

## The Judiciary, State of Hawai'i

**Testimony to the House Committee on Transportation** Representative Henry J.C. Aquino, Chair Representative Sean Quinlan, Vice Chair

> Wednesday, February 8, 2017, 9:00 a.m. State Capitol, Conference Room 423

by Derek A. D'Orazio Chief Adjudicator Administrative Driver's License Revocation Officer

Bill No. and Title: House Bill No. 1257, Relating to Driving While Intoxicated.

**Purpose:** Allows permits that authorize the defendant to operate an employer's vehicle during the period of license revocation to be supported by the employer's sworn statement attesting to the period of the specified assigned hours of work that the defendant will drive the vehicle for purposes of employment.

## **Judiciary's Position:**

The Judiciary takes no position on the merits of House Bill No. 1257; however, as currently constructed, the language of the proposed statutory revision only addresses employee driver's permits (EDP) issued by the courts in Hawai'i Revised Statutes (HRS) 291E-61(e). The proposal does not address EDP issued by the Administrative Driver's License Revocation Office (ADLRO) in HRS 291E-44.5(d). The language of HRS 291E-61(e) and HRS 291E-44.5(d) should be consistent, since both statutes address the same EDP, only issued by different components of the Judiciary.

There are two discrepancies that may need to be addressed. First, there is already a minor discrepancy in the current language of these statutes, in that HRS 291E-61(e)(3) only allows the employer to identify a specific vehicle for the EDP, whereas HRS 291E-44.5(d)(2) enables the employer to identify a specific vehicle or vehicles for the EDP. If read literally, this could be interpreted to mean that the courts can only issue an EDP for a single vehicle, but the ADLRO can issue an EDP covering multiple vehicles. This discrepancy could be addressed in HB 1257,



House Bill No. 257, Relating to Driving While Intoxicated House Committee on Transportation February 8, 2017 9:00 a.m. Page 2

and the language of HRS 291E-61(e)(3) and HRS 291E-44.5(d)(2) can be made consistent. Second, it is not clear precisely what is meant by adding the language "<u>or the period of the specified assigned hours of work</u>" to HRS 291E-61(3) in HB 1257. Does that mean the employer may specify a period of assigned work hours in excess of 12 hours per day for the EDP?

If the intent of the Legislature is to allow issuance of EDP that authorize periods of driving in excess of 12 hours per day, then this should be express in both HRS 291E-61(e) and HRS 291E-44.5(d). The words "not to exceed twelve hours per day" can be deleted from both statutes to make this clear.

Alternatively, if the intent of the Legislature is to limit the authorized period of driving to a maximum of 12 hours per day, then this can be clarified by stating: "<u>or the period of the specified assigned hours of work, not to exceed twelve hours per day</u>, that the defendant will drive…" This language would be in both HRS 291E-61(e)(3) and HRS 291E-44.5(d)(2).

Providing data to assist the Legislature as it debates this proposal, the ADLRO only received a total of 182 EDP applications in calendar year 2016. This represents less than 3.5% of all OVUII arrests reported to ADLRO for driver's license revocation in calendar year 2016. Of the 182 EDP applications received, a total of 136 EDP were issued. This represents about a 75% EDP approval rate. If the 12-hour daily limitation is eliminated for EDP, then ADLRO could reasonably anticipate receiving more EDP applications and issuing more EDP, although it is difficult to predict how many more EDP will result.

Finally, if any legislative changes are enacted into law regarding EDP and HRS 291E-44.5, ADLRO requests a delayed effective date of at least six months. This is requested in order to implement the necessary changes to various ADLRO forms, databases and processes/procedures.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide testimony on this bill.

## TRNtestimony

From:	mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
Sent:	Tuesday, February 7, 2017 2:30 PM
To:	TRNtestimony
Cc:	victor.ramos@mpd.net
Subject:	*Submitted testimony for HB1257 on Feb 8, 2017 09:00AM*

## <u>HB1257</u>

Submitted on: 2/7/2017 Testimony for TRN on Feb 8, 2017 09:00AM in Conference Room 423

Submitted By	Organization	<b>Testifier Position</b>	Present at Hearing	
Victor K. Ramos	Individual	Oppose	No	

Comments:

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov



HAWAII FIRE FIGHTERS ASSOCIATION INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF FIRE FIGHTERS LOCAL 1463, AFL-CIO 1018 PALM DRIVE, HONOLULU, HAWAII 96814-1929 TELEPHONE (808) 949-1566 FAX: (808) 952-6003 WEBSITE: www.hawaiifirefighters.org



THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES THE TWENTY-NINTH LEGISLATURE REGULAR SESSION OF 2016 February 8, 2107

Committee on Transportation

Testimony by Hawaii Fire Fighters Association

H.B. No. 1257 RELATING TO DRIVING WHILE INTOXICATED

The Hawaii Fire Fighters Association (HFFA), Local 1463, IAFF, AFL-CIO, represents more than 1,900 professional and 800 retired fire fighters throughout the State. The HFFA, on behalf of our members, supports H.B. No. 1257 Relating to Driving While Intoxicated.

H.B. No. 1257 provides an employee to operate an employer's vehicle during the period of the license revocation for the period of the work shift in recognition to a work shift may be longer than 12 hours. This proposal will allow an employee, under certain conditions, to remain on the job during the administrative license revocation process.

HFFA does not in any way condone driving while intoxicated and this bill does not affect other provisions of the current administrative revocation process. The intent of this bill is to recognize that a work shift maybe longer than 12 hours and the goal is to return employees to work under certain conditions.

HFFA appreciates your Committee's favorable consideration of this measure and thank you for the opportunity to testify.

