

---

## HOUSE RESOLUTION

---

REQUESTING THE TAX REVIEW COMMISSION TO CONDUCT A STUDY ON THE FEASIBILITY OF USING A PERCENTAGE OF A TAXPAYER'S FEDERAL INDIVIDUAL INCOME TAX TO CALCULATE THE TAXPAYER'S STATE INDIVIDUAL INCOME TAX, AND TO DETERMINE WHY THE CURRENT STATE INDIVIDUAL INCOME TAX APPEARS TO BE RELATIVELY REGRESSIVE, COMPARED TO THE FEDERAL INDIVIDUAL INCOME TAX, WITH REGARD TO TAXPAYING HOUSEHOLDS OF MEDIAN AND LOWER INCOMES IN HAWAII.

1        WHEREAS, the State of Alaska, primarily because of the  
2 falling value of oil, finds its current finances out of balance,  
3 and its Governor has proposed bringing back a state income tax  
4 for the first time in thirty-five years; and  
5

6        WHEREAS, in order to quickly establish a completely new  
7 individual income tax that is relatively fair and equitable, the  
8 Governor of Alaska proposed that the state individual income tax  
9 be six per cent of an Alaskan taxpayer's federal income tax  
10 liability, so that a person who owes \$10,000 to the Internal  
11 Revenue Service would also simply write a \$600 check to the  
12 State of Alaska; and  
13

14       WHEREAS, in the past, other states have used or considered  
15 using a similar arrangement, whereby state individual income  
16 taxes would be a percentage of their federal individual income  
17 taxes; and  
18

19       WHEREAS, many local jurisdictions have used, or are  
20 currently using, a similar arrangement, whereby taxes imposed by  
21 a local government are calculated as a percentage of either a  
22 taxpayer's federal or state individual income taxes; and  
23

24       WHEREAS, a majority of Hawaii individual taxpayers file tax  
25 returns that could be modified relatively easily so that their  
26 state individual income tax liability would be based primarily



1 on a percentage of their federal individual income tax, which  
2 would be much simpler and easier to file; and

3  
4 WHEREAS, according to the State Data Book, in 2012, the  
5 federal individual income tax liability for taxpayers in Hawaii  
6 was \$3,049 per capita; and

7  
8 WHEREAS, the income tax paid to the State for that year was  
9 \$1,106 per capita; and

10  
11 WHEREAS, the ratio between these two numbers is  
12 approximately 100:36, meaning that a taxpayer who owed \$10,000  
13 to the Internal Revenue Service would generally also have owed  
14 \$3,600 to the State of Hawaii; and

15  
16 WHEREAS, a hypothetical typical family in Hawaii might be  
17 described as follows: a married couple with two dependent  
18 children, income from wages and no adjustments to income,  
19 standard deductions, regular income tax and no other taxes, no  
20 child-care credit, their children not receiving more than half  
21 their support from public agencies, and the family claiming the  
22 refundable food/excise tax credit and the income tax credit for  
23 low-income household renters; and

24  
25 WHEREAS, based on the 2012 federal Form 1040, the state  
26 Form N-11, and their instructions, such a typical family with an  
27 adjusted gross income of \$40,000 would owe no federal income tax  
28 and, instead, would receive a federal tax refund of \$1,974; and

29  
30 WHEREAS, if the same family calculated their state income  
31 tax liability as thirty-six per cent of their federal income tax  
32 liability, they would owe no state income tax and, instead,  
33 would receive a state tax refund of \$710.64; and

34  
35 WHEREAS, under Hawaii's current tax system, the same family  
36 would receive no refund and would owe a tax of \$1,469; and

37  
38 WHEREAS, for this family, the gap between calculating their  
39 state income tax liability as a percentage of their federal  
40 income tax liability, versus calculating their state income tax  
41 liability under Hawaii's current tax system, is \$2,179.64,



1 demonstrating how much worse off this typical family would be  
2 because of the Hawaii's current tax system; and

3  
4 WHEREAS, this result appears to persist for taxpaying  
5 families of median and lower incomes, as shown in the following  
6 examples:

7  
8 (1) An income of \$10,000 results in a gap of \$1,377.60;

9  
10 (2) An income of \$20,000 results in a gap of \$2,523.32;

11  
12 (3) An income of \$30,000 results in a gap of \$2,606.52;

13  
14 (4) An income of \$50,000 results in a gap of \$2,050.96;  
15 and

16  
17 (5) An income of \$80,000 results in a gap of \$2,685.96;  
18 and

19  
20 WHEREAS, the mission of the Department of Taxation is to  
21 administer the tax laws of the State in a consistent, uniform,  
22 and fair manner; now, therefore,

23  
24 BE IT RESOLVED by the House of Representatives of the  
25 Twenty-eighth Legislature of the State of Hawaii, Regular  
26 Session of 2016, that the Tax Review Commission is requested to  
27 study the feasibility of using a percentage of a taxpayer's  
28 federal individual income tax to calculate the taxpayer's state  
29 individual income tax; and

30  
31 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that, in its study, the Tax Review  
32 Commission is requested to suggest how features in Hawaii's  
33 current tax system, such as not counting pensions as ordinary  
34 income, could be retained when using a percentage of a  
35 taxpayer's federal individual income tax to calculate the  
36 taxpayer's state individual income tax; and

37  
38 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that, in its study, the Tax Review  
39 Commission is requested to determine why the current state  
40 individual income tax appears to be relatively regressive,  
41 compared to the federal individual income tax, with regard to  
42 taxpaying households of median and lower incomes in Hawaii; and



# H.R. NO. 52

1  
2 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Tax Review Commission is  
3 requested to submit a report of its findings and  
4 recommendations, including any proposed legislation, to the  
5 Legislature not later than twenty days prior to the convening of  
6 the Regular Session of 2017; and  
7

8 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a certified copy of this  
9 Resolution be transmitted to the Chairperson of the Tax Review  
10 Commission.  
11  
12  
13

OFFERED BY:

B. J. Kolychuk

Beckman

MAR 10 2016

