DAVID Y. IGE GOVERNOR





Testimony by:

FORD N. FUCHIGAMI DIRECTOR

Deputy Directors JADE T. BUTAY ROSS M. HIGASHI EDWIN H. SNIFFEN DARRELL T. YOUNG

IN REPLY REFER TO:

STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION 869 PUNCHBOWL STREET HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813-5097

March 29, 2016 2:00PM State Capitol, Room 325

S.B. 2246 RELATING TO TESTING FOR INTOXICANTS

House Committee on Judiciary

The Department of Transportation (DOT) **supports** S.B. 2246. This bill would repeal Section 291E-68, Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS), relating to the refusal to submit to a breath, blood, or urine test for those arrested for operating a vehicle under the influence of an intoxicant (OVUII).

A recent Hawaii Supreme Court decision has determined that the refusal to submit to a test to determine alcohol concentration was not admissible under the implied consent law as it threatened the driver with the possibility of jail. By not repealing this law, it would jeopardize the prosecution of cases under the OVUII law.

The DOT urges your support in passing S.B. 2246 as it would continue to remove the drunk drivers from our roads, thereby keeping Hawaii's residents and visitors safe.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide testimony.

Justin F. Kollar Prosecuting Attorney

Jennifer S. Winn First Deputy



Rebecca A. Vogt Second Deputy

Diana Gausepohl-White Victim/Witness Program Director

OFFICE OF THE PROSECUTING ATTORNEY

County of Kaua'i, State of Hawai'i 3990 Ka'ana Street, Suite 210, Līhu'e, Hawai'i 96766 808-241-1888 ~ FAX 808-241-1758 Victim/Witness Program 808-241-1898 or 800-668-5734

TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT SB 2246 – RELATING TO TESTING FOR INTOXICANTS

Justin F. Kollar, Prosecuting Attorney County of Kaua'i

House Committee on Judiciary March 29, 2016, 2:00 p.m., Conference Room 325

Chair Rhoads, Vice Chair San Buenaventura, and Members of the Committee:

The County of Kaua'i, Office of the Prosecuting Attorney, <u>SUPPORTS</u> SB 2246 – Relating to Testing for Intoxicants

Although our Office has maintained a consistent and strong track record of supporting efforts to detect, arrest and prosecute drunk drivers in Hawai'i, it is clear for the reasons stated in the testimony of the Maui Prosecutor's office that the approach taken in HRS 291E-68 has not achieved the desired result. Keeping the statute on the books at this point creates the opportunity for ongoing challenges to the provisions of our implied consent law and at this point is more of a hindrance than a help.

Accordingly, we SUPPORT SB 2246. We request that your Committee PASS the Bill.

Thank you very much for the opportunity to provide testimony on this Bill.



Office of the Public Defender State of Hawaii Timothy Ho, Chief Deputy Public Defender



Testimony of the Office of the Public Defender, State of Hawaii to the House Committee on Judiciary

March 29, 2016 2:00 p.m.

S.B. No. 2246: RELATING TO TESTING FOR INTOXICANTS

Chair Rhoads and Members of the Committee:

The Office of the Public Defender supports this measure, which repeals §291E-68, H.R.S., after the Supreme Court of Hawaii in *State v. Won*, 136 Haw. 292 (2015) ruled that the election of a warrantless search was not consensual because the criminal penalties for refusing a breath or blood test were coercive, and forced a suspect to choose between constitutional rights and a possible jail sentence.

Our office was the sole opponent to the legislation which criminalized refusals back in 2010, and also opposed legislation amending the statute in 2012. **§291E-68, H.R.S. must be repealed** in order to comply with the *Won* decision.



Office of the Public Defender State of Hawaii Timothy Ho, Chief Deputy Public Defender



Testimony of the Office of the Public Defender, State of Hawaii to the House Committee on Judiciary

March 29, 2016 2:00 p.m.

S.B. No. 2246: RELATING TO TESTING FOR INTOXICANTS

Chair Rhoads and Members of the Committee:

The Office of the Public Defender supports this measure, which repeals §291E-68, H.R.S., after the Supreme Court of Hawaii in *State v. Won*, 136 Haw. 292 (2015) ruled that the election of a warrantless search was not consensual because the criminal penalties for refusing a breath or blood test were coercive, and forced a suspect to choose between constitutional rights and a possible jail sentence.

Our office was the sole opponent to the legislation which criminalized refusals back in 2010, and also opposed legislation amending the statute in 2012. **§291E-68, H.R.S. must be repealed** in order to comply with the *Won* decision.

POLICE DEPARTMENT

CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU

801 SOUTH BERETANIA STREET · HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813 TELEPHONE: (808) 529-3111 · INTERNET, www.honolulupd.org

KIRK CALDWELL MAYOR



LOUIS M. KEALOHA CHIEF

MARIE A. MCCAULEY CARY OKIMOTO DEPUTY CHIEFS

OUR REFERENCE DI-GR

March 29, 2016

The Honorable Karl Rhoads, Chair and Members Committee on Judiciary House of Representatives Hawaii State Capitol 415 South Beretania Street Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Dear Chair Rhoads and Members:

SUBJECT: Senate Bill No. 2246, Relating to Testing for Intoxicants

I am Darren Izumo, Major of the Traffic Division of the Honolulu Police Department (HPD), City and County of Honolulu. The HPD supports the passage of Senate Bill No. 2246, Relating to Testing for Intoxicants.

As a result of the Hawaii Supreme Court State vs. Won decision, the HPD no longer charges arrestees with Hawaii Revised Statutes Section 291E-68, Refusal to submit to breath, blood, or urine test.

The HPD urges you to support Senate Bill No. 2246, Relating to Testing for Intoxicants.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

Sincerely,

akafor

Darren Izumo, Major Traffic Division

APPROVED:

Louis M. Kealoha Chief of Police

Serving and Protecting With Aloha



JOHN D. KIM Prosecuting Attorney

ROBERT D. RIVERA First Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

LATE TESTIMONY

DEPARTMENT OF THE PROSECUTING ATTORNEY COUNTY OF MAUI 150 S. HIGH STREET WAILUKU, MAUI, HAWAI'I 96793 PHONE (808) 270-7777 • FAX (808) 270-7625

CONTACT: RICHARD K. MINATOYA Deputy Prosecuting Attorney Supervisor - Appellate, Asset Forfeiture and Administrative Services Division

TESTIMONY ON SB 2246 - RELATING TO TESTING FOR INTOXICANTS

March 29, 2016

The Honorable Karl Rhoads Chair The Honorable Joy A. San Buenaventura Vice Chair and Members House Committee on Judiciary

Chair Rhoads, Vice Chair San Buenaventura and Members of the Committee:

The Department of the Prosecuting Attorney, County of Maui strongly supports SB 2246. This measure will <u>repeal</u> Hawaii Revised Statutes § 291E-68, which was enacted pursuant to Act 166, Session Laws of Hawaii 2010. Under this statute, it is a petty misdemeanor for an individual to refuse to submit to a breath, blood, or urine test as required by HRS § 291E-15.

On November 25, 2015, the Hawai'i Supreme Court in <u>State v. Yong Shik Won</u>, 136 Hawai'i 292, 361 P.3d 1195 (2015), held as follows:

Where arrest, conviction, and imprisonment are threatened if consent to search is not give, the threat infringes upon and oppresses the unfettered will and free choice of the person to whom it is made, whether by calculation or effect. <u>See [State v. Trainor</u>, 83 Hawai'i 250, 261-63, 925 P.2d 818, 829-31 (1996)] (finding that a permissive response to a request to search the defendant resulted from "inherently coercive" circumstances that were "calculated to overbear [the defendant's] will"); [<u>State v. Pau'u</u>, 72 Haw. 505, 508, 824 P.2d 833, 835 (1992)] (same). Thus, the threat of the criminal sanction communicated by the Implied

Consent Form for refusal to submit to a BAC test is inherently coercive.

Id., 136 Hawai'i at 309, 361 P.3d at 1213 (some bracketed material added, some provided).¹

In light of the above pronouncement by the Hawai'i Supreme Court, HRS § 291E-68 must be repealed. This is because if HRS § 291E-68 remains on the books, a law enforcement officer must still inform an arrestee, upon initial refusal to provide a sample, of sanctions under HRS § 291E-68 as required by HRS § 291E-15(1).

Accordingly, based upon the above considerations, the Department of the Prosecuting Attorney, County of Maui, requests that this measure be PASSED.

Thank you very much for the opportunity to testify.

-|

¹ Moreover, in the concurring opinion of <u>Won</u>, Associate Justice Wilson asserted that Hawai'i Revised Statutes § 291E-68 is "unconstitutional on its face." <u>Won</u>, 136 Hawai'i at 331-32, 361 P.3d at 1234-35.