DEPT. COMM. NO. 242

11: 34 b- WL 81"

STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS

830 PUNCHBOWL STREET, ROOM 321 HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813

www.labor.hawaii.gov Phone: (808) 586-8844 / Fax: (808) 586-9099 Email: dlir.director@hawaii.gov

December 28, 2017

The Honorable Ronald D Kouchi, President and Members of the Senate Twenty Ninth State Legislature State Capitol, Room 409 Honolulu, HI 96813 The Honorable Scott K. Saiki, Speaker and Members of the House of Representatives
Twenty Ninth State Legislature
State Capitol, Room 431
Honolulu, HI 96813

Dear President Kouchi, Speaker Saiki, and Members of the Legislature:

For your information and consideration, I am transmitting two (2) copies of the Department of Labor and Industrial Relations (DLIR) Annual Evaluation of the Hawai'i Unemployment Compensation Trust Fund Report for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2017, as required by section 37-47, Hawaii Revised Statues (HRS).

In accordance with section 93-16, HRS, I am also informing you that the report may be viewed electronically at http://labor.hawaii.gov/find-a-report/.

Sincerely,

Leonard Hoshijo Acting Director

Terna of Inhyo

Enclosure



Annual Evaluation of the Hawai'i Unemployment Compensation Trust Fund

State of Hawai'i Department of Labor & Industrial Relations

December 2017

STATE OF HAWAI'I David Y. Ige, Governor

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS Leonard Hoshijo, Acting Director

RESEARCH AND STATISTICS OFFICE Phyllis Dayao, Chief

Operations Management Information Staff Janet Kaya, Supervisor 830 Punchbowl Street, Room 304 Honolulu, HI 96813 (808) 586-9005

UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE DIVISION Linda Y. Uesato, Administrator

December 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Executive Summary	1
Background Information	3
Purpose of ReportFund Definition and Requirements	
Status of the Unemployment Compensation Trust Fu	nd 4
Status of the FundFund SolvencyTax RatesTaxable Wage Base	
Economic Factors	6
 General Conditions Civilian Labor Force Data Insured Unemployment and Employment Covered by the Weekly Benefit Amounts and Average Duration 	e UC Fund
Experience Rating	10
DescriptionCharged and Noncharged Benefits2016 Employer Data	
Appendixes	
A Computation of Employer Contribution Rates	A-1
B Annual Data from 1970	
 Civilian Labor Force Data (Data from 1976) Insured Unemployment and Employment Cover Weekly Benefit Amount and Average Duration Hawai'i Unemployment Compensation Trust Fu Ratio of Current to Adequate Reserve Fund Unemployment Insurance Tax Rates Total and Taxable Wages Charged and Noncharged Benefits 	red by the UC Fund
C Benefits Not Charged to Employer Accounts	A-10
D The Hawai'i Unemployment Compensation Trust Fu Conditions: 1970 to the Present	

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Highlights


	2016	2017	2018
Unemployment Compensation Trust Fund			
Contributions	\$156 million	\$155 million*	\$163 million*
Interest	\$11 million	\$11 million*	\$11 million*
Benefits	\$128 million	\$158 million*	\$153 million*
Fund Balance (end of year)	\$515 million	\$524 million*	\$545 million*
Unemployment Rates			
Hawai'i Insured Unemployment Rate	1.1%	1.2%*	1.2%*
Hawai'i Total Unemployment Rate	3.0%	2.6%*	2.6%*
U.S. Total Unemployment Rate	5.3%		
Taxable Wage Base	\$42,200	\$44,000	\$45,900
Tax Schedule	Schedule C	Schedule C	Schedule C
Tax Rates			
Minimum	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Maximum	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%
Average			
% of Taxable Wages	1.0%	1.0%*	1.0%*
% of Total Wages	0.7%	0.7%*	0.7%*
Weekly Benefit Amount			
Minimum	\$5	\$5	\$5
Maximum	\$569	\$592	\$619
Average	\$447		
Average Benefit Duration	14.3 weeks		

* estimated

Status of the Fund

The Hawai'i Unemployment Compensation Trust Fund balance was \$531.3 million at the end of November 2017. During the first eleven months of 2017, \$155.4 million in taxes and \$8.7 million in interest were added to the Fund. At the same time, \$147.8 million in benefits were paid out from the Fund. As of November, the fund balance is 1.08 of the adequate level. This amount would finance about one year of unemployment at the worst level experienced during the last ten years.

Assuming the calendar year 2018 insured unemployment rate averages 1.2%, or about 7,500 claims per week, the fund balance is estimated to be \$545 million at the end of 2018. With this fund balance, Schedule C would continue to be in effect for 2019.




Economic Factors

The national not seasonally adjusted total unemployment rate (TUR) was 3.9% as of November 2017, decreasing from the November 2016 rate of 4.4%. Hawai'i's not seasonally adjusted total unemployment rate (TUR) was 2.0% in November 2017 as compared to 2.9% in November 2016. Hawai'i's total nonagricultural wage and salary job count grew in 2017, averaging 653,500 for the eleven months ending November 2017. This is a 6,900 increase from the average of 646,600 for the same period in 2016.

The insured unemployment rate (IUR), a measure of the unemployed covered by Hawai'i's Unemployment Compensation program, is used to project outgo from the Unemployment Compensation Trust Fund. The IUR averaged 1.14% in 2016. It is at 1.19% as of November 2017.

Projections in this report assume stable unemployment levels in Hawai'i through 2018. Trust fund estimates are based on an insured unemployment rate (IUR) of 1.2% in 2017 and 1.2% in 2018.



2018 Tax Schedule and Taxable Wage Base

Taxes will also be stable as Tax Schedule C will remain in effect for 2018 due to a fund balance of \$531.3 million as of November 2017. Tax rates will range from 0.0% to 5.6%. The average tax rate is estimated to be 1.0%. The taxable wage base (maximum annual wages taxable per employee) will be \$45,900 in 2018.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Purpose of Report

This report is produced to meet the requirements of section 383–126.5, Hawai'i Revised Statutes, which calls for an *annual evaluation of the adequacy of the Hawai'i Unemployment Compensation Trust Fund balance*, taking into account conditions in the State and national economic trends. The report is due to the Legislature no later than 20 days prior to the convening of the regular session.

Fund Definition and Requirements

Establishment of Fund in Hawai'i state law. The Hawai'i Employment Security Law (Hawai'i Revised Statutes, chapter 383) establishes the Unemployment Compensation Trust Fund under the administration of the Department of Labor and Industrial Relations. Unemployment insurance contributions (taxes) are collected from employers and deposited into this fund. Interest earned on the fund balance is also credited to the fund. The fund can be used *only* to pay unemployment insurance benefits or refunds of overpaid contributions.

Federal requirements. Both state and federal laws govern the unemployment insurance program. The Federal Unemployment Tax Act (FUTA), which is part of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code, provides for a federal payroll tax—currently 6.0% of the first \$7,000 in wages per year per employee. Employers receive a tax credit of 5.4% against the Federal tax (resulting in a net tax rate of 0.6%) if their state's law meets all the requirements in the federal laws. The federal tax pays for state and federal administration costs, the federal share of extended benefits, and a loan fund for states that deplete their unemployment funds.

The Social Security Act also contains many requirements relating to the unemployment insurance program.

Three important trust fund related federal requirements are as follows.

- All state Unemployment Compensation Trust funds must be maintained in the U.S. Treasury as part of
 the federal Unemployment Trust Fund. Each state has an account in the Trust Fund and interest is paid
 quarterly to the account by the federal government.
- The Trust Fund can be used essentially only for the payment of unemployment benefits.
- Employers receive the full 5.4% federal tax credit only if the state's system for determining individual employer tax rates meets federal standards. For example, the state's maximum tax rate must be at least 5.4% and an employer's tax rate may be reduced from the maximum, but the reduction must be based on that employer's experience with unemployment (experience rating).

Nonconformity to federal standards can result in:

- denial of all credit against the federal tax (employer's federal tax would be the full 6.0% on the \$7,000 wage base);
- denial of additional credit (employer's federal tax would be the difference of the full federal tax minus their state taxes paid); and/or
- denial of administration grants to run the state program.

To insure that Hawai'i employers continue to receive full federal unemployment tax credits, and funding for the state program is not lost, federal standards must be considered in developing laws affecting Hawai'i's Unemployment Compensation Trust Fund.

Self-financing of benefits by governmental and/or nonprofit employers. Sections 383-62 and 62.5, Hawai'i Revised Statutes provide that State and County governmental employers, nonprofit organizations described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code and exempt from income tax under section 501(a) of such code, and Indian tribes may elect exemption from paying contributions by requesting self-financing status.

STATUS OF THE UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION TRUST FUND

Status of the Fund

(Historical data from 1970: Appendix B, page A-5)

The fund balance was \$531.3 million at the end of November 2017 compared to \$515.1 million at the end of 2016. During the first eleven months of 2017, \$155.4 million in taxes and \$8.7 million in interest were added to the Fund. At the same time, \$147.8 million in benefits were paid out from the Fund.

Projections through 2018: For this report, the insured unemployment rate is projected to average 1.2% in 2017 and 1.2% in 2018. This would result in a benefit outgo of about \$153 million in 2018. Taxes and interest are projected at about \$174 million in 2018. On the basis of this forecasted level of unemployment, the fund balance would be about \$545 million by the end of 2018 and Tax Schedule C would be in effect for 2019.

HAWAI'I UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION TRUST FUND (in millions of \$)

Year	Taxes Interest Benefits		Fund Balance	Federal Loan Balance	
2011 2012 2013 2014 2015	\$160.9 \$275.7 \$308.2 \$392.1 \$264.1 \$200.2 \$156.0 \$10.1 \$12.8 \$ 0.4 \$16.5 \$35.2 \$ 0.7 \$26.0 \$20.3 \$ 0.7 \$16.0 \$17.0	\$ 1.9 \$ 0.2 \$ 1.5 \$ 5.0 \$ 10.1 \$ 11.3 \$ 0.0 \$ 2.8 \$ 0.0 \$ 2.8 \$ 0.0 \$ 2.9 \$ 0.0 \$ 3.0 \$ 0.0 \$ 3.0 \$ 3.0 \$ 3.0 \$ 3.1 \$ 3.1 \$ 3.1	\$305.2 \$245.3 \$225.0 \$199.1 \$172.6 \$134.8 \$128.1 \$15.6 \$13.1 \$13.3 \$12.4 \$14.8 \$12.8 \$13.8 \$12.2 \$14.3 \$12.2 \$14.3 \$12.4	-\$ 11.6 \$ 18.9 \$103.7 \$301.8 \$400.5 \$475.9 \$515.1 \$509.3 \$509.2 \$498.8 \$502.9 \$523.7 \$524.0 \$533.7 \$526.4 \$533.7 \$526.7 \$531.3	\$14.6 \$0.0 \$0.0 \$0.0 \$0.0 \$0.0 \$0.0 \$0.0 \$0

Fund Solvency

(Historical data from 1970: Appendix B, page A-6)

The Hawai'i Employment Security Law defines the factors used to measure the adequacy of the Fund and how the tax rate schedule for the coming year is determined based on that adequacy level. Appendix A outlines the method for determining the tax schedule and how each employer's individual tax rate is computed based on the employer's benefit and contribution experience as well as the schedule in effect.

During the 2007 Legislative Session, Act 110 temporarily redefined the *adequate reserve fund* as the amount of benefits that would be paid out during one year of unemployment at the highest level experienced during the most recent ten years. The prior provisions of the law set the amount at one and one-half years at the highest cost rate. During the 2010 Legislative Session, Act 2 permanently redefined the adequate reserve fund amount to the one-year highest cost rate.

On page A-6, Appendix B, the table entitled *Ratio of Current to Adequate Reserve Fund* shows the data used in tax schedule computations since 1970. For the 2018 tax schedule computation, the adequate amount is \$490,546,438. The current reserve fund (actual fund assets as of November 30, 2017) is \$531,346,177. At this fund level, Schedule C will be in effect for 2018.

Tax Rates

(Historical data from 1970: Appendix B, page A-7)

One of eight tax schedules, A through H, is in effect for a calendar year as explained in Appendix A. Schedule A has the lowest tax rates, while schedule H has the highest. The average tax rate attainable from a particular tax schedule depends on the distribution of employers by reserve ratio groups. Employers tend to move to higher reserve ratio groups during periods when contributions exceed benefits. During such times, more employers move into the lower tax rate groups and the average tax rate for a schedule decreases. Conversely, during periods of high unemployment, more employers move to the higher tax rate groups and the average tax rate for each schedule automatically increases.

Under Act 2 (2010 Legislative Session), rather than apply the computed tax schedules, Schedule D was set for rate year 2010 and Schedule F was set for rate year 2011. Without Act 2, the tax schedule would have been F for 2010, and G for 2011. Act 6 (2012 Legislative Session) set the 2012 tax schedule at F; under the normal computations, it would have been Schedule H.

Minimum, maximum, and average tax rates are shown on page A-7; Appendix B. Taxes are estimated to average about 1.0% of taxable wages during calendar year 2017 with Schedule C in effect. From 1985 to 2012 the maximum tax rate on each tax schedule was 5.4%. Under Act 263 (2012 Legislative Session) the maximum tax rates on each schedule were increased as shown on Appendix A.

Taxable Wage Base

(Historical data from 1970: Appendix B, page A-8)

There is a maximum limit on the amount of taxable annual wages per employee for unemployment insurance tax purposes. The law sets this amount, the *taxable wage base*, at 100% of the statewide average annual wage.

Act 110 (2007 Legislative Session) set the taxable wage base at \$13,000 for 2008 through 2010. Act 110 also contained a provision to increase the wage base back to the regular formula if the fund fell below the adequate reserve fund level. Because the fund fell below adequate at the end of November 2009, the 2010 wage base computation should have returned to the 100% formula. However, Act 2 (2010 Legislative Session) reduced the taxable wage base to 90% of the average annual wage for calendar years 2010 and 2011. From 2012, the 100% formula is in effect. The taxable wage base for calendar year 2018 will be \$45,900, a \$1,900 increase from the \$44,000 wage base in 2017.

Setting the wage base at the average annual wage results in approximately 69% of all wages being subject to unemployment insurance taxes. The \$13,000 taxable wage base lowered the percent of total wages taxed to about 36%. The 90% formula resulted in about 66% of wages taxed. The *Total and Taxable Wages* table on page A-8, Appendix B shows the taxable wage bases and the proportions of wages taxed since 1970.

The current tax schedule system was designed to work with the currently defined taxable wage base. When the wage base is lowered, the tax schedules will produce lower levels of income than they were designed to produce.

ECONOMIC FACTORS


General Conditions


Hawai'i's and the National unemployment rates experienced improvement during 2017. The National not seasonally adjusted total unemployment rate (TUR) was 3.9% for November 2017, decreasing from the November 2016 rate of 4.4%. Hawai'i's not seasonally adjusted TUR was 2.0% in November 2017 as compared to 2.9% in November 2016. As shown in the chart below, Hawai'i's unemployment rate ranged from a high of 3.6% in June 2016 to a low of 1.9% in October, then up slightly to 2.0% in November 2017. For the month of November 2017, unemployment rates were 1.9% for Honolulu, 2.1% for Maui County, 2.4% for Hawai'i County, and 2.0% for Kaua'i County.

Hawai'i's total nonagricultural wage and salary job count averaged 653,500 for the eleven months ending November 2017. This is a 6,900 increase from the 646,600 average for the same period in 2016. During this eleven-month period, six of ten major industrial sectors experienced increases in jobs in 2017. The three sectors with the largest job growth were Leisure and Hospitality; Trade, Transportation, and Utilities; and Professional and Business Services.

Comparing November 2016 to November 2017, the total job count is up from 657,900 to 664,600. This is a 6,700 or 1.0% increase.

Outlook: This report assumes stable unemployment levels for Hawai'i through 2018.





Civilian Labor Force Data

(Historical data from 1976: Appendix B, page A-2)

Total Civilian Labor Force in Hawai'i increased from an annual average of 685,400 in calendar year 2016 to 691,100 in the first eleven months of 2017.

Total employed in Hawai'i increased from an annual average 664,700 in calendar year 2016 to 673,350 during the first eleven months in 2017. Total unemployed decreased from an average of 20,700 in 2016 to 17,750 for the January through November 2017 period.

Hawai'i's not seasonally adjusted total unemployment rate (TUR) averaged 2.6% over the first eleven months of 2017, improving from the calendar year 2016 average of 3.0%.

The not seasonally adjusted total unemployment rate for the U.S. averaged 4.4% for the first eleven months of 2017, decreasing from the calendar year 2016 average of 4.9%.

Projections for this publication assume the Hawai'i total unemployment rate will average 2.6% in 2017 and 2.6% in 2018.

CIVILIAN LABOR FORCE DATA

Not Seasonally Adjusted

			Civilian Labor	Force	
		Stat	te of Hawai'i	Total	U.S. Total
Year	Total	Employed	Unemployed	Unempl. Rate (TUR)	Unempl. Rate (TUR)
2012 (B)	647,200	608,300	38,900	6.0%	8.1%
2013 (B)	649,550	618,000	31,550	4.9%	7.4%
2014 (B)	666,100	637,000	29,100	4.4%	6.2%
2015 (B)	674,900	650,750	24,150	3.6%	5.3%
2016 (B)	685,400	664,700	20,700	3.0%	4.9%
2017					
Jan	696,150	675,700	20,400	2.9%	5.1%
Feb	693,950	674,700	19,250	2.8%	4.9%
Mar	696,950	678,800	18,150	2.6%	4.6%
Apr	696,250	678,050	18,200	2.6%	4.1%
May	692,150	673,050	19,100	2.8%	4.1%
Jun	694,850	672,250	22,600	3.3%	4.5%
Jul	694,650	677,200	17,500	2.5%	4.6%
Aug	687,150	670,650	16,550	2.4%	4.5%
Sep	684,350	667,750	16,600	2.4%	4.1%
Oct	681,700	668,750	12,950	1.9%	3.9%
Nov (P)	683,550	669,750	13,800	2.0%	3.9%
Projected					
2017				2.6%	
2018				2.6%	

Except for percent unemployed, rounded to nearest 50.

Totals may not add due to rounding.

(B) Data from 2012-2016 reflect revised population controls and model reestimation.

(P) Preliminary
Total Unempl Rate: Percent of Labor Force

Insured Unemployment and Employment Covered by the Unemployment Compensation Trust Fund

(Historical data from 1970: Appendix B, page A-3)

The average monthly number of employees covered by the Fund was 475,512 in 2016, increasing from 467,820 in 2015. Covered employees increased to an average of 478,631 for the 12-month period ending June 2017.

The insured unemployment rate (IUR) is average weekly unemployment insurance claims as a percent of covered employees. The IUR was 1.19% as of November 2017, compared to the 2016 average of 1.14%. The average weekly number of claims filed under the regular state program was 7,012 in 2016. The number of weekly claims averaged 7,356 as of November 2017.

Projections for the IUR: average of 1.2% for 2017 and 1.2% for 2018.

INSURED UNEMPLOYMENT RATE AND EMPLOYMENT COVERED BY THE UC FUND

Year	Insured L Rate (IUR)	Jnemployment Average Weekly Claims*	Employees Covered By the Fund
2012 2013 2014 2015 2016	2.28% 1.98% 1.71% 1.33% 1.14%	12,627 11,221 9,740 7,607 7,012	438,486 451,156 458,518 467,820 475,512
2017 Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov	1.25% 1.30% 1.31% 1.27% 1.25% 1.25% 1.26% 1.25% 1.23% 1.20% 1.19%	7,663 7,940 7,983 7,761 7,658 7,653 7,753 7,699 7,555 7,390 7,356	
Projected 2017 2018	1.2% 1.2%	7,600 7,500	

^{*}Monthly data are for the week containing the 12th of the month and are based on 13-week moving averages.

Figures do not included claims under special programs that extend benefits beyond 26 weeks of regular benefits.

Weekly Benefit Amounts and Average Duration

(Historical data from 1970: Appendix B, page A-4)

The maximum weekly benefit amount payable to claimants will increase to \$619 for the calendar year 2018, \$27 more than the \$592 maximum in 2017. Under Hawai'i's law a new maximum weekly benefit amount is normally computed each year as 70% of the current statewide average weekly wage. Prior to 1992, the computation used 2/3 rather than 70%. Effective for calendar years 2008 through 2010, the computation was made with a 75% multiplier due to Act 110 (2007 Legislative Session). Act 2 (2010 Legislative Session) extended the 75% multiplier through the 2011 computation. The computation returned to 70% for January through March 2012. Act 6 of the 2012 Legislative Session changed the computation back to 75% for claims filed from April through December 2012. From 2013 the formula returned to 70%.

Average weekly benefits received by claimants averaged \$482 for the 12-month period ending November 2017 as compared to an average of \$447 for calendar year 2016. A weekly benefit amount is computed for each claimant based on prior earnings. Average weekly benefits usually increase over time with increases in earnings. Average benefits increase more rapidly when workers with higher wages experience more unemployment, and may decrease when lower wage claimants represent a larger proportion of the unemployed.

Claimants may receive up to the equivalent of 26 weeks of regular benefits at their computed weekly benefit amount. However, many return to work before collecting this entire amount. The average number of weeks of regular benefits received per claimant was 15.5 weeks for the twelve-month period ending November 2017. This is higher than the 2016 annual average of 14.3 weeks. These averages do not include benefits paid under special programs that extend benefits beyond the 26 weeks of regular benefits.

EXPERIENCE RATING

Description

Experience rating means that each employer's unemployment insurance tax rate is based on the employer's own benefit, contribution, and wage history. For each employer an account is kept of the amount of benefits paid to former employees and the amount of taxes paid into the Unemployment Compensation Trust Fund. At the end of the year, a *reserve ratio* is computed for each employer and that reserve ratio determines the employer's tax rate for the coming year. Appendix A describes this procedure.

Charged and Noncharged Benefits

(Historical data from 1970: Appendix B, page A-9)

For experience rating purposes benefits paid to former employees are usually charged to the employers' individual accounts. However, under certain conditions where the employer may be considered not responsible for the claimant's unemployment, the benefits paid to that former employee is *not* charged to the employer's account. The conditions for noncharging are described in Appendix C. In 2016, 15% of all benefits paid were not charged to an individual employer account. Noncharged benefits are financed by Unemployment Compensation Trust Fund interest income or by employers as a whole by triggering a higher tax schedule due to a lower fund balance.

2016 Employer Data

The following tables show 2016 employment, wage, benefit, and contribution data for employers who contribute to the Unemployment Compensation Trust Fund and for whom a tax rate was computed for calendar year 2017. Information on employers who were covered under the Hawai'i unemployment insurance program during 2016, but were no longer covered as of January 1, 2017, are not included in these tables. Data are shown by reserve ratio, county, size of firm, and industry groups. The reserve ratio tables show 2016 data by reserve ratios as of the end of 2016. Also shown on those tables are the 2017 tax rates assigned to each reserve ratio group.

Twenty-one percent of all employers were in the highest reserve ratio group with ratios of 0.1500 and higher. These 6,500 employers were assigned the minimum 0.0% tax rate for 2017. Eight percent of the employers were assigned the maximum 5.6% tax rate, mostly due to delinquent filing of tax reports.

Employer Data

2016 Employers and Wage Data by Reserve Ratio

Reserve Ratio End of 2016	2017 Tax Rate	Number of Employers	% of Total	Employment	% of Total	Total Wages \$000	% of Total	Taxable Wages \$000	% of Total
.1500 and over	0.0%	6,539	21	53,765	12	2,175,406	10	1,447,871	10
.1400 to .1499	0.1%	1,765	6	36,875	8	1,424,857	7	1,020,710	7
.1300 to .1399	0.2%	1,902	6	48,920	11	2,360,874	10	1,500,239	10
.1200 to .1299	0.4%	1,754	6	59,525	13	2,680,390	13	1,873,882	13
.1100 to .1199	0.6%	1,679	5	53,649	12	2,553,473	12	1,710,449	12
.1000 to .1099	0.8%	1,449	5	33,688	7	1,443,824	7	1,000,567	7
.0900 to .0999	1.0%	1,382	4	29,545	6	1,323,821	6	912,933	6
.0800 to .0899	1.2%	1,939	6	21,675	5	965,974	5	626,246	4
.0700 to .0799	1.4%	2,004	6	38,272	8	1,541,550	7	1,135,954	8
.0600 to .0699	1.6%	1,066	3	16,311	4	681,282	3	486,585	3
.0500 to .0599	1.8%	525	2	13,247	3	577,980	3	410,382	3
.0300 to .0499	2.0%	538	2	8,918	2	446,820	2	311,490	2
.0000 to .0299	2.4%	503	2	6,422	1	394,177	2	253,575	2
0000 to0499	2.8%	440	1	7,913	2	406,072	2	278,710	2
0500 to0999	3.2%	268	1	3,048	1	166,322	1	112,626	1
1000 to4999	3.6%	788	3	11,338	2	797,137	4	472,764	3
5000 to9999	4.2%	279	1	3,719	1	283,847	1	167,104	1
-1.0000 to -	4.8%	118	0	1,586	0	106,738	1	67,319	1
1.4999 -1.5000 to - 1.9999	5.4%	57	0	526	0	28,793	0	21,821	0
-2.0000 and less	5.6%	120	0	476	0	26,601	0	18,875	0
New & Reactive	2.4%	4,004	13	9,084	2	356,135	2	266,261	2
N&R Neg	5.6%	166	1	135	0	7,167	0	6,049	0
Reserve Delinquent	5.6%	2,139	7	6,875	1	234,848	0	204,377	1
Total		31,424	100	465,512	100	20,984,088	100	14,306,789	100

Employment, wages, and percentages may not add to totals due to rounding.

2016 Benefit and Contribution Data by Reserve Ratio

Reserve Ratio End of 2016	2017 Tax Rate	Benefits Charged \$000	Benefits Noncharged \$000	Total Benefits \$000	% of Total	Cost Rate*	Contributions \$000	% of Total	Tax Rate* %
.1500 and over	0.0%	1,953	984	2,937	3	0.20	1,625	1	0.11
.1400 to .1499	0.1%	1,282	777	2,059	2	0.20	1,121	1	0.11
.1300 to .1399	0.2%	1,562	1,131	2,693	2	0.18	3,517	3	0.23
.1200 to .1299	0.4%	3,765	2,947	6,712	6	0.36	6,767	5	0.36
.1100 to .1199	0.6%	3,902	1,754	5,656	5	0.33	9,826	7	0.57
.1000 to .1099	0.8%	3,490	1,105	4,594	4	0.46	7,416	5	0.74
.0900 to .0999	1.0%	3,649	1,296	4,945	4	0.54	8,820	6	0.97
.0800 to .0899	1.2%	2,847	871	3,718	3	0.59	8,038	6	1.28
.0700 to .0799	1.4%	6,594	1,637	8,231	7	0.72	17,433	12	1.53
.0600 to .0699	1.6%	2,385	768	3,153	3	0.65	7,302	5	1.50
.0500 to .0599	1.8%	2,816	716	3,532	3	0.86	7,236	5	1.76
.0300 to .0499	2.0%	5,176	660	5,837	5	1.87	5,507	4	1.77
.0000 to .0299	2.4%	5,775	576	6,351	6	2.50	5,960	4	2.35
0000 to0499	2.8%	8,930	660	9,590	8	3.44	7,445	5	2.67
0500 to0999	3.2%	3,879	224	4,103	4	3.64	3,608	3	3.20
1000 to4999	3.6%	19,041	1,131	20,172	18	4.27	17,130	12	3.62
5000 to9999	4.2%	8,095	275	8,371	7	5.01	7,154	5	4.28
-1.0000 to -1.4999	4.8%	4,862	107	4,969	4	7.38	3,227	2	4.79
-1.5000 to -1.9999	5.4%	1,410	56	1,467	1	6.72	1,161	1	5.32
-2.0000 and less	5.6%	2,079	22	2,101	2	11.13	1,041	1	5.52
New & Reactive	2.4%	166	60	226	0	0.08	6,596	5	2.48
N&R Neg Reserve	5.6%	173	6	179	0	2.96	297	0	4.91
Delinquent	5.6%	2,237	240	2,476	2	1.21	2,158	2	1.06
Total		96,069	18,003	114,07 1	100	0.80	140,383	100	0.98

^{*} Cost Rate: Total Benefits divided by Taxable Wages; average for all employers is indicated on total line. * Tax Rate: Contributions divided by Taxable Wages; average for all employers is indicated on total line.

2016 Employers and Wage Data by County

County	Number of Employers	% of Total	Employment	% of Total	Total Wages \$000	% of Total	Taxable Wages \$000	% of Total
Oʻahu	16,367	52	246,297	53	11,198,700	53	7,429,259	52
Maui	4,203	13	43,499	9	1,823,172	9	1,352,661	9
Hawai'i	3,812	12	38,732	8	1,529,054	7	1,144,894	8
Kaua'i	1,831	6	20,465	4	872,083	4	647,641	5
Interstate	5,211	17	116,519	25	5,561,079	27	3,732,334	26
Total	31,424	100	465,512	100	20,984,088	100	14,306,789	100

Employment, wages, and percentages may not add to totals due to rounding.

2016 Benefit and Contribution Data by County

County	Benefits Charged \$000	Benefits Noncharged \$000	Total Benefits \$000	% of Total	Cost Rate* %	Contributions \$000	% of Total	Tax Rate* %
Oʻahu	48,736	8,315	57,051	50	0.77	71,369	51	0.96
Maui	10,077	1,726	11,803	10	0.87	14,305	10	1.06
Hawai'i	9,911	1,498	11,409	10	1.00	13,713	10	1.20
Kaua'i	5,130	645	5,775	5	0.89	8,330	6	1.29
Interstate	22,214	5,819	28,033	25	0.75	32,666	23	0.88
Total	96,069	18,003	114,07 1	100	0.80	140,383	100	0.98

^{*} Cost Rate: Total Benefits divided by Taxable Wages; average for all employers is indicated on total line. * Tax Rate: Contributions divided by Taxable Wages; average for all employers is indicated on total line.

2016 Employment and Wage Data by Size of Firm

Employment Size	Number of Employers	% of Total	Employment	% of Total	Total Wages \$000	% of Total	Taxable Wages \$000	% of Total
			·					
Less than 5	20,360	65	32,694	7	1,711,461	7	1,090,318	8
5 to 9	4,691	15	32,746	7	1,379,221	7	937,497	7
10 to 19	2,899	9	40,404	9	1,736,842	8	1,169,204	8
20 to 49	2,045	7	62,837	13	2,782,171	13	1,891,847	13
50 to 99	763	2	53,350	11	2,421,513	12	1,661,093	12
100 to 249	413	1	63,323	14	2,826,099	13	1,976,773	14
250 or more	253	1	180,158	39	8,126,780	39	5,580,057	39
Total	31,424	100	465,512	100	20,984,088	100	14,306,789	100

Employment, wages, and percentages may not add to totals due to rounding.

2016 Benefit and Contribution Data by Size of Firm

Employment Size	Benefits Charged \$000	Benefits Noncharged \$000	Total Benefits \$000	% of Total	Cost Rate* %	Contributions \$000	% of Total	Tax Rate* %
Less than 5	9,784	965	10,749	9	0.99	13,382	10	1.23
5 to 9	7,193	1,073	8,266	7	0.88	10,388	7	1.11
10 to 19	10,350	1,538	11,887	10	1.02	13,528	10	1.16
20 to 49	15,482	2,756	18,239	16	0.96	22,371	16	1.18
50 to 99	13,238	2,206	15,443	14	0.93	17,377	12	1.05
100 to 249	14,187	2,605	16,792	15	0.85	20,896	15	1.06
250 or more	25,834	6,860	32,695	29	0.59	42,442	30	0.76
Total	96,069	18,003	114,071	100	0.80	140,383	100	0.98

^{*} Cost Rate: Total Benefits divided by Taxable Wages; average for all employers is indicated on total line. * Tax Rate: Contributions divided by Taxable Wages; average for all employers is indicated on total line.

2016 Employers and Wage Data by NAICS Industry

Industry	Number of Employers	% of Total	Employment	% of Total	Total Wages \$000	% of Total	Taxable Wages \$000	% of Total
Natural Resources & Mining	422	1	5,996	1	235,615	1	182,608	1
Utilities	41	0	3,624	1	370,673	2	163,081	1
Construction	3,293	11	35,560	8	2,640,888	13	1,573,943	11
Manufacturing	868	3	13,479	3	613,891	3	435,411	3
Wholesale Trade	1,785	6	16,597	4	966,702	5	602,473	4
Retail Trade	2,746	9	71,953	15	2,411,216	12	1,867,563	13
Transportation & Warehousing	805	3	27,778	6	1,432,813	7	895,433	6
Information	497	2	8,870	2	547,794	3	327,934	2
Finance & Insurance	1,041	3	18,205	4	1,305,266	6	708,516	5
Real Estate, Rental & Leasing	1,584	5	11,548	2	601,150	3	397,064	3
Professional, Scientific, & Technical Services	4,260	14	21,814	5	1,591,867	8	854,358	6
Management of Companies & Enterprises	265	1	1,403	0	129,340	1	57,558	0
Administrative & Support; Waste Management & Remediation Services	2,277	7	58,322	13	2,096,594	10	1,638,001	11
Educational Services	563	2	3,997	1	108,192	1	85,788	1
Health Care & Social Assistance	2,960	9	35,862	8	1,692,220	8	1,024,421	7
Arts, Entertainment & Recreation	523	2	9,141	2	260,470	1	208,132	1
Accommodation & Food Services	3,056	10	100,108	22	3,256,852	16	2,703,981	19
Other Services (except Public Administration)	3,327	11	20,975	5	701,808	3	568,242	4
Unknown	1,111	4	280	0	20,737	0	12,282	0
Total	31,424	100	465,512	100	20,984,08 8	100	14,306,78 9	100

Employment, wages, and percentages may not add to totals due to rounding.

2016 Benefit and Contribution Data by NAICS Industry

Industry	Benefits Charged \$000	Benefits Noncharged \$000	Total Benefits \$000	% of Total	Cost Rate*	Contributions \$000	% of Total	Tax Rate* %
Natural Resources & Mining	3,827	175	4,002	4	2.19	2,405	2	1.32
Utilities	209	52	260	0	0.16	499	0	0.31
Construction	41,198	3,287	44,486	39	2.83	43,889	31	2.79
Manufacturing	2,704	453	3,157	3	0.73	4,153	3	0.95
Wholesale Trade	2,020	622	2,643	2	0.44	3,919	3	0.65
Retail Trade	4,977	1,688	6,665	6	0.36	9,151	7	0.49
Transportation & Warehousing	3,526	2,255	5,781	5	0.65	6,082	4	0.68
Information	1,699	267	1,966	2	0.60	2,915	2	0.89
Finance & Insurance	1,237	511	1,748	2	0.25	2,750	2	0.39
Real Estate, Rental & Leasing	1,626	563	2,189	2	0.55	3,162	2	0.80
Professional, Scientific, & Technical Services	5,001	760	5,761	5	0.67	7,925	6	0.93
Management of Companies & Enterprises	233	38	271	0	0.47	631	0	1.10
Administrative & Support; Waste Management & Remediation Services	11,162	3,153	14,315	13	0.87	21,241	15	1.30
Educational Services	444	131	574	1	0.67	706	1.	0.82
Health Care & Social Assistance	2,972	941	3,913	3	0.38	6,551	5	0.64
Arts, Entertainment & Recreation	1,271	199	1,470	1	0.71	1,559	1	0.75
Accommodation & Food Services	9,458	2,425	11,883	10	0.44	18,475	13	0.68
Other Services (except Public Administration)	2,472	478	2,950	3	0.52	4,136	3	0.73
Unknown	32	5	37	0	0.30	233	0	1.90
Total	96,069	18,003	114,07 1	100	0.80	140,383	100	0.98

^{*} Cost Rate: Total Benefits divided by Taxable Wages; average for all employers is indicated on total line. * Tax Rate: Contributions divided by Taxable Wages; average for all employers is indicated on total line.

APPENDIX A Computation of Employer Contribution Rates

An employer's Hawai'i unemployment insurance tax rate is computed once a year based on the employer's reserve ratio and the tax schedule (one of eight possible schedules, A through H) in effect for the year. The tax rates corresponding to each tax schedule and reserve ratio group are shown below in the **Contribution Rate Schedules** table.

Employers not chargeable with benefits for the 12-month period prior to the rate computation date are ineligible for an experience (reserve ratio) computation and are assigned the tax rate corresponding to a zero reserve ratio; if the ineligible employer has a negative reserve balance, the maximum tax rate on the tax schedule in effect for the calendar year is assigned.

Computation of Employer Reserve Ratio:

Reserve Ratio = all contributions paid by the employer minus all benefits charged to the employer, divided by 1/3 of the sum of the employer's taxable payrolls for the last 3 consecutive calendar years.

Determination of Tax Schedule:

(a) Compute Adequate Reserve:

Adequate Reserve = *highest benefit cost rate occurring during the last 10 years, times total wages

for last completed fiscal year ending June 30.

Benefit cost rate = total benefits paid during a 12 consecutive month period, divided by total

wages for the last 4 completed calendar quarters ending at least 5 months

before the end of the 12 consecutive month period.

(b) Compute ratio of Current Reserve to Adequate Reserve:

Ratio = Current Reserve (Unemployment Compensation Trust Fund balance as of November 30) divided by Adequate Reserve.

(b) Determine Tax Schedule based on ratio of current to adequate reserve as shown below.

Contribution Rate Schedules

Tax Schedule Computation

Tax Schedule

Ratio of Current

to Adequate Reserve

	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	н	
Reserve Ratio		Contr	ibuti	on R	ates	(%)			
.1500 and over	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.6	1.2	1.8	2.4	
.1400 to .1499	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.4	8.0	1.4	2.0	2.6	
.1300 to .1399	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.6	1.0	1.6	2.2	2.8	
.1200 to .1299	0.0	0.1	0.4	8.0	1.2	1.8	2.4	3.0	
.1100 to .1199	0.0	0.2	0.6	1.0	1.4	2.0	2.6	3.2	
.1000 to .1099	0.1	0.3	8.0	1.2	1.6	2.2	2.8	3.4	
.0900 to .0999	0.3	0.5	1.0	1.4	1.8	2.4	3.0	3.6	
.0800 to .0899	0.5	0.7	1.2	1.6	2.0	2.6	3.2	3.8	
.0700 to .0799	0.7	0.9	1.4	1.8	2.2	2.8	3.4	4.0	
.0600 to .0699	0.9	1.1	1.6	2.0	2.4	3.0	3.6	4.2	
.0500 to .0599	1.1	1.3	1.8	2.2	2.6	3.2	3.8.	4.4	
.0300 to .0499	1.3	1.5	2.0	2.6	3.0	3.6	4.2	4.8	
.0000 to .0299	1.7	1.9	2.4	3.0	3.4	4.0	4.6	5.2	
0000 to0499	2.1	2.3	2.8	3.4	3.8	4.4	5.0	5.4	
0500 to0999	2.5	2.7	3.2	4.0	4.4	5.0	5.4	5.6	
1000 to4999	2.9	3.1	3.6	4.6	5.0	5.4	5.6	5.8	
5000 to9999	3.4	3.6	4.2	5.2	5.4	5.6	5.8	6.0	
-1.0000 to -1.4999	4.1	4.2	4.8	5.4	5.6	5.8	6.0	6.2	
-1.5000 to -1.9999	4.7	4.8	5.4	5.6	5.8	6.0	6.2	6.4	
·2.0000 and less	5.4	5.4	5.6	5.8	6.0	6.2	6.4	6.6	

More than 1.69	Α
1.3 to 1.69	В
1.0 to 1.29	С
0.80 to 0.99	D
0.60 to 0.79	E
0.40 to 0.59	F
0.20 to 0.39	G
Less than 0.20	Н

Note: from 1985 to 2012 maximum tax rate on each schedule was 5.4%

^{*1.5} times multiplier was in effect for rate years 1970 to 1978 and 1992 to 2007.

APPENDIX B Annual Labor Force Data from 1976

CIVILIAN LABOR FORCE DATA

Not Seasonally Adjusted Annual Averages

	Civilian Labor Force							
			State of Hawai	ʻi	U.S.			
				Total	Total			
Year	Total	Employed	Unemployed	Unempl. Rate	Unempl. Rate			
				(TUR)	(TUR)			
1976	409.850	371,350	38,500	9.4%	7.7%			
1977	416,900	384,050	32,850	7.9%	7.1%			
1978	418,450	388,850	29,600	7.1%	6.1%			
1979	425.550	399.650	25,900	6.1%	5.8%			
1070	120,000	000,000	20,000	0.170	0.070			
1980	438,850	416,800	22,050	5.0%	7.1%			
1981	450,200	425,800	24,350	5.4%	7.6%			
1982	458,800	429,800	28,950	6.3%	9.7%			
1983	471,600	442,500	29,100	6.2%	9.6%			
1984	473,000	446,150	26,850	5.7%	7.5%			
1985	479,150	453,350	25,800	5.4%	7.2%			
1986	491,400	467,950	23,450	4.8%	7.0%			
1987	510,650	491,200	19,400	3.8%	6.2%			
1988	517,800	501,350	16,450	3.2%	5.5%			
1989	527,500	514,150	13,300	2.5%	5.3%			
1990	550,900	536,200	14,700	2.7%	5.6%			
1991	571,600	555,200	16,400	2.9%	6.8%			
1992	584,250	558,950	25,300	4.3%	7.5%			
1993	586,400	560,100	26,300	4.5%	6.9%			
1994	585,200	552,900	32,300	5.5%	6.1%			
1995	586,500	552,000	34,450	5.9%	5.6%			
1996	595,600	558,150	37,450	6.3%	5.4%			
1997	600,950	563,600	37,350	6.2%	4.9%			
1998	601,600	564,950	36,650	6.1%	4.5%			
1999	602,900	570,400	32,500	5.4%	4.2%			
2000	605,950	580,200	25.750	4.2%	4.0%			
2000	610,650	583,200	25,750 27,450	4.5%	4.7%			
2001	600,900	,	25,350	4.2%	5.8%			
2002	603,100	575,600 578.300	25,350 24.800	4.2% 4.1%	6.0%			
2003	610,900	576,300 590,150	20,750	3.4%	5.5%			
2004	626,900	608,950	20,750 17,950	2.9%	5.1%			
2005	638.250	621,550	16,700	2.6%	4.6%			
2007	638,400	620,550	17,850	2.8%	4.6%			
2007	639,700	612,100	27,550	4.3%	5.8%			
2008	631,700	586,500	45.150	7.2%	9.3%			
2003	001,700	000,000	70,100	1.2/0	0.570			
2010	647,250	602.300	44.950	6.9%	9.6%			
2011	660,250	615,300	44,950	6.8%	8.9%			
2012	647,200	608,300	38,900	6.0%	8.1%			
2013	649,550	618,000	31.550	4.9%	7.4%			
2014	666,100	637,000	29,100	4.4%	6.2%			
2015	674,900	650,750	24,150	3.6%	5.3%			
2016	685,400	664,700	20,700	3.0%	4.9%			

Sources: Hawai'i Workforce Informer web page (<u>www.hiwi.org</u>)
Total Unempl. Rate: Percent of Labor Force
U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (<u>www.bls.gov</u>)

INSURED UNEMPLOYMENT AND EMPLOYMENT COVERED BY THE UC FUND

Year	Insured U	nemployment		es Covered e Fund
	Rate	Average Weekly Claims	Number	% change
1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976	2.4% 3.9% 4.8% 3.7% 4.2% 5.2% 6.3%	6,124 10,789 12,737 10,527 12,443 16,939 19,427	221,498 225,633 231,338 246,201 252,421 255,772 258,485	2% 3% 6% 3% 1%
1977 1978 1979	4.7% 3.4% 2.7%	14,998 10,569 9,798	267,018 285,172 302,404	3% 7% 6%
1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989	2.9% 3.0% 3.5% 3.5% 2.9% 2.6% 2.2% 1.7% 1.5%	10,740 11,681 13,411 12,919 11,104 9,696 8,548 7,045 6,452 5,041	309,424 311,997 306,395 309,752 317,669 328,268 337,832 358,801 371,952 393,693	2% 1% -2% 1% 3% 3% 6% 4%
1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998	1.1% 1.5% 2.2% 2.5% 2.9% 3.0% 3.0% 2.7% 2.5% 2.2%	5,547 7,909 11,520 12,721 14,752 15,324 14,854 13,356 12,605 10,684	416,341 415,883 415,275 409,338 406,316 402,645 401,001 400,817 398,354 401,109	6% 0% 0% -1% -1% 0% 0% -1%
2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009	1.7% 2.0% 2.4% 2.0% 1.6% 1.2% 1.1% 1.1% 1.8% 3.4%	8,413 11,545 11,979 10,327 8,202 6,260 6,234 6,798 11,611 20,176	414,768 416,770 414,417 422,293 435,079 452,366 465,014 472,151 463,561 435,018	3% 0% -1% 2% 3% 4% 3% 2% -2%
 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016	3.2% 2.6% 2.3% 2.0% 1.7% 1.3% 1.1%	17,302 14,186 12,627 11,221 9,740 7,607 7,012	423,429 429,306 438,486 451,156 458,518 467,820 475,512	-3% 1% 2% 3% 2% 2% 2%

Sources: Insured Unemployment from ETA 539 Weekly Claims and Extended Benefits Trigger Data; Covered Employment from Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages

Note: Insured unemployment data includes private industry, state and county governments, and nonprofit organizations; it also includes extended benefits for 1971, 1972, 1975 through 1978, and 1980. Employment data is for private industry only.

WEEKLY BENEFIT AMOUNT AND AVERAGE DURATION

Year	Weekly Bend	efit Amount	Average Benefit Duration
	Maximum	Average	(weeks)
1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979	\$79 \$86 \$90 \$93 \$98 \$104 \$112 \$120 \$126 \$134	\$58 \$63 \$66 \$68 \$72 \$76 \$84 \$89 \$91 \$93	15.0 18.3 19.9 16.0 16.2 16.4 18.9 16.4 16.2 13.5
1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988	\$144 \$157 \$169 \$178 \$188 \$194 \$200 \$212 \$223 \$233	\$103 \$114 \$124 \$123 \$136 \$134 \$140 \$150 \$162 \$170	13.7 14.2 14.8 15.8 14.8 14.6 14.3 13.4 13.5 12.0
1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999	\$256 \$275 \$306 \$322 \$337 \$344 \$347 \$351 \$356 \$364	\$189 \$206 \$235 \$246 \$259 \$262 \$261 \$259 \$258 \$266	11.4 13.0 14.8 17.6 17.4 16.0 17.8 17.1 16.4
2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009	\$371 \$383 \$395 \$407 \$417 \$436 \$459 \$475 \$523 \$545	\$273 \$282 \$280 \$298 \$312 \$328 \$357 \$374 \$406 \$411	15.4 12.8 19.2 15.7 15.6 14.2 13.3 13.6 13.9 18.9
2010 2011 2012	\$559 \$549 \$523 (Jan-Mar) \$560 (Apr-Dec)	\$406 \$405 \$413	19.7 18.4 17.9
2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018	\$534 \$534 \$544 \$551 \$569 \$592 \$619	\$413 \$416 \$422 \$433 \$447	17.9 16.7 16.7 15.3 14.3

Source: Unemployment Insurance workload data on Gross Benefits, Weeks Compensated and First Payments

Note: Data are for all claim programs, including federal programs.

HAWAI'I UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION TRUST FUND (in millions of \$)

, ,	_		D 6:1-	Fund
Year	Taxes	Interest	Benefits	Balance
1970	\$13.6	\$2.1	\$14.7	\$44.1
1970	\$15.6	\$2.1 \$2.1	\$14.7 \$28.3	\$33.3
1971	\$15.4	φ2.1 \$1.4	\$30.9	\$25.8
1972	\$24.7	\$1.4 \$1.2	\$26.7	\$25.0 \$25.0
1973	\$24.7	\$1.2 \$1.1	\$35.3	\$25.0 \$17.3
1975	\$39.4	\$0.6	\$52.0	\$5.3
1976	\$48.8	\$0.6 \$0.1	\$66.1	-\$11.9
1977	\$63.4	\$0.0	\$50.2	\$1.3
1978	\$73.6	\$0.5	\$38.3	\$37.2
1979	\$69.0	\$3.3	\$31.4	\$78.0
1373	Ψ05.0		,	
1980	\$58.2	\$7.0	\$42.6	\$100.6
1981	\$53.4	\$9.9	\$57.5	\$106.3
1982	\$54.9	\$11.5	\$71.1	\$101.6
1983	\$70.9	\$11.5	\$61.0	\$123.0
1984	\$67.8	\$13.4	\$63.4	\$140.9
1985	\$60.2	\$15.7	\$54.3	\$162.5
1986	\$65.4	\$12.9	\$49.3	\$191.5
1987	\$75.1	\$24.0	\$42.6	\$248.0
1988	\$51.3	\$22.5	\$44.2	\$277.7
1989	\$64.0	\$25.6	\$35.4	\$331.9
1990	\$79.5	\$31.3	\$45.0	\$397.7
1991	\$55.2	\$26.8	\$76.0	\$403.8
1992	\$40.9	\$41.7	\$129.6	\$356.7
1993	\$67.0	\$25.5	\$145.4	\$303.9
1994	\$77.0	\$19.6	\$171.6	\$228.9
1995	\$150.0	\$15.7	\$180.4	\$214.2
1996	\$152.4	\$14.9	\$173.3	\$208.2
1997	\$144.2	\$14.7	\$154.2	\$212.9
1998	\$136.8	\$15.1	\$143.3	\$221.5
1999	\$134.1	\$15.7	\$124.1	\$247.2
2000	\$138.9	\$17.8	\$96.9	\$307.0
2001	\$106.3	\$21.1	\$136.1	\$298.2
2002	\$103.8	\$24.2	\$152.7	\$304.3
2003	\$147.0	\$14.4	\$123.6	\$342.0
2004	\$124.6	\$26.0	\$104.7	\$388.0
2005	\$130.2	\$21.4	\$82.3	\$457.2
2006	\$142.2	\$23.3	\$90.6	\$532.1
2007	\$108.4	\$19.8	\$108.2	\$552.2
2008	\$59.4	\$31.5	\$212.3	\$430.8
2009	\$42.1	\$12.7	\$381.7	\$134.4
2010	\$160.9	\$1.9	\$308.7	-\$11.6
2010	\$160.9	\$1.9 \$0.2	\$245.4	-ътт.б \$18.9
2011	\$275.7	\$0.2 \$1.5	\$245.4 \$225.0	\$103.7
2012	\$306.2	\$1.5 \$5.0	\$225.0 \$199.1	\$301.8
2013	\$264.1	\$8.6	\$174.1	\$400.5
2015	\$200.2	\$10.1	\$174.1 \$134.8	\$475.9
2016	\$200.2 \$156.0	\$11.3	\$128.1	\$515.1
2010	ι ψ100.0	ψ11.0	Ψ120.1	ψυ τυ, τ

Source: ETA 2112, UI Financial Transaction Summary, Unemployment Fund

Fund Balance Notes:

^{2002:} Includes \$30.8 M, special Federal Reed Act distribution.
2009: Includes \$30.5 M in Federal ARRA (American Recovery & Reinvestment Act).

^{2010: -\$11.6} M fund balance equals \$14.64 M federal loan balance minus \$3.07 M special Administration and Reed Act fund account balance.

APPENDIX B continued RATIO OF CURRENT TO ADEQUATE RESERVE FUND

Rate	High Cost	Total	Adequate Reserve	Current Reserve	Ratio of Current to Adequate	Rate Schedule	Fund Solvency Contribution
Year	Rate	Wages	Fund	Fund	Reserve	in Effect	Rate
1970	1.75%	\$1,184,552,472	\$31,094,502	\$43,936,906	1.41		Nate
1971	1.75%	\$1,431,300,051	\$37,571,626	\$46,163,790	1.23	ii	
1972	1.75%	\$1,560,306,438	\$40,958,044	\$36,349,934	0.89	ï	
1973	2.02%	\$1,643,467,385	\$49,797,062	\$28,304,965	0.57	i	
1974	2.02%	\$1,821,684,864	\$55,197,051	\$27,420,364	0.50	i	
1975	2.02%	\$2,015,606,435	\$61,072,875	\$20,073,147	0.33	i	
1976	2.18%	\$2,247,956,108	\$73,508,165	\$10,730,152	0.15	-	
1977	2.86%	\$2,389,822,190	\$102,523,372	-\$7,426,119	-0.07	-	
1978	2.86%	\$2,578,562,218	\$110,620,319	\$5,992,114	0.05	-	
1979	2.86%	\$2,859,429,314	\$81,779,678	\$39,159,914	0.48		+1.6%
1980	2.86%	\$3,314,556,620	\$94,796,319	\$80,341,186	0.85		+0.8%
1981	2.86%	\$3,769,977,490	\$107,821,356	\$104,980,243	0.97		+0.4%
1982	2.86%	\$4,111,362,943	\$117,584,980	\$112,290,817	0.95		+0.4%
1983	2.86%	\$4,292,985,503	\$122,779,385	\$106,708,377	0.87		+0.8%
1984	2.86%	\$4,498,499,775	\$128,657,094	\$127,176,245	0.99		+0.4%
1985	2.86%	\$4,801,506,793	\$137,323,094	\$147,004,681	1.07		0.0%
1986	2.86%	\$5,037,888,349	\$144,083,607	\$166,408,163	1.15		0.0%
1987	2.76%	\$5,500,278,375	\$151,807,683	\$195,095,469	1.29		0.0%
1988	1.94%	\$6,093,516,291	\$118,214,216	\$246,416,114	2.08		-0.5%
1989	1.68%	\$6,864,348,520	\$115,321,055	\$274,840,914	2.38		-0.5%
1990	1.68%	\$7,756,988,055	\$130,317,399	\$334,252,180	2.56		-0.5%
1991	1.68%	\$8,753,700,993	\$147,062,177	\$401,647,674	2.73		-0.5%
1992	1.68%	\$9,561,673,898	\$240,954,182	\$411,119,192	1.71	Α	
1993	1.66%	\$9,996,218,073	\$248,905,830	\$370,277,128	1.49	В	
1994	1.49%	\$10,315,548,416	\$230,552,507	\$315,881,780	1.37	В	
1995	1.65%	\$10,384,936,463	\$257,027,177	\$241,892,817	0.94	D	
1996	1.73%	\$10,401,361,958	\$269,915,343	\$226,972,601	0.84	D	
1997	1.78%	\$10,391,160,430	\$277,443,983	\$222,340,367	0.80	D	
1998	1.78%	\$10,554,781,603	\$281,812,669	\$226,036,037	0.80	D	
1999	1.78%	\$10,782,123,532	\$287,882,698	\$233,020,224	0.81	D	
2000	1.78%	\$11,025,705,500	\$294,386,337	\$256,407,449	0.87	D	
2001	1.78%	\$11,661,028,670	\$311,349,465	\$313,480,166	1.01	С	
2002	1.78%	\$12,294,711,901	\$328,268,808	\$317,703,649	0.97	C*	
2003	1.78%	\$12,412,503,464	\$331,413,842	\$309,477,079	0.93	D	
2004	1.78%	\$13,070,239,827	\$348,975,403	\$352,679,746	1.01	C	
2005	1.78%	\$13,820,414,010	\$369,005,054	\$389,302,103	1.06	C	
2006	1.78%	\$15,032,599,530	\$401,370,407	\$457,851,939	1.14	Č	
2007	1.67%	\$16,258,037,800	\$407,263,847	\$532,892,238	1.31	В	
2008	1.46%	\$17,113,432,304	\$249,856,112	\$561,709,268	2.25	A	
2009	1.44%	\$17,834,793,224	\$256,821,022	\$454,058,555	1.77	Α	
2010	2.20%	\$17,411,259,934	\$383,047,719	\$161,906,928	0.42	D**	
2011	2.22%	\$16,400,411,316	\$364,089,131	\$9,413,687	0.03	F**	
2012	2.22%	\$16,709,152,489	\$370,943,185	\$37,507,312	0.10	F**	
2013	2.22%	\$17,299,166,791	\$384,041,503	\$117,461,110	0.31	G	
2014	2.22%	\$18,073,144,220	\$401,223,802	\$315,321,135	0.79	E	
2015	2.22%	\$18,735,821,886	\$415,935,246	\$412,585,123	0.99	D	
2016	2.22%	\$19,685,807,805	\$437,024,933	\$482,602,848	1.10	C	
2017	2.22%	\$20,935,035,208	\$464,757,782	\$523,648,600	1.13	С	
2018	2.22%	\$22,096,686,379	\$490,546,438	\$531,346,177	1.08	С	

Rate year: year during which rate schedule or Fund Solvency Rate is in effect.

High Cost Rate: highest benefit cost rate (benefits as a percent of total wages) in the last ten years.

Total Wages: for last four calendar quarters ending June 30 of calendar year prior to rate year.0

Adequate Reserve Fund: 1970 to 1978 and 1992 to 2007 adequate reserve equals 1.5 times high cost rate times total wages. 1979 to 1991 and from 2008, adequate reserve equals high cost rate times total wages.

Current Reserve Fund: UC Trust Fund assets on November 30 of calendar year immediately preceding rate year.

Rate Schedule in Effect: From July 1, 1974 through March 31, 1975 all employers' tax rates were increased by 0.5%, up to a maximum of 3.0%; from April 1975 through the end of 1976, all employers paid 3.0% tax rate; for 1977 and 1978 all employers paid 3.5% tax rate. *2002: schedule C remained in effect due to special legislation. **2010 and 2011: Act 2 (2010 Legislative Session) set tax schedules at D and F; 2012: Act 6 (2012 Legislative Session) set schedule at F.

UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE TAX RATES

		Tax Rates		Taxes as a
	(Percent (of Taxable W	(ages)	Percent of
Year	(Fercent	JI TAXADIE VV	ayes)	Total
rear	Minimum	Maximum	Average	
	WIITHITTUITT	Maximum	Average	Wages
1970	0.4%	3.0%	1.2%	0.8%
1971	0.4%	3.0%	1.4%	1.0%
1972	0.8%	3.0%	1.9%	1.3%
1973	0.8%	3.0%	1.8%	1.2%
1974	0.8%/1.3%	3.0%	1.9%	1.2%
1975	1.3%/3.0%	3.0%	2.6%	1.7%
1976	3.0%	3.0%	2.9%	1.9%
1977	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	2.4%
1978	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	2.4%
1979	1.8%	4.5%	2.8%	1.9%
1980	1.0%	4.5%	2.1%	1.4%
1981	0.6%	4.5%	1.8%	1.2%
1982	0.6%	4.5%	1.8%	1.3%
1983	1.0%	4.5%	2.3%	1.6%
1984	0.6%	4.5%	1.9%	1.3%
1985	0.2%	5.4%	1.6%	1.1%
1986	0.2%	5.4%	1.7%	1.2%
1987	0.2%	5.4%	1.7%	1.2%
1988	0.0%	5.4%	1.3%	0.6%
1989	0.0%	5.4%	1.3%	0.9%
1990	0.0%	5.4% 5.4%	1.3% 1.3%	0.9% 0.5%
1991	0.0%	5.4% 5.4%	0.6%	0.5%
1992 1993	0.0% 0.0%	5.4% 5.4%	1.0%	0.4%
		5.4% 5.4%		0.7%
1994 1995	0.0% 0.2%	5.4%	1.1% 2.2%	1.6%
1995	0.2%	5.4% 5.4%	2.2% 2.1%	1.5%
1996	0.2%	5.4% 5.4%	1.9%	1.5%
1997	0.2%	5.4% 5.4%	1.8%	1.4%
1996	0.2%	5.4% 5.4%	1.7%	1.2%
2000	0.2%	5.4%	1.7%	1.2%
2001	0.0%	5.4%	1.1%	0.8%
2002	0.0%	5.4%	1.2%	0.8%
2003	0.2%	5.4%	1.7%	1.2%
2004	0.0%	5.4%	1.2%	0.8%
2005	0.0%	5.4%	1.3%	0.9%
2006	0.0%	5.4%	1.3%	0.9%
2007	0.0%	5.4%	0.8%	0.6%
2008	0.0%	5.4%	0.7%	0.3%
2009	0.0%	5.4%	0.7%	0.3%
2010	0.2%	5.4%	1.8%	1.2%
2011	1.2%	5.4%	2.6%	1.7%
2012	1.2%	5.4%	2.6%	1.8%
2013	1.8%	6.4%	3.2%	2.2%
2014	0.6%	5.8%	1.4%	1.2%
2015	0.2%	5.8%	1.4%	1.0%
2016	0.0%	5.6%	1.0%	0.7%
2017	0.0%	5.6%	1.0%	0.7%est
2018	0.0%	5.6%	1.0%	

Source : Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages (QCEW)

Note for 1974 and 1975: Minimum tax rate was 0.8% until July 1, 1974 then all employers' rates were increased by 0.5%, up to a maximum of 3.0%. The additional 0.5% was in effect until April 1, 1975 when all employers' rates became 3.0%.

TOTAL AND TAXABLE WAGES

Year		T-4-1	0/	T1-1-	%	O/ Touchle of	Taxable
1970	Voor	1					
1971 \$1,588,451,281 5% \$1,101,177,779 7% 69% \$6,300 1973 \$1,976,594,488 8% \$1,171,863,417 6% 68% \$6,500 1974 \$2,129,778,861 10% \$1,418,777,880 8% 67% \$6,800 1975 \$2,319,971,771 9% \$1,537,695,558 8% 66% \$7,300 1976 \$2,471,414,660 7% \$1,634,221,510 6% 66% \$7,800 1977 \$2,669,873,342 8% \$1,899,965,749 16% 71% \$9,300 1978 \$3,084,161,546 16% \$2,153,653,091 14% 70% \$9,800 1979 \$3,551,357,801 15% \$2,243,433,910 13% 69% \$11,200 1980 \$3,394,930,405 11% \$2,689,241,050 10% 68% \$11,200 1981 \$4,227,065,294 7% \$2,921,073,895 9% 69% \$12,200 1982 \$4,349,032,222 3% \$3,030,663,748 4% 70% \$13,800 1984 \$4,599,981,090 6% \$3,190,888,181 6% 70% \$13,400 1986 \$5,245,375,308 7% \$3,661,947,705 7% 70% \$14,600 1986 \$5,686,112,648 8% \$3,392,702,338 7% 69% \$16,500 1987 \$8,402,054,956 13% \$3,344,097,042,059 13% \$5,518,167,643 67% 68% \$18,500 1990 \$9,237,628,172 14% \$3,340,347,509 7% 70% \$14,600 1986 \$5,686,112,648 8% \$3,392,702,338 7% 69% \$16,500 1988 \$5,130,492,059 13% \$5,518,167,643 67% 68% \$18,600 1990 \$9,237,628,172 14% \$3,344,037,4834 46% 67% \$19,900 \$10,405,519,160 6% \$3,340,347,509 7% 69% \$16,500 1991 \$9,599,527,870 4% \$3,344,374,834 47% 69% \$22,700 1992 \$10,155,519,160 6% \$7,344,224,660 13% 69% \$22,700 1993 \$10,291,898,574 1% \$7,251,815,678 3% 70% \$25,500 1997 \$10,675,517,263 2% \$7,660,072,702 1% 69% \$22,700 1999 \$10,435,61,580 1% \$7,436,434,437 1% \$8,209,730,134 6% 69% \$22,700 \$11,180,959,288 3% \$7,748,893,876 2% 69% \$32,000 \$15,587,402,171 6% \$3,591,662,2662 5% 69% \$32,000 \$15,587,402,171 6% \$3,591,662,662 5% 69% \$32,000 \$15,587,402,171 6% \$3,591,663,244,437 1% \$3,600,727,022 1% 69% \$32,000 \$15,587,402,171 6% \$3,591,663,244,437	rear	vvayes	Change	vvayes	Change	TOTAL Wayes	waye base
1971 \$1,588,451,281 5% \$1,101,177,779 7% 69% \$6,300 1973 \$1,976,594,488 8% \$1,171,863,417 6% 68% \$6,500 1974 \$2,129,778,861 10% \$1,418,777,880 8% 67% \$6,800 1975 \$2,319,971,771 9% \$1,537,695,558 8% 66% \$7,300 1976 \$2,471,414,660 7% \$1,634,221,510 6% 66% \$7,800 1977 \$2,669,873,342 8% \$1,899,965,749 16% 71% \$9,300 1978 \$3,084,161,546 16% \$2,153,653,091 14% 70% \$9,800 1979 \$3,551,357,801 15% \$2,243,433,910 13% 69% \$11,200 1980 \$3,394,930,405 11% \$2,689,241,050 10% 68% \$11,200 1981 \$4,227,065,294 7% \$2,921,073,895 9% 69% \$12,200 1982 \$4,349,032,222 3% \$3,030,663,748 4% 70% \$13,800 1984 \$4,599,981,090 6% \$3,190,888,181 6% 70% \$13,400 1986 \$5,245,375,308 7% \$3,661,947,705 7% 70% \$14,600 1986 \$5,686,112,648 8% \$3,392,702,338 7% 69% \$16,500 1987 \$8,402,054,956 13% \$3,344,097,042,059 13% \$5,518,167,643 67% 68% \$18,500 1990 \$9,237,628,172 14% \$3,340,347,509 7% 70% \$14,600 1986 \$5,686,112,648 8% \$3,392,702,338 7% 69% \$16,500 1988 \$5,130,492,059 13% \$5,518,167,643 67% 68% \$18,600 1990 \$9,237,628,172 14% \$3,344,037,4834 46% 67% \$19,900 \$10,405,519,160 6% \$3,340,347,509 7% 69% \$16,500 1991 \$9,599,527,870 4% \$3,344,374,834 47% 69% \$22,700 1992 \$10,155,519,160 6% \$7,344,224,660 13% 69% \$22,700 1993 \$10,291,898,574 1% \$7,251,815,678 3% 70% \$25,500 1997 \$10,675,517,263 2% \$7,660,072,702 1% 69% \$22,700 1999 \$10,435,61,580 1% \$7,436,434,437 1% \$8,209,730,134 6% 69% \$22,700 \$11,180,959,288 3% \$7,748,893,876 2% 69% \$32,000 \$15,587,402,171 6% \$3,591,662,2662 5% 69% \$32,000 \$15,587,402,171 6% \$3,591,662,662 5% 69% \$32,000 \$15,587,402,171 6% \$3,591,663,244,437 1% \$3,600,727,022 1% 69% \$32,000 \$15,587,402,171 6% \$3,591,663,244,437	1970	\$1 518 727 161		\$1 032 646 028		68%	\$5 500
1972			5%		7%		
1973 \$1,935,415,073 13% \$1,310,016,575 12% 68% \$6,500 1976 \$2,219,778,886 10% \$1,418,777,880 8% 67% \$6,800 1976 \$2,471,414,660 7% \$1,537,695,558 8% 66% \$7,300 1978 \$2,669,873,342 8% \$1,899,965,749 16% 71% \$9,300 1978 \$3,084,161,546 16% \$2,153,653,091 14% 70% \$9,800 1980 \$3,934,930,405 11% \$2,243,433,910 13% 69% \$10,400 1981 \$4,227,065,294 7% \$2,921,073,895 9% 69% \$12,200 1982 \$4,349,1032,222 3% \$3,103,063,748 4% 70% \$13,800 1984 \$4,891,168,412 6% \$3,130,063,748 4% 70% \$13,800 1987 \$6,402,054,965 7% \$3,661,947,705 7% 70% \$14,600 1986 \$5,686,112,648 8% \$3,922,702,338 7%<							
1974 \$2,129,778,886 10% \$1,418,777,880 8% 67% \$6,800 1976 \$2,2471,414,660 7% \$1,634,221,510 6% 66% \$7,300 1977 \$2,668,873,342 8% \$1,890,965,749 116% 71% \$9,300 1979 \$3,551,357,801 15% \$2,443,433,910 13% 69% \$10,400 1980 \$3,934,430,405 11% \$2,689,241,050 10% 68% \$11,200 1981 \$4,227,065,294 7% \$2,921,073,895 9% \$12,200 1982 \$4,349,032,222 3% \$3,030,663,748 4% 70% \$13,800 1984 \$4,891,168,412 6% \$3,430,347,509 7% 70% \$13,800 1985 \$5,245,375,308 7% \$3,661,947,705 7% 70% \$14,600 1986 \$5,686,112,648 8% \$3,922,702,338 7% 69% \$15,600 1987 \$6,402,054,956 13% \$4,391,057,014 12% 6							
1975 \$2,319,971,771 9% \$1,537,695,558 8% 66% \$7,300 1976 \$2,2471,414,660 7% \$1,634,221,510 6% 66% \$7,800 1978 \$3,084,161,546 16% \$2,153,653,091 14% 70% \$9,800 1979 \$3,551,357,801 15% \$2,434,433,910 13% 69% \$10,400 1980 \$3,934,930,405 11% \$2,689,241,050 10% 68% \$11,200 1981 \$4,227,065,294 7% \$2,921,073,895 9% 69% \$12,200 1982 \$4,349,032,222 3% \$3,03,0663,748 4% 70% \$13,100 1983 \$4,599,981,990 6% \$3,196,088,181 6% 70% \$13,600 1986 \$5,245,375,308 7% \$3,661,947,705 7% 70% \$14,600 1986 \$5,686,112,648 8% \$3,922,702,338 7% 69% \$15,600 1987 \$6,402,054,956 13% \$4,391,075,014 12		1 : ' ' '					
1976 \$2,2471,414,660 7% \$1,634,221,510 6% 66% \$7,800 1977 \$2,669,873,342 8% \$1,890,965,749 16% 71% \$9,300 1979 \$3,561,357,801 15% \$2,443,433,910 13% 69% \$10,400 1980 \$3,934,930,405 11% \$2,689,241,050 10% 68% \$11,200 1981 \$4,227,065,294 7% \$2,921,073,895 9% 69% \$12,200 1982 \$4,349,032,222 3% \$3,030,663,748 4% 70% \$13,100 1983 \$4,599,981,090 6% \$3,198,088,181 6% 70% \$13,800 1984 \$4,691,168,412 6% \$3,430,347,509 7% 70% \$13,800 1985 \$5,245,375,308 7% \$3,661,947,705 7% 70% \$15,100 1985 \$5,245,375,308 7% \$3,661,947,705 7% 70% \$15,100 1986 \$5,686,112,648 8% \$3,927,702,338 7% 69% \$16,500 1987 \$6,402,054,956 13% \$4,391,057,014 12% 69% \$16,500 1988 \$7,192,157,365 12% \$3,314,009,708 -25% 46% \$8,700 1991 \$9,599,527,870 4% \$3,342,374,834 -46% 56% \$7,000 1991 \$9,599,527,870 4% \$3,342,374,834 -46% 55% \$7,000 1991 \$9,599,527,870 4% \$3,342,374,834 -46% 55% \$7,000 1994 \$10,309,424,610 0% \$7,324,294,28 111% 69% \$22,700 1994 \$10,309,424,610 0% \$7,394,972,486 2% 72% \$25,000 1998 \$10,433,561,580 1% \$7,420,054,226 0% 71% \$25,800 1996 \$10,443,561,580 1% \$7,420,054,226 0% 71% \$25,800 1998 \$10,675,517,263 2% \$7,48,93,876 2% 529,500 1996 \$10,433,561,580 1% \$7,420,054,226 0% 71% \$25,800 1998 \$10,675,517,263 2% \$7,48,93,876 2% 529,500 1996 \$10,433,561,580 1% \$7,420,054,226 0% 71% \$25,800 1998 \$10,675,517,263 2% \$7,48,93,876 2% 69% \$22,700 1998 \$10,675,517,263 2% \$7,48,93,876 2% 69% \$22,500 1996 \$10,433,561,580 1% \$7,420,054,226 0% 71% \$25,800 1996 \$10,433,561,580 1% \$7,420,054,226 0% 71% \$25,800 1996 \$10,433,561,580 1% \$7,420,054,226 0% 71% \$25,800 1996 \$10,433,561,580 1% \$7,520,606,606 3% 69% \$29,300 366,574,506,506,606 3% 6							
1977 \$2,669,873,342 8% \$1,890,965,749 16% 71% \$9,800 1978 \$3,084,161,546 16% \$2,153,653,091 14% 70% \$9,800 1979 \$3,551,357,801 15% \$2,443,433,910 13% 69% \$10,400 1981 \$4,227,065,294 7% \$2,921,073,895 9% 69% \$12,200 1982 \$4,349,032,222 3% \$3,030,663,748 4% 70% \$13,100 1983 \$4,599,981,090 6% \$3,198,088,181 6% 70% \$13,800 1984 \$4,891,168,412 6% \$3,430,347,509 7% 70% \$14,600 1985 \$5,245,375,308 7% \$3,661,947,705 7% 70% \$15,600 1987 \$6,402,054,956 13% \$4,391,057,014 12% 69% \$15,600 1980 \$7,192,157,365 12% \$3,314,009,708 -25% 46% \$8,700 1980 \$9,237,628,172 14% \$6,226,942,266 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>							
1978 \$3,084,161,546 16% \$2,163,653,091 14% 70% \$9,800 1980 \$3,551,357,801 15% \$2,243,43,33,910 13% 69% \$10,400 1980 \$3,934,930,405 11% \$2,689,241,050 10% 68% \$11,200 1981 \$4,227,065,294 7% \$2,921,073,895 9% 69% \$12,200 1982 \$4,349,032,222 3% \$3,030,663,748 4% 70% \$13,100 1984 \$4,891,168,412 6% \$3,430,947,509 7% 70% \$14,600 1985 \$5,245,375,308 7% \$3,661,947,705 7% 70% \$15,600 1986 \$5,686,112,648 8% \$9,922,702,338 7% 69% \$15,600 1987 \$6,402,054,956 13% \$4,391,057,014 12% 69% \$16,500 1988 \$7,192,157,365 12% \$3,314,009,708 -25% 46% \$8,700 1990 \$9,237,628,172 14% \$6,226,942,266							
1979 \$3,551,357,801 15% \$2,443,433,910 13% 69% \$10,400 1980 \$3,934,930,405 11% \$2,689,241,050 10% 68% \$11,200 1981 \$4,227,065,294 7% \$2,921,073,895 9% 69% \$12,200 1982 \$4,349,032,222 3% \$3,030,663,748 4% 70% \$13,100 1983 \$4,599,981,090 6% \$3,198,088,181 6% 70% \$13,800 1984 \$4,891,168,412 6% \$3,430,347,509 7% 70% \$15,100 1986 \$5,686,112,648 8% \$3,922,702,338 7% 69,6402,054,956 13% \$4,391,057,014 12% 69% \$15,600 1987 \$6,402,054,956 13% \$4,391,057,014 12% 69% \$15,600 1987 \$6,402,054,956 13% \$4,391,057,014 12% 69% \$16,500 1988 \$7,192,157,365 12% \$3,314,009,708 -25% 46% \$8,700 1999 \$8,130,492,059 13% \$5,518,167,643 67% 68% \$18,600 1990 \$9,237,628,172 14% \$6,226,942,266 13% 67% \$8,700 1991 \$9,9599,527,870 4% \$3,342,374,834 -46% 35% \$7,000 1991 \$9,1992 \$10,155,519,160 6% 57,042,429,428 111% 69% \$22,700 1993 \$10,291,898,574 17% \$7,251,815,678 3% 70% \$25,000 1994 \$10,309,424,610 0% \$7,394,924,486 2% 72% \$25,000 1995 \$10,309,424,610 0% \$7,394,924,486 0% 72% \$25,000 1998 \$10,404,561,580 19% \$7,421,024,425 0% 71% \$26,000 1998 \$10,491,544,421,541,541,541,541,541,541,541,541,541,54							
1981 \$4,227,065,294 7% \$2,921,073,895 9% 69% \$12,200 1982 \$4,349,032,222 3% \$3,030,663,748 4% 70% \$13,800 1984 \$4,599,981,090 6% \$3,198,088,181 6% 70% \$13,800 1985 \$5,245,375,308 7% \$3,661,947,705 7% 70% \$15,100 1986 \$5,626,112,648 8% \$3,922,702,338 7% 69% \$15,600 1987 \$6,402,054,956 13% \$4,391,057,014 12% 69% \$16,500 1988 \$7,192,157,365 12% \$3,314,009,708 -25% 46% \$8,700 1989 \$8,130,492,059 13% \$5,518,167,643 67% 68% \$18,600 1990 \$9,237,628,172 14% \$6,226,942,266 13% 67% 88% \$18,600 1991 \$9,599,527,870 4% \$3,342,374,834 -46% 35% \$7,000 1992 \$10,155,519,60 6% \$7,242,42							
1981 \$4,227,065,294 7% \$2,921,073,895 9% 69% \$12,200 1982 \$4,349,032,222 3% \$3,030,663,748 4% 70% \$13,800 1984 \$4,599,981,090 6% \$3,198,088,181 6% 70% \$13,800 1985 \$5,245,375,308 7% \$3,661,947,705 7% 70% \$15,100 1986 \$5,626,112,648 8% \$3,922,702,338 7% 69% \$15,600 1987 \$6,402,054,956 13% \$4,391,057,014 12% 69% \$16,500 1988 \$7,192,157,365 12% \$3,314,009,708 -25% 46% \$8,700 1989 \$8,130,492,059 13% \$5,518,167,643 67% 68% \$18,600 1990 \$9,237,628,172 14% \$6,226,942,266 13% 67% 88% \$18,600 1991 \$9,599,527,870 4% \$3,342,374,834 -46% 35% \$7,000 1992 \$10,155,519,60 6% \$7,242,42				*******	100/	2004	* 44.000
1982 \$4,349,032,222 3% \$3,030,663,748 4% 70% \$13,100 1983 \$4,599,981,090 6% \$3,198,088,181 6% 70% \$13,800 1984 \$4,891,168,412 6% \$3,430,347,509 7% 70% \$14,600 1985 \$5,245,375,308 7% \$3,661,947,705 7% 69% \$15,600 1986 \$5,686,112,648 8% \$3,922,702,338 7% 69% \$16,500 1987 \$6,402,054,956 13% \$4,391,057,014 12% 69% \$16,500 1988 \$7,192,157,365 12% \$3,314,009,708 -25% 46% \$8,700 1980 \$8,130,492,059 13% \$5,518,167,643 67% 68% \$18,600 1990 \$9,237,628,172 14% \$6,226,942,266 13% 67% \$19,900 1991 \$9,599,527,670 4% \$3,342,374,834 46% 35% \$7,000 1992 \$10,165,519,160 6% \$7,042,434 11							
1983 \$4,599,981,090 6% \$3,198,088,181 6% 70% \$13,800 1984 \$4,891,168,412 6% \$3,430,347,509 7% 70% \$14,600 1986 \$5,686,112,648 8% \$3,922,702,338 7% 69% \$15,600 1987 \$6,402,054,956 13% \$4,391,057,014 12% 69% \$16,500 1988 \$7,192,157,365 12% \$3,314,009,708 -25% 46% \$8,700 1989 \$8,130,492,059 13% \$5,518,167,643 67% 68% \$18,600 1990 \$9,237,628,172 14% \$6,226,942,266 13% 67% \$19,900 1991 \$9,599,527,870 4% \$3,342,374,834 -46% 35% \$7,000 1992 \$10,155,519,160 6% \$7,042,428,428 111% 69% \$22,700 1993 \$10,291,898,574 1% \$7,251,816,678 3% 70% \$25,000 1994 \$10,309,424,610 0% \$7,394,972,486							
1984 \$4,891,168,412 6% \$3,430,347,509 7% 70% \$14,600 1985 \$5,245,375,308 7% \$3,661,947,705 7% 70% \$15,100 1986 \$5,686,112,648 8% \$3,922,702,338 7% 69% \$15,600 1987 \$6,402,054,956 13% \$4,391,057,014 12% 69% \$16,500 1988 \$7,192,157,365 12% \$3,314,009,708 -25% 46% \$8,700 1989 \$8,130,492,059 13% \$5,518,167,643 67% 68% \$18,600 1990 \$9,237,628,172 14% \$6,226,942,266 13% 67% \$19,900 1991 \$9,599,527,870 4% \$3,342,374,834 -46% 35% \$7,000 1992 \$10,156,519,160 6% \$7,042,429,428 111% 69% \$22,700 1993 \$10,291,898,574 1% \$7,251,815,678 3% 70% \$23,900 1994 \$10,309,424,610 0% \$7,394,972,486							
1985 \$5,245,375,308 7% \$3,661,947,705 7% 70% \$15,000 1986 \$5,686,112,648 8% \$3,922,702,338 7% 69% \$15,600 1987 \$6,402,054,956 13% \$4,391,057,014 12% \$8,700 1988 \$7,192,157,365 12% \$3,314,009,708 -25% 46% \$8,700 1989 \$8,130,492,059 13% \$5,518,167,643 67% 68% \$18,600 1990 \$9,237,628,172 14% \$6,226,942,266 13% 67% \$19,900 1991 \$9,599,527,870 4% \$3,342,374,834 -46% 35% \$7,000 1992 \$10,155,519,160 6% \$7,042,429,428 111% 69% \$22,700 1993 \$10,291,898,574 1% \$7,251,815,678 3% 70% \$22,900 1994 \$10,309,424,610 0% \$7,394,972,486 2% 72% \$25,500 1995 \$10,423,561,580 1% \$7,410,2485 0% <							T ,
1986 \$5,686,112,648 8% \$3,922,702,338 7% 69% \$15,600 1987 \$6,402,054,956 13% \$4,391,057,014 12% 69% \$16,500 1988 \$7,192,157,365 12% \$3,314,009,708 -25% 46% \$8,700 1989 \$8,130,492,059 13% \$5,518,167,643 67% 68% \$18,600 1990 \$9,237,628,172 14% \$6,226,942,266 13% 67% \$19,900 1991 \$9,599,527,870 4% \$3,342,374,834 -46% 35% \$7,000 1992 \$10,155,519,160 6% \$7,042,429,428 111% 69% \$22,700 1993 \$10,291,898,574 1% \$7,251,815,678 3% 70% \$23,900 1994 \$10,309,424,610 0% \$7,341,024,845 0% 72% \$25,500 1995 \$10,432,561,580 1% \$7,421,024,845 0% 72% \$25,500 1996 \$10,675,517,263 2% \$7,483,098,627		1 ' ' ' '					
1987 \$6,402,054,956 13% \$4,391,057,014 12% 69% \$16,500 1988 \$7,192,157,365 12% \$3,314,009,708 -25% 46% \$8,700 1989 \$8,130,492,059 13% \$5,518,167,643 67% 68% \$18,600 1990 \$9,237,628,172 14% \$6,226,942,266 13% 67% \$19,900 1991 \$9,599,527,870 4% \$3,342,374,834 -46% 35% \$7,000 1992 \$10,155,519,160 6% \$7,042,429,428 111% 69% \$22,700 1993 \$10,291,898,574 1% \$7,251,815,678 3% 70% \$23,900 1994 \$10,309,424,610 0% \$7,394,972,486 2% 72% \$25,000 1995 \$10,241,518,899 0% \$7,410,024,845 0% 71% \$25,800 1997 \$10,675,517,263 2% \$7,483,098,627 1% 70% \$26,000 1998 \$10,899,921,524 2% \$7,560,072,702							
1988 \$7,192,157,365 12% \$3,314,009,708 -25% 46% \$8,700 1989 \$8,130,492,059 13% \$5,518,167,643 67% 68% \$18,600 1990 \$9,237,628,172 14% \$6,226,942,266 13% 67% \$19,900 1991 \$9,599,527,870 4% \$3,342,374,834 -46% 35% \$7,000 1992 \$10,155,519,160 6% \$7,042,429,428 11% 69% \$22,700 1993 \$10,291,898,574 1% \$7,251,815,678 3% 70% \$23,900 1994 \$10,309,424,610 0% \$7,394,972,486 2% 72% \$25,500 1995 \$10,320,158,899 0% \$7,411,024,845 0% 71% \$25,800 1997 \$10,675,517,263 2% \$7,483,098,627 1% 70% \$26,000 1998 \$10,899,921,524 2% \$7,560,072,702 1% 69% \$26,000 1999 \$11,180,959,288 3% \$7,748,893,876		1 , . , , . ,					
1989 \$8,130,492,059 13% \$5,518,167,643 67% 68% \$18,600 1990 \$9,237,628,172 14% \$6,226,942,266 13% 67% \$19,900 1991 \$9,599,527,870 4% \$3,342,374,834 -46% 35% \$7,000 1992 \$10,155,519,160 6% \$7,042,429,428 111% 69% \$22,700 1993 \$10,291,898,574 1% \$7,251,815,678 3% 70% \$23,900 1994 \$10,309,424,610 0% \$7,394,972,486 2% 72% \$25,000 1995 \$10,320,158,899 0% \$7,411,024,845 0% 72% \$25,500 1996 \$10,643,561,580 1% \$7,420,054,226 0% 71% \$25,800 1997 \$10,675,517,263 2% \$7,483,098,627 1% 70% \$26,000 1998 \$10,899,921,524 2% \$7,560,072,702 1% 69% \$26,000 1999 \$11,180,959,344 21 7% \$8,2							
1990 \$9,237,628,172 14% \$6,226,942,266 13% 67% \$19,900 1991 \$9,599,527,870 4% \$3,342,374,834 -46% 35% \$7,000 1992 \$10,155,519,160 6% \$7,042,429,428 111% 69% \$22,700 1993 \$10,291,898,574 1% \$7,251,815,678 3% 70% \$23,900 1994 \$10,309,424,610 0% \$7,394,972,486 2% 72% \$25,000 1995 \$10,320,158,899 0% \$7,411,024,845 0% 72% \$25,500 1996 \$10,443,561,580 1% \$7,420,054,226 0% 71% \$25,800 1997 \$10,675,517,263 2% \$7,483,098,627 1% 70% \$26,000 1998 \$10,899,921,524 2% \$7,560,072,702 1% 69% \$27,000 2000 \$11,945,344,421 7% \$8,209,730,134 6% 69% \$27,500 2001 \$12,299,179,723 3% \$8,455,669,862							
1991 \$9,599,527,870 4% \$3,342,374,834 -46% 35% \$7,000 1992 \$10,155,519,160 6% \$7,042,429,428 111% 69% \$22,700 1993 \$10,291,898,574 1% \$7,251,815,678 3% 70% \$23,900 1994 \$10,309,424,610 0% \$7,394,972,486 2% 72% \$25,500 1995 \$10,320,158,899 0% \$7,411,024,845 0% 72% \$25,500 1996 \$10,443,561,580 1% \$7,420,054,226 0% 71% \$25,800 1997 \$10,675,517,263 2% \$7,483,098,627 1% 70% \$26,000 1998 \$10,899,921,524 2% \$7,560,072,702 1% 69% \$26,000 1999 \$11,180,959,288 3% \$7,748,893,876 2% 69% \$27,500 2000 \$11,945,344,421 7% \$8,209,730,134 6% 69% \$27,500 2001 \$12,299,179,723 3% \$8,455,669,862	1989	\$8,130,492,059	13%	\$5,518,167,643	67%	68%	\$18,600
1991 \$9,599,527,870 4% \$3,342,374,834 -46% 35% \$7,000 1992 \$10,155,519,160 6% \$7,042,429,428 111% 69% \$22,700 1993 \$10,291,898,574 1% \$7,251,815,678 3% 70% \$23,900 1994 \$10,309,424,610 0% \$7,394,972,486 2% 72% \$25,500 1995 \$10,320,158,899 0% \$7,411,024,845 0% 72% \$25,500 1996 \$10,443,561,580 1% \$7,420,054,226 0% 71% \$25,800 1997 \$10,675,517,263 2% \$7,483,098,627 1% 70% \$26,000 1998 \$10,899,921,524 2% \$7,560,072,702 1% 69% \$26,000 1999 \$11,180,959,288 3% \$7,748,893,876 2% 69% \$27,500 2000 \$11,945,344,421 7% \$8,209,730,134 6% 69% \$27,500 2001 \$12,299,179,723 3% \$8,455,669,862	1990	\$9 237 628 172	14%	\$6 226 942 266	13%	67%	\$19,900
1992 \$10,155,519,160 6% \$7,042,429,428 111% 69% \$22,700 1993 \$10,291,898,574 1% \$7,251,815,678 3% 70% \$23,900 1994 \$10,309,424,610 0% \$7,394,972,486 2% 72% \$25,000 1995 \$10,443,561,580 1% \$7,420,054,226 0% 71% \$25,800 1997 \$10,675,517,263 2% \$7,483,098,627 1% 70% \$26,000 1998 \$10,899,921,524 2% \$7,560,072,702 1% 69% \$26,400 1999 \$11,945,344,421 7% \$8,209,730,134 6% 69% \$27,500 2001 \$12,299,179,723 3% \$8,455,669,862 3% 69% \$29,300 2002 \$12,701,746,952 3% \$8,701,690,997 3% 69% \$29,300 2004 \$14,461,560,596 8% \$9,752,243,796 7% 67% \$31,000 2005 \$15,471,398,437 7% \$10,545,452,082							
1993 \$10,291,898,574 1% \$7,251,815,678 3% 70% \$23,900 1994 \$10,309,424,610 0% \$7,394,972,486 2% 72% \$25,000 1995 \$10,320,158,899 0% \$7,411,024,845 0% 72% \$25,500 1996 \$10,443,561,580 1% \$7,420,054,226 0% 71% \$25,800 1997 \$10,675,517,263 2% \$7,483,098,627 1% 70% \$26,000 1998 \$10,899,921,524 2% \$7,560,072,702 1% 69% \$26,400 1999 \$11,180,959,288 3% \$7,748,893,876 2% 69% \$27,500 2000 \$11,945,344,421 7% \$8,209,730,134 6% 69% \$27,500 2001 \$12,299,179,723 3% \$8,455,669,862 3% 69% \$22,300 2002 \$12,701,746,952 3% \$8,701,690,997 3% 69% \$29,300 2003 \$13,376,170,472 5% \$9,155,378,879							
1994 \$10,309,424,610 0% \$7,394,972,486 2% 72% \$25,000 1995 \$10,320,158,899 0% \$7,411,024,845 0% 72% \$25,500 1996 \$10,443,561,580 1% \$7,420,054,226 0% 71% \$25,800 1997 \$10,675,517,263 2% \$7,483,098,627 1% 70% \$26,000 1998 \$10,899,921,524 2% \$7,560,072,702 1% 69% \$26,000 1999 \$11,180,959,288 3% \$7,748,893,876 2% 69% \$27,000 2000 \$11,945,344,421 7% \$8,209,730,134 6% 69% \$27,500 2001 \$12,299,179,723 3% \$8,455,669,862 3% 69% \$22,300 2001 \$12,299,179,723 3% \$8,701,690,997 3% 69% \$22,300 2001 \$12,761,746,952 3% \$8,701,690,997 3% 69% \$22,300 2003 \$13,376,170,472 5% \$9,155,378,879							
1995 \$10,320,158,899 0% \$7,411,024,845 0% 72% \$25,500 1996 \$10,443,561,580 1% \$7,420,054,226 0% 71% \$25,800 1997 \$10,675,517,263 2% \$7,483,098,627 1% 70% \$26,000 1998 \$10,899,921,524 2% \$7,560,072,702 1% 69% \$26,400 1999 \$11,180,959,288 3% \$7,748,893,876 2% 69% \$27,500 2000 \$11,945,344,421 7% \$8,209,730,134 6% 69% \$27,500 2001 \$12,299,179,723 3% \$8,455,669,862 3% 69% \$28,400 2002 \$12,701,746,952 3% \$8,701,690,997 3% 69% \$29,300 2003 \$13,376,170,472 5% \$9,155,378,879 5% 68% \$30,200 2004 \$14,461,560,596 8% \$9,752,243,796 7% 67% \$31,000 2005 \$15,471,398,437 7% \$10,564,529,6082							
1996 \$10,443,561,580 1% \$7,420,054,226 0% 71% \$25,800 1997 \$10,675,517,263 2% \$7,483,098,627 1% 70% \$26,000 1998 \$10,899,921,524 2% \$7,560,072,702 1% 69% \$26,400 1999 \$11,180,959,288 3% \$7,748,893,876 2% 69% \$27,500 2000 \$11,945,344,421 7% \$8,209,730,134 6% 69% \$27,500 2001 \$12,299,179,723 3% \$8,455,669,862 3% 69% \$28,400 2002 \$12,701,746,952 3% \$8,701,690,997 3% 69% \$29,300 2004 \$14,461,560,596 8% \$9,155,378,879 5% 68% \$30,200 2005 \$15,471,398,437 7% \$10,545,452,082 8% 68% \$32,300 2006 \$16,520,578,034 7% \$11,353,002,832 8% 69% \$34,000 2007 \$17,508,474,137 6% \$12,056,590,692							
1997 \$10,675,517,263 2% \$7,483,098,627 1% 70% \$26,000 1998 \$10,899,921,524 2% \$7,560,072,702 1% 69% \$26,400 1999 \$11,180,959,288 3% \$7,748,893,876 2% 69% \$27,500 2000 \$11,945,344,421 7% \$8,209,730,134 6% 69% \$27,500 2001 \$12,299,179,723 3% \$8,455,669,862 3% 69% \$28,400 2002 \$12,701,746,952 3% \$8,701,690,997 3% 69% \$29,300 2003 \$13,376,170,472 5% \$9,155,378,879 5% 68% \$30,200 2004 \$14,461,560,596 8% \$9,752,243,796 7% 67% \$31,000 2005 \$15,471,398,437 7% \$10,545,452,082 8% 68% \$32,300 2007 \$17,508,474,137 6% \$12,056,590,692 6% 69% \$35,300 2008 \$17,626,344,437 1% \$6,452,926,682							
1998 \$10,899,921,524 2% \$7,560,072,702 1% 69% \$26,400 1999 \$11,180,959,288 3% \$7,748,893,876 2% 69% \$27,000 2000 \$11,945,344,421 7% \$8,209,730,134 6% 69% \$27,500 2001 \$12,299,179,723 3% \$8,455,669,862 3% 69% \$28,400 2002 \$12,701,746,952 3% \$8,701,690,997 3% 69% \$29,300 2003 \$13,376,170,472 5% \$9,155,378,879 5% 68% \$30,200 2004 \$14,461,560,596 8% \$9,752,243,796 7% 67% \$31,000 2005 \$15,471,398,437 7% \$10,545,452,082 8% 68% \$32,300 2005 \$15,471,398,437 7% \$11,353,002,832 8% 69% \$34,000 2007 \$17,508,474,137 6% \$12,056,590,692 6% 69% \$35,300 2008 \$17,626,344,437 1% \$6,452,926,682							
1999 \$11,180,959,288 3% \$7,748,893,876 2% 69% \$27,000 2000 \$11,945,344,421 7% \$8,209,730,134 6% 69% \$27,500 2001 \$12,299,179,723 3% \$8,455,669,862 3% 69% \$28,400 2002 \$12,701,746,952 3% \$8,701,690,997 3% 69% \$29,300 2003 \$13,376,170,472 5% \$9,155,378,879 5% 68% \$30,200 2004 \$14,461,560,596 8% \$9,752,243,796 7% 67% \$31,000 2005 \$15,471,398,437 7% \$10,545,452,082 8% 68% \$32,300 2006 \$16,520,578,034 7% \$11,353,002,832 8% 68% \$32,300 2007 \$17,508,474,137 6% \$12,056,590,692 6% 69% \$35,300 2008 \$17,626,344,437 1% \$6,452,926,682 -47% 37% \$13,000 2010 \$16,292,046,023 -2% \$10,916,560,473							
2000 \$11,945,344,421 7% \$8,209,730,134 6% 69% \$27,500 2001 \$12,299,179,723 3% \$8,455,669,862 3% 69% \$28,400 2002 \$12,701,746,952 3% \$8,701,690,997 3% 69% \$29,300 2003 \$13,376,170,472 5% \$9,155,378,879 5% 68% \$30,200 2004 \$14,461,560,596 8% \$9,752,243,796 7% 67% \$31,000 2005 \$15,471,398,437 7% \$10,545,452,082 8% 68% \$32,300 2006 \$16,520,578,034 7% \$11,353,002,832 8% 69% \$34,000 2007 \$17,508,474,137 6% \$12,056,590,692 6% 69% \$34,000 2008 \$17,626,344,437 1% \$6,452,926,682 -47% 37% \$13,000 2009 \$16,587,402,171 -6% \$5,891,874,889 -9% 36% \$13,000 2010 \$16,292,046,023 -2% \$10,916,560,473 85% 67% \$34,900 2011 \$16,728,000,105 3% \$10,989,382,103 1% 66% \$34,200 2012 \$17,543,283,316 5% \$12,051,835,343 10% 69% \$38,800 2013 \$18,313,312,299 4% \$12,628,120,662 5% 69% \$39,600 2014 \$19,068,458,173 4% \$13,149,245,380 4% 69% \$40,400 2015 \$20,293,537,427 6% \$13,772,027,328 5% 68% \$40,900 2016 \$21,254,705,644 5% \$14,514,591,883 5% 68% \$42,200 2017							
2001 \$12,299,179,723 3% \$8,455,669,862 3% 69% \$28,400 2002 \$12,701,746,952 3% \$8,701,690,997 3% 69% \$29,300 2003 \$13,376,170,472 5% \$9,155,378,879 5% 68% \$30,200 2004 \$14,461,560,596 8% \$9,752,243,796 7% 67% \$31,000 2005 \$15,471,398,437 7% \$10,545,452,082 8% 68% \$32,300 2006 \$16,520,578,034 7% \$11,353,002,832 8% 69% \$34,000 2007 \$17,508,474,137 6% \$12,056,590,692 6% 69% \$35,300 2008 \$17,626,344,437 1% \$6,452,926,682 -47% 37% \$13,000 2019 \$16,587,402,171 -6% \$5,891,874,889 -9% 36% \$13,000 2010 \$16,292,046,023 -2% \$10,916,560,473 85% 67% \$34,900 2011 \$16,728,000,105 3% \$12,051,835,343 <td>1999</td> <td>\$11,100,939,200</td> <td>376</td> <td>\$7,740,093,070</td> <td>270</td> <td>09 /8</td> <td>φ27,000</td>	1999	\$11,100,939,200	376	\$7,740,093,070	270	09 /8	φ27,000
2002 \$12,701,746,952 3% \$3,701,690,997 3% 69% \$29,300 2003 \$13,376,170,472 5% \$9,155,378,879 5% 68% \$30,200 2004 \$14,461,560,596 8% \$9,752,243,796 7% 67% \$31,000 2005 \$15,471,398,437 7% \$10,545,452,082 8% 68% \$32,300 2006 \$16,520,578,034 7% \$11,353,002,832 8% 69% \$34,000 2007 \$17,508,474,137 6% \$12,056,590,692 6% 69% \$35,300 2008 \$17,626,344,437 1% \$6,452,926,682 -47% 37% \$13,000 2009 \$16,587,402,171 -6% \$5,891,874,889 -9% 36% \$13,000 2010 \$16,292,046,023 -2% \$10,916,560,473 85% 67% \$34,900 2011 \$16,728,000,105 3% \$12,051,835,343 10% 66% \$34,200 2012 \$17,543,283,316 5% \$12,051,835,343<							
2003 \$13,376,170,472 5% \$9,155,378,879 5% 68% \$30,200 2004 \$14,461,560,596 8% \$9,752,243,796 7% 67% \$31,000 2005 \$15,471,398,437 7% \$10,545,452,082 8% 68% \$32,300 2006 \$16,520,578,034 7% \$11,353,002,832 8% 69% \$34,000 2007 \$17,508,474,137 6% \$12,056,590,692 6% 69% \$35,300 2008 \$17,626,344,437 1% \$6,452,926,682 -47% 37% \$13,000 2009 \$16,587,402,171 -6% \$5,891,874,889 -9% 36% \$13,000 2010 \$16,292,046,023 -2% \$10,916,560,473 85% 67% \$34,900 2011 \$16,728,000,105 3% \$10,989,382,103 1% 66% \$34,200 2012 \$17,543,283,316 5% \$12,051,835,343 10% 69% \$38,800 2013 \$18,313,312,299 4% \$12,628,120,662	2001	\$12,299,179,723	3%	\$8,455,669,862	3%	69%	\$28,400
2004 \$14,461,560,596 8% \$9,752,243,796 7% 67% \$31,000 2005 \$15,471,398,437 7% \$10,545,452,082 8% 68% \$32,300 2006 \$16,520,578,034 7% \$11,353,002,832 8% 69% \$34,000 2007 \$17,508,474,137 6% \$12,056,590,692 6% 69% \$35,300 2008 \$17,626,344,437 1% \$6,452,926,682 -47% 37% \$13,000 2019 \$16,587,402,171 -6% \$5,891,874,889 -9% 36% \$13,000 2010 \$16,292,046,023 -2% \$10,916,560,473 85% 67% \$34,900 2011 \$16,728,000,105 3% \$10,989,382,103 1% 66% \$34,200 2012 \$17,543,283,316 5% \$12,051,835,343 10% 69% \$38,800 2013 \$18,313,312,299 4% \$12,628,120,662 5% 69% \$39,600 2014 \$19,068,458,173 4% \$13,149,245,38	2002	\$12,701,746,952		\$8,701,690,997	3%		\$29,300
2005 \$15,471,398,437 7% \$10,545,452,082 8% 68% \$32,300 2006 \$16,520,578,034 7% \$11,353,002,832 8% 69% \$34,000 2007 \$17,508,474,137 6% \$12,056,590,692 6% 69% \$35,300 2008 \$17,626,344,437 1% \$6,452,926,682 -47% 37% \$13,000 2009 \$16,587,402,171 -6% \$5,891,874,889 -9% 36% \$13,000 2010 \$16,292,046,023 -2% \$10,916,560,473 85% 67% \$34,900 2011 \$16,728,000,105 3% \$10,989,382,103 1% 66% \$34,200 2012 \$17,543,283,316 5% \$12,051,835,343 10% 69% \$38,800 2013 \$18,313,312,299 4% \$12,628,120,662 5% 69% \$39,600 2014 \$19,068,458,173 4% \$13,149,245,380 4% 69% \$40,400 2015 \$20,293,537,427 6% \$13,772,027,3	2003	\$13,376,170,472	5%	\$9,155,378,879	5%	68%	\$30,200
2006 \$16,520,578,034 7% \$11,353,002,832 8% 69% \$34,000 2007 \$17,508,474,137 6% \$12,056,590,692 6% 69% \$35,300 2008 \$17,626,344,437 1% \$6,452,926,682 -47% 37% \$13,000 2009 \$16,587,402,171 -6% \$5,891,874,889 -9% 36% \$13,000 2010 \$16,292,046,023 -2% \$10,916,560,473 85% 67% \$34,900 2011 \$16,728,000,105 3% \$10,989,382,103 1% 66% \$34,200 2012 \$17,543,283,316 5% \$12,051,835,343 10% 69% \$38,800 2013 \$18,313,312,299 4% \$12,628,120,662 5% 69% \$39,600 2014 \$19,068,458,173 4% \$13,149,245,380 4% 69% \$40,400 2015 \$20,293,537,427 6% \$13,772,027,328 5% 68% \$40,900 2016 \$21,254,705,644 5% \$14,514,591,8	2004	\$14,461,560,596	8%	\$9,752,243,796	7%	67%	\$31,000
2007 \$17,508,474,137 6% \$12,056,590,692 6% 69% \$35,300 2008 \$17,626,344,437 1% \$6,452,926,682 -47% 37% \$13,000 2009 \$16,587,402,171 -6% \$5,891,874,889 -9% 36% \$13,000 2010 \$16,292,046,023 -2% \$10,916,560,473 85% 67% \$34,900 2011 \$16,728,000,105 3% \$10,989,382,103 1% 66% \$34,200 2012 \$17,543,283,316 5% \$12,051,835,343 10% 69% \$38,800 2013 \$18,313,312,299 4% \$12,628,120,662 5% 69% \$39,600 2014 \$19,068,458,173 4% \$13,149,245,380 4% 69% \$40,400 2015 \$20,293,537,427 6% \$13,772,027,328 5% 68% \$40,900 2016 \$21,254,705,644 5% \$14,514,591,883 5% 68% \$42,200 2017 \$20,293,537,427 6% \$14,514,591,8		\$15,471,398,437		\$10,545,452,082			
2008 \$17,626,344,437 1% \$6,452,926,682 -47% 37% \$13,000 2009 \$16,587,402,171 -6% \$5,891,874,889 -9% 36% \$13,000 2010 \$16,292,046,023 -2% \$10,916,560,473 85% 67% \$34,900 2011 \$16,728,000,105 3% \$10,989,382,103 1% 66% \$34,200 2012 \$17,543,283,316 5% \$12,051,835,343 10% 69% \$38,800 2013 \$18,313,312,299 4% \$12,628,120,662 5% 69% \$39,600 2014 \$19,068,458,173 4% \$13,149,245,380 4% 69% \$40,400 2015 \$20,293,537,427 6% \$13,772,027,328 5% 68% \$40,900 2016 \$21,254,705,644 5% \$14,514,591,883 5% 68% \$42,200 2017 \$20,293,537,427 6% \$14,514,591,883 5% 68% \$42,200	2006	\$16,520,578,034	7%	\$11,353,002,832	8%	69%	\$34,000
2009 \$16,587,402,171 -6% \$5,891,874,889 -9% 36% \$13,000 2010 \$16,292,046,023 -2% \$10,916,560,473 85% 67% \$34,900 2011 \$16,728,000,105 3% \$10,989,382,103 1% 66% \$34,200 2012 \$17,543,283,316 5% \$12,051,835,343 10% 69% \$38,800 2013 \$18,313,312,299 4% \$12,628,120,662 5% 69% \$39,600 2014 \$19,068,458,173 4% \$13,149,245,380 4% 69% \$40,400 2015 \$20,293,537,427 6% \$13,772,027,328 5% 68% \$42,200 2016 \$21,254,705,644 5% \$14,514,591,883 5% 68% \$42,200 2017 \$20,293,537,427 6% \$14,514,591,883 5% 68% \$42,200	2007	\$17,508,474,137	6%	\$12,056,590,692	6%	69%	\$35,300
2009 \$16,587,402,171 -6% \$5,891,874,889 -9% 36% \$13,000 2010 \$16,292,046,023 -2% \$10,916,560,473 85% 67% \$34,900 2011 \$16,728,000,105 3% \$10,989,382,103 1% 66% \$34,200 2012 \$17,543,283,316 5% \$12,051,835,343 10% 69% \$38,800 2013 \$18,313,312,299 4% \$12,628,120,662 5% 69% \$39,600 2014 \$19,068,458,173 4% \$13,149,245,380 4% 69% \$40,400 2015 \$20,293,537,427 6% \$13,772,027,328 5% 68% \$40,900 2016 \$21,254,705,644 5% \$14,514,591,883 5% 68% \$42,200 2017 \$20,293,537,427 6% \$14,514,591,883 5% 68% \$42,200	2008	\$17,626,344,437	1%	\$6,452,926,682	-47%	37%	\$13,000
2011 \$16,728,000,105 3% \$10,989,382,103 1% 66% \$34,200 2012 \$17,543,283,316 5% \$12,051,835,343 10% 69% \$38,800 2013 \$18,313,312,299 4% \$12,628,120,662 5% 69% \$39,600 2014 \$19,068,458,173 4% \$13,149,245,380 4% 69% \$40,400 2015 \$20,293,537,427 6% \$13,772,027,328 5% 68% \$40,900 2016 \$21,254,705,644 5% \$14,514,591,883 5% 68% \$42,200 2017 **	2009	\$16,587,402,171	-6%	\$5,891,874,889	-9%	36%	\$13,000
2011 \$16,728,000,105 3% \$10,989,382,103 1% 66% \$34,200 2012 \$17,543,283,316 5% \$12,051,835,343 10% 69% \$38,800 2013 \$18,313,312,299 4% \$12,628,120,662 5% 69% \$39,600 2014 \$19,068,458,173 4% \$13,149,245,380 4% 69% \$40,400 2015 \$20,293,537,427 6% \$13,772,027,328 5% 68% \$40,900 2016 \$21,254,705,644 5% \$14,514,591,883 5% 68% \$42,200 2017 **	2010	\$16 292 046 023	- 2%	\$10 916 560 <i>4</i> 73	85%	67%	\$34 900
2012 \$17,543,283,316 5% \$12,051,835,343 10% 69% \$38,800 2013 \$18,313,312,299 4% \$12,628,120,662 5% 69% \$39,600 2014 \$19,068,458,173 4% \$13,149,245,380 4% 69% \$40,400 2015 \$20,293,537,427 6% \$13,772,027,328 5% 68% \$40,900 2016 \$21,254,705,644 5% \$14,514,591,883 5% 68% \$42,200 2017 \$44,000							
2013 \$18,313,312,299 4% \$12,628,120,662 5% 69% \$39,600 2014 \$19,068,458,173 4% \$13,149,245,380 4% 69% \$40,400 2015 \$20,293,537,427 6% \$13,772,027,328 5% 68% \$40,900 2016 \$21,254,705,644 5% \$14,514,591,883 5% 68% \$42,200 2017 \$44,000						1	
2014 \$19,068,458,173 4% \$13,149,245,380 4% 69% \$40,400 2015 \$20,293,537,427 6% \$13,772,027,328 5% 68% \$40,900 2016 \$21,254,705,644 5% \$14,514,591,883 5% 68% \$42,200 2017 \$44,000							
2015 \$20,293,537,427 6% \$13,772,027,328 5% 68% \$40,900 2016 \$21,254,705,644 5% \$14,514,591,883 5% 68% \$42,200 2017 \$44,000							
2016 \$21,254,705,644 5% \$14,514,591,883 5% 68% \$42,200 2017 \$44,000							,
2017 \$44,000		1 ' ' ' '					
		Ψ2 1,204,700,044	5 /6	ψ14,014,081,000	376	00%	, , , , , , ,
	2017					1	\$45,900 \$45,900

Source: Wages from Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages (QCEW)

CHARGED AND NONCHARGED BENEFITS

(in millions of \$)

	Total	Charged	% of	Noncharged	% of
Year	Benefits	Benefits	Total	Benefits	Total
1970	\$14.7	\$8.8	60%	\$5.9	40%
1971	\$27.9	\$18.2	65%	\$9.7	35%
1972	\$28.0	\$18.2	65%	\$9.8	35%
1973	\$26.3	\$15.7	60%	\$10.6	40%
1974	\$34.9	\$21.3	61%	\$13.7	39%
1975	\$47.0	\$30.1	64%	\$16.8	36%
1976	\$57.5	\$43.1	75%	\$14.4	25%
1977	\$45.1	\$44.3	98%	\$0.9	2%
1978	\$36.0	\$35.6	99%	\$0.4	1%
1979	\$30.5	\$30.2	99%	\$0.3	1%
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •		****	.,,
1980	\$40.7	\$40.2	99%	\$0.5	1%
1981	\$56.7	\$56.1	99%	\$0.6	1%
1982	\$70.5	\$69.9	99%	\$0.6	1%
1983	\$60.9	\$59.7	98%	\$1.2	2%
1984	\$62.4	\$61.8	99%	\$0.6	1%
1985	\$53.9	\$53.4	99%	\$0.5	1%
1986	\$48.4	\$47.8	99%	\$0.6	1%
1987	\$43.6	\$36.6	84%	\$7.0	16%
1988	\$44.1	\$35.3	80%	\$8.8	20%
1989	\$35.4	\$26.9	76%	\$8.5	24%
1990	\$44.2	\$30.9	70%	\$13.3	30%
1991	\$76.5	\$56.6	74%	\$19.9	26%
1992	\$129.1	\$101.7	79%	\$27.4	21%
1993	\$144.8	\$105.9	73%	\$38.9	27%
1994	\$170.5	\$137.9	81%	\$32.6	19%
1995	\$178.9	\$148.0	83%	\$30.9	17%
1996	\$171.7	\$145.5	85%	\$26.2	15%
1997	\$153.8	\$131.5	85%	\$22.3	15%
1998	\$142.4	\$121.9	86%	\$20.5	14%
1999	\$123.2	\$104.4	85%	\$18.8	15%
2000	\$96.1	\$79.6	83%	\$16.4	17%
2001	\$132.9	\$109.9	83%	\$22.9	17%
2001	\$144.7	\$120.0	83%	\$24.6	17%
2002	\$122.2	\$102.9	84%	\$19.3	16%
2003	\$103.7	\$87.5	84%	\$16.2	16%
2005	\$81.8	\$67.0	82%	\$14.8	18%
2006	\$90.1	\$72.7	81%	\$17.4	19%
2007	\$107.6	\$88.0	82%	\$19.7	18%
2007	\$211.2	\$179.5	85%	\$31.7	15%
2009	\$374.2	\$331.6	89%	\$42.6	11%
2010	\$304.8	\$276.2	91%	\$28.7	9%
2010	\$304.8 \$244.6	\$276.2 \$221.1	91% 90%	\$28.7 \$23.6	9% 10%
2011	\$244.6 \$222.7	\$221.1	90%	\$23.6 \$21.6	10%
2012	\$196.6	\$176.1	90%	\$21.6 \$20.6	10%
2013	\$171.7	\$176.1	90% 88%	\$20.6 \$21.1	12%
2014	\$171.7 \$135.3	\$130.7	87%	\$18.2	13%
2015	\$135.3 \$127.6	\$117.1	87% 85%	\$10.2 \$19.1	15%
2010	Ψ1∠1.0	ψ100.0	00/0	1.0.1	10/0

Source: ETA-204, Experience Rating Report Includes regular program benefits only; does not include extended benefits.

APPENDIX C Benefits Not Charged to Employer Accounts

The following types of unemployment insurance benefits are not charged to individual employer's unemployment insurance accounts:

- a. Benefits paid to a claimant based on wages from an employer from whom the claimant voluntarily quit work without good cause ¹;
- b. Benefits paid to a claimant based on wages from an employer from whom the claimant was discharged for misconduct connected with work;
- c. Benefits paid to a claimant based on wages from an employer from whom the claimant left work voluntarily for a good cause that was not attributable to the employer;
- d. Benefits paid to an individual who during that individual's base period earned wages for part–time employment with an employer, are not charged to that employer if the employer continues to employ the individual to the same extent while the individual is receiving benefits as during the individual's base period;
- e. Benefits paid to an individual for the period that individual is enrolled in and is in regular attendance at an approved training course;
- f. One-half of Extended Benefits (the other half of EB is financed with federal unemployment tax funds)²;
- g. Benefits paid to an individual who qualifies to receive benefits by meeting the minimum earnings and employment requirements only by combining the individual's employment and wages earned in two or more states³:
- h. Benefits overpaid to a claimant as a result of ineligibility or disqualification, unless the overpayment resulted from the employer's failure to furnish information as required;
- i. Benefits paid to an individual based on wages from an employer from whom the individual is separated as a direct result of a major disaster.⁴

¹Benefits described in a, b, and c were chargeable from July 15, 1976 through October 4, 1986.

²EB was chargeable from January 1, 1985 through October 4, 1986.

³Benefits described in g and h became nonchargeable from July 15, 1976.

⁴ Effective from September 13, 1992.

APPENDIX D

The Hawai'i Unemployment Compensation Trust Fund and Economic Conditions: 1970 to the Present

1970 The 1970s began with a low IUR (Insured Unemployment Rate) of slightly above 2% and high fund reserves in excess of \$40 million. Unemployment increased throughout 1971 in the aftermath of the Mainland recession, and due partly to a lengthy 1971 dock strike in the second half of the year. The Extended Benefits program was initiated allowing an additional 13 weeks of unemployment insurance benefits to be paid to claimants who exhaust their 26 weeks of regular benefits during periods of high unemployment. Hawai'i paid extended benefits from October 1971 through December 1972. Increasing unemployment and additional benefits paid out under the Extended Benefits program caused benefit outgo to increase and the fund balance to decline. 1972 The fund continued to decline as additional dock strikes and continuing Extended Benefits payments caused benefit outgo to remain high. Unemployment decreased slightly causing the fund balance to remain relatively level. 1973 1974 The IUR began to climb again as Mainland recessionary conditions started affecting Hawai'i. Hawai'i began a new Extended Benefits period beginning in February 1975 as unemployment continued to increase. 1975 This EB period continued until March 1978 (except for a short break in the program during August 1977). 1976 The IUR reached a peak of 7% in the first half of 1976. During the 1974 through 1976 period, the fund balance was drastically reduced by the unprecedented high level of unemployment and corresponding high benefit outgos. The fund was depleted in 1976. Benefits continued to be paid during the year through the use of \$22.5 million in federal loans to the fund. 1977 The economy began to improve slightly, although construction strikes caused unemployment to temporarily increase at the end of 1977 and early 1978. In order to rejuvenate the fund, tax rates, which had been gradually increasing during the 1970s, were set at a uniform rate of 3.5% for 1977. The flat 3.5% tax rate continued to be in effect. As the economy improved, the IUR steadily declined until it leveled 1978 off at about 3% during the second half of the year. With the increased income and declining outgo, the fund balance was brought out of its negative levels and the federal loan was repaid late in 1978. Varied tax rates for employers (experience rating) was reinstituted; this caused fund income to decline slightly from 1979 the high 1978 level. Benefits remained relatively low, resulting in a further increase in the fund balance to \$78 million by the end of 1979. 1980 Employer tax rates were again decreased based on the healthy fund reserves. Although Hawai'i's unemployment remained low, an Extended Benefits period was triggered on by high national unemployment. This EB period was from July 1980 to January 1981. Fund income exceeded outgo and reserves reached \$100.6 million by the end of 1980. 1981-1984 Unemployment was very stable with the IUR fluctuating only between 2.5% and 3.5%, weathering airline, construction, and other strikes occurring during this time. The fund balance was kept at about the adequate reserve level throughout the period Tax schedules were adjusted to include a maximum tax rate of 5.4% in order to allow employers to continue to 1985 receive full tax credits under the Federal Unemployment Tax Act (FUTA). 1986-1987 The tourism and construction industries were in a boom period resulting in declining unemployment and a growing fund balance 1988 The high fund balance resulted in the triggering of a negative Fund Solvency Rate for the first time. Also, a one-year special law provision cut the Taxable Wage Base to 50% of the statewide average annual wage. 1989 Unemployment continued to decline with the IUR reaching an all time low of 0.96% in December 1989. The fund continued to be more than twice the adequate reserve level allowing the negative 0.5% Fund Solvency Rate to remain in effect. 1990 Despite Mainland recessionary conditions, Hawai'i tourism and construction continued to do well through 1990. Although a hotel strike during March caused a temporary rise in unemployment, the average IUR for the year

remained level with 1989.

- 1991 The Persian Gulf War triggered a slowdown in March as tourist traffic declined dramatically and unemployment jumped. Mainland recessionary conditions began affecting Hawai'i's economy as unemployment remained high
 - through the year especially in the construction and tourism related industries. A one-year special provision cut the taxable wage base to \$7,000.
- 1992 A new tax schedule system was implemented and the lowest tax schedule (A) was in effect for 1992. Hurricane Iniki hit the islands in September 1992 resulting in major damage and high unemployment on Kaua'i. Mainland and Japanese recessionary conditions continued to weaken the tourist and construction sectors. The end of year fund balance declined from the previous year for the first time since 1982. Emergency Unemployment Compensation (EUC) benefits were paid to claimants from November 1991 through April 1994. EUC benefits, paid to claimants who exhausted their regular state benefits, were 100% federally funded.
- 1993-1994

Tax schedule B was in effect for 1993 and 1994, keeping the average unemployment tax rate at a low 1%. At the same time, the tourism and construction sectors remained sluggish causing large benefit payouts from the fund.

- 1995-1998 The economy remained flat from 1995 through 1998, with benefit levels declining slightly each year. The fund balance stabilized at around \$210 million and tax schedule D stayed in effect from 1995 through 1998.
- 1999-2000 The economy was on the rise with insured unemployment dropping below 2% and the fund balance reaching \$300 million levels, triggering tax schedule C for 2001.
- 2001-2002 In the aftermath of terrorist attacks on the World Trade Center and the Pentagon on September 11, 2001, the number of unemployed increased and the fund balance began to drop. Special legislation provided Hawai'i claimants exhausting regular benefits between September 11, 2001 and June 30, 2002 with 13 weeks of additional benefits and kept tax schedule C in effect for calendar year 2002. A special federally funded program, Temporary Extended Unemployment Compensation (TEUC) also provided an additional 13 weeks of benefits to claimants, effective from March 10 to December 31, 2002.
- 2003-2004 The federal TEUC program was extended to December 31, 2003 with a transition period for the continuation of payments to individuals, who had balances as of the end of December, to March 31, 2004. Effective from April 20, 2003 through December 28, 2003, displaced airline and related workers who exhausted their 26 weeks of regular benefits received up to 39 weeks of additional federally funded TEUC-A benefits. Throughout 2004, Hawai'i's unemployment dropped significantly resulting in a growing trust fund.
- 2005-2006 Tourism, construction and real estate contributed to a very healthy economy where the insured unemployment rate dropped to 1.0% by the last guarter of 2005. The fund balance grew to more than \$500 million by November 2006 triggering a decrease in tax rates to Tax Schedule B for calendar year 2007.
 - The 2007 Legislature enacted Act 110 which: increased the partial earnings disregard amount from \$50 to \$150; 2007 increased the maximum weekly benefit amount formula from 70% to 75% of statewide average annual wages for calendar years 2008 through 2010; set the taxable wage base at \$13,000 for calendar years 2008 through 2010; and changed the adequate reserve formula to remove the one and one-half times multiplier for the calendar years 2008 through 2010 tax schedule computations.
- 2008-2009 Airline closures in April 2008, the departure of major cruise ships, closures of pineapple and sugar operations, and finally major problems with the national financial sector resulted in a downturn in both the national and Hawai'i economies. The tourism and construction industries were especially hard hit. Recessionary conditions on the Mainland and in Hawai'i resulted in the IUR nearly doubling from an average 1.78% in 2008 to 3.36% in 2009, and the fund balance falling from \$552 million at the end of 2007 to \$134 million as of the end of 2009.

A 100% federally financed Emergency Unemployment Compensation (EUC08) program was enacted to provide claimants who exhaust their regular 26 weeks of benefits with an additional 13 weeks of benefits effective from July 6, 2008. From November 23, 2008 an additional seven weeks were added, for a total of 20 weeks, of EUC08 benefits. Beginning with the week ending May 9, 2009, claimants who exhaust their first 20 weeks of EUC08 benefits (Tier 1) before December 31, 2009 could receive an additional 13 weeks of Tier 2 EUC08 benefits. Effective with the week ending November 14, 2009, claimants are eligible for one additional week of Tier 2, and 13 weeks of Tier 3 EUC08 benefits. This brought the total to 47 weeks of federally funded EUC08 benefits for Hawai'i claimants.

2010-2011

The EUC08 program was extended twice in 2010 and is scheduled to end January 3, 2012. Claimants who exhaust their regular or EUC08 tier benefits will not be able to begin a new tier after that date. Claimants may continue to receive benefits under their current tier until June 9, 2012.

Act 2 was enacted during the 2010 Legislative Session resulting in: extending the 75% formula for computing the maximum weekly benefit amount (MWBA) for 2010 and 2011; reducing the taxable wage base formula to 90% of the statewide average annual wage for 2010 and 2011; permanently reducing the adequate reserve fund definition by eliminating the 1.5 times multiplier; and setting the tax schedules at D for 2010 and F for 2011, rather than applying the computed tax schedules which would have been F and G respectively.

Economic conditions slowly improved throughout this period with the IUR declining from 3.4% in January 2010 to 2.4% in November 2011. However, benefit outgo continued to exceed contributions through the first half of 2011. The unemployment fund was depleted in December 2010 requiring Hawai'i to borrow federal Title IX loan funds to continue paying benefits. From December 2010 through August 2011, \$163 million in federal loans were received. All loans were repaid by August 2011.

2012 The EUC08 program was extended from June 2012 to end on January 2, 2013.

Effective January 2012 the MWBA formula returned to the 70% computation. However, it was only in effect from January through March as Act 6 (2012 Legislative Session) changed the formula back to 75% from April to the end of 2012. Act 6 also changed the 2012 tax schedule to F. The highest schedule, H, should have been in effect for 2012 as the fund balance had dropped to less than \$38 million at the end of November 2012.

The unemployment fund was depleted at the end of March 2012 requiring borrowing from the federal loan fund. Borrowing continued during the month of April 2012 with all loans repaid by the end of the month. Improving economic conditions during the second half of 2012 resulted in the fund balance increasing to over \$100 million by the end of November.

2013 The EUC08 program was extended from January 2, 2013 to January 1, 2014 by the American Tax Payer Relief Act of 2012. Last payable week was December 28, 2013.

Under Act 263 (2012 Legislative Session), the maximum tax rates on the tax schedules were increased from 5.4% on each schedule to increasing rates from 5.4% for Schedule A to 6.6% for Schedule H. See Appendix A for rates on each schedule.

Act 100, passed by the 2013 State Legislature and approved by the Governor on June 1, 2013, reclassified the Unemployment Compensation Fund as a trust fund as recommended by the state auditor.

2014-2015

The economic conditions continued to improve during this time frame, with the IUR decreasing from 1.88% in January 2014 to 1.13% in November 2015. The healthy labor market with the strong growth in tourism and expansion in construction contributed to the improved economy. The trust fund balance has grown to almost \$485 million at the end of November 2015, which triggered lowering the tax rates to Tax Schedule C for calendar year 2016.

2016 Act 070, passed by the 2016 State Legislature and approved by the Governor on June 17, 2016, provided an additional 13 weeks of unemployment benefits to the dislocated workers of a number of large employers in Maui County. Act 070 will be repealed on October 28, 2017.