

HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

REQUESTING THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU TO CONDUCT A STUDY OF THE RELEVANCY OF THE CERTIFICATE OF NEED PROCESS UNDER CHAPTER 323D, HAWAII REVISED STATUTES.

WHEREAS, Certificate of Need (CON) programs are state-level 1 regulatory programs that require approval from a state health 2 3 planning agency for construction, expansion, and major capital 4 expenditures by health care facilities and services; and 5 6 WHEREAS, Hawaii's CON law, codified in part V of chapter 7 323D, Hawaii Revised Statutes, was established in 1975 in 8 response to the National Health Planning and Resources Development Act of 1974 (NHPRDA), which conditioned the award of 9 10 federal public health service grants upon a state's adoption of 11 such regulatory measures; and 12 13 WHEREAS, in 1987, the federal mandate and funding provisions were repealed; and 14 15 WHEREAS, since the repeal of NHPRDA, 14 states have dropped 16 their CON programs while several other states have narrowed the 17 application of their CON laws to only certain types of 18 19 facilities; and 20 21 WHEREAS, Hawaii's law requires the State Health Planning 22 and Development Agency to approve a certificate of need for the construction, expansion, alteration, conversion, development, 23 24 initiation, or modification of all health care facilities or 25 health care services in the State; and 26 WHEREAS, like many other states' CON laws, Hawaii's CON law 27 is intended to provide a coordinated system that links statewide 28 29 planning for health services with facilities development; and



1 WHEREAS, Hawaii's CON program, like other programs 2 nationwide, has been criticized on several bases, including: 3 4 (1)It hampers the efficient performance of healthcare 5 markets by creating barriers to entry and expansion, restricting free and open competition, and limiting 6 7 consumer choice, resulting in higher prices; 8 9 (2) It hampers innovation and improvements in the delivery of better healthcare by limiting competition; 10 11 12 (3) It is not consistently administered; and 13 It does not achieve its objective of controlling 14 (4')15 healthcare costs; and 16 17 WHEREAS, national health care reform and other changes. taking place in the health care marketplace make it appropriate 18 19 to examine the relevancy of Hawaii's CON program and its effects 20 on health care access, quality, and costs; now, therefore, 21 22 BE IT RESOLVED by the House of Representatives of the 23 Twenty-ninth Legislature of the State of Hawaii, Regular Session 24 of 2018, the Senate concurring, that the Legislative Reference 25 Bureau is requested to conduct a study of the relevancy of the CON process under Chapter 323D, Hawaii Revised Statutes, with 26 27 respect to: 28 29 (1) The role of the CON Program; 30 (2) Whether certain facilities, types of facilities, or 31 32 services should be exempt from the CON process; and 33 34 (3) Whether modifications made to the CON process in other states may be beneficial to implement in Hawaii; and 35 36 37 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Legislative Reference Bureau is requested to make appropriate recommendations to 38 39 eliminate or modify the CON process; and 40 41 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Legislative Reference 42 Bureau is requested to submit a report of its findings and 43 recommendations with regard to the CON process, including any 44 necessary proposed legislation, to the Legislature no later than HCR3 HD1 HMS 2018-2864



2



1 20 days prior to the convening of the Regular Session of 2019; 2 and 3

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that certified copies of this Concurrent Resolution be transmitted to the Director of the Legislative Reference Bureau, Director of Health, and Administrator of the State Health Planning and Development Agency.

