HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

URGING HAWAII'S CONGRESSIONAL DELEGATION TO PROPOSE AND PASS A PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION CLARIFYING THAT CORPORATIONS ARE NOT PEOPLE WITH CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS, AND THAT UNLIMITED CAMPAIGN SPENDING IS NOT FREE SPEECH.

WHEREAS, the United States Constitution was written and approved with the intention of protecting the rights of individual human beings (natural persons); and

WHEREAS, corporations are not mentioned in the Constitution, and the people of the Unites States (the People) have never granted constitutional rights to corporations, nor decreed that corporations have authority that exceeds the authority of the People; and

WHEREAS, the United States Supreme Court, in Austin v. Michigan Chamber of Commerce, 494 U.S. 652 (1990), recognized as a threat to a republican form of government "the corrosive and distorting effects of immense aggregations of wealth that are accumulated with the help of the corporate form and that have little or no correlation to the public's support for the corporation's political ideas"; and

WHEREAS, the United States Supreme Court, in Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission, 558 U.S. 310 (2010), reversed the decision in Austin by rolling back legal limits on corporate spending in the electoral process and allowing unlimited corporate spending to sway votes and influence elections, candidate selection, and policy decisions; and

WHEREAS, the majority decision in *Citizens United* was recognized as a serious threat to self-government by the four dissenting justices. Corporations have special advantages not

enjoyed by natural persons, such as limited liability, perpetual life, and favorable treatment of the accumulation and distribution of assets. These advantages allow them to amass and spend prodigious sums on campaign messages that often have far greater reach and influence than messages from individuals; and

1 2

WHEREAS, federal courts in *Buckley v. Valeo*, 424 U.S. 1 (1976), and in *SpeechNow.org v. FEC*, 599 F.3d 686 (2010), overturned limits on independent expenditures because the corruption or perception of corruption rationale was only applicable to direct contributions to candidates; and

WHEREAS, United States Supreme Court Justice Stevens observed in *Nixon v. Shrink Missouri Government PAC*, 528 U.S. 377 (2000), that "Money is property; it is not speech"; and

 WHEREAS, Article V of the United States Constitution allows the People of the various states to amend the U.S. Constitution to correct those egregiously wrong decisions of the United States Supreme Court that challenge our democratic principles and the republican form of self-government; and

WHEREAS, there is widespread opposition to the *Citizens United* ruling that money is speech and that independent
corporate campaign spending cannot be limited; now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED by the House of Representatives of the Twenty-eighth Legislature of the State of Hawaii, Regular Session of 2016, the Senate concurring, that Hawaii's congressional delegation is urged to propose and pass a proposed amendment to the United States Constitution clarifying that corporations are not people with constitutional rights, and that unlimited campaign spending is not free speech; and

 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that certified copies of this Concurrent Resolution be transmitted to members of Hawaii's congressional delegation, the Governor, the Mayors of Hawaii County, Maui County, Kauai County, and the City and County of Honolulu.

HCR LRB 16-0104-1.doc

