



Department of Land and Natural Resources Aha Moku Advisory Committee State of Hawaii Post Office Box 621 Honolulu, Hawaii 96809

Testimony of LESLIE KULOLOIO Chair

Before the House Committee on FINANCE

Friday, April 1, 2016 11:00 AM Conference Room 308

In consideration of SENATE BILL 2453 RELATING TO AQUATIC RESOURCES PENALTIES

Senate Bill 2453 authorizes the court to require a person who violates certain laws pertaining to aquatic resources to complete an aquatic resources educational class administered by DLNR in lieu of or in addition to paying a monetary fine. It authorizes the court to require a person who violates certain laws pertaining to aquatic resources to perform community service administered by DLNR in lieu of paying a monetary fine. **Aha Moku supports this measure.**

We believe that many aquatic violations happen most frequently because people are either ignorant about Hawaii's aquatic laws, or they just don't care. This would change with a strong dose of education on the protection and preservation of the marine environment, and safety for others who frequent the ocean.

Thank you for the opportunity to testimony on SB 2453.



The Judiciary, State of Hawaii

Testimony to the House Committee on Finance

Representative Sylvia Luke, Chair Representative Scott Y. Nishimoto, Vice Chair Friday, April 1, 2016, 11:00 a.m. State Capitol, Conference Room 308

by

WRITTEN TESTIMONY ONLY

Barbara Richardson
Deputy Chief Judge
District Court of the First Circuit

Bill No. and Title: Senate Bill No. 2453, Relating to Aquatic Penalties Resources.

Purpose: Authorizes the court to require a person who violates certain laws pertaining to aquatic resources to complete an aquatic resources educational class administered by DLNR in lieu of or in addition to paying a monetary fine. Authorizes the court to require a person who violates certain laws pertaining to aquatic resources to perform community service administered by DLNR in lieu of paying a monetary fine.

Judiciary's Position:

The Judiciary supports Senate Bill No. 2453. Development of educational programs and community service opportunities as sentencing alternatives is one of the key recommendations by the Environmental Court Working Group as a part of the implementation of Act 218. While prospective fines and/or incarceration serve important roles in inhibiting illegal behavior,

http://www.courts.state.hi.us/docs/news_and_reports_docs/environmental_courts.pdf (last visited Jan. 29, 2016).

¹ Following passage of Act 218, establishing the Environmental Court, the judiciary was asked to convene a working group and prepare a report to the legislature. The Chief Justice convened an Environmental Court Working Group (ECWG), consisting of judges and administrators from each of the four circuits. The working group consulted with DLNR administrators and staff at DOCARE, in addition to other stakeholders, including (but not limited to) county prosecutors, public defenders and attorneys general. ECWG Report, p.11-12. The full report by the ECWG, including a list of participants and stakeholders consulted, is available at:



Senate Bill No. 2453, Relating to Aquatic Resources Penalties House Committee on Finance Friday, April 1, 2016 Page 2

alternative sentencing options offer other advantages that may allow courts to more directly address environmental harm caused by resource violations.

The ability of a court to require an offender to take a comprehensive educational class can accomplish the goals underlying the creation of the Environmental Courts. This allows the court to sentence an offender to pay a fine, perform community service work, and/or take a comprehensive educational class for a single proscribed act. Education about the broader principles of resource management and regulation allows offenders to better understand Hawaii's resource laws to foster a change of behavior.

Alternative sentencing options allow Environmental Court judges to impose sentences that specifically address resource offenses and violators, and will give them the ability to more effectively deter future violations, enhance public knowledge about Hawaii's resource laws and ensure that the punishment for such violations is appropriate.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify on Senate Bill No. 2453.



SB2453 RELATING TO AQUATIC RESOURCES PENALTIES.

House Committee on Finance

April 1, 2016 11:00 a.m. Room 308

The Office of Hawaiian Affairs (OHA) <u>SUPPORTS WITH AMENDMENTS</u> SB2453, which provides judges with the discretion to require potentially transformative educational- and service-based penalties in lieu of or in addition to monetary fines, for convictions of certain aquatic resource laws.

Over the last decade, it has become clear that numerous issues may be inhibiting compliance with aquatic resource laws and regulations under the jurisdiction of the Department of Land and Natural Resources (DLNR). One issue may include the sufficiency of existing criminal penalties to deter or otherwise foster lawful compliance by violators, even after a criminal judgement is rendered. Judges may also be reluctant to impose the mandatory minimum fines for criminal violations of aquatic resource laws, for indigent defendants with little economic means; as a result, judges may be more willing to allow plea deals with little punitive impact, or otherwise decline to impose the sanctions called for in statute.

This measure may help to address such issues through the authorization of alternative, educational- and service-based penalties for aquatic resource violations. Such penalties would allow and encourage judges to meaningfully sanction violators who may not be able to pay the monetary penalties called for in statute. Imposing educational- and community service-type penalties, particularly as they relate to natural or cultural resources, has the added potential benefit of transforming or rehabilitating alleged violators' perspectives regarding the importance of our resource laws, increasing the chances that they will comply with the law in the future. Moreover, service-based penalties may more directly benefit or restore aquatic resources impacted by a violator's actions.

OHA notes that the DLNR and Board of Land and Natural Resources (BLNR) have been recently exploring the use of civil administrative enforcement authorities to address natural resource violations, including aquatic resource violations. Civil penalties for violations of aquatic resource laws may be substantial, with per-specimen fines of up to \$1,000 or more; for indigent defendants, however, the BLNR may face the same challenges as criminal judges in levying such substantial fines. Accordingly, OHA urges the Committee to similarly grant express alternative-penalty authority to the BLNR, to impose educational- or community service-based penalties in lieu of or in addition to civil

fines. In order to ensure that violators do in fact follow through with such penalties, without requiring the substantial commitment of resources by the DLNR, OHA further recommends that the Committee consider a car registration stopper, or similar mechanism, as proposed in SB1170 of the 2014 Legislature.

Accordingly, OHA urges the Committee to add two new sections to this measure, to read as follows:

SECTION __. Section 187A-12.5, Hawai'i Revised

Statutes, is amended by adding a new subsection (g) to read as follows:

"(g) The board is authorized to require the completion of an aquatic resources educational class administered by the department, or the performance of natural or cultural resource-related community service administered by the department, in lieu of or in addition to any administrative fines imposed under this section; provided that the person who performs any such community service shall not be considered to be an employee of the board, and the community service shall not constitute employment. The board may direct the appropriate county authorities of motor vehicles to deny any application for the registration of a motor vehicle if the board finds that the applicant failed to comply with any requirement, or failed to pay any administrative fine, fee, or cost imposed by the board under this section, until satisfactory compliance is certified by the board or its agent."

SECTION __. Chapter 286, Hawai'i Revised Statutes, is amended by adding a new section to part III to be appropriately designated and to read as follows:

Denial of application for failure to discharge a requirement or pay a fine imposed by the board of land and natural resources. In addition to any other acts or conditions provided by law, the director of finance of the appropriate county may deny any application for registration if the director of finance has received notification from the board of land and natural resources pursuant to section 187A-12.5(g) that the applicant has failed to comply with a requirement or pay any administrative fine, fee, or cost imposed by the board of land and natural resources for any violation of subtitle 5 of title 12 or any rule adopted thereunder. Unless otherwise provided by law, the director of finance shall not approve an application for registration denied under this section until receipt of certification of satisfactory compliance from the board of land and natural resources pursuant to section 187A-12.5(g)."

Therefore, OHA urges the Committee to **PASS WITH AMENDMENTS** SB2453. Mahalo nui loa for the opportunity to testify on this measure.

From: mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 7:57 AM

To: FINTestimony

Cc: antolinid@gmail.com

Subject: Submitted testimony for SB2453 on Apr 1, 2016 11:00AM

SB2453

Submitted on: 3/31/2016

Testimony for FIN on Apr 1, 2016 11:00AM in Conference Room 308

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Denise Antolini	Malama Pupukea- Waimea	Support	No

Comments: Thank you for supporting this important bill to strengthen our natural resources enforcement system in Hawaii!

Please note that testimony submitted <u>less than 24 hours prior to the hearing</u>, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov

From: mailinglist@capitol.hawaii.gov

Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 5:16 PM

To: FINTestimony

Cc: mendezj@hawaii.edu

Subject: *Submitted testimony for SB2453 on Apr 1, 2016 11:00AM*

SB2453

Submitted on: 3/30/2016

Testimony for FIN on Apr 1, 2016 11:00AM in Conference Room 308

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Javier Mendez-Alvarez	Individual	Support	No

Comments:

Please note that testimony submitted <u>less than 24 hours prior to the hearing</u>, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov

DAVID Y. IGE HAWAII







POST OFFICE BOX 621 HONOLULU, HAWAII 96809

Testimony of SUZANNE D. CASE Chairperson

Before the House Committee on **FINANCE**

Friday, April 1, 2016 11:00 AM State Capitol, Conference Room 308

In consideration of **SENATE BILL 2453** RELATING TO AQUATIC RESOURCES PENALTIES

Senate Bill 2453 proposes to authorize the Judiciary to sentence violators of Hawaii's aquatic resource laws to: 1) complete an aquatic resources educational course in lieu of or in addition to paying a monetary fine, or 2) perform community service administered by the Department of Land and Natural Resources (Department) in lieu of paying a monetary fine. The Department supports this measure.

Existing penalty statutes prescribe mandatory monetary fines for violations of aquatic resource laws. This measure would also provide courts with greater flexibility in issuing sentences appropriate to the circumstances of each case.

In other jurisdictions, alternative sentencing has proven to be more effective than monetary fines in deterring future resource violations. Many resource violations occur as a result of ignorance of or indifference to Hawaii's aquatic resource laws. In such cases, an educational course would educate the violator about Hawaii's various aquatic resources laws and regulations, as well as the ecological, social, and cultural reasons for those laws. Violators who complete the course can also serve as a vehicle to reach user groups from diverse backgrounds, thereby increasing baseline knowledge about aquatic resources and the negative impacts caused by unlawful fishing activities.

In some cases, violations are committed intentionally due to the significant financial gain that can be realized from illegal extraction of aquatic resources. For these violators, fines may simply be viewed as a cost of doing business. In this respect, community service requirements may provide a more effective deterrent; rather than simply paying a fine and being free to resume

SUZANNE D. CASE CHAIRPERSON
BOARD OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES
COMMISSION ON WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

KEKOA KALUHIWA

JEFFREY T. PEARSON, P.E. DEPUTY DIRECTOR - WATER

AQUATIC RESOURCES
BOATING AND OCEAN RECREATION
BUREAU OF CONVEYANCES
COMMISSION ON WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT
CONSERVATION AND COASTAL LANDS
CONSERVATION AND RESOURCES ENFORCEMENT
ENGINEERING
FORESTRY AND WILDLIFE
HISTORIC PRESERVATION
KAHOOLAWE ISLAND RESERVE COMMISSION
LAND

LAND STATE PARKS

their activities, violators will be required to spend precious time meeting their obligation to the court.

For aquatic resources violations, an educational curriculum already exists and can be easily implemented. Through its Makai Watch program, the Department has already developed an educational curriculum that it uses to train member groups. This curriculum provides a broad overview of aquatic resource statutes and regulations, as well as information about closed seasons, restricted areas and methods of extraction. Additionally, the Department has already successfully developed an online course for boaters, and is engaged in a similar process with respect to hunter education.

Finally, the Department notes that the option to impose alternative sentences does not preclude the judiciary from imposing fines or other penalties authorized by statute. As such, educational courses and community service are simply additional tools in the Judiciary's toolbox as it seeks to craft sentences that will deter future violations while benefiting society and the environment.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide testimony in support of Senate Bill 2453.