

HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

REQUESTING THE AUDITOR TO CONDUCT A STUDY OF THE RELEVANCY OF THE CERTIFICATE OF NEED PROCESS UNDER CHAPTER 323D, HAWAII REVISED STATUTES.

WHEREAS, Certificate of Need (CON) programs are state-level 1 regulatory programs that require approval from a state health 2 planning agency for construction, expansion, and major capital 3 expenditures by health care facilities and services; and 4 5 6 WHEREAS, Hawaii's CON law, codified in part V of chapter 323D, Hawaii Revised Statutes, was established in 1975 in 7 response to the National Health Planning and Resources 8 Development Act of 1974 (NHPRDA), which conditioned the award of 9 federal Public Health Service grants upon a state's adoption of 10 11 such regulatory measures; and 12 13 WHEREAS, in 1987, the federal mandate and funding 14 provisions were repealed; and 15 WHEREAS, since the repeal of NHPRDA, 14 states have dropped 16 their CON programs while several other states have narrowed the 17 application of their laws to only certain types of facilities; 18 and 19 20 WHEREAS, Hawaii's law requires the State Health Planning 21 22 and Development Agency to approve a certificate of need for construction, expansion, alteration, conversion, development, 23 initiation, or modification of all health care facilities or 24 health care services in the State; and 25 26 WHEREAS, like many other state CON laws, Hawaii's CON law 27 is intended to provide a coordinated system that links statewide 28 planning for health services with facilities development; and 29



H.C.R. NO. 9

1	WHERE	AS, Hawaii's CON program, like other programs
2	nationwide	, has been criticized on several bases, including:
3		
4	(1)	It hampers the efficient performance of healthcare
5		markets by creating barriers to entry and expansion,
6		restricting free and open competition, limiting
7		consumer choice, and resulting in higher prices;
8		
9	(2)	It hampers innovation and improvements in the delivery
10		of better healthcare by limiting competition;
11		
12	(3)	It is not consistently administered; and
13		
14	(4)	It does not achieve its objective of controlling
15		healthcare costs; and
16		
17		EAS, national health care reform and other changes
18		ace in the health care marketplace make it appropriate
19		e the relevancy of Hawaii's CON program and its effects
20	on health	care access, quality, and costs; now, therefore,
21		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
22		RESOLVED by the House of Representatives of the
23	_	hth Legislature of the State of Hawaii, Regular
24		2016, the Senate concurring, that the Auditor is
25	-	to conduct a study of the relevancy of the CON process
26	under Chap	oter 323D, Hawaii Revised Statutes, with respect to:
27	(1)	The vale of the CON Dreament
28 29	(1)	The role of the CON Program;
29 30	(2)	The effects of the CON law on:
30 31	(2)	The effects of the con faw on.
32		(A) Improving the health of residents within a health
33		service area;
34		bervice area,
35		(B) Increasing the accessibility and quality of
36		health services;
37		
38		(C) Containing health care costs; and
39		
40		(D) Preventing unnecessary duplication of health
70		(D) $TTOACTIO TTOATOCODDATA AADTTOACTOT OT TTOATOT$

.



2

H.C.R. NO. 9

(3) Whether certain facilities, types of facilities, or 1 services should be exempt from the CON process; and 2 3 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Auditor is requested to 4 5 make appropriate recommendations to eliminate or modify the CON 6 process; and 7 8 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Auditor is requested to submit findings and recommendations with regard to the CON 9 10 process, including any necessary proposed legislation, to the Legislature no later than 20 days before the convening of the 11 Regular Session of 2017; and 12 13 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that certified copies of this 14 15 Concurrent Resolution be transmitted to the Acting State Auditor, Director of Health, and Administrator of the State 16 Health Planning and Development Agency. 17 18 19 20 OFFERED BY: BCMSK. Om

JAN 2 2 2016

