
HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

REQUESTING THE TAX REVIEW COMMISSION TO CONDUCT A STUDY ON THE
FEASIBILITY OF USING A PERCENTAGE OF A TAXPAYER'S FEDERAL
INDIVIDUAL INCOME TAX TO CALCULATE THE TAXPAYER'S STATE
INDIVIDUAL INCOME TAX, AND TO DETERMINE WHY THE CURRENT
STATE INDIVIDUAL INCOME TAX APPEARS TO BE RELATIVELY
REGRESSIVE, COMPARED TO THE FEDERAL INDIVIDUAL INCOME TAX,
WITH REGARD TO TAXPAYING HOUSEHOLDS OF MEDIAN AND LOWER
INCOMES IN HAWAII.

1 WHEREAS, the State of Alaska, primarily because of the
2 falling value of oil, finds its current finances out of balance,
3 and its Governor has proposed bringing back a state income tax
4 for the first time in thirty-five years; and
5

6 WHEREAS, in order to quickly establish a completely new
7 individual income tax that is relatively fair and equitable, the
8 Governor of Alaska proposed that the state individual income tax
9 be six per cent of an Alaskan taxpayer's federal income tax
10 liability, so that a person who owes \$10,000 to the Internal
11 Revenue Service would also simply write a \$600 check to the
12 State of Alaska; and
13

14 WHEREAS, in the past, other states have used or considered
15 using a similar arrangement, whereby state individual income
16 taxes would be a percentage of their federal individual income
17 taxes; and
18

19 WHEREAS, many local jurisdictions have used, or are
20 currently using, a similar arrangement, whereby taxes imposed by
21 a local government are calculated as a percentage of either a
22 taxpayer's federal or state individual income taxes; and
23

24 WHEREAS, a majority of Hawaii individual taxpayers file tax
25 returns that could be modified relatively easily so that their



H.C.R. NO. 91

1 state individual income tax liability would be based primarily
2 on a percentage of their federal individual income tax, which
3 would be much simpler and easier to file; and
4

5 WHEREAS, according to the State Data Book, in 2012, the
6 federal individual income tax liability for taxpayers in Hawaii
7 was \$3,049 per capita; and
8

9 WHEREAS, the income tax paid to the State for that year was
10 \$1,106 per capita; and
11

12 WHEREAS, the ratio between these two numbers is
13 approximately 100:36, meaning that a taxpayer who owed \$10,000
14 to the Internal Revenue Service would generally also have owed
15 \$3,600 to the State of Hawaii; and
16

17 WHEREAS, a hypothetical typical family in Hawaii might be
18 described as follows: a married couple with two dependent
19 children, income from wages and no adjustments to income,
20 standard deductions, regular income tax and no other taxes, no
21 child-care credit, their children not receiving more than half
22 their support from public agencies, and the family claiming the
23 refundable food/excise tax credit and the income tax credit for
24 low-income household renters; and
25

26 WHEREAS, based on the 2012 federal Form 1040, the state
27 Form N-11, and their instructions, such a typical family with an
28 adjusted gross income of \$40,000 would owe no federal income tax
29 and, instead, would receive a federal tax refund of \$1,974; and
30

31 WHEREAS, if the same family calculated their state income
32 tax liability as thirty-six per cent of their federal income tax
33 liability, they would owe no state income tax and, instead,
34 would receive a state tax refund of \$710.64; and
35

36 WHEREAS, under Hawaii's current tax system, the same family
37 would receive no refund and would owe a tax of \$1,469; and
38

39 WHEREAS, for this family, the gap between calculating their
40 state income tax liability as a percentage of their federal
41 income tax liability, versus calculating their state income tax
42 liability under Hawaii's current tax system, is \$2,179.64,



H.C.R. NO. 1

1 demonstrating how much worse off this typical family would be
2 because of the Hawaii's current tax system; and

3
4 WHEREAS, this result appears to persist for taxpaying
5 families of median and lower incomes, as shown in the following
6 examples:

7
8 (1) An income of \$10,000 results in a gap of \$1,377.60;

9
10 (2) An income of \$20,000 results in a gap of \$2,523.32;

11
12 (3) An income of \$30,000 results in a gap of \$2,606.52;

13
14 (4) An income of \$50,000 results in a gap of \$2,050.96;
15 and

16
17 (5) An income of \$80,000 results in a gap of \$2,685.96;
18 and

19
20 WHEREAS, the mission of the Department of Taxation is to
21 administer the tax laws of the State in a consistent, uniform,
22 and fair manner; now, therefore,

23
24 BE IT RESOLVED by the House of Representatives of the
25 Twenty-eighth Legislature of the State of Hawaii, Regular
26 Session of 2016, the Senate concurring, that the Tax Review
27 Commission is requested to study the feasibility of using a
28 percentage of a taxpayer's federal individual income tax to
29 calculate the taxpayer's state individual income tax; and

30
31 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that, in its study, the Tax Review
32 Commission is requested to suggest how features in Hawaii's
33 current tax system, such as not counting pensions as ordinary
34 income, could be retained when using a percentage of a
35 taxpayer's federal individual income tax to calculate the
36 taxpayer's state individual income tax; and

37
38 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that, in its study, the Tax Review
39 Commission is requested to determine why the current state
40 individual income tax appears to be relatively regressive,
41 compared to the federal individual income tax, with regard to
42 taxpaying households of median and lower incomes in Hawaii; and



H.C.R. NO. 91

1
2 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Tax Review Commission is
3 requested to submit a report of its findings and
4 recommendations, including any proposed legislation, to the
5 Legislature not later than twenty days prior to the convening of
6 the Regular Session of 2017; and
7

8 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a certified copy of this
9 Concurrent Resolution be transmitted to the Chairperson of the
10 Tax Review Commission.
11
12
13

OFFERED BY:

Brian Kolynski
SCOTT. B. BROWN

MAR 10 2016

