around the lack of clarity around the process for ensuring cross-JAD Action Items are sent and received by the appropriate analysts. This remains an open project action item for the Unisys team. The impact of this risk is still being determined, however without immediate reconciliation, this could have a significant impact on system design.	М
_		
Re	commendations	Progress
• ;	G recommends one or more of the following to mitigate this risk: SI provide an additional DDI approach overview session for stakeholders and allow for Q&A SI provide DDI approach documentation/materials for stakeholders to review and/or refresh their knowledge on demand; the materials could be made available via the project SharePoint	In Process
(PCG recommends each new JAD series begin with a brief overview of the DDI approach, including a description of the tools being utilized (use cases, function design documents, technical design documents, etc.), the goals of the session, as well as guidance on how to best provide feedback on what's being shown.	Open

System Design

#	Key Findings	Criticality Rating
36	New Risk – As a result of the cross-JAD Action Items process not being fully defined and documented, there is potential for Action Items being overlooked, which could impact design quality, and result in rework. IVV understands that cross-JAD items are discussed in the bi-weekly ASI Roundtable session and that this process is currently being managed by a single individual. However IVV is unaware of a written process for ensuring management coordination of both a 'send' and a 'receive' of JAD items moving from one JAD to another. Variance in execution of this process could lead to missing functionality.	М
	If the Cross-JAD handoff process is not fully defined, documented, socialized, and uniformly executed, JAD items may 'fall through the cracks' and requirements may be missed. This could potentially lead to uninformed gaps in design, as well as unanticipated rework.	

Recommendations	Progress
• IVV recommends that the management process of moving JAD items from one JAD group to another be fully defined, documented, socialized, and monitored for effectiveness by the ASI and DHS.	New



System Design

#	Key Findings	Criticality Rating
38	New Risk – Due to the sequencing of JADs addressing Workflow at the end instead of during current JAD sessions, the project could be faced with significant design rework, which may result in schedule delays, and impact the quality of solution design. ASI-led JAD sessions are currently divided up into functional areas (Portal, Admin Appeals, Core, Financial, etc.) and have been ongoing since approximately March 2019. Workflow/task JAD's have yet to begin. Currently, when functional area design discussions involve a workflow/task, the discussion is tabled because the ASI has yet to define how the workflow/task will be implemented. The ASI has stated that once the workflow/task functionality is defined, they will go back and update the existing designs to include this functionality. Stopping (or putting on hold) design and process flow discussions could lead to significant rework, confusion among SME's and the ASI project team, unproductive analysis discussions, and a poor design. Further, if	М
	DHS is asked to sign off on designs that lack clear workflow/task functionality, they could be signing off on a poor or incomplete design.	

Recommendations
 IVV recommends that the ASI and DHS work together to determine how best to integrate workflow/task functionality into all JAD sessions so this functionality can be successfully integrated into system design.

IV&V Status

IV&V Engagement Status

	_	

IV&V Engagement Area	June	July	August	Comments
IV&V Budget				
IV&V Schedule				
IV&V Deliverables				PCG submitted the final July IV&V Monthly Status Report.
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) IV&V Progress Reports				The first quarterly CMS Eligibility and Enrollment (E&E) IV&V Progress Report is anticipated to be delivered in October 2019 (refer to the PPU submitted to CMS).
CMS Milestone Reviews				The first CMS Milestone Review date has not yet been determined.
IV&V Staffing				
IV&V Scope				

	Engagement Rating Legend	
The engagement area is within acceptable parameters.	The engagement area is somewhat outside acceptable parameters.	The engagement area poses a significant risk to the IV&V project quality and requires immediate attention.

IV&V Activities



- IV&V activities in August reporting period:
 - Completed July Monthly Status Report
 - Submitted Comments on BI-06 DDI Plan, and BI-08 Technology Environments Specifications, BI-10 Functional and System Design Document Iteration 1 October Release, BI-11 Data Integration and Interface Design Document Iteration 1 Oct 19 Release, BI-14 Technical Design Document Iteration 1 October Release, BI-19 Complete and Final Test Plan, and BI-24 Organizational Change Management Plan
 - Ongoing analysis of Medicaid Eligibility and Enrollment Toolkit (MEET) requirements applicable to BES project
 - Ongoing Review Deliverables for BES project
 - Ongoing Attend ASI project meetings, including JADs and Workgroups (see <u>Additional Inputs</u> pages for details)
- Planned IV&V activities for September reporting period:
 - Continued discussion and analysis of Medicaid Eligibility and Enrollment Toolkit (MEET) requirements applicable to BES project with DHS PMO and BES Project Director
 - Ongoing Observe BES JAD and Workgroup sessions
 - Ongoing Observe Weekly Project Status meetings
 - Ongoing Observe bi-weekly BES Project Risk and Issue meetings
 - Ongoing Monthly IV&V findings meetings with Unisys
 - Ongoing Participate in weekly DHS and IV&V Touch Base meetings
 - Ongoing Review BES artifacts and deliverables

Deliverables Reviewed



Deliverable Name	Deliverable Date	Version
BI-02 Project Status Report Deliverable	Weekly	
BI-05 Project Schedule Deliverable –Baseline	190726 Baseline 190809 Baseline 190816 Baseline 190823 Baseline	
BI-06 Design, Development, and Implementation Plan Deliverable Re-Assessment	08/16/2019	V1.2
BI-08 Technical Environment Specifications – CNV21 Re-Assessment (via CC)	07/15/2019	V1.6
BI-08 Technical Environment Specifications – DEV02B Re-Assessment (via CC)	07/15/2019	V1.6
BI-08 Technical Environment Specifications – DEV21 Re-Assessment (via CC)	07/15/2019	V1.6
BI-08 Technical Environment Specifications – DRX21 Re-Assessment (via CC)	07/15/2019	V1.6
BI-08 Technical Environment Specifications – PRD21 Re-Assessment (via CC)	07/15/2019	V1.6
BI-08 Technical Environment Specifications – SIT21 Re-Assessment (via CC)	07/15/2019	V1.6
BI-08 Technical Environment Specifications – STG21 Re-Assessment (via CC)	07/15/2019	V1.6
BI-08 Technical Environment Specifications – TRN21 Re-Assessment (via CC)	07/15/2019	V1.6
BI-08 Technical Environment Specifications – UAT21 Re-Assessment (via CC)	07/15/2019	V1.6

Deliverables Reviewed



Deliverable Name	Deliverable Date	Version
BI-10 Functional and System Design Document Iteration 1 October Release	08/08/2019	V1.0
BI-10 Functional and System Design Document Iteration 1 October Release Client Search Use Case and FDD - DM01a	08/08/2019	V1.0
BI-10 Functional and System Design Document Iteration 1 October Release Create or Update Client Use Case and FDD - DM01b	08/08/2019	V1.0
BI-10 Functional and System Design Document Iteration 1 October Release Cleanse Client Address Use Case and FDD - DM03	08/08/2019	V1.0
BI-10 Functional and System Design Document Iteration 1 October Release Manage Master Person Index and FDD - DM06	08/08/2019	V1.0
BI-11 Data Integration and Interface Design Document Iteration 1 Oct 19 Release	08/13/2019	V1.0
BI-11 Data Integration and Interface Design Document Iteration 1 Oct 19 Release Department of Health - Interface Use Case	08/12/2019	V1.0
BI-11 Data Integration and Interface Design Document Iteration 1 Oct 19 Release Department of Health - Interface Control Document	08/12/2019	V1.0
BI-14 Technical Design Document Iteration 1 October Release	08/12/2019	V1.0
BI-14 Technical Design Document Iteration 1 October Release MDM Technical Design Document	07/31/2019	V1.0
BI-19 Complete and Final Test Plan Re-Assessment	08/09/2019	V1.3
BI-19 Complete and Final Test Plan Re-Assessment	08/23/2019	V1.4

Additional Inputs – Artifacts



Artifact Name	Artifact Date	Version
Decision Log	08/7/2019 08/21/2019 08/28/2019	N/A
Functional Design Action Item Process	08/12/2019 08/26/2019	N/A
BES Risk and Issue Log (Excel)	08/7/2019 08/21/2019 08/28/2019	
JAD Calendar	08/7/2019 08/12/2019 08/21/2019 08/28/2019	N/A
BES RFP and Unisys BAFO		

Additional Inputs



Meetings and/or Sessions Attended/Observed:

- Project Status Meetings x4 (8/7/2019, 8/14/2019, 8/21/2019, 8/28/2019)
- BESSD PMO, IV&V Weekly Meeting x4 (8/7/2019, 8/14/2019, 8/21/2019, 8/28/2019)
- Internal PCG Team Meetings x10 (8/2/2019, 8/5/2019, 8/6/2019, 8/8/2019, 8/12/2019, 8/15/2019, 8/19/2019, 8/22/2019, 8/26/2019, 8/29/2019)
- Monthly Change Control Board x2 (8/7/2019, 8/21/2019)
- Project Schedule Review x4 (8/6/2019, 8/13/2019, 8/20/2019, 8/27/2019)
- Business Roundtable Meeting x2 (8/8/2019, 8/22/2019)
- Monthly Stakeholder IV&V Report Review Meeting (8/7/2019)
- IV&V/Unisys Findings Review (8/21/2019)
- ALM Introduction Meeting (8/13/2019)
- Data Conversion Kickoff Meeting (08/20/2019)
- Administrative Hearings Workgroup Meetings x2 (8/8/2019, 8/21/2019)
- Common Functions Workgroup Meetings x3 (8/13/2019, 8/20/2019, 8/27/2019)
- Interface Workgroup Meeting (8/19/2019)
- CORE JAD x5 (8/20/2019, 8/21/2019, 8/22/2019, 8/27/2019, 8/29/2019)
- Self Service Portal JAD x3 (8/6/2019, 8/7/2019, 8/13/2019)
- Financials Workgroup Meetings (8/14/2019)





Appendix A – IV&V Criticality Ratings

 Criticality Rating
 Definition

 Image: Criticality Rating
 A high rating is assigned if there is a possibility of substantial impact to product quality, scope, cost, or schedule. A major disruption is likely and the consequences would be unacceptable. A different approach is required. Mitigation strategies should be evaluated and acted upon immediately.

 Image: Mathematical Structure
 A medium rating is assigned if there is a possibility of moderate impact to product quality, scope, cost, or schedule. Some disruption is likely and a different approach may be required. Mitigation strategies should be evaluated and implemented as soon as feasible.

 Image: Critical Structure
 A low rating is assigned if there is a possibility of slight impact to product quality, scope, cost, or schedule. Minimal disruption is likely and some oversight is most likely needed to ensure that the risk remains low. Mitigation strategies should be considered for implementation when possible.

Appendix B – Findings Log



• The complete Findings Log for the BES Project is provided in a separate file.

Appendix C – Acronyms and Glossary



Acronym	Definition
APD	Advance Planning Document
ASI	Application System Integrator
BES	Benefits Eligibility Solution
CCWIS	Comprehensive Child Welfare Information System
СМ	Configuration Management
СММІ	Capability Maturity Model Integration
CMS	Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services
CR	Change Request
DDI	Design, Development and Implementation
DED	Deliverable Expectation Document
DHS	Hawaii Department of Human Services
DLV	Deliverable
E&E	Eligibility and Enrollment
EA	Enterprise Architecture
ECM	Enterprise Content Management (FileNet and DataCap)
ESI	Enterprise System Integrator (Platform Vendor)
ETS	State of Hawaii Office of Enterprise Technology Services
FIPS	Federal Information Processing Standard
HIPAA	Health Information Portability and Accountability Act of 1996
IDM	Identity and Access Management (from KOLEA to State Hub)
IEEE	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
IES	Integrated Eligibility Solution
ITIL	Information Technology Infrastructure Library

Appendix C – Acronyms and Glossary



Acronym	Definition
IV&V	Independent Verification and Validation
KOLEA	Kauhale On-Line Eligibility Assistance
M&O	Maintenance & Operations
MEELC	Medicaid Eligibility and Enrollment Life Cycle
MEET	Medicaid Eligibility and Enrollment Toolkit
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
MQD	Hawaii Department of Human Services MedQuest Division
NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology
OE	Operating Environment
OIT	Department of Human Services Office of Information Technology
PIP	Performance/Process Improvement Plan
PMBOK [®]	Project Management Body of Knowledge
PMI	Project Management Institute
РМО	Project/Program Management Office
РМР	Project Management Plan
QA	Quality Assurance
QM	Quality Management
RFP	Request for Proposal
ROM	Rough Order of Magnitude
RMP	Requirements Management Plan
RTM	Requirements Traceability Matrix
SEI	Software Engineering Institute
SLA	Service-Level Agreement
SME	Subject Matter Expert

Appendix C – Acronyms and Glossary

Acronym	Definition
SOA	Service Oriented Architecture
SOW	Statement of Work, Scope of Work
VVP	Software Verification and Validation Plan
XLC	Expedited Life Cycle

Appendix D – Background Information



Systems Modernization Project

The DHS Enterprise Program Roadmap includes contracting with three separate vendors with the following high-level scope:

- ESI or Platform Vendor responsible for the shared technology and services required for multiple Application vendors to implement and support functionality that leverages the DHS Enterprise Platform.
- ASI or ASI Vendor responsible for the DDI of the Benefits Eligibility Solution (BES Project) enhancing the currently implemented Medicaid E&E Solution (KOLEA) and providing support for the combined Solutions.
- CCWIS Vendor responsible for the DDI of the CCWIS Solution to meet the needs of child welfare services and adult protective services (CCWIS Project) and providing support for the Solution.

Systems Modernization IV&V Project

IV&V performs objective assessments of the design, development/configuration and implementation (DDI) of DHS' System Modernization Projects. DHS has identified three high-risk areas where IV&V services are required:

- Transition of M&O from DHS' incumbent vendor to the ESI and ASI vendors
- BES DDI
- CCWIS DDI

On the BES DDI Project, IV&V is responsible for:

- Evaluating efforts performed by the Project (processes, methods, activities) for consistency with federal requirements and industry best practices and standards
- Reviewing or validating the work effort performed and deliverables produced by the ASI vendor as well as that of DHS to ensure alignment with project requirements
- Anticipating project risks, monitoring project issues and risks, and recommending potential risk mitigation strategies and issue resolutions throughout the project's life cycle
- Developing and providing independent project oversight reports to DHS, ASI vendors, State of Hawaii Office of Enterprise Technology Services (ETS) and DHS' Federal partners

Appendix D – Background Information



What is Independent Verification and Validation (IV&V)?

- Oversight by an independent third party that assesses the project against industry standards to provide an unbiased view to stakeholders
- The goal of IV&V is to help the State get the solution they want based on requirements and have it built according to best
 practices
- IV&V helps improve design visibility and traceability and identifies (potential) problems early
- IV&V objectively identifies risks and communicates to project leadership for risk management

PCG's Eclipse IV&V® Technical Assessment Methodology

- · Consists of a 4-part process made up of the following areas:
 - 1. Discovery Discovery consists of reviewing documentation, work products and deliverables, interviewing project team members, and determining applicable standards, best practices and tools.
 - 2. Research and Analysis Research and analysis is conducted in order to form an objective opinion.
 - 3. Clarification Clarification from project team members is sought to ensure agreement and concurrence of facts between the State, the Vendor, and PCG.
 - 4. Delivery of Findings Findings, observations, and risk assessments are documented in this monthly report and the accompanying Findings and Recommendations log. These documents are then shared with project leadership on both the State and Vendor side for them to consider and take appropriate action on.

IV&V Assessment Categories for the BES Project

- Project Management
- Requirements Analysis & Management
- System Design
- Configuration and Development
- Integration and Interface Management

- · Security and Privacy
- Testing
- OCM and Knowledge Transfer
- Pilot Test Deployment
- Deployment



www.publicconsultinggroup.com

Identified Date	Category	Observation	Significance	Recommendation	Event Horizon	Impact Pr	obability	Priority A	alyst Priority	Finding Status Date Retired	Status Update	Client Comments	Vendor Comments
/29/2019	System Design	Admin Appeals, Core, Financial, etc.) and have been ongoing since approximately March 2019. Workfow/task JAD have yet to begin. Currently, when functional area design discussions involve a workflow/task, the discussion is tabled because the ASI has yet to define how the workflow/task will be implemented. The ASI has stated that once the workflow/task is functionable included to the back and under to the	Stopping (or putting on hold) design and process flow discussions during JAD's can result in an incomplete understanding of future processes. Uninformed design decisions could lead to significant received, contaisand among SME's and the ASI project team, unproductive analysis discussions, and a poor design, further, if OH's asket to sign of non designs that luck clear workflow/task functionality, they could be signing off on a poor or incomplete design.	-ASI work quickly to define how the workflow/task functionality will work, train BA session leads - introduce SNE's to workflow/task functionality and integrate into system designs.	ASAP	4	4	High	Med	Open			09/12/19 SB: The ASI will work with DHS in assessing whether to change current schedule for these funtional areas.
/31/2019	Project Management	management level as appropriate. At times this leads to limited transparency to the CCB and its associated processes for ensuring the impacts of all planned changes are fully understood. IVV notes that there is at least one documented instance of work being performed and completed prior to the associated CR being signed off and approved.		IVV recommends that the Change Management process be re-evaluated to ensure complete transparency for all project partners and stakeholders.	October 2019	0	0	N/A	NA	Open			09/12/19 SB: The ASI is working closely with DHS on the CR's that are i flight. When decisions are finalized, the ASI will ensure the status will b provided to project partners and stakeholders.
/31/2019	System Design	WU understands that cross-IAD items are discussed in the bi-weekly ASI Roundtable session and that this process is currently being managed by a single individual. However TV is unawer of a written process for ensuring management coordination of both a Send' and a 'receive' of JAD items moving from one JAD to another. Variance in execution of this process could lead to missing functionality.	and uniformly executed, JAD items may 'fall through the cracks' and requirements may be missed. This could potentially lead to uninformed gaps	Due to the importance of an accurate design, IVV recommends that the management process of moving JAD Items from one JAD group to another be fully defined, documented, and monitored for effectiveness by the ASI and DHS.	September 2019	3	3 1	Medium	Med	Open			09/12/19 SB: This process is in place and is reviewed at the standing di checkpoint meeting, as part of the JAD improvements work session; weekly design sessions and at the roundtables. The ASI will document process.
5/28/2019	Project Management	International dealers and the function of the plant of	The current project architecture and design should be as representative and inclusive of all hown future solution plans as possible. As an example, if KOLEA and BES are to move to a single instance of Siebel in the future, planning for that integration should be incorporated into the project now. If such significant future changes are not planned for now, the project is likely to see increased complexity, revork, and costs when integrating the two	- DHS request ASI perform due diligence in any recommendation for foundational architecture change decisions The project should vet possible architectural change impacts to platform, M and Q. MQS. and MES systems communication to create an awareness of possible architecture changes so that they can prepare for the possibility of a change. For early any possibility that the platform could change them analysic/design could focus on platform agnostic design and avoid extensive efforts in refining a platform specific design.	ASAP	4	5	High	High	Open	8/29/19 - Some platform and BES system architecture decisions have yet to be made and socialized to the project. For example, the AS and DFS have stated that the plave reached agreement that the project will move forward with implementing two Selech estances (one for KOLEA, one for BES), but this is not currently reflected in the project changel og or the project decision log. It remains unclear if the details of the rationale for this decision or the planfor integrating the two instances optog alwa have been thronoghly vetted and/or documented. Further, there may be some uncertainty around whether when/if ale winnoments (brough ROLEA and ES) production) will be there when/if ale winnoments (brough ROLEA and ES) production) will be there when the interview of the provided that is come environments the place part to be in the docid were some that some environments they had excepted to be in the docid were sometication to currently proposed architectural approach. (SZ) when BCS has and ES) reinburging them. Wis is awaiting additional information regarding changes indicated architecture changes are currently being discussed, no clean details have been made valuable to IV/. Units such details are provided, IVV will continue to track this as a preliminary concern.		06/11/19 S Brown: The ASI requests darity on what long term architec decisions are being referred to.
5/28/2019	Project Management		unplanned schedule delays, and unobtainable task end dates and milestones This condition in the schedule is an indication that one or more of the following may be occurring: there may not be enough resources to accomplish the planned tasks in accordance with the schedule; tasks may no have been fully decomposed to the appropriate level; resource assignments	Add all project resources to the project schedule Assign all project resources in the schedule to as to all current and planned tasks and activities. - Level load for the next 90 days to ensure the accuracy and attainability of	July 2019	4	2 1	Medium	Med	Open	08/31/2019 - WV did not observe substantive change in the latest schedule version regarding this risk. Most named resources are still overallocated over the not \$0 days as depicted on the Project Plan's Task Resource Sheet. IVV notes Task the providual yidentified positions without taimed resources that were also overallocated over the next \$0 days Task and their task allocations dramatikally reduced. TVV success on two the single overallocated resources workload were reduced but will further investigate in September. ** Note: VV remove the word draft from the finding title as the schedule is no longer in draft status, but the risk remains relevant. IVXV maintains the resources are still overallocated over the next 90 days, as depicted on the Project Plan's Task Resource Sheet. Of significance is the fact that there are conversional status and the next of days, as depicted on the Project Plan's Task Resource Sheet. Of significance is the fact that there are currently opations unduced to applicatione. The state dop/ablinded version of the schedule is accusted backed is published. VM notes that Disk and the 31 en collocated over there were to determine if this condition persists when au updated schedule is published. VM notes that Disk and the 31 en collocation. Then Plan et 20 days. NW viel Constitutes that the condition still persists in the latest published version of the schedule is possibilizhed. VM notes that Disk and the 31 en collocation. Plan for the X1 has approprinte level of resourcing tasks and activities in the project schedule, and that this finding was included in the Disk Activity Disk that the resourcing and that the schedule in the project schedule, and that this finding was included in the Disk Activity.		06/11/19 S Brown: The ASI will continue to update and level resource allocations.
5/28/2019	Project Management		This has a farmer of a first start and the law of a start start and a start start and far a start start and the start st	decomposed by the individual project leads, and that subsequent details are	July 2019	3	3 1	Medium	Med	Open	08/31/2019 - No substantive update for the August reporting period. Tasks specific to JAGs/Workgroups in the next 90 days continue to be updated, added, and/or furthe decomposed through the 8/2/19 version of the schedue. The Data Conversion schedule has been added to the Project V between the Conversion schedule has been added to the Project V obstantial to the Conversion schedule has been added to the Project V obstant to the Conversion schedule has been added to the Project V obstant the October Relaxes schedule details are managed in a workplan activation of the Sachel Project Workplan, and that millectones from that offline workplan are provided in the Baseline Project Workplan, and that millectones from that offline workplan are provided in the Baseline Project Workplan, and that millectones from that offline vortices that many task (e.g., specific to JADs and Workproups) in the next 90 days have been updated, added, and/of further decomposed since the last published version of the schedule is than will continue to monitor this item over the 90-day period from 7/15/19 through 10/15/19 to verify that the level of detail in the schedule details on Impore. JADs/19 to verify that the level of detail in the schedule details updot/2019. JOK/2010 - JOK/2010		09/12/19 SB: The ASI and DHS have a weekly meeting to review the so in great detail. The ASI and DHS are evaluaring options to simplify the schedule and work frem tracking process. 06/11/19 S Brown: The ASI agreed to add additional detail once the so is baselined, as discussed with the client.

Final IVV Findings Log - August 2019

Identified Date	Category	Observation	Significance	Recommendation	Event Horizon	Impact Pr	obability Priori	ty Analyst F	Priority Finding State	is Date Retired	Status Update	Client Comments	Vendor Comments
12/17/2018	Configuration and Development	Several DHS stakeholders have commented that the SI Design, Development, and Implementation (DDI) approach is unclear. While stakeholders can bosenev Si activity and have participated in some SI activity objectives seem unclear. Lack of a the SI conducted 20 Diapproach overwee session during an initial JAR session, however not all stakeholders were present. IVV did not locate any stakeholders who may have missed to the overview session, by new members of the team, or by other interested parties.	ctivity objectives may reduce the effectiveness of JAR and JAD	PCG recommends one or more of the following to mitigate this risks + 9 provide an additional DOI approach overview session for stateholders and allow for QBA + 3 provide DOI approach documentation/materials for stateholders to review and/or reflexis their knowledge on demand, the stateholders to review and/or reflexis their knowledge on demand, the practical could be made available via the project StarePort + Sustemi DOI Plan deliverable and make it easily available to all project stateholders	1/31/19	4	3 Higt	, Me	d Open		They Iff together, As a result, the project logged action item (#473) on 7/10/2015, tittle DFs wants to how de sign Lec 2ase; FDDs are bear put together for a comprehensive view of this information'. The 7/31/2019 Project statur sept. side 7, shows an in-progress activity. Work on process to show the big picture'. 6/26/19- ASI efforts to provide packets/templates and other information before JAD and workgroup session has improved understanding of the ASI DDI approach, however, DHS leadership and some participants have stated that pre-researed materials are not provided soon enough for them to properly prepare. Examples include: Elipbility JAD 1 Session 5 Agenda - Sent: 4/32, Bgm - Session - 6/79, Sam Elipbility JAD 1 Session 5 Agenda - Sent: 4/32, Bgm - Session - 6/26, Sam Elipbility JAD 1 Session 5 Agenda - Sent: 4/32, Bgm - Session - 6/72, Sam Elipbility JAD 1 Session 5 Agenda - Sent: 4/32, Bgm - Session - 6/72, Sam Elipbility JAD 1 Session 5 Agenda - Sent: 4/32, Bgm - Session - 6/72, Sam Elipbility JAD 1 Session 5 Agenda - Sent: 4/32, Bgm - Session - 6/72, Sam Elipbility JAD 1 Session 5 Agenda - Sent: 4/32, Bgm - Session - 6/72, Sam Elipbility JAD 1 Session 5 Agenda - Sent: 4/32, Bgm - Session - 6/72, Sam Elipbility JAD 1 Session 5 Agenda - Sent: 4/32, Bgm - Session - 6/72, Sam Elipbility JAD 1 Session 5 Agenda - Sent: 4/32, Bgm - Session - 6/72, Sam Elipbility JAD 1 Session 5 Agenda - Sent: 4/32, Sam Session - 6/72, Sam Elipbility JAD 1 Session 5 Agenda - Sent: 4/32, Sam Session - Sam, 6/72 - Administrative Hearings Workgroup Agenda - Sent: 8/32, Bgm - Session - Sam, 6/72 - Administrative Hearings Workgroup Agenda - Sent: 8/32, Bgm - Session - Sam, 6/72 - Administrative Hearings		numerous presentations and discussions on the methodology to the clie The ASI is in the process of updating the deliverable based on the DCF comments, with many of them from IV and that have been very high le
11/28/2018	Configuration and Development	In Tact, the As is not requesting more environments than specified in the BSP hojet. BATO, The AS is requesting development environments for the BSP hojet. That are aligned with the platform and application software upon which the BSP hojet. BS solution will reside in production. It is IV Wu inderstanding that the existing KOLEA development environments have and pathet align and that a concrete effort to bring those environments and upstellar and that a concrete effort to bring those environments are upto break and upstellar and that a concrete effort to bring those environments unret would be necessary if the ASI planned to be implemented on a higher version (traft platform version); 21 of Siebel that crudic such BKOLEA were upto table for their platform version); the ASI canners that align with the future productione environments and upathety and the future of the platform version); the ASI canners that align with the future productione environments and upathety and the future of the submodel the submodel and the submodel and the submodel the future of the submodel the submodel and the submodel and the submodel the submodel and the submodel the submodel and the submodel and the submodel the submodel and the submodel the submodel and the submode	Is constrained by having to develop the BES solution in the existing evelopment environments (regardless whether those environments date), the quality of the BES solution may be negatively affected. Is obtain could not be fully tested on a production-like platform prior tor go-live. Nuances between sleely environment and production can nepected defects ranging from catastrophic to anonyle. Creating evelopment environments for BES is at each that, from all tese, was not anticipated by the SI or DHS. Contract details fanding, creation of new or repurposed environments is complex equire time and effort from DHS, the SI, and the AS. The contract articularly around the reponsibility for the cost of creating BES enet environments, and potential Increased licensing fees may visual in increased costs to DHS. Both of these impacts may intly cause delay to the BES project schedule.	 ASI work with the State to mach a common understanding of the requirements for the BES DOI environments. ESI and ASI work together to formulate an environment strategy that will meet the project platform and development needs and minimale impact to the State. 	Q1 2019	3	3 Media	m Me	d Open		have yet to be shared with IVV. IVV is unaware if ESI responsibilities and contractual obligations upon implementation of the new environment plan have been fully resolved. Until IVV has the opportunity to review this	and we are working with Oracle, Unisys and BMS to find a resolution. BMS and Unisys have indicated a need for more environments than expected and we have a disagreement about exactly what the contracts require or allow. We had a big meeting at Unisys to discuss the problem and BMS and Unisys have submitted preliminary solutions. Both solutions involve setting up BES environments on the cloud and they require additional finding. I plan to discuss price of cloud capacity. We recently aigned they are 2 Actension for BMS and there is a priced option for them to build the environments. I would also note that Unitys has a deliverable for their environments built hat have not received yet, so I believe requests for environments without an approved plan is premature.	has worked with the 51 to develop and support a POC of IH and shift capability of the existing Kolea environments to the cloud. The client has exalated issues to the ES in a timely manner. 3/3/19 all Thornton, Unixys: "ASI hassubmitted a proposed solution for the environment issue – not costCR. 2/6/19, all Thornton, Unixys: Findings and Recommendations (H13)– Configuration and Development DV environment—This issue was entered into the risk register on December 5thnot 1/30 as implied in risk write-up.

Final IVV Findings Log - August 2019

Jentified Date	Category	Observation Si	ignificance Recommenda	ition Even	nt Horizon	Impact Probability	Priority Analyst	Priority Finding Status Date Retired	Status Update	Client Comments	Vendor Comments
	nfiguration and Development	The project intends to utilize Adobe as the preferred platform for portal development, instead of Lifetay (which is currently used for the existing KOLEA portal platform), as the BSS project web portal solution. Adobe Forms is currently out of cooper fort BES Spee PDI as loagiling on FBS POBC Police of ABgantub et als is no period to solution, there will be a significant (ROM) estimate of \$2.8 million for this CR and has also provided a more to Adobe.	 Uns request more details from the ASI to be around such a high cost to move to an Adob DHS request more details from the ASI to be around such a high cost to move to an Adob DHS request more details from the ASI to be 	e-based BES portal solution. • etter understand the ASI's Q	11 2019	4 3	High Me	sd Open	8/29/19 - There is unclear communication between DHS and ASI regarding the portal. MRF the project was initiated. DHS informed hiles; but at the Department decided to standardize on Adobe Sites and Forms and requested Univey, change is portal development including SULEA from Ulersy to Adobe. Because the decision was different than Using's proposal. Univey submitted a CR and proposal bonus for the change. Due to the high cost. DHS decided to competitively bid the portal wark for SULEA not to turn over the new portal to be used for BES. Later, in discussion between DHS and Univey. Univey different do convert only the SULEA portal to Adobe to validate the risks identified in the original CR. When a new CR was not peared. DHS prepared the CR for submittal. The CR was not submitted because the ASI engagement manager indicated dom is classions were underway. Univgs now understand. DHS docsion is firm on Adobe and is re-evaluating the hours and associated ost of the Initial CA. A reside CR is a Bongtech of the provide the period to the second and is the evaluating the hours and associated ost of the Initia CA. A reside CR is a Bongtech of the portal (which will be eganded to accommodate the BS for actionalities) and the provide the second beam of the societ and the project the budget is likely mer. 73/13/1-9. Whis are update on this finding. Dut maintains: this is a low risk as of the July 2012 reporting period. Br27/19 The ASI has reported they are working to update the Adobe kange request (CR) that includes migrating the KOLEA portal from UleRay to Adobe at no additional cost to DHS and Williagev schapronal at the act CR meeting VV will continue to monitor this finding und the CI is published and VV can request. 27/21/9. CHS and ASI negates existential to this change request are ongoing. Various options are being discussed but no decisions have been findialed, and there have been initial communication to the project. VV is opening two new findings related to this one, focusing on the co		09/12/19 SB: Active conversation and assessment of options continue between the ASI and DHS. 06/11/19 S Brown: The ASI diagrees with the finding that there is lim communication to the project on this item. It is statused on a weeky part of the status report and meeting, with client agreement on statu 03/13/2019 Bill Thornton, Unisys: Charlacton has been provided to the composite rate applying enhancements with the existing technology stack. Adobe is a new technology and the composite rate does not apply. We will updat PIA with effort and the roles utilized.
/26/2018 Proje	sct Management	The CMS Project Partnership Understanding (PPU) is not finalized between the State and CMs for this project. If funding is expected from CMS, they may require alignment to the MTIA Framework, Gate Review, and/or use of the Medical diligibility and Enrolment Tookik (MET) Fouries. The MET endediated Higbility and Enrolment projects.	the State's approval of the functional and ts Federal funding may be at risk.	e appropriate project Technica	Functional and al Requirement opproval.	5 1	Medium Lo	w Open	able to lower the original cost estimate of the move from Uferay to Adobe. <u>Waryneys</u> , <i>and Wesley and Wesley Conference on the Advance of the transmission of of the transmissin of the transmissin of the transmission of the transmission </i>		

Final IVV Findings Log - August 2019

fied Category	Observation Significance	Recommendation	Event Horizon	Impact Pro	bability Priority	Analyst Priority Find	ling Status Date Retired	Status Update	Client Comments	Vendor Comments
								8/31/2019 - IVV continues to monitor schedule progress. The conversion		
018 Projett Management	Based upon the project schedule dated 11/26/18 (refer to schedule for specific), several due dates for project deliverables have been missad. As of the date of this report, these deliverables include the Project Management programmer to provide the transformation of the schedule that provides the req securation of the project. In some finances, this risk may be compounded by a backlog of Deliverable Expectation Documents (DED) requiring approval and acceptance from the State.	e, cost, and quality project management entity are thoughtfully and collaboratively developed quired level of detail to and implemented to meet the needs of the project. Review and update the	TED	4	2 Medium	Med	Open	activities and tasks were addee to the master schedule, and task status was updrated on August 28, 2019. The QOI functionally schedule is completed and is being managed separate from the Master Schedule. Additionally, changes may be necessary to the schedule once the FOO/TDD process to include integration points is finalized. Although the ASI reported that some tasks are late in the August 27, 2019 projects schedule revew meeting, they are not on the critical path. I/V will continue to monitor this finding. (7)731/2019 — Tenumber of the tasks in the schedule even meeting, they are not on the critical path. I/V will continue to monitor this finding. (7)731/2019 — Tenumber of the tasks in the schedule even (Late Task Report. I/V v acknowledges the ASI reviewed the critical path schedule with DHS and I/V th actional (Master ASI 7) south the schedule to review. I/W will (Sci 2019 schedule as the baschieu like) with DHS and I/V that convergent as the Schedule to Review. I/W will (ReV) face 100 schedule as the pathene to Review. I/W will (ReV) face 100 schedule as the pathene and the ASI and updated by the KAI and to yet available for I/V to review. I/W will (ReV) face face face tasks report the development of development of Review. I/W will (ReV) face face Review. I/W will (ReV) face face Review. I/W will (ReV) face Review. I/W will (ReView. I/W will (ReView. I/W will (ReView. I/W will (ReView. I/W		09/12/19 SB: The ASI meets weekly with DHS to review the schedule and will continue to do so. As noted earlier, the ASI and DHS are asso options to simplif the schedule and wifk them tracking process. 6/11/19: The ASI and client are holding daily stand up meetings to re progress made that day, any issues identified and the plan for the Fold day. These meetings social click are also bolding weekly with the objective of collaboration and collaboratio