STAND. COM. REP. NO. 📿

Honolulu, Hawaii March 4 , 2016

RE: H.B. No. 2567 H.D. 1

Honorable Joseph M. Souki Speaker, House of Representatives Twenty-Eighth State Legislature Regular Session of 2016 State of Hawaii

Sir:

Your Committee on Consumer Protection & Commerce, to which was referred H.B. No. 2567 entitled:

"A BILL FOR AN ACT RELATING TO THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION,"

begs leave to report as follows:

The purpose of this measure, as received by your Committee, is to establish consistency in the review of utility mergers by establishing "substantial net benefit" as the Public Utilities Commission's standard of review for a transfer or assignment of a public utility franchise.

For purposes of a public hearing on this measure, your Committee circulated a Proposed H.B. No. 2567, H.D. 1 (Proposed Draft), and notified the public that it would be accepting testimony on the Proposed Draft. The Proposed Draft amended this measure as follows:

- (1) Retained the substance of the original measure;
- Added specific criteria that the Public Utilities
   Commission must consider in determining whether there is

   a substantial net benefit for a transfer or assignment
   of a public utility franchise;
- (3) Changed the effective date to January 1, 2017; and



STAND. COM. REP. NO. 942 -16 Page 2

(4) Made a technical, nonsubstantive amendment to correct a reference to the Public Utilities Commission.

Your Committee received testimony from the following organizations and individuals:

## For H.B. No. 2567:

Reis Pediatrics and numerous individuals testified in support. Aqua Engineers, Inc. testified in opposition.

## For the Proposed Draft:

The Division of Consumer Advocacy testified in support of the intent of the Proposed Draft. Hawaii Water Service Company, Inc. testified in opposition. The Public Utilities Commission and Hawaiian Telcom provided comments.

After consideration of the merits of this measure, as received by your Committee, and the Proposed Draft, your Committee has adopted the Proposed Draft with the following amendments:

- Clarified that the Public Utilities Commission must apply the substantial net benefit standard when considering the transfer or assignment of an electric utility;
- (2) Specified that the criteria used to determine whether there is a substantial net benefit is discretionary, rather than mandatory;
- (3) Clarified that one of the discretionary criteria used to determine whether there is a substantial net benefit is whether the proposed transaction will impact the ability of the public utility company's employees to provide safe, adequate, and reliable service; and
- (4) Made technical, nonsubstantive amendments for clarity, consistency, and style.

As affirmed by the record of votes of the members of your Committee on Consumer Protection & Commerce that is attached to this report, your Committee is in accord with the intent and purpose of H.B. No. 2567, as amended herein, and recommends that it pass Third Reading in the form attached hereto as H.B. No. 2567, H.D. 1.



STAND. COM. REP. NO. 942 -16 Page 3

Respectfully submitted on behalf of the members of the Committee on Consumer Protection & Commerce,

ANGUS L.K. MCKELVEY, Chair



## State of Hawaii House of Representatives The Twenty-eighth Legislature



## Record of Votes of the Committee on Consumer Protection & Commerce

Bill/Resolution No.:	Committee Referral:	Date:	<u> </u>	17
HB 2567	EERCPC	-	3-2-	16.
□ The committee is reconsidering its previous decision on the measure.				
The recommendation is to:				
Pass short form bill with HD to recommit for future public hearing (recommit)				
CPC Members	Ayes	Ayes (WR)	Nays	Excused
1. McKELVEY, Angus L.K. (C)				
2. WOODSON, Justin H. (VC)				
3. BELATTI, Della Au	Ň			
4. BROWER, Tom	1			
5. CREAGAN, Richard P.	Ň			
6. HAR, Sharon E.	\			
7. HASHEM, Mark J.				
8. KAWAKAMI, Derek S.K.				
9. LEE, Chris				
10. NAKASHIMA, Mark M.				
11. OSHIRO, Marcus R.				
12. SAN BUENAVENTURA, Joy A				
13. TAKAYAMA, Gregg	\			
14. YAMANE, Ryan I.				
15. FUKUMOTO CHANG, Beth				
16. McDERMOTT, Bob				
			<b></b>	
TOTAL (16)	15	6	0	1
The recommendation is: Adopted D Not Adopted				
If joint referral, did not support recommendation.				
committee acronym(s)				
Vice Chair's or designee's signature:				
Distribution: Original (White) - Committee Duplicate (Yellow) - Chief Clerk's Office Duplicate (Pink) - HMSO				