PRESENTATION OF THE BOARD OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTANCY

TO THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

TWENTY-EIGHTH LEGISLATURE Regular Session of 2016

Thursday, March 3, 2016 2:00 p.m.

TESTIMONY ON HOUSE BILL NO. 1669, H.D. 1, RELATING TO PUBLIC ACCOUNTANCY.

TO THE HONORABLE SYLVIA LUKE, CHAIR, AND MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE:

My name is Nelson Lau, and I am the Chairperson of the Board of Public Accountancy ("Board"). Thank you for the opportunity to testify on behalf of the Board in strong support of House Bill No. 1669, House Draft 1, Relating to Public Accountancy.

PART I: SECTION 1 and SECTION 2

Peer review in chapter 466, Hawaii Revised Statutes ("HRS") is currently defined as "a study, appraisal, or review of one or more aspects of the professional work of a firm that issues attest reports by a person or persons who hold permits to practice public accountancy under section 466-7 and who are not affiliated with the firm being reviewed". This means that a peer review done by a CPA firm that does not hold a Hawaii firm permit to practice would not be acceptable to the Board in fulfillment of the peer review requirement for the renewal of the reviewed CPA firm's Hawaii firm permit.

However, the Board has found that many Hawaii CPA firms have engaged outof-State CPA firms as peer reviewers for many years, building a working relationship and professional rapport with these peer reviewers which results in a quality peer review reflecting the insight and deeper understanding of the firm's professional work that comes from having a long-term affiliation. The Board believes that to now cause these Hawaii CPA firms to abandon these out-of-State peer reviewers would adversely affect our CPA firms. The alternative, which is to cause these out-of-State peer reviewers to obtain licensure in the State of Hawaii, would likely still result in the termination of these long-standing relationships, as such Hawaii licensure may not be in the business plans of these out-of-State CPA peer reviewers. Many times, the peer review may be the only nexus the out-of-State CPA peer reviewer has with Hawaii, with no other CPA engagements that would necessitate Hawaii licensure.

By redefining "peer review" to include peer reviews performed by out-of-State CPAs and CPA firms, Hawaii CPA firms that are required to have peer reviews as a condition of the renewal of their firm permits on December 31, 2017 will be able to continue to have their peer reviews done by their existing out-of-State peer reviewers. In addition, the Board is concerned that there may be an inadequate number of Hawaii peer reviewers to perform the peer reviews that are required; therefore, the amendment to the definition of "peer review" would allow the Hawaii CPA firm the option of engaging the services of a peer reviewer licensed to practice public accounting in another state.

PART I: SECTION 3 and SECTION 4

House Bill No. 1669 House Draft 1, also proposes to increase deadlines for the filing of peer review compliance reporting forms and appealing certain peer review ratings from ten (10) to thirty (30) calendar days. Generally, thirty (30) calendar days is

the customary and accepted time for official notification, as reflected in HRS chapter 436B, the Professional and Vocational Licensing Act. Here, in the "Licensing Model Act", thirty (30) calendar days is the standard time for a licensee to notify the licensing authority of any judgment, award, disciplinary sanction, order, or other determination adjudging the licensee in this State or any other jurisdiction. Thirty (30) calendar days is also the standard time of notice to the licensing authority of any changes to a licensee's mailing, business, or residence address.

The Board believes that the existing ten (10) day notice deadline for submitting a CPA firm's peer review compliance report may be extremely difficult for Hawaii CPA firms to meet. In addition, it was unclear whether the submission deadline was ten (10) calendar or business days. The proposed amendment would clarify that the notice deadline is thirty (30) calendar days from receipt of documentation verifying the completion of a peer review, and would enable Hawaii CPA firms to timely comply.

In addition, the Board believes that increasing the existing deadline to file an appeal to the Board relating to a "pass with deficiency" or a "fail" rating on its peer review from ten (10) to thirty (30) calendar days, would provide adequate time for the CPA firm to appeal to the Board on a matter that may result in a severe sanction such as the denial, termination, or nonrenewal of its firm permit to practice.

PART II: SECTION 5

Section 5 of the bill relates to the Peer Review Oversight Committee ("PROC"), which was established by the Board pursuant to section 466-42, HRS, for the oversight of sponsoring organizations, which are defined as third-party entities that meet the

Testimony on House Bill No. 1669, H.D. 1 Thursday, March 3, 2016 Page 4

standards for administering a peer review. As described earlier, a peer review is the appraisal performed by a Certified Public Accountant ("CPA") firm on the professional work of another CPA firm that does attestation services, such as audits, reviews and compilations.

The general purposes of the PROC are to: (1) monitor sponsoring organizations to ensure that peer reviews are being conducted and reported in accordance with standards for performing and reporting on peer reviews adopted by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Peer Review Board; (2) review the policies and procedures of sponsoring organization applicants as to their conformity with the peer review standards of any applicable peer review organization and the statutes of the Board; and (3) report to the Board on the conclusions and recommendations reached as a result of performing the functions in (1) and (2) above.

The purpose of this section of the bill is to provide for: (1) the reimbursement for expenses necessary for the performance of the duties of the PROC; and (2) the immunity from any civil liability for any act done in furtherance of the purposes for which the PROC was established.

The PROC consists of three Hawaii-licensed Certified Public Accountants who practice public accountancy in the State of Hawaii. In the performance of their duties, these CPA members of the PROC convene meetings that are subject to HRS chapter 92 (Public Agency Meetings and Records), attend meetings of the Board when necessary, and attend meetings of the sponsoring organizations' peer review committees. The Board believes that the necessary expenses related to these and

other pertinent duties incurred by the PROC members should be reimbursed to the extent that they are related to the purposes of the PROC. The proposed language in this section of the bill is identical to existing language in section 466-15(c), HRS, pertaining to Advisory Committees, which in turn mirrors the provisions relating to advisory committees established by the Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs ("DCCA") pursuant to section 26-9(s), HRS.

Other duties of the PROC include reviewing and evaluating confidential information concerning specific CPA firms or CPA peer reviewers, and issuing reports to the Board on the continued reliability of sponsoring organizations' peer reviews. The performance of these duties and the importance of the responsibilities in the oversight of the peer review sponsoring organizations and the peer reviewers may subject the PROC and its individual CPA members to civil liability. The possibility of such liability should not impair the judgment of the members of the PROC, nor should it influence the PROC's assessment of the peer review programs. Thus, this section of the bill makes the PROC members immune from civil liability when performing their official duties and responsibilities. The proposed language in this section of the bill is identical to existing language in section 466-15(d), HRS, pertaining to Advisory Committees, which also mirrors the provisions relating to advisory committees established by the DCCA pursuant to section 26-9(s), HRS.

Like members of advisory committees, the members of the PROC serve as volunteers and receive no compensation for the performance of their duties and responsibilities. However, without the amendments proposed in Section 5 of this bill,

Testimony on House Bill No. 1669, H.D. 1 Thursday, March 3, 2016 Page 6

PROC members would not be afforded the same considerations as members of an advisory committee in the reimbursement of necessary expenses and in indemnification from civil liability.

PART III: SECTION 6

Pursuant to section 466-32, HRS, a peer review process has been established to review the attest work of CPA firms. Attest work includes: (1) any audit, review, compilation, and any examination of prospective financial information, which are engagements performed in accordance with standards of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants; (2) any engagement to be performed in accordance with government auditing standards; and (3) any engagement to be performed in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board. Simply put, attestation is the process of independent examination performed by a CPA of the validity of an entity's financial data. This objective evaluation encompasses all research done, including all of the testing and examination of a company's financial data. The goal of an attest function is for the CPA to express an opinion on a company's financial statements and provide some assurance as to their accuracy. As such, it is one of the most important duties of any CPA.

Hawaii CPA firms that perform attest work are required to undergo a peer review every three (3) years. As an integral part of the peer review, the firm's Hawaii offices, if any, and Hawaii attest engagements must be included in the scope of the peer review. Therefore, performance of Hawaii attest work by a CPA firm is the reason these firms must undergo peer review. In fact, the terms "Hawaii attest work" and "Hawaii attest

engagement" are used throughout the statute, appearing nearly twenty (20) times within the sections of the statute relating to peer review. In spite of the term being the actual basis for a firm having to undergo a peer review, the Board has found that many firms are unclear as to what constitutes a Hawaii attest engagement and have appealed to the Board for clarification. This section of the measure proposes to clearly define "Hawaii attest work" to ensure that CPA firms that are required to undergo peer review understand what professional work should be included in the scope of the peer review.

The proposed definition clarifies that the Hawaii attest work is professional work done for clients of a CPA firm who/that are: (1) an individual who is a Hawaii resident; (2) a person, entity, firm, or trust that is domiciled in Hawaii or whose principal or home office is physically located in this State; or (3) a subsidiary that has a physical presence in Hawaii and has a separate, stand-alone financial statement or report issued on that subsidiary.

PROPOSED PART IV: SECTION 7

Lastly, the Board proposes the following amendment to House Bill No. 1669 House Draft 1, to clarify one of the requirements relating to the Hawaii supplement to the peer review report pursuant to section 466-36(a)(2), HRS. This clarification is needed to respond to a number of inquiries received by the Board from CPA firms that are required to undergo an additional review of the CPA firm's Hawaii attest engagements as a supplement to its overall peer review. When the CPA firm's overall peer review does not include within its scope the required Hawaii attest engagement, the firm is required to engage the services of a peer reviewer to perform the Hawaii

Testimony on House Bill No. 1669, H.D. 1 Thursday, March 3, 2016 Page 8

supplement. The Board proposes to add the words "one or more" to make clear that the peer reviewer is required to select one or more engagements from the list of engagements obtained from the reviewed firm. This amendment is attached to my testimony for this Committee's consideration.

In closing, the Board strongly supports House Bill No. 1669 House Draft 1, as amended with the Board's suggested changes. A proposed House Draft 2 is attached for your consideration. Thank you for this opportunity to testify. I will be available to answer any questions you may have.

A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO PUBLIC ACCOUNTANCY.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

1	PART I
2	SECTION 1. Section 466-3, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
3	amended by amending the definition of "peer review" to read as
4	follows:
5	""Peer review" means a study, appraisal, or review of one
6	or more aspects of the professional work of a firm that issues
7	attest reports by a person or persons who hold permits to
8	practice public accountancy under section 466-7 or are licensed
9	to practice public accountancy in any other state and who are
10	not affiliated with the firm being reviewed."
11	SECTION 2. Section 466-35, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
12	amended by amending subsection (b) to read as follows:
13	"(b) A firm shall include, with the peer review compliance
14	reporting form, the contemporaneous Hawaii supplement to the
15	peer review report pursuant to section 466-36, if:

1	(1)	A peer review report from an approved sponsoring
2		organization does not include the selection of a
3		Hawaii office or Hawaii attest engagement;
4	(2)	The peer reviewer does not hold permits to practice
5		public accountancy under section 466-7[, and is
6		required to have permits to practice under section
7		466-7,] or is not licensed to practice public
8	·	accountancy in any other state, except inspectors for
9		the public company accounting oversight board; or
10	(3)	The final report resulting from any inspection by the
11		public company accounting oversight board firm
12		inspection program does not include the firm's Hawaii
13		offices, if any, and Hawaii attest engagements in the
14		scope of the inspection, and the firm is not required
15		to enroll in another peer review program under section
16		466-34."
17	SECT	ION 3. Section 466-38, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
18	amended b	y amending subsection (b) to read as follows:
19	"(b)	For peer reviews scheduled after December 31, 2014,
20	any repor	t or document required to be submitted under subsection
21	(a) shall	be filed with the board as follows:

1	(1)	Firms enrolled in the American Institute of Certified
2		Public Accountants and Hawaii Society of Certified
3		Public Accountants peer review programs and
4		administered by the Hawaii Society of Certified Public
5		Accountants, within [ten] thirty calendar days of
6		receipt of the notice of completion from the Hawaii
7		Society of Certified Public Accountants, shall
8		complete the peer review compliance reporting form
9		under section 466-35 and submit the form to the board
10		along with the required documents;
11	(2)	Firms otherwise enrolled in the American Institute of
12		Certified Public Accountants peer review program,
13		including those whose peer reviews are administered by
14		the National Peer Review Committee, within [ten]
15		thirty calendar days of receipt of the notice of
16		completion from the sponsoring organization, shall
17		complete the peer review compliance reporting form
18		under section 466-35 and submit the form to the board
19		along with the required documents;
20	(3)	Firms enrolled in the public company accounting
21		oversight board inspection program shall, within [ten]

1		thirty calendar days of receipt of the issuance of the
2		Part I report from the public company accounting
3		oversight board, complete the peer review compliance
4		reporting form required by section 466-35 and submit
5		the form to the board along with the required
6		documents; and
7	(4)	Firms enrolled in any other peer review program
8		approved by this part shall submit the report
9		generated by that review process and all associated
10		documentation to the board in a form acceptable to the
11		board."
12	SECT	ION 4. Section 466-39, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
13	amended b	y amending subsection (a) to read as follows:
14	"(a)	A firm shall have [ten] thirty calendar days after
15	the filin	g of the peer review compliance reporting form to
16	appeala	"pass with deficiency" or a "fail" rating that may
17	result in	the denial, termination, or nonrenewal of a permit to
18	practice.	π
19		PART II
20	SECT	ION 5. Section 466-42, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
21	amended t	o read as follows:

21

H.B. NO. PROPOSED H.D. 2

1	"[+]"	§466-42[] Peer review oversight committee. (a) The
2	board sha	ll establish a peer review oversight committee for the
3	purpose o	f:
4	(1)	Monitoring sponsoring organizations to ensure that
5		peer reviews are being conducted and reported in
6		accordance with standards for performing and reporting
7		on peer reviews adopted by the American Institute of
8		Certified Public Accountants Peer Review Board;
9	(2)	Reviewing the policies and procedures of sponsoring
10		organization applicants as to their conformity with
11		the peer review standards of any applicable peer
12		review organization and this part; and
13	(3)	Reporting to the board on the conclusions and
14		recommendations reached as a result of performing the
15		functions in paragraphs (1) and (2).
16	(b)	Except to the extent otherwise required under this
17	section a	nd section 466-41(b), information concerning a specific
18	firm or r	eviewer obtained by the peer review oversight committee
9	during ov	ersight activities shall be confidential and shall not

20 be subject to discovery, pursuant to section 466-32, and reports

submitted to the board by the peer review oversight committee

H.B. NO. PROPOSED

- 1 shall not contain information concerning specific firms or
- 2 reviewers. Members of the peer review oversight committee shall
- 3 be required to execute confidentiality statements for the
- 4 sponsoring organization that they oversee.
- 5 (c) Effective January 1, 2013, the peer review oversight
- 6 committee shall consist of three individuals who hold permits to
- 7 practice under section 466-7. No member of the peer review
- 8 oversight committee shall be a current member of the board, the
- 9 Hawaii Society of Certified Public Accountants Peer Review or
- 10 Professional Ethics Committees, or the American Institute of
- 11 Certified Public Accountants Professional Ethics Executive
- 12 Committee. The members shall have significant experience with
- 13 attest engagements and currently be in the practice of public
- 14 accountancy at the partner or equivalent level. The member's
- 15 firm shall have received a report with a rating of pass or an
- 16 unmodified opinion from its last peer review.
- 17 (d) The peer review oversight committee shall make an
- 18 annual recommendation to the board as to the qualifications of
- 19 an approved sponsoring organization to continue as an approved
- 20 sponsoring organization on the basis of the results of the
- 21 following procedures:

1	(1)	Wher	e the sponsoring organization is:
2		(A)	The American Institute of Certified Public
3			Accountants;
4		(B)	A state certified public accountant society,
5			including the Hawaii Society of Certified Public
6			Accountants, fully involved in administering the
7			American Institute of Certified Public
8			Accountants peer review program; or
9		(C)	The public company accounting oversight board,
10			the peer review oversight committee shall review
11			the published reports of the entity or the
12			entity's successor to determine whether there is
13			an acceptable level of oversight; and
14	(2)	Wher	e the sponsoring organization is other than any
15		orga	nization listed in paragraph (1), the peer review
16		over	sight committee shall perform the following
17		func	tions:
18		(A)	At least one member of the peer review oversight
19			committee shall attend at least one meeting of
20			the sponsoring organization's peer review
21			committee; and

1 (B) Duri	ing these visits, the peer review oversight
2 comm	mittee members shall:
3 (i)	Meet with the organization's peer review
4	committee during the committee's
5	consideration of peer review documents;
6 (ii)	Evaluate the organization's procedures for
7	administering the peer review program;
8 (iii)	Examine, on the basis of a random selection,
9	a number of reviews performed by the
10	organization to include, at a minimum, a
11	review of the report on the peer review, the
12	firm's response to the matters discussed,
13	the sponsoring organization's letter of
14	acceptance outlining any additional
15	corrective or monitoring procedures, and the
16	required technical documentation maintained
17	by the sponsoring organization on the
18	selected reviews; and
(iv)	Expand the examination of peer review
20	documents if significant deficiencies,

1			problems, or inconsistencies are encountered
2			during the analysis of the materials.
3	(e)	In t	he evaluation of policies and procedures of
4	sponsorin	g org	anization applicants, the peer review oversight
5	committee	shal	1:
6	(1)	Exam	ine the policies as drafted by the applicant to
7		dete	rmine whether the policies provide reasonable
8		assu	rance of conforming to the standards for peer
9		revi	ews;
10	(2)	Eval	uate the procedures proposed by the applicant to
11		dete	rmine whether:
12		(A)	Assigned reviewers are appropriately qualified to
13			perform the review for the specific firm;
14		(B)	Reviewers are provided with appropriate
15			materials;
16		(C)	The applicant has provided for consultation with
17			the reviewers on problems arising during the
18	,		review and that specified occurrences requiring
19			consultation are outlined;
20		(D)	The applicant has provided for the assessment of
21			the results of the review; and

1	(E) The applicant has provided for an independent
2	report acceptance body that considers and accepts
3	the reports of the review and requires corrective
4	actions by firms with significant deficiencies;
5	and
6	(3) Make recommendations to the board as to approval of
7	the applicant as a sponsoring organization.
8	(f) Annually, the peer review oversight committee shall
9	provide the board with a report on the continued reliability of
10	sponsoring organizations' peer reviews. The peer review
11	oversight committee report shall provide reasonable assurance
12	that peer reviews are being conducted and reported on
13	consistently and in accordance with the Standards for Performing
14	and Reporting on Peer Review adopted by the American Institute
15	of Certified Public Accountants. A summary of oversight visits
16	shall be included with the annual report.
17	(g) The members of the peer review oversight committee
18	shall serve without compensation, but shall be reimbursed for
19	necessary expenses, including travel expenses, that are incurred
20	in the performance of their duties.

1	(h)	No member of the peer review oversight committee shall
2	bear any	civil liability for any action taken as a member of the
3	peer revi	ew oversight committee in furtherance of the purposes
4	for which	the peer review oversight committee was established."
5		PART III
6	SECT	ION 6. Section 466-3, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
7	amended b	y adding a new definition to be appropriately inserted
8	and to re	ad:
9	" <u>"</u> Ha	waii attest work" means attest services provided or
10	attest re	ports issued by an individual or firm licensed and
11	authorize	d to practice public accountancy in this State or any
12	other sta	te, to any of the following clients:
13	(1)	An individual who is a resident of this State;
14	(2)	A person, entity, firm, or trust that is domiciled
15		within this State, or whose principal or home office
16		is physically located within this State; or
17	(3)	A subsidiary that has a physical presence in this
18		State, and has a separate, stand-alone financial
19		statement or report issued on that subsidiary."
20		PART IV

1	SECTION 7. Section 466-36, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
2	amended by amending subsection (a)(2) to read as follows:
3	"(1) Obtain from the reviewed firm a list of Hawaii attest
4	engagements included in the scope of the peer review, in
5	accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public
6	Accountants Standards for Performing and Reporting on Peer
7	Reviews;
8	(2) Select one or more engagements from the list of
9	engagements obtained from the reviewed firm;"
10	PART [IV] <u>V</u>
11	SECTION $[7]8$. This Act does not affect rights and duties
12	that matured, penalties that were incurred, and proceedings that
13	were begun before its effective date.
14	SECTION $[8]9$. Statutory material to be repealed is
15	bracketed and stricken. New statutory material is underscored.
16	SECTION $[9]10$. This Act shall take effect upon its
17	approval.

H.B. NO.

Report Title:

Public Accountancy; Peer Review; Peer Review Oversight Committee

Description:

Redefines "peer review" to include reviews done by out-of-state public accountancy licensees. Increases deadlines for filing of peer review compliance reporting forms and appealing certain ratings from 10 days to 30 calendar days. Allows members of the public accountancy peer review oversight committee to be reimbursed for expenses. Protects the members from civil liability for actions done in furtherance of the purposes of the committee. Defines the term "Hawaii attest work". (HB1669 HD1)

The summary description of legislation appearing on this page is for informational purposes only and is not legislation or evidence of legislative intent.