STAND. COM. REP. NO.

2512

Honolulu, Hawaii

## MAR 0 3 2016

RE: S.B. No. 2681 S.D. 1

Honorable Ronald D. Kouchi President of the Senate Twenty-Eighth State Legislature Regular Session of 2016 State of Hawaii

Sir:

Your Committee on Commerce, Consumer Protection, and Health, to which was referred S.B. No. 2681 entitled:

"A BILL FOR AN ACT RELATING TO CONSUMER CREDIT REPORTING AGENCIES,"

begs leave to report as follows:

The purpose and intent of this measure is to:

- (1) Require a consumer credit reporting agency to create a protective record for protected consumers, including individuals not older than eighteen years old and incapacitated persons, who do not have an existing credit report; and
- (2) Permit a representative of a protected consumer to place a security freeze on a protected consumer's credit report or protective record.

Your Committee received testimony in support of this measure from the Office of Consumer Protection of the Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs and the Consumer Data Industry Association.

Your Committee finds that identity theft impacts millions of people in the country each year, with children remaining particularly vulnerable. According to the Identity Theft Assistance Center, one in forty families with children under the age of eighteen had at least one child whose personal information



STAND. COM. REP. NO. 2512

was compromised. Your Committee further finds that identity theft involving minors is especially concerning, as it often goes unchecked and unnoticed for years. This measure safeguards protected consumers by offering these individuals the same protections as other consumers.

However, your Committee has heard the concerns that this measure requires credit files to be created for protected consumers only for the purpose of freezing such files. Stakeholders from the credit industry oppose this, as it could ultimately lead to additional fraud issues. Your Committee notes that Maryland was the first state in the country to pass a law specifically to protect minors from credit fraud, and since that time, a total of twenty-one states have enacted similar laws based on the Maryland model. The Maryland model establishes a new term of art, known as a "record", and then requires consumer credit reporting agencies, on request of a parent or guardian, to freeze the record of a protected consumer, which prevents the creation of credit files for minors and incapacitated persons.

Your Committee additionally finds that interested stakeholders and the Office of Consumer Protection have held discussions regarding whether to adopt a form of the Maryland model, and how to do so in a manner that is in the best interests of Hawaii consumers. Ultimately, the interested stakeholders and the Office of Consumer Protection were able to agree on the majority of the language under discussion. Amendments to this measure are therefore necessary to incorporate the consensus language.

Accordingly, your Committee has amended this measure by:

- (1) Adopting consensus language from industry stakeholders and the Office of Consumer Protection, which:
  - (A) Requires a consumer credit reporting agency to create a record for protected consumers, including minors under the age of sixteen and incapacitated persons, who do not have an existing credit file;
  - (B) Permits a representative of a protected consumer to request a security freeze on the protected consumer's credit report or any record created for the protected consumer;



STAND. COM. REP. NO. 2512

- (C) Requires a consumer credit reporting agency to place a security freeze within thirty days after receiving a request;
- (D) Prohibits the release of a protected consumer's credit report, information, or any record while the security freeze is in place;
- (E) Specifies the length of time a security freeze for a protected consumer shall remain in effect and the requirements for requesting removal of a security freeze;
- (F) Specifies that consumer credit reporting agencies may charge a reasonable fee not to exceed \$5 for each placement or removal of a security freeze, but specifying that no fee shall be charged if a protected consumer has been the victim of identity theft or if the protected consumer is under the age of sixteen and already has a credit report;
- (G) Specifies that a consumer credit reporting agency may remove a security freeze or delete a record for a protected customer if the security freeze was placed or the record was created based on material misrepresentation of fact;
- (H) Specifies exceptions to the security freeze and record requirements;
- (I) Clarifies penalties for violations; and
- (J) Inserts definitions;
- (2) Inserting an effective date of July 1, 2050, to encourage further discussion; and
- (3) Making technical, nonsubstantive amendments for the purposes of clarity and consistency.

As affirmed by the record of votes of the members of your Committee on Commerce, Consumer Protection, and Health that is attached to this report, your Committee is in accord with the intent and purpose of S.B. No. 2681, as amended herein, and recommends that it pass Second Reading in the form attached hereto



STAND. COM. REP. NO. 2512. Page 4

as S.B. No. 2681, S.D. 1, and be placed on the calendar for Third Reading.

Respectfully submitted on behalf of the members of the Committee on Commerce, Consumer Protection, and Health,

mly of

ROSALYN H. BAKER, Chair



## The Senate Twenty-Eighth Legislature State of Hawai'i

## Record of Votes Committee on Commerce, Consumer Protection, and Health CPH

Bill / Resolution No.:*	Committee	Referral:	Da	ate:	
SB2681	CPH			2-24-16	
The Committee is reconsidering its previous decision on this measure.					
If so, then the previous decision was to:					
The Recommendation is:					
Pass, unamended Pass, with amendments Hold Recommit 2312 2311 2310 2313					
Members		Aye	Aye (WR)	Nay	Excused
BAKER, Rosalyn H. (C)					
KIDANI, Michelle N. (VC)		~			···· ·· ··· ··· ··· ···
ESPERO, Will		/			
IHARA, Jr., Les		/			
NISHIHARA, Clarence K.					
RUDERMAN, Russell E.					
SLOM, Sam					/
TOTAL		り			Ĺ
Recommendation: Adopted Not Adopted					
Chair's or Designee's Signature:					
Distribution: Original Yellow Pink Goldenrod   File with Committee Report Clerk's Office Drafting Agency Committee File Copy					

\*Only one measure per Record of Votes