DAVID Y. IGE GOVERNOR



SARAH ALLEN ADMINISTRATOR

MARA SMITH ASSISTANT ADMINISTRATOR

STATE OF HAWAII STATE PROCUREMENT OFFICE

P.O. Box 119 Honolulu, Hawaii 96810-0119 Tel: (808) 586-0554 email: <u>state.procurement.office@hawaii.gov</u> <u>http://spo.hawaii.gov</u> Twitter: <u>@hawaiispo</u>

TESTIMONY OF SARAH ALLEN, ADMINISTRATOR STATE PROCUREMENT OFFICE

TO THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON Finance February 22, 2017 3:00 PM

HB 573 HD 1 PROCUREMENT; BID SHOPPING; BID PEDDLING RELATING TO PROCUREMENT

Chair Luke, Vice-Chair Cullen, and members of the committee, thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony on HB 573 HD1. The State Procurement Office's (SPO) comments are as follows:

There are two reasons why the expected effect of the implementation of this bill may not be achieved: First, there are other ways to procure besides competitive sealed bidding, which will continue to be at risk for bid peddling, as well as several public bodies and agencies that are completely exempt from the law. Second, based on our review of the culture within the construction industry, it may be rare to receive a complaint in this area, as subcontractors would have to weigh the consequences from within the market, ultimately leaving no way to conduct oversight over this issue.

Also, the 'scope of work' referred to on page 2, lines 14 through 18 is generally referred to as the scope for the entire contract in which several subcontractors may be required, particularly for large jobs.

Lastly, in section (h) pages 4 thorough 6 it states: "...It is the bidder or substituted subcontractor's burden to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that bid shopping or bid peddling did not occur..."

It is unclear how that shall be accomplished and to whom it shall be proven.

Thank you.



DAVID Y. IGE GOVERNOR

SHAN S. TSUTSUI

STATE OF HAWAII OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND CONSUMER AFFAIRS

335 MERCHANT STREET, ROOM 310 P.O. BOX 541 HONOLULU, HAWAII 96809 Phone Number: 586-2850 Fax Number: 586-2856 cca.hawaii.gov CATHERINE P. AWAKUNI COLÓN DIRECTOR

JO ANN M. UCHIDA TAKEUCHI DEPUTY DIRECTOR

PRESENTATION OF DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND CONSUMER AFFAIRS REGULATED INDUSTRIES COMPLAINTS OFFICE

> TO THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

TWENTY-NINTH STATE LEGISLATURE REGULAR SESSION, 2017

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 22, 2017 3:00 P.M.

TESTIMONY ON HOUSE BILL NO. 573 H.D.1 RELATING TO PROCUREMENT

TO THE HONORABLE SYLVIA LUKE, CHAIR, AND TO THE HONORABLE TY J.K. CULLEN, VICE CHAIR, AND MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE

The Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs ("Department")

appreciates the opportunity to testify on House Bill No. 573 H.D.1, Relating to

Procurement. My name is Daria Loy-Goto and I am the Complaints and

Enforcement Officer for the Department's Regulated Industries Complaints Office

("RICO"). RICO offers comments on this bill.

House Bill No. 573 H.D.1 prohibits the substitution of a listed subcontractor

in furtherance of bid shopping or bid peddling, but allows for the substitution of a

listed subcontractor for the following reasons: (1) refusal of a listed subcontractor

Testimony on House Bill No. 573 H.D.1 February 22, 2017 Page 2

to sign a contract; (2) bankruptcy or insolvency of a listed subcontractor; (3) inability of the listed subcontractor to perform the proposed contract; (4) inability of the listed subcontractor to retain necessary license, bonding, insurance, or other statutory requirements; (5) where a listed subcontractor is barred from participating in the project by court order or summary judgement, including debarment from a purchasing or enforcement agency; or (6) inability or refusal of the listed subcontractor to meet the contractual requirements or agreements of the bidder.

The bill also prohibits a bidder from listing more than one subcontractor for each scope of work specified, unless subcontractors vary with bid alternates.

House Bill No. 573 H.D.1 contradicts the Contractors License Board's ("Board") longstanding interpretation and policy that a person must be licensed as a contractor at the time of a bid submission and that the license must be current and appropriate for the type of work the contractor is to perform. As the enforcement arm for the Board and in deference to the Board's policy, RICO expresses serious concerns that authorizing subcontractors to submit bids without a current, active, and appropriate license undermines the Board's authority and allows unlicensed contractors to engage in licensed contracting activity.

RICO currently investigates and prosecutes general and subcontractors who submit bid proposals without an appropriate or current license and believes House Bill No. 573 H.D.1 undermines those enforcement efforts by allowing subcontractors to participate in the bid process without either a necessary license or the ability to perform the contract. Testimony on House Bill No. 573 H.D.1 February 22, 2017 Page 3

Thank you for the opportunity to testify on House Bill No. 573 H.D.1. I will

be happy to answer any questions the Committee may have.

PRESENTATION OF THE CONTRACTORS LICENSE BOARD

TO THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

TWENTY-NINTH LEGISLATURE Regular Session of 2017

Wednesday, February 22, 2017 3:00 p.m.

TESTIMONY ON HOUSE BILL NO. 573, H.D. 1, RELATING TO PROCUREMENT.

TO THE HONORABLE SYLVIA LUKE, CHAIR, AND MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE:

My name is Peter H.M. Lee, Chairperson of the Contractors License Board ("Board") Legislative Committee. Thank you for the opportunity to testify on House Bill No. 573, H.D. 1, which proposes to prohibit bid shopping and bid peddling for the competitive sealed bidding process.

This bill proposes to prohibit the prime contractor from substituting a listed subcontractor; and allows a prime contractor to substitute a listed subcontractor for various reasons (including but not limited to the inability of the listed subcontractor to perform the requirements of the proposed contract or the project).

The Board reviewed this bill at its February 17, 2017 meeting. After careful consideration, the Board is concerned with the proposed language that allows a prime contractor to substitute a listed subcontractor due to the inability of the listed subcontractor to perform the requirements of the proposed contract or the project.

Allowing this substitution implies that a subcontractor without the appropriate license classification may bid on a construction project. This would conflict with Hawaii Revised Statutes ("HRS") chapter 444, which prohibits unlicensed contracting, and the Board has consistently determined that a contractor who submits a bid is holding

Testimony on House Bill No. 573, H.D. 1 Wednesday, February 22, 2017 Page 2

oneself out as being able to perform the work and acting as a contractor. Thus, at the time of bid, the entity must be licensed in the proper contractor classification(s) under HRS chapter 444.

This measure may have the unintended consequence of allowing unlicensed entities to bid as subcontractors and later, be substituted at the time of award with a licensed subcontractor. The Board <u>opposes</u> any such consequence as it is contrary to the current licensing law in HRS chapter 444.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments on House Bill No. 573, H.D. 1.

IRONWORKERS STABILIZATION FUND

February 21, 2017

Sylvia Luke, Chair Committee on Finance House of Representative State Capitol 415 S. Beretania Street Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Dear Honorable Chair Luke and Members of the Committee on Finance:

Re: Support for HB 573 - Relating to Procurement

We are in strong support of HB 573, Relating to Procurement; that prohibits bid shopping and bid peddling.

The purpose of this bill strongly supports HRS Section 103D that the legislature has passed and the State of Hawaii has used to provide a fair and open process to bidding for public funded projects. We believe that it is in the best interest of the public that they know all the subcontractors and joint contractors for any jobs.

Additionally this bill will stop the general contractor to get a lower price from his subcontractor or get a new one. These savings are not going to go to the State, but give the general contractor a greater profit margin.

Consequently, we believe to modify the procurement law will jeopardize the integrity of the process.

We strongly support this measure for the working men and women of Hawaii. Thank you for your time and consideration.



TESTIMONY OF HAWAII LECET CLYDE T. HAYASHI – DIRECTOR

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

Rep. Sylvia Luke, Chair Rep. Ty J.K. Cullen, Vice Chair

NOTICE OF HEARING

DATE: Wednesday, February 22, 2017TIME: 3:00 P.M.PLACE: Conference Room 308

TESTIMONY ON HOUSE BILL NO. 573 HD1, RELATING TO PROCUREMENT.

ALOHA COMMITTEE CHAIR SYLVIA LUKE, COMMITTEE VICE CHAIR TY CULLEN, AND MEMBERS ON FINANCE:

My name is Clyde T. Hayashi, and I am the Director of Hawaii LECET. Hawaii LECET is a labor-management partnership between the Hawaii Laborers Union, Local 368, and its unionized contractors.

Mahalo for the opportunity to testify in <u>SUPPORT of House Bill No. 573 HD1</u>. This bill proposes to prohibit bid shopping and bid peddling for the competitive sealed bidding process. It also prohibits the bidder from listing more than one subcontractor for each scope of work identified, unless subcontractors vary with bid alternates; and allows a bidder to substitute a subcontractor under certain conditions.

Hawaii LECET believes this measure will help curb bid protests. We would like to recommend additional language that there will be no increases to the bidder's price should a subcontractor is substituted.

For this reason, we support House Bill No. 573 HD1 and looks forward to its passage.



Uploaded via Capitol Website

February 22, 2017

TO: HONORABLE SYLVIA LUKE, CHAIR, HONORABLE TY CULLEN, VICE CHAIR, COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

SUBJECT: <u>SUPPORT INTENT</u> OF H.B. 573, HD1 RELATING TO PROCUREMENT. Prohibits bid shopping and bid peddling for the competitive sealed bidding process. Prohibits the bidder from listing more than one subcontractor for each scope of work identified, unless subcontractors vary with bid alternates. (HB573 HD1)

HEARING

DATE:February 22, 2017TIME:3:00 p.m.PLACE:Capitol Room 309

Dear Chair Luke, Vice Chair Cullen and Members of the Committee,

The General Contractors Association of Hawaii (GCA) is an organization comprised of over five hundred general contractors, subcontractors, and construction related firms. The GCA was established in 1932 and is the largest construction association in the State of Hawaii. GCA's mission is to represent its members in all matters related to the construction industry, while improving the quality of construction and protecting the public interest.

GCA <u>supports the intent</u> H.B. 573, HD1, Relating to Procurement, which defines bid-shopping and bid-peddling and prohibits such practice, while also allowing for the substitution of subcontractors for reasons connected to the subcontractor's ability to perform the work. Current law under HRS, Section 103D-302(b) requires the bidding contractor to list all subcontractors and joint contractors and their scope of work to be employed on public works projects unless the prime contractor has the required specialty license or will do the work themselves. This measure as drafted in the HD1 version would differ from Washington's state's law, which this bill is partially modeled after, by shifting the burden to the bidder and the substituted subcontractor to defend by a preponderance of evidence that bid shopping or bid peddling did not occur. Washington State's law puts the burden on the party making such allegation, which appears proper if there is an allegation of bid-shopping or bid-peddling made.

Thank you for the opportunity to share our comments on this measure.

SAH - Subcontractors Association of Hawaii

1188 Bishop St., Ste. 1003**Honolulu, Hawaii 96813-2938 Phone: (808) 537-5619 + Fax: (808) 533-2739

February 22, 2017

- Testimony To: House Committee on Finance Representative Sylvia Luke, Chair
- Presented By: Tim Lyons, President
- Subject: H.B. 573, HD 1 RELATING TO PROCUREMENT

Chair Luke and Members of the Committee:

I am Tim Lyons, President of the Subcontractors Association of Hawaii and while we support the intent of this bill, it has many problems. The SAH represents the following nine separate and distinct contracting trade organizations.

HAWAII FLOORING ASSOCIATION

ROOFING CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION OF HAWAII HAWAII WALL AND CEILING INDUSTRIES ASSOCIATION TILE CONTRACTORS PROMOTIONAL PROGRAM PLUMBING AND MECHANICAL CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION OF HAWAII SHEETMETAL CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION OF HAWAII PAINTING AND DECORATING CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION PACIFIC INSULATION CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION First and foremost, it allows the general contractor to list more than one subcontractor for each scope of work if they have varying bid alternates. Since "bid alternates" is not defined, we would interpret it to have its common usage meaning which would be additives or deductions that a particular individual bidder may or may not be able to or want to perform on a particular job. As an example, if bids come in lower than budget then there may be an election to do more on the project. An example might be a painting contractor that is submitting a bid to paint a structure but excludes a small out building. An alternate would be to include it. "Alternates" should be defined and further clarified to say they are those which are included "in bid specifications" (page 2).

We are very much concerned with the leeway that is granted to a prime contractor to further the possible game playing that can go on when it comes to sublisting. That is a major reason why we find ourselves somewhat confused by this bill because in Section 1 (lines 1 - 3) it notes that there exists the "unscrupulous practice of bid-shopping and bid-peddling" in the Hawaii's construction industry yet the wording regarding alternates seems to open up that door and allow for exactly more game playing by unscrupulous prime contractors.

The second subject matter that this bill provides for appears on page 4 (line 19) regarding a prohibition on bid-shopping. This provision is self-defeating. First of all, it is virtually unprovable and in order to prove it you would have to have the cooperation of the general contractor who did the bid-shopping, the second subcontractor who participated in the shopping and the victim subcontractor who was harmed. We submit to you that this is just not going to happen. The subcontractor that presses this issue is not likely to successfully receive another job from that general contractor or for that matter from any other general contractor once it is known that he is the kind of subcontractor that takes that kind of action.

Additionally, on page 5 and 6, there are six (6) reasons why substitutions can be made. We disagree and highly recommend deletion of items 3, 4 and 6 because all three (3) of those can be subject to undue pressure from the general contractor to the subcontractor causing one of those three (3) reasons to be exercised. In the first instance, if the listed subcontractor is not able to perform the requirements of the contract all they have to do is exercise Reason #1 which is a refusal to sign the contract; otherwise, we fear pressure from the prime contractor for items in the contract between the subcontractor and the general contractor that the subcontractor cannot perform merely for the purpose of getting rid of the subcontractor in order to go to the next bidder.

As it relates to the fourth item we fail to understand why a listed subcontractor would not have the proper license, bonding or insurance because if they did they should not have bid the project and if they did not have it, the general contractor should not have listed them. This gives total freedom to the general contractor to list anybody they choose at the time of bid knowing full well the individual is not licensed or cannot obtain the bonding merely so they can substitute that subcontractor later on.

Lastly, as it relates to item 6 where the subcontractor is unable or refuses to meet the contractual requirements we would suggest additional language that would provide that these requirements are consistent with the terms and written understandings of the parties at the time of bid otherwise again we could have additional unrealistic conditions placed upon the subcontractor in an effort to substitute and go to the next bidder.

Again, we would like to close merely by saying that while we appreciate all the language regarding bid-peddling and bid-shopping and the prohibition on those actions, it is only what we would have to call "<u>feel good legislation</u>" because it cannot be exercised. As the bill notes, the State of Washington

supposedly has such a prohibition however, as we have noted, we have contacted our counterparts in the State of Washington and it is sad to say that none of them were even aware of this prohibition much less could we find anyone who had ever used it, again because it is unworkable. You can't expect parties who need to do business with each other to be going after monetary damages from the very party that they need business from. Hawaii is just too small for that kind of action. This is not to say that bid-shopping and bid-peddling does not go on now, it does, however it is constrained and it is limited because of the subcontractor listing clause and we urge this Committee to refrain from making adjustments to that law, one that seems to be working quite well.

Thank you.



ELECTRICAL CONTRACTOR'S ASSOCIATION OF HAWAI'I NECA Hawai'i Chapter 1286 Kalani Street, Suite B-203 Honolulu, Hawai'i 96817 PH: (808) 847-7306 FX: (808) 841-8096 Email: ecah@ecahi.com



February 22, 2017

- To: House Committee Finance Honorable Chairman Sylvia Luke & Vice Chairman Ty Cullen
- From: Al Itamoto, Executive Director Electrical Contractors Association of Hawaii National Electrical Contractors Association, Hawaii Chapter

Subject: HB 1229, HD1 Relating to Procurement

Notice of Hearing

Date: Time: Place: Wednesday, February 22, 2017 3:00 PM Conference Room 308 State Capitol 415 South Beretania Street

Dear Chair Johanson and Committee members:

The Electrical Contractors Association of Hawaii (ECAH) is a non-profit association representing over 100 electrical contractors doing business in the State of Hawaii. ECAH **strongly opposes** the intent and purpose of HB 1229, HD1 that requires public procurement construction bids to list subcontractors and joint contractors only for contracts in excess of a specific (currently unlisted) value. This bill targets the smaller contractors whose bids normally are lesser in value of the total project. The majority of electrical contractors and other subcontractors are small businesses and will only bid on projects within their capabilities. Placing an arbitrary amount will eliminate many of these contractors from bidding as a subcontractor without the protection of the sublisting. Eliminating the sublisting requirement would encourage the unethical practice of bid shopping and bid peddling much to the detriment of our contractors and only enriches the bidding contractor and not the State or tax payers. All bids should require the sublisting to ensure that bid shopping or bid peddling do not occur.

Based on the above, ECAH <u>strongly opposes</u> the passage of HB 1229, HD1 and encourage this committee to kill this bill.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide testimony on this issue.



February 21, 2017

Representative Sylvia Luke, Chair Representative Ty J.K. Cullen, Vice-Chair House of Representatives Committee on Finance The Twenty-Ninth Legislature, Regular Session of 2017

Via Email

Chair Luke, Vice Chair Cullen, and Members of the Committee:

SUBJECT: HB573 HD1 Relating to Procurement

My name is Gregg Serikaku. I am the Executive Director of the Plumbing and Mechanical Contractors Association of Hawaii.

The Association for which I speak is **opposed** to HB573 HD1.

This bill would prohibit bid shopping or bid peddling and also implements various reasons a listed subcontractor may be substituted.

While we appreciate the intent of this bill to allow subcontractors to recover damages for bid shopping by a prime bidder, we believe there is no legally verifiable method for either party to substantiate that the practice of bid shopping had occurred. In fact, this provision may ultimately result in frivolous accusations, distrust amongst contractors, and significant procurement delays. We also believe this change will degrade the integrity of the procurement process and ultimately increase bid challenges.

Further, the proposed reasons to substitute a listed subcontractor are too vague and should be clarified. Generally, we believe that the proposed substitutions should only be considered for situations that occur after bid closing and should not be allowed for reasons that were existing on the bid due date. This is needed to prevent an unscrupulous bidder from knowingly listing subcontractors who are non-compliant on the bid due date just so that the bidder can have a reason to shop the prices of other subcontractors.

Proposed items (1), (3) and (6), are especially ominous in that it creates situations where the bidder may intentionally include contractual terms that are impossible for the subcontractor to accept or may add scope requirements that the listed subcontractors are unable to perform, as a way for the bidder to substitute another subcontractor after shopping the bids.

In summary, we feel the language of this bill is too vague and creates significant potential for bid shopping by unscrupulous bidders.

We therefore respectfully urge the committee to hold this bill.

Respectfully yours,

7 P. Jarkah

Gregg S. Serikaku Executive Director



1088 BISHOP STREET #408 HONOLULU, HI 96813 PH: (808) 597-1216

> GREGG S. SERIKAKU EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR





DAVID Y. IGE GOVERNOR RODERICK K. BECKER Comptroller

> AUDREY HIDANO Deputy Comptroller

STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF ACCOUNTING AND GENERAL SERVICES

P.O. BOX 119, HONOLULU, HAWAII 96810-0119

TESTIMONY OF RODERICK K. BECKER, COMPTROLLER DEPARTMENT OF ACCOUNTING AND GENERAL SERVICES TO THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE ON WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 22, 2017 3:00 P.M. CONFERENCE ROOM 308

H.B. 573, H.D. 1

RELATING TO PROCUREMENT.

Chair Luke, Vice Chair Cullen, and members of the Committee, thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony on H.B. 573, H.D. 1.

The Department of Accounting and General Services (DAGS) appreciates the intent of the bill, and recognizes the complicated issues related to the construction procurement process as it relates to subcontractor bids, and unintended consequences that result from subcontractor listings. DAGS supports the measure's proposed provision that would disallow subcontractors from recovering damages from the State for violations relating to subcontractor listings.

Thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony on this matter.

FIN-Jo



From:	mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
Sent:	Tuesday, February 21, 2017 4:37 PM
To:	FINTestimony
Cc:	raechele.a.joyo@hawaii.gov
Subject:	Submitted testimony for HB573 on Feb 22, 2017 15:00PM

<u>HB573</u>

Submitted on: 2/21/2017 Testimony for FIN on Feb 22, 2017 15:00PM in Conference Room 308

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Roderick Becker	Dept. of Accounting and General Services	Comments Only	Yes

Comments: See attached.

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov

FIN-Jo

From:	mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov	
Sent:	Tuesday, February 21, 2017 4:37 PM	
To:	FINTestimony	
Cc:	raechele.a.joyo@hawaii.gov	
Subject:	Submitted testimony for HB573 on Feb 22, 2017 15:00PM	



<u>HB573</u>

Submitted on: 2/21/2017 Testimony for FIN on Feb 22, 2017 15:00PM in Conference Room 308

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Roderick Becker	Dept. of Accounting and General Services	Comments Only	Yes

Comments: See attached.

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov



THE VOICE OF THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY

PRESIDENT EVAN FUJIMOTO GRAHAM BUILDERS, INC.

PRESIDENT-ELECT DEAN UCHIDA SSFM INTERNATIONAL, INC.

VICE PRESIDENT MARSHALL HICKOX HOMEWORKS CONSTRUCTION, INC.

SECRETARY DWIGHT MITSUNAGA DM PACIFIC, INC.

TREASURER MICHAE WATANABE JW, INC.

SPECIAL APPOINTEE-BUILDER CURT KIRIU CK INDEPENDENT LIVING BUILDERS

SPECIAL APPOINTEE-BUILDER MARK KENNEDY HASEKO CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT GROUP, INC.

SPECIAL APPOINTEE-ASSOCIATE PETER ELDRIDGE RAYNOR OVERHEAD DOORS & GATES

IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT CRAIG WASHOFSKY SERVCO HOME & APPLIANCE DISTRIBUTION

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER GLADYS MARRONE BIA-HAWAII

2017 DIRECTORS

KAREN BERRY TRADE PUBLISHING COMPANY

CHRIS CHEUNG CC ENGINEERING & CONSTRUCTION, INC.

CLIFTON CRAWFORD C & J CONTRACTING, INC.

MARK HERTEL INTER-ISLAND SOLAR SUPPLY, OAHU-MAUI-HAWAII-KAUI

BRENTON LIU DESIGN TRENDS CONSTRUCTION, INC.

SARAH LOVE BAYS LUNG ROSE & HOLMA

BEAU NOBMANN

GARY OKIMOTO HONOLULU WOOD TREATING

JACKSON PARKER D.R. HORTON, SCHULER DIVISION

DOUGLAS PEARSON CASTLE & COOKE HOMES

PAUL D. SILEN HAWAIIAN DREDGING CONSTRUCTION CO. INC.

ALAN TWU HK CONSTRUCTION CORP.

MAILING: P.O. BOX 970967 WAIPAHU, HAWAII 96797-0967

PHYSICAL: 94-487 AKOKI STREET WAIPAHU, HAWAII 96797



Testimony to the House Committee on Finance February 22, 2017 3:00 pm Conference Room 308

RE: HB 573 HD1 – Relating to Procurement

Chair Luke, Vice-Chair Cullen, and members of the committee:

My name is Gladys Quinto Marrone, CEO of the Building Industry Association of Hawaii (BIA-Hawaii). Chartered in 1955, the Building Industry Association of Hawaii is a professional trade organization affiliated with the National Association of Home Builders, representing the building industry and its associates. BIA-Hawaii takes a leadership role in unifying and promoting the interests of the industry to enhance the quality of life for the people of Hawaii.

BIA-Hawaii is in support of H.B. 573 HD1, which would prohibit bid-shopping and bidpeddling in the competitive sealed bidding process. As a matter of fairness in the bidding process, we are in support of legislation that prevents bid-shopping and bigpeddling.

Thank you for the opportunity to share our views on this matter.