



345 Queen Street, Suite 500 • Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Randy Perreira President

The Twenty-Ninth Legislature, State of Hawaii Hawaii State Senate Committee on Transportation and Energy &

Telephone: (808) 597-1441 Fax: (808) 593-2149

Committee on Public Safety, Intergovernmental and Military Affairs

Testimony by Hawaii State AFL-CIO February 6, 2017

S.B. 1176 – RELATING TO TAXATION

The Hawaii State AFL-CIO strongly supports S.B. 1176 which authorizes counties that have established a surcharge on state tax prior to 7/1/2015 to extend the surcharge in perpetuity and authorizes counties that have not established a surcharge by 7/1/2017 to establish a surcharge on state tax.

Traffic on Oahu is continually getting worse. Each year, there are thousands of new vehicles on the road creating additional gridlock, increased frustration, and more time spent in a vehicle instead of at home with loved ones. Increasingly, commuters from West Oahu face the daunting reality that traveling to Honolulu or back to West Oahu can take upwards of three to four hours round-trip and on a bad day it could be considerably worse. Rail will help alleviate the daily traffic nightmare that so many commuters face and improve the quality of life for many.

Further, Oahu voters in 2008 approved the Honolulu Rail Transit Project and were promised a rail system that would be operational no later than 2020. In addition, the U.S. Department of Transportation signed a \$1.55 billion federal funding agreement to help build the 20-mile rail project. Unfortunately, lawsuits and other complications caused significant delays resulting in a number of unexpected costs. As a result, the rail project has gone over budget. Without extending or making permanent the half-percent increase to the general excise tax, the HART will not be able to complete the 20-mile planned route and Oahu taxpayers will be on the hook of paying back the federal government the \$1.55 billion they provided. In addition, a shortened rail line may not have as great of an impact reducing traffic.

Consequently, the Hawaii State AFL-CIO strongly urges the passage of S.B. 1176 to ensure rail is built as planned.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

Respectfully submitte

Randy Perreira President



HONOLULU CITY COUNCIL CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU

530 SOUTH KING STREET, ROOM 202 • HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813 PHONE: (808) 768-5009 • FAX: (808) 768-5011 • INTERNET: <u>www.honolulu.gov/council</u>

Ron Menor CHAIR & PRESIDING OFFICER



IKAIKA ANDERSON VICE CHAIR

Kymberly Marcos Pine FLOOR LEADER

CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU BEFORE THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION & ENERGY AND PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 6, 2017, 3:00 PM

TO: THE HONORABLE LORRAINE R. INOUYE, CHAIR THE HONORABLE DONOVAN M. DELA CRUZ, VICE CHAIR AND MEMBERS OF THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY

> THE HONORABLE CLARENCE K. NISHIHARA, CHAIR THE HONORABLE GLENN WAKAI, VICE CHAIR AND MEMBERS OF THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS AND MILITARY AFFAIRS

FROM: COUNCIL CHAIR RON MENOR COUNCILMEMBER JOEY MANAHAN, CHAIR OF COMMITTEE ON BUDGET COUNCILMEMBER IKAIKA ANDERSON, CHAIR OF COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND PLANNING COUNCILMEMBER KYMBERLY MARCOS PINE, CHAIR OF COMMITTEE ON ZONING AND HOUSING

SUBJECT: SUPPORT OF SB1176 RELATING TO TAXATION

My name is Ron Menor and I am here to testify as the Chair of the

Honolulu City Council. Along with Councilmembers Joey Manahan, Ikaika

Anderson, and Kymberly Marcos Pine, we are members of a Permitted

Interaction Group (P.I.G.) which was established by the Council to address the rail issue.

We are offering testimony in strong support of S.B. 1176 which extends the County surcharge on the general excise tax in perpetuity. The passage of this measure will ensure that we can complete the Honolulu rail project to Ala Moana as required under the Full Funding Grant Agreement ("FFGA") between the City and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA).

We have debated the merits of a rail system for over a decade. It is badly needed to address the transportation needs on Oahu. There are 90,000 more registered vehicles on the island then when we broke ground on the project. Motorists from Central and West Oahu, who I represent, suffer through some of the worst traffic congestion in the country. That traffic is only going to get worse as thousands of new homes are built on Oahu in the coming years.

But the rail project is much more than the anchor of an integrated multimodal transportation system. It is the economic engine of our island's future. Through Transit Oriented Development ("TOD") planned around the rail stations, there is enormous potential for the rail project to create new jobs, to revitalize our communities, and to increase the inventory of affordable housing for which there is a dire need.

Given the tremendous opportunities that can be created by rail, it is clear that this is not merely a "City" issue. We all represent the same citizens. The State has an important stake in ensuring the effective completion of the rail project not only for this generation, but also future generations of Hawaii's citizens. Rail will benefit the vast majority of Oahu residents, the largest county in our State. It will help create jobs and new revenue for Oahu. It provides us the best opportunity in decades to build affordable workforce housing, a critical State priority.

For these reasons, we believe that the completion of the rail project represents the single most important quality of life issue that our State has faced.

Moreover, there is a great deal of urgency for the Legislature to act on this issue.

FTA officials have made clear that we could lose the federal funding that the FTA has allocated for the rail project if we do not submit satisfactory financial and recovery plans by April 30. In fact, the FTA is already withholding funds requested by HART. In a recent letter dated January 18, 2017 to HART's Interim Executive Director, the FTA's Acting Administrator Carolyn Flowers indicated that the agency will not release an additional \$100 million in FY2015 Section 5309 New Starts Funding for rail until "the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) can agree to a revised cost estimate and schedule for the project, and a financial plan that demonstrates a commitment of all local funding to cover that cost estimate."

The FTA has also made clear that our inability to come up with an acceptable level of funding to complete rail could jeopardize future federal funds for Honolulu's bus system, roads and state highway projects.

Finally, we believe that the GET surcharge is the best and most efficient option to cover rail construction costs.

Our citizens are already paying it. Tourists and other non-residents account for nearly 30% of the state's GET collections.

We recognize that it will ultimately be up to the Legislature to decide whether rail costs should be funded exclusively with GET surcharge revenues, or whether the City has to raise taxes and fees for Oahu residents already struggling with a very high cost of living. Thank you for the opportunity to offer testimony on this important measure.

Bernard P. Carvalho, Jr. Mayor



Wallace G. Rezentes, Jr. Managing Director

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR County of Kaua'i, State of Hawai'i 4444 Rice Street, Suite 235, Līhu'e, Hawai'i 96766 TEL (808) 241-4900 FAX (808) 241-6877

Testimony of Mayor Bernard P. Carvalho, Jr. Before the Senate Committee on Transportation and Energy

> Wednesday, February 8, 2017 1:40 P.M. Conference Room 225

In consideration of SENATE BILL 1176, RELATING TO TAXATION

Aloha Honorable Chair Inouye and Members of the Committee,

Allow me to express our support for the overall intent of this measure, which will provide the counties the authority to levy a surcharge on the general excise tax (GET).

It is critical that the counties be granted authority to levy a surcharge on the GET. Real property taxes now total over 81% of the county's main source of revenue, followed by the Transient Accommodations Tax (TAT), which as you know is presently capped. In FY 2015, Kaua'i's real property tax rates were increased for Residential, Commercial, Industrial, and Hotel and Resort classes so that they now more closely align with state averages. Furthermore, in FY 2016 the County created two new tax classes: Residential Investor and Commercialized Home Use which resulted in increased real property tax revenue for the County. By these actions, the County of Kaua'i responded to legislative concerns about the County independently seeking the appropriate action to pursue revenue resources in addition to its desire for the TAT and GET. Other revenue sources for the County include the vehicle weight tax, the annual vacation rental permit renewal fee, and the vehicle registration fee which have been increased as well.

There are many expensive capital improvements, service improvements and charter driven obligations that we must find a way to fund in the coming decade. These include: expansion of The Kauai Bus, catching up on \$100 million in deferred roadway repairs and improvements, constructing a new landfill and resource recovery park, seeking critical remediation to our multiple transfer stations to remain compliant with state and federal environmental regulations, and building more affordable housing. Consideration for some

An Equal Opportunity Employer

flexibility in the use of GET funds to meet a range of important needs would be appreciated. Also, we would appreciate a reasonable timeframe to enact such a surcharge.

For the past two years we have been in discussions with the legislature about expanding our revenue portfolio via the authorization of a county-specific GET surcharge. We feel that being granted this authority, coupled with the adoption of a fair distribution of the TAT, will provide us with the resources we need to enact a sustainable, long-term financial plan. We hope that discussion on this bill will continue and that agreement may be reached this session.

Mahalo for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Bernard P. Carvalho Jr. Mayor



Testimony to the Senate Committee on Transportation and Energy and Committee on Public Safety, Intergovernmental and Military Affairs Monday, February 6, 2017 at 3:00 P.M. Conference Room 225, State Capitol

RE: SENATE BILL 1176 RELATING TO TAXATION

Chairs Inouye and Nishihara, Vice Chairs Dela Cruz and Wakai, and Members of the Committees:

The Chamber of Commerce Hawaii ("The Chamber") **supports the intent of**, SB 1176, which extends each county's authority to establish and collect a surcharge on state general excise and use taxes; requires a county's share of the county surcharge on state tax to be paid to the county on a monthly basis; and deletes the repeal date for Act 247, Session Laws of Hawaii 2005, authorizing counties to levy a surcharge to fund public transportation systems.

The Chamber is the largest business organization in Hawaii, representing about 1,600 businesses. Approximately 80% of our members are small businesses with less than 20 employees. As the "Voice of Business" in Hawaii, the organization works on behalf of members and the entire business community to improve the state's economic climate and to foster positive action on issues of common concern.

The Chamber has always supported rail and, this session, supports a limited extension of the county surcharge on the excise tax for rail. However, we do not have a position on the length of the extension, other than to have enough funding to see the completion of the project as set forth in the Full Funding Grant Agreement between the City & County of Honolulu and the Federal Transit Administration, and to see the completion of rail, at the least, to Ala Moana. We do not support an extension in perpetuity.

The decision is a challenging one and concerns about the financial situation are valid. We agree that the stakeholders should be held more accountable and held to higher standards as we see the price of rail escalate. We also see opportunities for more collaboration and partnerships.

With that said, this transportation solution is in line with one of the Chamber's primary missions: to improve the quality of life for the people of Hawaii, while supporting initiatives that are the catalyst for business growth opportunities. It will create livable and connectable communities, improve the well-being of individuals and families, provide opportunities for entrepreneurs and small businesses, and increase the inventory of housing, among many other reasons.



By looking at the big picture and long-term benefits of this project, we believe that rail will be a positive step for Hawaii and an investment that should be made. This is about planning for the future and not for the past or the present.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify.



THE VOICE OF THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY 2017 OFFICERS

PRESIDENT EVAN FUJIMOTO GRAHAM BUILDERS, INC.

PRESIDENT-ELECT DEAN UCHIDA SSFM INTERNATIONAL, INC.

VICE PRESIDENT MARSHALL HICKOX HOMEWORKS CONSTRUCTION, INC.

SECRETARY DWIGHT MITSUNAGA DM PACIFIC, INC.

TREASURER MICHAE WATANABE JW, INC.

SPECIAL APPOINTEE-BUILDER CURT KIRIU CK INDEPENDENT LIVING BUILDERS

SPECIAL APPOINTEE-BUILDER MARK KENNEDY HASEKO CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT GROUP, INC.

SPECIAL APPOINTEE-ASSOCIATE PETER ELDRIDGE RAYNOR OVERHEAD DOORS & GATES

IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT CRAIG WASHOFSKY SERVCO HOME & APPLIANCE DISTRIBUTION

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER GLADYS MARRONE BIA-HAWAII

2017 DIRECTORS

KAREN BERRY TRADE PUBLISHING COMPANY

CHRIS CHEUNG CC ENGINEERING & CONSTRUCTION, INC.

CLIFTON CRAWFORD C & J CONTRACTING, INC.

MARK HERTEL INTER-ISLAND SOLAR SUPPLY, OAHU-MAUI-HAWAII-KAUI

BRENTON LIU DESIGN TRENDS CONSTRUCTION, INC.

SARAH LOVE BAYS LUNG ROSE & HOLMA

BEAU NOBMANN HPM BUILDING

GARY OKIMOTO HONOLULU WOOD TREATING

JACKSON PARKER D.R. HORTON, SCHULER DIVISION

DOUGLAS PEARSON CASTLE & COOKE HOMES

PAUL D. SILEN HAWAIIAN DREDGING CONSTRUCTION CO. INC.

ALAN TWU HK CONSTRUCTION CORP.

MAILING: P.O. BOX 970967 WAIPAHU, HAWAII 96797-0967

PHYSICAL: 94-487 AKOKI STREET WAIPAHU, HAWAII 96797

Testimony to the Senate Committee on Transportation & Energy; and Senate Committee on Public Safety, Intergovernmental & Military Affairs Monday, February 6, 2017 3:00 pm Conference Room 225

RE: SB 1183 & SB 1176 – Relating to Taxation

Chairs Inouye & Nishihara, Vice-Chair Dela Cruz & Wakai, and members of the committees:

My name is Gladys Quinto Marrone, CEO of the Building Industry Association of Hawaii (BIA-Hawaii). Chartered in 1955, the Building Industry Association of Hawaii is a professional trade organization affiliated with the National Association of Home Builders, representing the building industry and its associates. BIA-Hawaii takes a leadership role in unifying and promoting the interests of the industry to enhance the quality of life for the people of Hawaii.

BIA-Hawaii is in support of SB 1183 & SB 1176, Relating to Taxation. BIA-Hawaii has been a strong proponent of the rail transit system as an appropriate "growth management" tool to increase the population density along the 20-mile transit corridor. To stop support for the transit system at this time would prevent future growth without a significant investment in roadway infrastructure, or allowing for more development into areas not currently planned for future growth (i.e. Hawaii Kai, Windward Oahu, North Shore, and Central Oahu-between Wahiawa and Waialua).

We continue, however, to be concerned about the level of transparency and accountability as the project moves forward. We understand that in any construction project you will encounter delays and unforeseen circumstances that may increase construction costs. For a project of this size, a certain degree of cost overrun should be expected.

Our biggest concern is with the lack of information and a plan for providing the necessary infrastructure to support the planned growth within the transit corridor. The City should be required to provide a comprehensive plan to provide the necessary sewer, water, and drainage infrastructure capacity to support the planned densities along the transit corridor. Rather than reacting to or pre-selecting possible or potential landowners/developers along the transit corridor, the City should be pro-active in providing the basic backbone infrastructure capacity to support the planned growth and let the market dictate where and when density should be developed along the transit corridor.

Without leadership on how infrastructure capacity should be provided along the transit corridor, investors/developers will be reluctant to pursue projects due to the risk and uncertainty of who will pay for the regional infrastructure improvements.

Thank you for the opportunity to express our views on this matter.

1065 Ahua Street Honolulu, HI 96819 Phone: 808-833-1681 FAX: 839-4167 Email: <u>info@gcahawaii.org</u> Website: <u>www.gcahawaii.org</u>



Uploaded via Capitol Website

February 6, 2017

TO: HONORABLE LORRAINE INOUYE, CHAIR, HONORABLE DONOVAN DELA CRUZ, VICE CHAIR AND MEMBERS OF THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

> HONORABLE CLARENCE NISHIHARA, CHAIR, HONORABLE GLENN WAKAI, VICE CHAIR AND MEMBERS OF THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY AND INTERGOVERNMENTAL AND MLITARY AFFAIRS

SUBJECT: SUPPORT OF S.B. 1176, RELATING TO TAXATION. Extends each county's authority to establish and collect a surcharge on state general excise and use taxes. Requires a county's share of the county surcharge on state tax to be paid to the county on a monthly basis. Deletes the repeal date for Act 247, Session Laws of Hawaii 2005, authorizing counties to levy a surcharge to fund public transportation systems.

HEARING

DATE:Monday, February 6, 2017TIME:3:00 PMPLACE:Conference Room 225

Dear Chair Inouye and Chair Nishihara, Vice Chair Dela Cruz and Vice Chair Wakai and Members of the Committees,

The General Contractors Association (GCA) is an organization comprised of over 500 general contractors, subcontractors, and construction related firms. The GCA was established in 1932 and is the largest construction association in the State of Hawaii. The mission is to represent its members in all matters related to the construction industry, while improving the quality of construction and protecting the public interest.

The GCA <u>supports</u> S.B. 1176, which proposes to extend the current surcharge on state general excise taxes and also deletes the repeal date for Act 247 (2005) allowing the counties to levy a surcharge to fund public transportation systems in their county. GCA <u>supports</u> the Honolulu Rail project's completion as planned to Ala Moana so the residents of the City and County of Honolulu have options in public transportation.

Rail has been a big job stimulus available in the state of Hawaii and is putting many people to work. In turn workers will put this money back into the economy. In addition, the Rail Project will also encourage Transit-Oriented Development (TOD) around the rail stations and along the route, which will result in sustainable, affordable, and more livable communities.

Rail is the transportation infrastructure necessary for our island's quality, growth and prosperity. The Rail Project will include significant side benefits which has since proven to be an economic stimulus benefitting our entire state now and for years to come.

GCA respectfully requests that this Committee pass this measure. Thank you for the opportunity to express our views on this matter.

LEGISLATIVE TAX BILL SERVICE

TAX FOUNDATION OF HAWAII

126 Queen Street, Suite 304

Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Tel. 536-4587

SUBJECT: MISCELLANEOUS, Indefinitely Extend County Surcharge on State Tax

BILL NUMBER: SB 1176; HB 1353 (Identical)

INTRODUCED BY: SB by Kouchi by request; HB by Souki by request

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: This bill not only allows the City & County of Honolulu to adopt the county surcharge on the general excise tax indefinitely, but it also allows the other counties to adopt a similar surcharge.

The bill presents many policy issues, including the scope of what the tax is supposed to cover. Policymakers need to decide exactly what it is they are funding, and the extent to which they are willing to write the counties a blank check. If it is to fund operational and maintenance costs, the statute needs to be amended to permit this, and taxpayers may well conclude that they have been lied to when the tax was adopted.

One large problem that has not yet been addressed is the 10% of all collections that goes directly into the general fund, ostensibly to pay for costs of collection but which is grossly in excess of those costs. The Foundation has contended in court proceedings that it is an unconstitutional tax that discriminates against Oahu businesses, and must be fixed.

BRIEF SUMMARY: Amends HRS section 46-16.8 to allow any county to establish a surcharge on GET by ordinance. For any ordinance adopted after July 1, 2017, the state will collect the surcharge beginning on January 1 of the year following the adoption of the ordinance.

For a county with population greater than 500,000, the surcharge revenue shall be only used for capital costs of a locally preferred alternative for a mass transit project and Americans with Disabilities Act compliance, and not for operating costs or to build or repair public roads or highways, bicycle paths, or support preexisting public transportation systems.

For a county with population 500,000 or less, the surcharge revenue shall be used only for operating or capital costs of public transportation within each county for public transportation systems, including public roadways or highways, public buses, trains, ferries, pedestrian paths or sidewalks, or bicycle paths, or ADA compliance relating to such projects.

Amends HRS section 248-2.6 to provide that collections of the surcharge be paid to the appropriate county or counties monthly.

Makes a conforming amendment to section 238-2.6, HRS.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Upon approval.

STAFF COMMENTS: This bill relates to the 0.5% surcharge on the general excise tax that is currently imposed in the City and County of Honolulu, sometimes known as the "rail

Re: SB 1176 Page 2

surcharge." As originally enacted, the rail surcharge was scheduled to sunset on December 31, 2022. The surcharge authority was extended to December 31, 2027, by Act 240, Session Laws of Hawaii 2015, and the City & County of Honolulu extended the surcharge as authorized by Ordinance 16-1. To date, no other county has adopted a surcharge ordinance.

When the surcharge legislation was adopted back in 2006, taxpayers, especially those in Honolulu, were assured that the 0.5% surcharge was going to be temporary. And, as is now explicitly stated in HRS section 46-16.8. the funds were supposed to be paid to build the system, and not go toward operations and maintenance (which are never-ending expenses). It now appears that the rail project may have cost overruns and additional funding is necessary.

This measure presents a number of issues.

Should the Surcharge Be Extended, and If So, By How Much? This measure makes the surcharge *permanent*. An extension from 2027 to forever is a very long time, and it marks a shift in philosophy.

- If the surcharge is to pay for the *capital cost of the system as it is now proposed* which seems to have been the original intent, then an extension to finish what was started appears to be unavoidable, but our lawmakers must be vigilant to make sure the scope does not creep beyond what the people are willing to allow.
- If the surcharge is to pay for the *capital cost of the system and extensions of it*, such as the mayor's proposal to extend the system from Ala Moana Center to the University of Hawaii, then lawmakers need to ask themselves if this is properly part of the deal. If it is, then a somewhat longer extension of the surcharge may be needed to fund it.
- If the surcharge is to pay for the *capital cost and operations* (which is not allowed by section 46-16.8 as it is now written), then an indefinite extension is required. But it will then be obvious to voters across the state that they had been lied to when they were assured that the surcharge was to be temporary. This brings to mind the famous quote by Darth Vader in *The Empire Strikes Back:* "I am altering the deal. Pray I don't alter it any further."

What Is to Be Done About the 10% Diversion for Administrative Costs? Under the existing surcharge in the case of the City and County of Honolulu, a contributing factor to the financial woes of the City and County is the fact that the state is siphoning 10% of all surcharge collections into the general fund. This diversion was supposed to represent payment to the state for the costs incurred in administering the surcharge. After all, the department of taxation collects and enforces the tax and just writes the county a check. But the amount diverted turns out to be a massive amount of money, roughly \$25 million a year which is almost as much as the entire operating budget of the department of taxation. The amount is obviously far more than the costs involved. The Foundation has contended that a diversion of the City and County's revenue of that magnitude is unconstitutional and its lawsuit is still pending in the court system. If the measure were to approximate the costs involved, our estimate is that the deduction should be about 40 or 50 basis points (0.4% to 0.5%) instead of 10%.

Re: SB 1176 Page 3

Is It "Fair"? Our tax system has been roundly criticized for being unfair to those on the lower end of the income spectrum. In particular, a 2015 study from the Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy (ITEP) (<u>http://www.itep.org/pdf/whopaysreport.pdf</u>) asserts that the lowest 20% of our population pays 13.4% of its income in state and local taxes, while the top 1% pays 7.0%. Because of this, that study called our tax system the 15th most unfair in the country.

Hawaii State & Local Taxes in 2015

Details, Tax Code Features, & Tax Code Changes Enacted in 2013 & 2014

In some Crown	Lowest	Second	d Middle	Fourth	Top 20%		
Income Group	20%	20%	20%	20%	Next 15%	Next 4%	TOP 1%
Income Range	Less than \$18,000	\$18,000 – \$33,000	\$33,000 – \$52,000	\$52,000 – \$85,000	\$85,000 – \$170,000	\$170,000 – \$375,000	\$375,000 or more
Average Income in Group	\$10,100	\$25,000	\$42,100	\$66,800	\$116,800	\$236,500	\$811,300
Sales & Excise Taxes	11.0%	8.5%	6.5%	5.1%	3.7%	2.2%	1.2%
General Sales—Individuals	4.9%	3.9%	3.0%	2.3%	1.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Other Sales & Excise—Ind.	2.4%	1.6%	1.1%	0.9%	0.6%	0.3%	0.1%
Sales & Excise on Business	3.8%	3.0%	2.4%	1.9%	1.5%	0.9%	0.6%
Property Taxes	1.9%	1.2%	1.5%	1.3%	1.2%	1.3%	1.2%
Property Taxes on Families	1.8%	1.1%	1.4%	1.2%	1.1%	0.9%	0.5%
Other Property Taxes	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%	0.4%	0.7%
Income Taxes	0.5 %	2.6%	3.7%	4.1%	4.3%	4.7%	5.9 %
Personal Income Tax	0.5%	2.6%	3.7%	4.1%	4.3%	4.7%	5.8%
Corporate Income Tax	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Total Taxes	13.4%	12.3%	11.6%	10.5%	9.2 %	8.3%	8.4%
Federal Deduction Offset	—	-0.1%	-0.2%	-0.5%	- 0.9%	-1.1%	-1.4%
OVERALL TOTAL	13.4%	12.2%	11.4%	10.0 %	8.3 %	7.3%	7.0 %







These charts from the ITEP study shows us that the problem is that we have very broad excise taxes, which fund basically half of our state government. Excise taxes apply without regard to the payer's ability to pay the tax. The lower your income, the greater the portion of your income must be spent to buy essentials like food, water, and power. Those who have more money spend as well, but they don't need to spend as much in proportion to what they make. Our excise taxes are imposed on spending, so naturally they will fall more heavily on the poor as a proportion of their incomes. Here, the chart shows that excise taxes take up 11% for those in the lowest 20% income group, while taking up 1.2% for those in the top 1%. That difference explains the regressivity of our tax system, and is the root of the unfairness called out in the ITEP study.

The general excise tax is all-encompassing. The Hawaii courts have said it covers "virtually every economic activity imaginable." *Pratt v. Kondo*, 53 Haw. 435, 436, 496 P.2d 1, 2 (1972). Not only does the general excise tax increase the cost of doing business, but it affects the cost of all other non-food purchases, be it clothes, textbooks for university students, rent for those people who don't own their shelter which are generally the poor and middle class, the price at the pump for gasoline – everything right down the line.

More importantly, because the general excise tax is a tax on gross income, most businesses will try to recover as much of the cost of the tax by passing it on to the customer; that recovery is itself taxable. As Oahu taxpayers learned when the 0.5% surcharge on the general excise tax for transit went into effect, the amount passed on to the customer went not from 4% to 4.5% but the charge passed on at the drug store, grocery store, and so forth went from 4.166% to 4.712%. Thus, the tax rate passed on is more than the nominal 0.5%.

The bottom line is the same across the board. It is not a matter of not having enough revenue as it is the unwillingness of elected officials to tighten the counties' or the state's purse strings in bringing expenditures into line with resources and setting priorities for what resources are already available. Instead of doing the fiscally responsible thing, the easiest response is to just raise more taxes, as evidenced by this measure.

Digested 2/2/2017

TESTIMONY OF THE KAPOLEI CHAMBER OF COMMERCE IN SUPPORT OF SB1183 AND SB1176 RELATING TO TAXATION



To: Chair Lorraine Inouye, Chair Clarence Nishihara and Members of the Senate Transportation and Energy (TRE) and Public Safety, Intergovernmental and Military Affairs (PSM) Committees

Working together for Kapolei

February 6, 2017

Honorable Chairs and Members of the TRE and PSM Committees,

My name is Kiran Polk, and I am the Executive Director of the Kapolei Chamber of Commerce, submitting this testimony **in favor** of SB1183 and SB1176. These measures extend the general excise tax surcharge for construction of the Honolulu rail transit project.

The Kapolei Chamber of Commerce is an advocate for businesses in the Kapolei region. The Chamber works on behalf of its members and the entire business community to improve the regional and State economic climate and help Kapolei businesses thrive. The Chamber is a member-driven, member-supported organization representing the interests of all types of business: small, medium or large, for profit or non-profit businesses or sole proprietorship.

Much of the State's future population growth is slated for Kapolei and the Ewa region. Exciting things are happening and Kapolei is quickly becoming a new urban center for Oahu. In the next 20 years, there will be many more new jobs in the region, new homes and thousands of new residents will call Kapolei home.

The Kapolei Chamber and its members have long supported Honolulu's rail transit project. We view rail as an important component of much needed transportation infrastructure that will facilitate the growth, development and long term prosperity of the city of Kapolei.

We wish to express our continued support for the rail project, and respectfully request your approval of SB 1183 and SB 1176 to continue funding for the rail project to keep rail moving forward, and minimize future delays. Thank you kindly for allowing me to submit this testimony.

Sincerely,

Kiran Polk Executive Director



HAWAII LABORERS-EMPLOYERS COOPERATION AND EDUCATION TRUST 650 Iwilei Road, Suite 285 · Honolulu, HI 96817 · Phone: 808-845-3238 · Fax: 808-845-8300

TESTIMONY OF HAWAII LECET CLYDE T. HAYASHI - DIRECTOR

SENATE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY Senator Lorraine R. Inouye, Chair Senator Donovan M. Dela Cruz, Vice Chair

SENATE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL, AND MILITARY AFFAIRS Senator Clarence K. Nishihara, Chair Senator Glenn Wakai, Vice Chair

AMENDED NOTICE OF HEARING

DATE:Monday, February 6, 2017TIME:3:00 p.m.PLACE:State Capitol, Conference Room 225

TESTIMONY ON SENATE BILL NO. 1176 RELATING TO TAXATION

ALOHA COMMITTEE CHAIR LORRAINE INOUYE, COMMITTEE CHAIR CLARENCE NISHIHARA, AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS OF BOTH COMMITTEES:

My name is Clyde T. Hayashi, and I am the Director of Hawaii LECET. Hawaii LECET is a labor-management partnership between the Hawaii Laborers Union, Local 368, and its unionized contractors.

Mahalo for the opportunity to testify in <u>STRONG SUPPORT</u> of Senate Bill No. 1183. This bill extends each County's authority to establish and collect a surcharge on State general excise and use taxes.

The Honolulu Rail Project must be completed to Ala Moana Shopping Center (the full 20 miles, 21 stations and 80 cars) or we may jeopardize the \$1.55 billion in federal funds. It is the only major transportation project planned for Oahu to provide major traffic relief and will provide a much needed transportation alternative for residents, and because it is elevated, will be independent from our highway system. The rail system will take cars off the road and provide a daily commuting alternative, especially for the thousands of residents whose destinations are the airport, Downtown, Kakaako/Ala Moana, and Waikiki areas.

The completion of the Honolulu Rail Project will provide us the opportunity for building more affordable housing, especially around rail stations. With properly planned TOD, it will help us to build a modern, sustainable Honolulu.

We believe that extending the Rail .5 percent GET Surcharge beyond the December 31, 2027 sunset date is the best way to ensure that the Rail Project can be completed to Ala Moana Shopping Center. We support a Rail GET Surcharge extension in perpetuity, which will allow the extensions to UH-Manoa and West Kapolei to be built, along with further extensions to serve other parts of Oahu. Oahu residents are already set to pay the Rail .5 percent GET Surcharge until December 31, 2027. An extension of the surcharge to complete Rail means that residents will NOT pay anything more until January 1, 2028.

Regardless of how the Rail project is funded, the residents of Honolulu will have to pay for it. We are set to pay the Rail .5 percent GET Surcharge until December 31, 2027 and any other tax enacted to pay for Rail will be in addition to the GET surcharge. It which will burden Oahu tax payers even more.

Our thousands of members and our contractors have benefited from the many construction jobs that Rail has created and will continue to create. We also look forward to working on TOD and on the affordable housing projects the completion of Rail will bring about.

For these reasons, I strongly support Senate Bill No. 1176.

Testimony of WOEDA in Support of SB 1183 and SB 1176

To: HONORABLE SEN. LORRAINE INOUYE HONORABLE SEN. CLARENCE NISHIHARA CHAIRS OF THE SENATE TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY (TRE) AND PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL AND MILITARY AFFAIRS (PSM)

Date: February 6, 2017 Time: 3:00 PM Place: Senate Conference Room 225

Honorable Chair and Members of the Committee,

I am Chris Lee, president of the West Oahu Economic Development Association, also known as WOEDA. I am writing you in support of SB 1183 and SB 1176, which extend the general excise tax surcharge to complete the rail project.

Our association is made up of business, community and government leaders dedicated to supporting the economic growth of West Oahu. Our area is the fastest growing population and business base in the state, with much more growth expected in the future as Kapolei builds out.

For business, time is money. Traffic congestion adds unnecessarily to the cost of doing business. Many of us, our employees and our customers have to travel regularly between downtown Honolulu and Kapolei. Delays due to traffic are an everyday occurrence for many leeward commuters.

WOEDA believes that the rail project is vital to the success and well being of the "new city" of Kapolei. We strongly support the rail project because it will reduce future traffic congestion, make it easier to travel between downtown and West Oahu and improve the business climate of our island.

Rail construction is already underway, and we need to complete construction and finish the job. We are concerned that any delay would only cost the public much more money in the end. Building the full 20 mile, 21 station alignment would maximize transportation benefits for not only leeward commuters, but residents who live in urban Honolulu.

Therefore, we respectfully request you approval of these measures that would provide sufficient funding to complete the rail project that will link urban Honolulu with the city of Kapolei.

Thank you for allowing me to provide you with our testimony.

Christopher S. Lee West Oahu Economic Development Association, President

From:	mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
Sent:	Monday, February 6, 2017 11:26 AM
То:	TRE Testimony
Cc:	mgolojuch@hotmail.com
Subject:	Submitted testimony for SB1176 on Feb 6, 2017 15:00PM

Submitted on: 2/6/2017 Testimony for TRE/PSM on Feb 6, 2017 15:00PM in Conference Room 225

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
James Mateo	Individual	Support	No

Comments: Testimony of ----- in Support of SB 1183 and SB 1176 To: HONORABLE SEN. LORRAINE INOUYE HONORABLE SEN. CLARENCE NISHIHARA CHAIRS OF THE SENATE TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY (TRE) AND PUBLIC SAFETY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL AND MILITARY AFFAIRS (PSM) Date: February 6, 2017 Time: 3:00 PM Place: Senate Conference Room 225 Dear Legislators, Kapolei is our Second City and much is coming to this area. As we move forward with more development, we must plan for both growth, sustainability and energy efficiency. Rail costs are rising, and that is certainly a cause for concern. No one is happy about increased expenses and higher taxes. But the bigger, long term picture is Oahu will continue to grow and we need to plan for growth with more sustainable infrastructure, including transportation. Rail is an investment in our future, and the choice to make for a more sustainable island, with less pollution from automobiles. Please approve these bills for the future of Oahu. Sincerely, James Mateo

Please note that testimony submitted <u>less than 24 hours prior to the hearing</u>, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

From:	mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
Sent:	Monday, February 6, 2017 11:22 AM
То:	TRE Testimony
Cc:	mgolojuch@hotmail.com
Subject:	Submitted testimony for SB1176 on Feb 6, 2017 15:00PM

Submitted on: 2/6/2017 Testimony for TRE/PSM on Feb 6, 2017 15:00PM in Conference Room 225

Submitted By	Submitted By Organization		Present at Hearing
Michele Golojuch	Individual	Support	No

Comments: Aloha, I am Michele Golojuch and a early childhood care provider. I strongly support this measure, which will help the city finish the rail project as soon as possible. We need to get rail transit built now because without this transit project, there will be no transit oriented development. I have heard people talking about TOD and what it will bring to our island and then say they aren't sure about funding the rail. You can't have it both ways. Either you fund rail transit or you can say goodbye to the housing, the jobs and all the other benefits that TOD will deliver. Anyone opposed to funding the rail is opposed to the last best hope we have for providing affordable housing. Right now, we are 18,000 units short of meeting the demand for affordable housing, according to research conducted by the city. By affordable, the city is talking about housing a family earning \$76,000 can afford. And that shortfall is increasing by almost 4,000 units a year, according to local economist Paul Brewbaker. In three years we will be behind by an additional 11,000 units or more. By supporting a GET extension, you are saying you not only support closing this gap, but you also support the right for everyone, not just the wealthy, to live in decent housing. The city, in partnership with the private sector, can add tens of thousands of units of desirable, affordable housing around the rail stations between East Kapolei and Ala Moana. Anyone who says they don't support rail cannot say they truly support affordable housing for the people on Oahu. I urge you to pass this bill and the extension of the GET surcharge and begin addressing our critical need for affordable housing. Mahalo for the opportunity to testify on this measure.

Please note that testimony submitted <u>less than 24 hours prior to the hearing</u>, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

From:	mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
Sent:	Monday, February 6, 2017 11:17 AM
То:	TRE Testimony
Cc:	mgolojuch@hotmail.com
Subject:	Submitted testimony for SB1176 on Feb 6, 2017 15:00PM

Submitted on: 2/6/2017 Testimony for TRE/PSM on Feb 6, 2017 15:00PM in Conference Room 225

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Michael Golojuch Jr	Individual	Support	No

Comments: Aloha I strongly support SB 1176, which would extend the GET surcharge and allow the city to finish the rail project as planned. Finishing the project to Ala Moana Center is essential if the project is going to fulfill its promise. Many people who will be taking the train from Leeward and West Oahu work east of downtown at Queens, in Kaka'ako and at Ala Moana Center. They have endured the construction impacts created to build the project. It's only right that they enjoy the benefits. Besides the time saved taking the train, those who work hard for modest pay cannot afford to pay for parking near their work place, not to mention the cost of gas, maintenance and repair to their cars and trucks, as well as time spent in traffic congestion. It's important to remember that many of these workers cannot even afford a car, so public transportation is the only way they can get to and from their jobs. And for all these reasons, there are many people who cannot get to the employment centers where the job are, unless the rail project is completed all the way to Ala Moana Center. I urge you to pass this bill and help the tens of thousands of working people who will need to earn a living and improve their quality of life. Mahalo for the opportunity to testify on this important measure.

Please note that testimony submitted <u>less than 24 hours prior to the hearing</u>, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

February 6, 2017

The Honorable Lorraine Inouye Chair, Committee on Transportation and Energy Hawaii State Senate State Capitol, Room 210 Honolulu, HI 96813

The Honorable Clarence Nishihara Chair, Committee on Public Safety, Intergovernmental and Military Affairs Hawaii State Senate State Capitol, Room 204 Honolulu, HI 96813

RE: SB 1176, Relating to Taxation SB 1183, Relating to Taxation

Dear Chairs Inouye and Nishihara:

Every once in a while, an issue arises in the community which demands that our elected officials stand up and be counted at a key moment. I would offer that Honolulu's beleaguered and much criticized rail project, the largest infrastructure project undertaken by the City and County of Honolulu in its history, is one of them. I won't belabor the project's well-publicized funding shortfall, which is well known to both of you and members of your respective committees, and need not be enumerated and recounted here.

What I'm asking you to do instead is to think of our future as a major U.S. metropolitan area. State lawmakers must address the project's funding issues with a very clear understanding of what will happen if the State hamstrings rail by failing to allow the City and County Honolulu (hereinafter, City & County) to extend the General Excise Tax Surcharge in perpetuity, so that the project does in fact have sufficient and sustainable funding to continue construction of the rail line along its entire route to its terminus at Ala Moana Center.

To be blunt, stopping the project at Middle Street will create a white elephant. If that happens, that's going to be on the Legislature's head, and not on the City & County's. With the exception of each county's power to tax fee simple private property, the State of Hawaii has reserved for itself all other taxing authority. Given that, I argued here in this building a number of years ago that State would likely have to step in at some point and assist the City and County in funding this project.

I understand fully the Legislature's desire to seek a comprehensive financial plan from the City & County regarding projected future costs for rail, particularly in light of what may end up being substantial cost overruns. That said, it is my understanding that Mayor Kirk Caldwell and his administration are working diligently to provide those figures.

As a small business owner and resident in East Honolulu, I'm well aware of the loud and vocal handful of residents in my community who have opposed rail. At one time, I was in the opposition's camp myself, although my concerns were regarding the planning process then in place for the project, and not with the concept of rail transit itself.

Further. I respect the electoral decisions of City & County residents, who've made clear their collective desire to pursue project. I believe that it serves no useful public purpose at this juncture to re-litigate past decision making. We voted for rail twice, once directly in endorsing the project, and again indirectly when we elected the present incumbent Kirk Caldwell as Honolulu Mayor in 2012. We need to finish the job.

I'd also note that opposition to rail in my East Honolulu community is not universal. While my neighbors and I may not ride rail daily once the line is completed, many of us understand how important rail is for Honolulu's future. As a small business owner, the GET surcharge does not impact my work adversely. i.e., I'm not losing business due to it. Rather, This GET surcharge represents our own pro-active role in the narrative for our city's future growth and development.

Rail is equally important as a means of addressing social and economic inequities within our State. Both the State and the City & County chose to focus to designate Kapolei as the nexus for housing development in west Oahu, and it is imperative that we provide current and future residents with quality choices in transportation.

That means we must build the transportation infrastructure necessary to pursue employment and educational opportunities. Transit-oriented development around rail stations will encourage the construction of desperately needed affordable housing, and foster small business opportunities which will benefit both the City & County and State.

I do have one reservation with the City & County's GET surcharge, and that has to do with the State's policy of reserving 10% of the surcharge for its own uses. If this 0.5% surcharge raises \$3 billion over a ten-year period, the State itself realizes a \$300 million windfall. It does not cost 10% to administer this tax surcharge, given that businesses themselves do the math and separate it from the rest of the GET when filing their returns.

Since these are funds which the City & County otherwise very much needs, taking 10% off the top is almost unconscionable. In fact, the amount presently being collected amounts to more than the departmental budget for DOTAX. Please do the right thing and fix this.

That said, rail transit is an investment in our future, and a wise choice to make for a more sustainable Oahu community. Please support SB1183 and SB1176, and extend the GET surcharge so that this project may be built to completion at Ala Moana.

Aloha.

DONALD R. KOELPER 380-E Haleloa Place Honolulu, HI 96821 (808) 232-9840

Chairs Inouye and Nishihara and Members of TRE/PSM:

I am providing this testimony to you in support of the SB1183 and SB1176 as a measure to extend the GET surcharge and allow the rail line to built as intended and as necessary for future generations.

I recently graduated from the University of Hawaii at Manoa and live at the Ko Olina boat harbor. Most days I commute via TheBus, and so I see first hand the value that would be brought to students, families, and businesses once the rail is built. If rail were built today, I would ride it every day. Instead, I spend an average of 5 hours on the road each day and have recently had to buy a boat slip at Ala Wai for days that traffic is even worse.

Few students have this option and instead miss school, miss extra-curricular activities, or miss key studying time. The traffic makes going to school nearly impossible for non-traditional students, who may have childcare, work, or other demands that already make achieving higher education more challenging.

The University of Hawaii System already has three campuses on the rail transit alignment: UH-West Oahu, Leeward Community College and Honolulu Community College. Allowing a rail line that can eventually connect to UH Manoa is important for our island's future. Each campus offers different programs and classes, and students need to have the option of being able to travel between campuses quickly and easily to access the courses they need and want. There are other private school campuses, including HPU, that will also benefit from the planned downtown rail station.

Rail is an important part of Hawaii's future, not only in transportation and development of sound neighborhoods, but also to ensure equal access to education for communities throughout the island.

I would like to ask the committee to extend the GET surcharge to ensure we can bring this project to completion and lay the groundwork for an extension to UH Manoa to expand the educational opportunities available to students in our institutions of higher learning.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify,

Katherine Vessels William S. Richardson School of Law, c/o 2016 Small Business Owner

From:	mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
Sent:	Monday, February 6, 2017 12:36 PM
То:	TRE Testimony
Cc:	rebecca.ji.soon@gmail.com
Subject:	Submitted testimony for SB1176 on Feb 6, 2017 15:00PM

Submitted on: 2/6/2017 Testimony for TRE/PSM on Feb 6, 2017 15:00PM in Conference Room 225

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Rebecca Soon	Individual	Support	No

Comments: Aloha Chair, Vice Chair, and Committee Members, I am happy to submit my testimony to you today in strong support of SB1176 to extend the 0.5% GET surcharge to support the Honolulu rail project. At its core, I support this measure because it is what is right. Whether you live on the rail route or off of it, rail IS the major infrastructure project of our generation that has the potential to make the difference for the future of Hawai'i. We all opine over the rising cost of land, rapid shrinking of the middle class, and lack of economic opportunities for future generations - rail is one piece in the puzzle that attempts to set Hawai'i on a positive path. As a recent graduate of UH Manoa, I have many peers that would greatly benefit from rail today. As a small business owner, and as a young professional, I know rail is a critical component in building an island community for our future that cares about all people, especially the most marginalized. Decades ago, we as a community decided to centralize growth in West and Central O'ahu. With the development of O'ahu's second city, rapid transit was envisioned as a component to ensure smart growth. Instead, we voted down two projects, which we now look back upon and wish we hadn't. Let's not make the same mistake again. Rail is about many things - creation of jobs, investment in local industry, development of affordable housing, building sustainable communities, and providing social equity through access to economic and educational opportunities. Hawai'i voters wanted each of these things when we voted to support rail in 2008. We now need our Senators and Representatives to make the difficult decisions, which will allow us to complete the project we need. I urge you to vote in favor of SB1176 and support the completion of this important project. Mahalo for the opportunity to share mana'o on this important issue.

Please note that testimony submitted <u>less than 24 hours prior to the hearing</u>, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

TO:	Members of the Committees on Transportation and Energy & Public Safety, Intergovernmental and Military Affairs
FROM:	Natalie Iwasa (10 pages) Honolulu, HI 96825 808-395-3233
HEARING:	3 p.m. Monday, February 6, 2017
SUBJECT:	SB1183, SB1176 and SB1276 – OPPOSE Surcharge Extension Support Repeal of 10% Fee Support Monthly Payment

Aloha Chairs and Committee Members,

Thank you for allowing me the opportunity to provide testimony on SB1183, SB1176 and SB1276, which relate to the county surcharge. Two years ago when the legislature considered the county surcharge extension, I provided examples of errors and inconsistencies in HART's numbers. Here we are two years later, and the problem persists.

In November 2016, HART presented its FY 2018 budget package to the board. The package includes projected cash flows. On December 1, 2016, HART sent the FTA an updated financial plan. That plan also includes projected cash flows, but they are significantly different than those presented to the board.

Attached is a calculation of the differences between the budgeted cash flows and the amounts reported to the FTA. The explanation I was given for the \$43.6 million variance for the beginning of fiscal year 2018 is that there are differing assumptions underlying the two plans. Given that the beginning of fiscal year 2018 (July 1, 2017) was just seven months from the date of the report to the FTA, that explanation didn't make sense.

In addition, if we look at the line items for the inflows and outflows for fiscal year 2018, more questions come to mind. Why are federal and GET funding each almost \$50 million higher in the budget package?

Why is there apparently no debt repayment for fiscal year 2018 in the budget package?

Questions should also be asked about details of the cash projection sent to the FTA. (See attached page 4 of my testimony.) For example, why is there a negative cash flow of \$140 million for total project costs in year 2035, eight years after the last expenditure?

Why is there over \$.5 billion in additional borrowing in year 2025, when projected cash inflows are about \$87 million higher than outflows?

Natalie Iwasa Page 2 of 10

Last year HART also had an error in the cash balance that it reported to the board in its monthly report. According to a report given to the Honolulu City Council, which included audited cash balances as of March 31, 2016, cash was \$159,111,026. HART's monthly progress report, however, indicated the cash balance was \$158,655,626 or \$455,400 lower. Prior quarterly reports that I checked were no more than \$1 different. (See attached pages 8 – 10.)

We simply cannot rely on HART's numbers. In addition, now that we are looking at almost \$10 billion (and counting) for the cost of building rail, we have to ask ourselves whether we can even afford to spend that much. Considering that billions of dollars have been mandated for various other costs on Oahu, e.g., the EPA mandate of over \$3 billion and billions in unfunded retirement and employee benefits, I think the answer is "no."

Natalie Iwasa Testimony Senate Committees Monday, February 6, 2017 HART CASH FLOW PROJECTIONS DISCREPANCIES

	FTA Update 12/1/2016 \$ millions	HART Financial Audit	Budget Schedule FY 2018	HART Monthly Progress Rpt <i>\$ millions</i>	Approximate Variances \$ millions
Beginning FY 2016 (7/1/15)	192	293,010,823	n/a	293.0 <mark>1</mark>	101.0
Beginning FY 2017 (7/1/16)	95	94,658,680	n/a	94.7 <mark>2</mark>	-
Beginning FY 2018 (7/1/17)	25	n/a	68,559,126	n/a	43.6
Beginning FY 2019 (7/1/18)	25	n/a	324,976,125	n/a	300.0

1 July 2015 report page 17. (Attached page 6.)

2 July 2016 report page 19. (Attached page 7.)

CASH FLOW PROJECTIONS VARIANCES

Fiscal Year 2018

Beginning cash	FTA Update 12/1/2016 <i>\$ millions</i> \$ 25	Budget Schedule \$ 68,559,126	Approximate Variances \$ millions \$ 43.6
Federal grant	246	294,587,133	48.6
GET	212	256,623,959	44.6
Debt proceeds	653	510,000,000	(143.0)
Total inflows	1,111	1,061,211,092	(49.8)
Total project uses	896	804,794,093	91.2
Debt repayment	215	-	215.0
Total outflows	1,111	804,794,093	306.2
Ending cash	\$ 25	\$ 324,976,125	\$ 300.0

Table A-1, Capital Plan and Ongoing Capital Cash Flows

Lighter font comments added by Natalie Iwasa. Dollar amounts listed are in millions.

												FISCAL YEAR	YEAR									
	Total	Inception thru Feb-2016	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035
Beginning Cash Balance Project Funding Sources:	\$298	\$298	\$192	395	\$2\$	\$2\$	525	52\$	\$2\$	\$25	\$2\$	\$2\$	\$209	\$141	\$111	\$13	(\$415)	(\$844)	(\$1,273)	((101,12))	(\$2,130)	(\$55'2\$3)
GET.	\$4,816	\$1,259	\$61	\$236	\$246	\$257	\$268	\$279	\$291	\$304	\$317	\$330	\$344	\$359	\$266	95	95	95	0\$	8	8	95
Federal Grant	\$1,550	\$515	\$54	\$192	\$212	\$254	\$323	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	95	\$0	80	\$	95	8	8	\$
All Other	\$6	\$6	\$0	so	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	80	20	80	20	8	8	8	8
Total Revenue	\$6,372	\$1,780	\$115	\$428	\$458	\$511	1655	\$279	\$291	\$304	\$317	\$330	\$344	\$359	\$266	80	20	20	\$0	8	8	8
Total Project Sources	\$6,372	\$1,780	\$115	\$428	\$458	\$511	\$591	\$279	\$291	\$304	\$317	\$330	\$344	\$359	\$266	\$0	\$0	\$0	80	8	8	8
Project Uses:																						
Total Project Costs	\$8,165	\$1,885	\$213	\$706	\$875	\$870	\$1,158	\$691	\$773	\$571	\$333	\$116	685	\$26	\$0	\$ 0	05	20	95	8	8	(5140)
Debt Service	\$1,337	\$0	\$0	57	\$20	\$34	\$51	\$74	\$94	\$112	\$125	\$128	\$120	\$112	\$103	\$92	\$79	\$66	\$52	\$38	\$23	35
Total Project Uses	\$9,502	\$1,885	\$213	\$713	\$896	\$904	\$1,209	\$765	\$868	\$682	\$458	\$243	\$209	\$137	\$103	\$92	\$79	\$66	\$52	\$38	\$23	(\$132)
Net Current Change	(061'65)	(\$105)	(86\$)	(\$285)	(\$438)	(\$625)	(3618)	(\$486)	(2237)	(8379)	(1415)	\$87	\$136	\$222	\$163	(\$83)	(813)	(9995)	(283)	(\$38)	(\$23)	\$132
Debt Proceeds	\$6,155	\$0	\$0	\$215	\$653	\$660	\$892	\$836	\$946	5777	\$649	\$526	50	50	\$0	\$0	SO	S0	50	\$0	50	\$0
Less Debt Repayment	(\$6,170)	20	\$	\$0	(\$215)	(\$267)	(\$274)	(2350)	(0265)	(8665)	(\$508)	(\$429)	(\$204)	(\$251)	(\$261)	(1055)	(\$350)	(\$303)	(\$377)	(1963)	(\$106)	(121)
Ending Cash Balance	(52,847)	\$192	\$95	\$25	\$25	\$25	\$25	\$25	\$25	\$25	\$25	\$209	\$141	\$111	\$13	(S415)	(\$844)	(\$1,273)	(51,701)	(\$2,130)	(\$2,559)	(52,847)

This table is rife with footing, i.e., addition, errors of \$1, which equates to \$1 million in each instance.

NOTE 1: Total projected costs from inception through 2034 total \$8,306, which is \$141 more than the "Total" column. HART has therefore included a negative cash flow of \$140 million in year 2035. At best, this is sloppy work. The cash flows up to 2034 should be adjusted so that the total is \$8,165, or the total projected cost should be changed to \$8,306.

NOTE 2: In 2025, projected tax revenues exceed projected expenditures by \$87, yet additional debt proceeds of \$526 is apparently planned, resulting in the highest cash balance over the entire 20 years. Why?

These projections should include the underlying assumptions as well as an explanation as to why debt repayment is \$15 higher than the debt proceeds.

FY 2018-2023 SIX-YEAR UPDATED CASH FLOW

Below is six-year cash flow based on assumed revenue sources, estimated financing costs, and forecasts for future years' capital expenditures.

s enue v eeds	68,559,126 294,587,133 256,623,959 - 551,211,092 402,302,800 (2,302,800) 110,000,000 - 510,000,000 1,061,211,092 500,759,019	\$ 324,976,125 229,474,254 267,658,790 - 497,133,044 226,602,800 - (1,602,800) 63,400,000 - 288,400,000 785,533,044	\$ 404,658,288 213,628,152 279,168,119 492,796,271 291,862,800 (1,862,800) (55,800,000) 234,200,000 726,996,271	\$ 206,040,573 2,929,669 291,172,347 - 294,102,016 251,702,800 (1,702,800) 34,300,000 - 284,300,000 578,402,016	\$ 308,681,484 303,692,758 303,692,758 101,102,800 (1,102,800) 98,900,000 198,900,000 502,592,758	\$ 313,070,129 	\$ 68,559,12 740,619,20 1,715,067,51 2,455,686,72 1,273,574,00 (8,574,00 310,800,00 1,575,800,00 4,031,486,72
enue v	256,623,959 551,211,092 402,302,800 (2,302,800) 110,000,000 510,000,000 1,061,211,092	267,658,790 497,133,044 226,602,800 (1,602,800) 63,400,000 288,400,000	279,168,119 492,796,271 291,862,800 (1,862,800) (55,800,000) 234,200,000	291,172,347 294,102,016 251,702,800 (1,702,800) 34,300,000	303,692,758 101,102,800 (1,102,800) 98,900,000	- 316,751,546 - - 60,000,000 - 60,000,000	1,715,067,51 2,455,686,72 1,273,574,00 (8,574,00 310,800,00 1,575,800,00
enue v	256,623,959 551,211,092 402,302,800 (2,302,800) 110,000,000 510,000,000 1,061,211,092	267,658,790 497,133,044 226,602,800 (1,602,800) 63,400,000 288,400,000	279,168,119 492,796,271 291,862,800 (1,862,800) (55,800,000) 234,200,000	291,172,347 294,102,016 251,702,800 (1,702,800) 34,300,000	303,692,758 101,102,800 (1,102,800) 98,900,000	- 316,751,546 - - 60,000,000 - 60,000,000	1,715,067,51 2,455,686,72 1,273,574,00 (8,574,00 310,800,00 1,575,800,00
eeds	256,623,959 551,211,092 402,302,800 (2,302,800) 110,000,000 510,000,000 1,061,211,092	267,658,790 497,133,044 226,602,800 (1,602,800) 63,400,000 288,400,000	279,168,119 492,796,271 291,862,800 (1,862,800) (55,800,000) 234,200,000	291,172,347 294,102,016 251,702,800 (1,702,800) 34,300,000	303,692,758 101,102,800 (1,102,800) 98,900,000	- 316,751,546 - - 60,000,000 - 60,000,000	1,715,067,51 2,455,686,72 1,273,574,00 (8,574,00 310,800,00 1,575,800,00
eeds	551,211,092 402,302,800 (2,302,800) 110,000,000 510,000,000 1,061,211,092	497,133,044 226,602,800 (1,602,800) 63,400,000 288,400,000	492,796,271 291,862,800 (1,862,800) (55,800,000) 234,200,000	294,102,016 251,702,800 (1,702,800) 34,300,000 284,300,000	303,692,758 101,102,800 (1,102,800) 98,900,000	- 316,751,546 - - 60,000,000 - 60,000,000	2,455,686,72 1,273,574,00 (8,574,00 310,800,00 1,575,800,00
eeds	402,302,800 (2,302,800) 110,000,000 510,000,000 1,061,211,092	226,602,800 (1,602,800) 63,400,000 288,400,000	291,862,800 (1,862,800) (55,800,000) 234,200,000	251,702,800 (1,702,800) 34,300,000 	101,102,800 (1,102,800) 98,900,000 198,900,000	- - - 60,000,000 - - 60,000,000	1,273,574,00 (8,574,00 310,800,00 1,575,800,00
eeds	402,302,800 (2,302,800) 110,000,000 510,000,000 1,061,211,092	226,602,800 (1,602,800) 63,400,000 288,400,000	291,862,800 (1,862,800) (55,800,000) 234,200,000	251,702,800 (1,702,800) 34,300,000 	101,102,800 (1,102,800) 98,900,000 198,900,000	- - - 60,000,000 - - 60,000,000	1,273,574,00 (8,574,00 310,800,00 1,575,800,00
eeds	(2,302,800) 110,000,000 510,000,000 1,061,211,092	(1,602,800) 63,400,000 	(1,862,800) (55,800,000) - 234,200,000	(1,702,800) 34,300,000 	(1,102,800) 98,900,000 - 198,900,000	- 60,000,000	(8,574,00 310,800,00 1,575,800,00
	(2,302,800) 110,000,000 510,000,000 1,061,211,092	(1,602,800) 63,400,000 	(1,862,800) (55,800,000) - 234,200,000	(1,702,800) 34,300,000 	(1,102,800) 98,900,000 - 198,900,000	- 60,000,000	(8,574,00 310,800,00 1,575,800,00
	110,000,000 510,000,000 1,061,211,092	63,400,000 	(55,800,000) - - 234,200,000	34,300,000 - 284,300,000	98,900,000 - 198,900,000	- 60,000,000	310,800,00
	110,000,000 510,000,000 1,061,211,092	63,400,000 	(55,800,000) - - 234,200,000	34,300,000 - 284,300,000	98,900,000 - 198,900,000	- 60,000,000	310,800,00
	510,000,000 1,061,211,092	- 288,400,000		- 284,300,000	- 198,900,000	- 60,000,000	1,575,800,00
	1,061,211,092	, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,		
	1,061,211,092	, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,		
•		785,533,044	726,996,271	578,402,016	502,592,758	376,751,546	4.031.486.72
¥	500 759 019						
¥	500 759 019						
Ŧ	500 759 019						
	500 759 019						
		506,074,575	753,111,616	288,844,618	149,774,530	82,345,995	2,280,910,3
	44,932,240	37,838,212	29,382,733	28,071,532	27,851,817	27,851,817	195,928,3
	-	-	98	7,955,740	172,127,494	31,600,374	211,683,70
	2,609,482	1,059,053	-	-	-	-	3,668,53
	20,387,315	21,950,961	25,285,309	27,573,371	27,318,236	17,960,329	140,475,52
	12,092,289	11,772,592	11,133,198	11,133,198	11,133,198	11,133,198	68,397,67
	733,874	453,042	-	-	-	-	1,186,91
	622,830	794,280	794,280	794,280	794,280	794,280	4,594,23
	4,927,451	4,927,451	4,927,451	4,927,451	4,927,451	4,927,451	29,564,70
	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	96,993,225	14,516,210	-	-	-	-	111,509,43
	84,642,024	68,165,443	60,415,284	63,568,698	58,990,280	27,914,937	363,696,66
Costs	768,699,748	667,551,819	885,049,970	432,868,888	452,917,286	204,528,381	3,411,616,09
	24,094,345	25,299,062	26,564,015	27,892,216	29,286,827	30,751,168	163,887,63
	12,000,000	13,000,000	14,000,000	15,000,000	16,000,000	17,000,000	87,000,00
osts	36,094,345	38,299,062	40,564,015	42,892,216	45,286,827	47,751,168	250,887,63
	804,794,093	705,850,881	925,613,986	475,761,104	498,204,113	252,279,549	3,662,503,72
	256 416 999	79 682 163	(198 617 715)	102 640 911	4 388 645	124 471 997	368,982,99
	200,410,000	10,002,100	(100,011,110)	102,040,011	4,000,040	12-1,41 1,001	000,002,00
\$	324,976,125	\$ 404,658,288	\$ 206,040,573	\$ 308,681,484	\$ 313,070,129	\$ 437,542,125	\$ 437,542,12
		DC FY 2017					
	Costs	20,387,315 12,092,289 733,874 622,830 4,927,451 	20,387,315 21,950,961 12,092,289 11,772,592 733,874 453,042 622,830 794,280 4,927,451 4,927,451 	20,387,315 21,950,961 25,285,309 12,092,289 11,772,592 11,133,198 733,874 453,042 - 622,830 794,280 794,280 4,927,451 4,927,451 - 96,993,225 14,516,210 - 96,993,225 14,516,210 - 84,642,024 68,165,443 60,415,284 costs 768,699,748 667,551,819 885,049,970 24,094,345 25,299,062 26,564,015 12,000,000 13,000,000 14,000,000 costs 36,094,345 38,299,062 40,564,015 256,416,999 79,682,163 (198,617,715) 324,976,125 \$404,658,288 \$ 206,040,573 Cash Flow Annualized PMOC FY 2017 Is, Nov 2016 crease	20,387,315 21,950,961 25,285,309 27,573,371 12,092,289 11,772,592 11,133,198 11,133,198 733,874 453,042 - - 622,830 794,280 794,280 794,280 4,927,451 4,927,451 4,927,451 4,927,451 4,927,451 4,927,451 4,927,451 4,927,451 96,993,225 14,516,210 - - 96,993,225 14,516,210 - - 24,094,345 25,299,062 26,564,015 27,892,216 12,000,000 13,000,000 14,000,000 15,000,000 isosts 36,094,345 38,299,062 40,564,015 42,892,216 804,794,093 705,850,881 925,613,986 475,761,104 256,416,999 79,682,163 (198,617,715) 102,640,911 \$ 324,976,125 \$ 404,658,288 \$ 206,040,573 \$ 308,681,484 Cash Flow Annualized PMOC FY 2017 is, Nov 2016 crease 5 5 5 5	20,387,315 21,950,961 25,285,309 27,573,371 27,318,236 12,092,289 11,772,592 11,133,198 11,133,198 11,133,198 733,874 453,042 - - - 622,830 794,280 794,280 794,280 794,280 4,927,451 4,927,451 4,927,451 4,927,451 4,927,451 96,993,225 14,516,210 - - - 96,993,225 14,516,210 - - - 84,642,024 68,165,443 60,415,284 63,568,698 58,990,280 costs 768,699,748 667,551,819 885,049,970 432,868,888 452,917,286 24,094,345 25,299,062 26,564,015 27,892,216 29,286,827 12,000,000 13,000,000 14,000,000 15,000,000 16,000,000 costs 36,094,345 38,299,062 40,564,015 42,892,216 45,286,827 804,794,093 705,850,881 925,613,986 475,761,104 498,204,113 256,416,999 79,682,163 (198,617,715) 102,640,911 4,388,645	20,387,315 21,950,961 25,285,309 27,573,371 27,318,236 17,960,329 12,092,289 11,772,592 11,133,198 11,133,198 11,133,198 11,133,198 733,874 453,042

Honolulu Rail Transit Project Quarterly Report

2.5 Project Revenue and Costs

(data as of June 26, 2015)

Ending Cash Balance 6/30/15 = \$293.0M (previous report = \$327.3M)

Figure 10. Project Revenue versus Incurred Costs



Planned Funding levels as per the June 2012 FFGA Finance Plan Data date for Revenue & Incurred Cost = June 26, 2015

Figure 11. Cash Balance Summary

JUNE 30, 2015 CASH BAL	ANCE SUMMA	RY
	JUNE	YTD Cumulative
Beginning Cash Balance	327,344,756	441,011,319
Expenditures:		
Operating Expenditures	(1,909,194)	(16,147,106)
Capital Expenditures	(42,790,284)	(508,808,372)
Expenditures Total:	(44,699,478)	(524,955,478)
Receipts:		
GET Surcharge	0	220,793,293
FTA Drawdown	10,276,116	155,546,605
Interest	27,319	239,997
Other (rental, refunds, copy fees, etc.)	62,109	375,088
Receipts Total:	10,365,544	376,954,982
Ending Cash Balance 06/30/15	293,010,823	293,010,823

Note: Project Cost Reports can be found in Appendix C.

Honolulu Rail Transit Project Monthly Progress Report

2.5 Project Revenue and Costs

(data as of June 24, 2016)

Ending Cash Balance 6/30/16 = \$94.7M (previous report = \$123.0M)

Figure 10. Project Revenue versus Incurred Costs



Planned Funding levels as per the June 2012 FFGA Finance Plan Data date for Revenue & Incurred Cost =June 24, 2016

Figure 11. Cash Balance Summary

JUNE 2016 CASH BAI		(
		FY16 YTD
	JUNE	Cumulative
Beginning Cash Balance 06/01/16	123,016,451	293,010,823
Expenditures:		
Operating Expenditures	(2,587,741)	(17,995,780)
Capital Expenditures	(44,135,061)	(568,037,722)
Expenditures Total:	(46,722,801)	(586,033,502)
Receipts:		
GET Surcharge	0	229,344,241
FTA Drawdown	18,240,510	157,579,174
Interest	115,032	376,077
Other (rental, refunds, copy fees, etc.)	9,489	381,867
Receipts Total:	18,365,031	387,681,360
Ending Cash Balance 06/30/16	94,658,681	94,658,681

Note: Project Cost Reports can be found in Appendix C.

Natalie Iwasa Testimony Senate Committees Monday, February 6, 2017 HART CASH BALANCE DISCREPANCY

		Μ	arch 31, 2016
Cash & cash equivalents per report to council		\$	159,111,026
Cash & cash equivalents per monthly progress report to HART board			158,655,626
	Variance	\$	455,400

NOTE: Amounts reported for 12/31/15 and other quarters agreed or were only \$1 off.

HONOLULU AUTHORITY FOR RAPID TRANSPORTATION QUARTERLY CASH AND COUNTY SURCHARGE REVENUE REPORT AS OF March 31, 2016 (Made Pursuant to City Council Resolution 12-188, CD1)

Interest Revenue		
FY2007	\$	18,000
FY2008	÷	3,724,229
FY2009		3,595,915
FY2010		
FY2011		246,603
		329,693
FY2012		240,270
FY2013		310,594
FY2014		325,874
FY2015		239,997
FY2016, Q3		184,036
	\$	9,215,210
GET Surcharge Revenue		
FY2007	\$	48,424,383
FY2008		169,113,552
FY2009		160,855,727
FY2010		157,555,320
FY2011		179,108,573
FY2012		190,664,994
FY2013		173,822,505
FY2014		218,390,853
FY2015		223,666,342
FY2016, Q3		177,013,858
	\$	1,698,616,107
Expenditures to date		
FY2007	\$	310,285
FY2008		25,962,676
FY2009		54,205,206
FY2010		99,126,584
FY2011		109,063,791
FY2012		254,142,462
FY2013		294,380,188
FY2014		343,180,946
FY2015		456,652,423
FY2016, Q3		347,174,209
112010, Q0	\$	1,984,198,770
	Ψ	1,304,130,770
Cash & cash equivalents on hand at March 31, 2016	\$	159,111,026

Honolulu Rail Transit Project Monthly Progress Report

2.5 Project Revenue and Costs

(data as of March 25, 2016)

Ending Cash Balance 3/31/16 = \$158.7M (previous report = \$192.3M)

Figure 10. Project Revenue versus Incurred Costs



Planned Funding levels as per the June 2012 FFGA Finance Plan Data date for Revenue & Incurred Cost =March 25, 2016

Figure 11. Cash Balance Summary

MARCH 2016 CASH BA	ALANCE SUMMAR	RY
	MARCH	FY16 YTD Cumulative
Beginning Cash Balance 03/01/16	192,335,290	293,010,823
Expenditures:		
Operating Expenditures	(1,872,167)	(12,132,625)
Capital Expenditures	(41,840,257)	(404,598,819)
Expenditures Total:	(43,712,424)	(416,731,444)
Receipts: GET Surcharge	0	168,374,947
FTA Drawdown	9,976,903	113,484,563
Interest	33,400	184,036
Other (rental, refunds, copy fees, etc.)	22,456	332,701
Receipts Total:	10,032,759	282,376,247
Ending Cash Balance 03/31/16	158,655,626	158,655,626

Note: Project Cost Reports can be found in Appendix C.

From:	mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
Sent:	Monday, February 6, 2017 8:22 PM
То:	TRE Testimony
Cc:	dsrita@hawaii.edu
Subject:	Submitted testimony for SB1176 on Feb 6, 2017 15:00PM

Submitted on: 2/6/2017 Testimony for TRE/PSM on Feb 6, 2017 15:00PM in Conference Room 225

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Denice	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments: Do not pass this bill. I would rather pay the Federal Government back, than have to pay a bill for this outrageous project for the rest of my life, my kid's life, and every generation yet to come! This expense has no "sunset", like the tax that is being proposed. I don't even live on that side of the island, and will never use the rail. I would have gladly paid if this project were to support a bus yard on the Windward AND West sides. At least that would have serviced the ENTIRE island. The Rail is a money pit. Again, I, a working tax payer, would rather pay the Federal Government back. At least that eventually comes to an end. Thank you.

Please note that testimony submitted <u>less than 24 hours prior to the hearing</u>, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

From:	mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
Sent:	Tuesday, February 7, 2017 1:37 PM
То:	TRE Testimony
Cc:	mendezj@hawaii.edu
Subject:	*Submitted testimony for SB1176 on Feb 7, 2017 13:30PM*

Submitted on: 2/7/2017 Testimony for TRE/PSM on Feb 7, 2017 13:30PM in Conference Room 229

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Javier Mendez-Alvarez	Individual	Oppose	No

Comments:

Please note that testimony submitted <u>less than 24 hours prior to the hearing</u>, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

From:	mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
Sent:	Tuesday, February 7, 2017 11:35 AM
То:	TRE Testimony
Cc:	larryfriedman808@gmail.com
Subject:	Submitted testimony for SB1176 on Feb 7, 2017 13:30PM

Submitted on: 2/7/2017 Testimony for TRE/PSM on Feb 7, 2017 13:30PM in Conference Room 229

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Lawrence Friedman	Individual	Oppose	Yes

Comments: I am finding it impossible to believe that the Hawaii legislature is entertaining Senate Bills which would provide additional funding for the Honolulu Rail project, given the countless missed projections and failure to meet critical milestones. There are no saving graces to this project with all the failures around engineering faults and unreliable ridership projections. The taxpayers have been lied to each and every time funding has been sought. The FTA refuses to be fooled by empty promises to deliver and holds HART to what has been previously agreed to under the FFGA. This citizen urges the legislature to demand the HART officials and Mayor to be accountable to deliver Rail, as promised within the current approved funding of \$6.8B, with no additional tax burden. It is unconscionable to fathom that the "new" costs are projected at ~\$8B with knowledge that this figure is wrong. The cost is at least \$10B due to the financing costs, which are conveniently left out of the equation. To not include the financing costs in the "new" estimate is misleading. How can it not be a serious red flag to have financing costs increase by more than 10X of the previous cost projection? Further, HART officials and the Mayor are insulting the community leading us to believe that the same GET extension proposal will cover BOTH the \$2B shortfall as well as ongoing operating costs. The GET extension will not cover both. Stop throwing good money after bad. The project is now roughly twice what the taxpayers agreed to. The project continues to miss deliverables to the FTA. The public cannot afford any more taxes. Anyone believing that making the GET permanent will fully solve the shortfalls is ignorant and blind to the big picture. The only way to fully fund this project, with or without the FFGA, is to unjustly tax the citizens to death through increases to every tax under the county's purview. I implore you to STOP THE BLEEDING! Mahalo, Lawrence Friedman larryfriedman808@gmail.com 629-9426

Please note that testimony submitted <u>less than 24 hours prior to the hearing</u>, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.