THE SENATE TWENTY-EIGHTH LEGISLATURE, 2016 STATE OF HAWAII S.C.R. NO. 104

MAR 1 1 2016

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

REQUESTING THE DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, AND TOURISM, IN CONSULTATION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET AND FINANCE, AND DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION, TO CONDUCT A STUDY ON THE ECONOMIC IMPACT OF GRANTING AN EXEMPTION FOR THE SALE OF GOODS AND SERVICES TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT FROM THE STATE GENERAL EXCISE TAX.

1 WHEREAS, contracts to provide goods and services to the 2 federal government are a vital part of Hawaii's economy, and 3 these contracts provide opportunities for Hawaii small 4 businesses to establish themselves and to create well-paying 5 careers for Hawaii residents; and 6

7 WHEREAS, of the approximately \$2,000,000,000 of federal 8 contracts that are available for bid annually in Hawaii, 9 approximately \$900,000,000 is set aside for small businesses; 10 and

WHEREAS, businesses are assessed a State's general excise tax rate of four percent for the sale of goods and services to the federal government and another half percent surcharge for the sale of goods and services on Oahu, but goods and services used and consumed outside the State are not taxed under the general excise tax; and

19 WHEREAS, Hawaii is one of only three states in the nation – 20 the others being Washington and Vermont – that apply the general 21 excise tax to the provision and sale of goods and services by 22 businesses domiciled in their states to the federal government; 23 and

WHEREAS, federal agencies overseeing the bidding process
have opined that since the sale of goods to the federal
government is not subject to the State's general excise tax, the
federal government will not enforce the State's tax on out-of-



11

18

24

Page 2

10

17

23

31

34

35

36

37 38

39

40 41

42

S.C.R. NO. 104

1 state small businesses bidding to provide goods and services to 2 the federal government; and 3

WHEREAS, the Hawaii Department of Taxation has admitted that they are unable to effectively track the sale of goods and eservices that are made to the federal government by out-of-state vendors, and are unable to accurately determine and report on the aggregate amount of excise taxes that are due and are owed to the State from these out-of-state vendors; and

11 WHEREAS, because businesses domiciled and based in Hawaii 12 are assessed the State's general excise tax, but businesses 13 outside the State are not, Hawaii businesses are effectively at 14 a disadvantage when they bid on federal contracts for goods and 15 services, and as a result most of these contracts are awarded to 16 out-of-state businesses; and

18 WHEREAS, when Hawaii's small businesses lose these 19 contracts for providing goods and services to the federal 20 government in Hawaii, the overall economic activity decreases 21 within the State, which negatively affects the State's tax 22 revenue and economy; and

24 WHEREAS, this body would like to better understand the 25 effect of granting an exemption from the general excise tax for 26 the sale of goods and services to the federal government, 27 especially as it relates to federal contracts awarded to in-28 state small businesses, economic activity in the State, job 29 creation in the State, personal and corporate income, and the 30 state tax revenue; and

32 WHEREAS, three state agencies have expertise over various 33 aspects of this issue:

- (1) The Department of Business, Economic Development, and Tourism is responsible for fostering economic development within the State;
 - (2) The Department of Budget and Finance is responsible for administering the state budget and developing near and long range financial plans for the State; and
- 2016-1609 SCR SMA.doc

2

Page 3

1

2

3 4

16

21

28

S.C.R. NO. 164

(3) The Department of Taxation is responsible for administering the tax laws of the State; now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED by the Senate of the Twenty-eighth 5 Legislature of the State of Hawaii, Regular Session of 2016, the 6 House of Representatives concurring, that the Department of 7 Business, Economic Development, and Tourism, in consultation 8 with the Department of Budget and Finance and Department of 9 Taxation, is requested to determine the potential increase in 10 economic activity, including the number of jobs, personal and 11 corporate income, and personal and corporate taxes that would 12 result from granting an exemption for the sale of goods and 13 services to the federal government from the state general excise 14 tax; and 15

17 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Department of Budget and 18 Finance and Department of Taxation are requested to cooperate 19 and assist the Department of Business, Economic Development, and 20 Tourism; and

22 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Director of Business, 23 Economic Development, and Tourism is requested to submit 24 findings, recommendations, any proposed legislation, and a clear 25 narrative of the methodology the departments used to conduct 26 this analysis to the Legislature no later than twenty days prior 27 to the convening of the Regular Session of 2017; and

29 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that certified copies of this 30 Concurrent Resolution be transmitted to the Director of 31 Business, Economic Development, and Tourism; Director of 32 Finance; and Director of Taxation.



S.C.R. NO. 104



.

•

₽

• » •

-

SOL6-1609 SCR SMA. doc

Page 4

DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & TOURISM

No. 1 Capitol District Building, 250 South Hotel Street, 5th Floor, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 2359, Honolulu, Hawaii 96804 Web site: dbedt.hawaii.gov Telephone: (808) 586-2355 Fax: (808) 586-2377

Statement of LUIS P. SALAVERIA Director Department of Business, Economic Development, and Tourism

before the

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & BUSINESS

Thursday, April 14, 2016 9:00 A.M. State Capitol, Room 312

in consideration of **SCR164**

REQUESTING THE DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, AND TOURISM, IN CONSULTATION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET AND FINANCE, AND DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION, TO CONDUCT A STUDY ON THE ECONOMIC IMPACT OF GRANTING AN EXEMPTION FOR THE SALE OF GOODS AND SERVICES TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT FROM THE STATE GENERAL EXCISE TAX.

Chair Kawakami, Vice Chair Kong, and Members of the Committee.

The Department of Business, Economic Development & Tourism (DBEDT) offers comments on SCR164, which requests DBEDT to conduct a study on the economic impact of granting an exemption for the sale of goods and services to the federal government from the State General Excise Tax. DBEDT would like to provide the following comments:

 In FY2014, the federal government awarded \$2.9 billion in grants and \$2.2 billion in contracts within Hawaii.¹ However, extensive analysis and data collection would be required to estimate the amount of federal spending that would be subject to Hawaii's General Excise Tax. DBEDT does not have the adequate resources to collect all the data required for this analysis.

DAVID Y. IGE GOVERNOR

LUIS P. SALAVERIA DIRECTOR

MARY ALICE EVANS DEPUTY DIRECTOR

¹ 2016. Federal Spending in the States 2005-2014. The PEW Charitable Trusts. March. pp 6.

- 2. We estimate that the cost to hire a firm to collect the required data would be \$200,000.
- As noted in the resolutions, collaboration with DOTAX would be beneficial for this analysis. Due to data confidentiality, DBEDT employees do not have access to the State tax data.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the above comments.

SHAN TSUTSUI LT. GOVERNOR



JOSEPH K. KIM DEPUTY DIRECTOR

STATE OF HAWAII **DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION** P.O. BOX 259 HONOLULU, HAWAII 96809 PHONE NO: (808) 587-1540 FAX NO: (808) 587-1560

To: The Honorable Derek S. K. Kawakami, Chair and Members of the House Committee on Economic Development and Business

Date:Thursday, April 14, 2016Time:9:00 A.M.Place:Conference Room 312, State Capitol

From: Maria E. Zielinski, Director Department of Taxation

Re: S.C.R. No. 164, Requesting the Department of Business, Economic Development, and Tourism, in Consultation with the Department of Budget and Finance, and Department of Taxation, to Conduct a Study on the Economic Impact of Granting an Exemption for the Sale of Goods and Services to the Federal Government from the State General Excise Tax.

The Department of Taxation (Department) appreciates the intent of S.C.R. No. 164, and offers the following comments for your consideration.

This resolution requests that the Department, in concert with the Department of Business, Economic Development, and Tourism (DBEDT) and the Department of Budget and Finance, to determine the potential increase in economic activity, including the number of jobs, personal and corporate income, and personal and corporate taxes that would result from granting an exemption for the sale of goods and services to the federal government from the state general excise tax (GET).

The Department is willing to comply with this resolution to the best of its ability and defers to DBEDT regarding its ability to make the types of economic predictions called for in this resolution. The Department is able to provide data to the extent allowed by confidentiality laws, but notes that it does not perform the type of economic analysis called for in this resolution.

It is important to note that sales of tangible personal property to the federal government are already exempt from the GET under section 237-25, Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS); therefore, the exemption the Department is asked to study would apply to sales of services and sales of services in conjunction with tangible personal property.

It is also important to note that, for the sales services to be used and consumed in Hawaii, the out-of-state businesses referred to in the Whereas provisions of this resolution would typically be subject to the GET for the same activity that in-state businesses would be, assuming that the out-of-state businesses have nexus with Hawaii. Services used and consumed outside Hawaii are already exempt from the GET, pursuant to section 237-29.53, HRS. In addition, for taxpayers that do business within and without the State, section 237-21, HRS, allows for apportionment.

Department of Taxation Testimony EDB SCR 164 April 14, 2016 Page 2 of 2

If the competitive disadvantage faced by in-state businesses referenced in this measure does in fact exist, it is an issue related to enforcement of the tax laws. The Department has systems in place through which taxpayers may report suspected nonpayment of taxes by other parties. Individuals may anonymously report information on non-filers via email, phone or fax.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments.