

STATE OF HAWAII
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
P. O. Box 3378
Honolulu, HI 96801-3378
doh.testimony@doh.hawaii.gov

**Testimony in SUPPORT of HB939
RELATING TO AMENDING IDENTITY OF REGISTRANT'S PARENT ON A
BIRTH CERTIFICATE.**

REPRESENTATIVE DELLA BELATTI, CHAIR
HOUSE COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

Hearing Date: February 13, 2015

Room Number: 329

1 **Fiscal Implications:** None.

2 **Department Testimony:** The Department of Health **strongly supports** HB939, which is part of
3 the Governor's Bill Package.

4 HB939 clarifies that amendments to birth certificates that change or establish parenthood may
5 only be made by DOH pursuant to a court order or pursuant to a legal establishment of
6 parenthood pursuant to chapter 584, HRS.

7 The department has recently been compelled to amend or establish parenthood base on chapter
8 92F, HRS, "Uniform Information Practices Act" (UIPA), which DOH contends is inappropriate
9 legal authority. Not only does this create parallel and conflicting standards for amending the
10 birth certificate, but parental rights can be established and terminated without affording affected
11 parties due process.

12 Furthermore, section 92F-2, HRS, describes the purpose of UIPA is to support the State's policy
13 "...that the formation and conduct of public policy – the discussions, deliberations, decisions,
14 and action of government agencies – shall be conducted as openly as possible." The section
15 further states, "This chapter shall be applied and construed to promote its underlying purposes
16 and policies, which are to:

17 (1) Promote the public interest in disclosure;

18 (2) Provide for accurate, relevant, timely, and complete government records;


1 (3) Enhance governmental accountability through a general policy of access to government
2 records;

3 (4) Make government accountable to individuals in the collection, use, and dissemination of
4 information relating to them; and

5 (5) Balance the individual privacy interest and the public access interest, allowing access
6 unless it would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy."

7 The amendment or establishment of parenthood on a birth certificate clearly does not satisfy the
8 preceding five purposes and policies.

9 Thank you for the opportunity to testify.



TESTIMONY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TWENTY-EIGHTH LEGISLATURE, 2015

ON THE FOLLOWING MEASURE:

H.B. NO. 939, RELATING TO AMENDING IDENTITY OF REGISTRANT'S PARENT ON A BIRTH CERTIFICATE.

BEFORE THE:

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

DATE: Friday, February 13, 2015

TIME: 9:00 a.m.

LOCATION: State Capitol, Room 329

TESTIFIER(S): Russell A. Suzuki, Attorney General, or
Jill T. Nagamine, Deputy Attorney General

Chair Belatti and Members of the Committee:

The Department of the Attorney General strongly supports this bill.

Section 338-15, Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS), authorizes a person born in this State to file or amend a vital records certificate after the time prescribed¹ upon submitting proof as required by rules adopted by the Department of Health (DOH). This bill proposes an amendment to section 338-15, HRS, which would clarify and confirm that amendments to birth certificates that would change or establish the identity of a parent may only be made by the DOH pursuant to a court order or pursuant to a legal establishment of parenthood pursuant to chapter 584, HRS, and cannot be made as a correction to a personal record as provided by chapter 92F, HRS.

This amendment is necessary because:

- (1) Without it, parental rights can be established and terminated without affording parents their constitutional due process rights;
- (2) Without it, the DOH, which has already been sued in state circuit court numerous times to change the identity of parents on birth certificates, will continue to be assessed costs and attorneys' fees in some cases, even though it is following the law and its rules; and
- (3) Without it, the standards for accuracy of data on Hawaii birth certificates will be compromised in favor of allowing persons to change their parents at will without notice to those parents and without any judicial safeguards to protect parental rights.

¹ State of Hawaii, Department of Health, Public Health Regulation, Chapter 8, Section 2 provides: "Time allowed for birth report. A certificate of every live birth shall be filed within 7 days from date of occurrence."

Birth certificates and parentage

Registration of births is compulsory in Hawaii. Birthing facilities, physicians, midwives, or other legally authorized persons in attendance at a birth, including the parents if no one else is in attendance, are required by law to register a birth within seven days of its occurrence. Public Health Regulations, Chapter 8, Section 2. There are legal presumptions concerning parenthood of a child that are established by law. Section 584-4, HRS. Certificates filed within thirty days are prima facie evidence of the facts stated therein. Section 338-12, HRS. Birth certificates are used as prima facie evidence to prove identity, citizenship, parenthood, age, sex, and race. The Uniform Parentage Act, chapter 584, HRS, defines a parent and child relationship and provides the means to determine or establish that relationship. Chapter 584 requires notice be given to alleged and presumed parents when an action is brought to determine a parent and child relationship.

Constitutional rights of parents

As stated many times by the Hawaii Supreme Court, the rights of parents are protected by both the State and Federal Constitutions:

Important constitutional interests provide additional reason for providing parents a full and fair opportunity to present their case in custody decisions. Indeed, a parent's right to the "care, custody and control" of his or her child is a fundamental liberty interest protected by the United States Constitution. *Troxel v. Granville*, 530 U.S. 57, 65, 120 S.Ct. 2054, 147 L.Ed.2d 49 (2000) ("[T]he interest of parents in the care, custody, and control of their children is perhaps the oldest of the fundamental liberty interests recognized by this Court."). This court has also recognized that independent of the United States Constitution "parents have a substantive liberty interest in the care, custody, and control of their children protected by the due process clause of article 1, section 5 of the Hawai'i Constitution. Parental rights guaranteed under the Hawai'i Constitution would mean little if parents were deprived of the custody of their children without a fair hearing." *In re Doe*, 99 Hawai'i 522, 533, 57 P.3d 447, 458 (2002).

AC v. AC, 134 Hawaii 221, 339 P.3d 719, 731 (2014).

Allowing or requiring the DOH to amend the identity of parents on birth certificates without the protections of a judicial determination or the requirements of chapter 584, HRS, would amount to a denial of the due process rights of parents who are not notified but whose names are removed from birth certificates. One foreseeable and nightmarish consequence of allowing this interpretation could occur in cases of married couples who conceive their child

with donated genetic material. Although under chapter 584 they are presumed parents of a child born to them during their marriage, one or both of them could lose their rights without a hearing, and even without notice, if the child demanded a correction to the birth certificate based on test results that matched the child's DNA to the DNA of the donor of the genetic material. Another scenario would allow a child to add a father to the birth certificate where one was never listed before, without giving the man whose name was to be added an opportunity to contest the paternity. Neither the Attorney General nor the DOH subscribe to this interpretation of section 338-15, HRS, but some courts do, and thus, section 338-15, HRS, needs the important amendment proposed by this bill.

The DOH can correct its records but cannot establish or terminate a parental relationship

The DOH is allowed to administratively correct spelling errors or simple mistakes on birth certificates, and this bill would not change that. This bill would ensure that the DOH could not effectively terminate parental rights by changing the identity of a parent on a birth certificate after that birth certificate has already been prima facie evidence of another parental relationship all of the birth registrant's life. Changes like that cannot be taken lightly and cannot be treated as a mere mistake that needs correction.

The DOH's own rules already mandate a court order or a legal establishment of parenthood pursuant to chapter 584, HRS, before it changes the identity of a parent on a birth certificate, but DOH's interpretation of its own rules has been challenged in circuit court, with varying results. There have been cases of birth registrants seeking to change the identity of their parents on their birth certificates by characterizing their requested change as a "correction" of their record based on section 92F-24, HRS, which gives a person a right to request an agency to correct a factual error in that person's personal record. Section 92F-24 allows an agency twenty days to make the requested amendment or refuse to make it and provide the reason for the refusal. Upon a refusal, the person who requested the change has a right to bring a civil action pursuant to section 92F-27, HRS, and ultimately, if the court makes a judicial determination of parenthood, even if that determination is pursuant to chapter 584 (which is what the DOH believes is required), then the DOH is responsible for the requestor's costs and attorney's fees, because the original request was made and the lawsuit was brought pursuant to section 92F-27. There are already two cases in which the DOH was assessed attorney's fees and costs to change a

father on a birth certificate. One resulted in a judgment against the DOH in the amount of \$5,527.73 and the other resulted in a judgment of \$41,953.62.²

Accuracy of Hawaii's vital records

Hawaii prides itself on the accuracy of its vital records. That accuracy is achieved by the laws that mandate birth registration and that carefully designate which persons are presumed to be parents. Allowing a change to the identity of a parent by substituting one person for another and changing a whole legal parental relationship, as if doing so was a mere correction to a typographical error or a mistake in recording, will endanger the accuracy of the vital records system.

Conclusion

Passage of this bill would assure that the due process rights of parents could not be taken away by a mere clerical action, it would support DOH's longstanding interpretation of its own law and rules, it would maintain the accuracy of vital records in Hawaii, and it would protect the State from significant unnecessary costs and attorney's fees.

We respectfully request that this bill be passed.

² The Department of the Attorney General plans to appeal the second case as soon as a final judgment is filed.

OFFICE OF INFORMATION PRACTICES

STATE OF HAWAII
NO. 1 CAPITOL DISTRICT BUILDING
250 SOUTH HOTEL STREET, SUITE 107
HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813
TELEPHONE: 808-586-1400 FAX: 808-586-1412
EMAIL: oiip@hawaii.gov

To: House Committee on Health

From: Cheryl Kakazu Park, Director

Date: February 13, 2015, 9:00 a.m.
State Capitol, Conference Room 329

Re: Testimony on H.B. No. 939
Relating to Amending Identity of Registrant's Parent
on a Birth Certificate

Thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony on this bill, which would clarify that changes to parenthood on birth certificates must be made pursuant to a court order or other legal process for establishing parenthood, and not through the provisions of the Uniform Information Practices Act ("UIPA"), chapter 92F, HRS, allowing an individual to correct his or her personal record. The Office of Information Practices ("OIP") believes that UIPA's correction of personal record provisions are not the appropriate venue for an individual seeking to change the parentage reflected on a birth certificate, and therefore supports the clarification proposed by this bill.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify.