A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO SPECIAL SHORELINE ENCROACHMENT EASEMENTS.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

1	SECTION 1. In County of Hawaii v. Sotomura, 55 Haw. 176, 517
2	P.2d 57 (1973), the Hawaii supreme court held that "land below the
3	high water mark, like flowing water, is a natural resource owned
4	by the state subject to, but in some sense in trust for, the
5	enjoyment of certain public rights." As a result of this
6	ruling, any structures located seaward of the shoreline location
7	as determined by the department of land and natural resources
8	(department) would be considered encroachments upon public land.
9	When an encroachment is discovered, it may be resolved by
10	either removal or obtaining an easement from the department.
11	Generally, an easement must be obtained from the department for
12	a structure within the shoreline area even if the structure was
13	located within the record boundary of the landward property at
14	the time of construction.
15	The department has been named as a party in claims
16	regarding structures, improvements, and debris in the shoreline
17	area that was once private property.

S.B. NO. 164

1	Pursuant to sections 171-13 and 171-17(b), Hawaii Revised
2	Statutes, easements granted by the board of land and natural
3	resources (board) under the circumstances described above
4	require compensation at fair market value.
5	The purpose of this Act is to provide the board the
6	discretion to grant easements for less than fair market value in
7	regards to encroaching structures that were authorized by an
8	appropriate regulatory agency and originally constructed
9	landward of the shoreline and within the record boundary of an
10	oceanfront property but are now located within the shoreline
11	area, due to the dynamic nature of the location of the
12	shoreline.
13	SECTION 2. Chapter 171, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
14	amended by adding a new section to be appropriately designated
15	and to read as follows:
16	"§171- Special shoreline encroachment easements. (a)
17	The term "special shoreline encroachment" means a structure that
18	was authorized by a governmental authority and constructed
19	landward of the shoreline as defined in chapter 205A, within the
20	record boundary of the landward property at the time of
21	construction, but is now located seaward of the shoreline on
22	public land.

<u>S</u>.B. NO.<u>1164</u>

```
1
              The board may grant easements for such special
         (b)
2
    shoreline encroachments described and defined in subsection (a)
3
    for less than fair market value.
4
         (c) Easements granted in accordance with this section
5
    shall not require the prior approval of the governor or prior
6
    authorization of the legislature pursuant to section 171-53."
7
         SECTION 3. Section 171-17, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
8
    amended to read as follows:
9
         "§171-17 Appraisals. (a)
                                     The appraisal of public lands
10
    for sale or lease at public auction for the determination of the
11
    upset price may be performed by an employee of the board of land
12
    and natural resources qualified to appraise lands, or by one but
13
    not more than three disinterested appraisers whose services
14
    shall be contracted for by the board; provided that the upset
15
    price or upset rental shall be determined by disinterested
16
    appraisal whenever prudent management so dictates. No such
    lands shall be sold or leased for a sum less than the value
17
18
    fixed by appraisal; provided that for any sale or lease at
19
    public auction, the board may establish the upset sale or rental
20
    price at less than the appraisal value set by an employee of the
21
    board and the land may be sold or leased at that price. The
    board shall be reimbursed by the purchaser or lessee for the
22
```

S.B. NO. <u>//64</u>

1 cost of any appraisal required to be made by a disinterested 2 appraiser or appraisers contracted for by the board. 3 (b) The sale price or lease rental of lands to be disposed 4 of by drawing or by negotiation shall be no less than the value 5 determined by: 6 An employee of the board qualified to appraise lands; (1) 7 or 8 (2) A disinterested appraiser or appraisers whose services 9 shall be contracted for by the board, and such appraisal, and any further appraisal with the approval 10 11 of the board, shall be at the cost of the purchaser; 12 provided that the sale price or lease rental shall be determined 13 by disinterested appraisal whenever prudent management so 14 dictates; provided further that should the purchaser fail to 15 agree upon the sale price or lease rental, the purchaser may 16 appoint an appraiser who together with the board's appraiser 17 shall appoint a third appraiser, and the sale price or lease 18 rental shall be determined by arbitration as provided for in 19 chapter 658A which shall be final and binding. The purchaser 20 shall pay for all appraisal costs, except that the cost of the 21 third appraiser shall be borne equally by the purchaser and the 22 board.

S.B. NO. <u>1164</u>

1 (c) For special shoreline encroachment easements granted 2 pursuant to section 171- , the board may grant such easements 3 for less than fair market value. 4 [(c)] (d) In the repurchase of any land by the board, the 5 board shall have the option to repurchase the land for the 6 original sale price or the fair market value at the time of 7 repurchase, whichever is the lower. Any improvements affixed to 8 the realty shall be purchased at their fair market value. At 9 the time of the repurchase, the fair market value of the land, **10** and the improvements, if any, shall be determined by a qualified 11 appraiser whose services shall be contracted for by the board; 12 provided should the owner fail to agree upon the value, the 13 owner may appoint the owner's own appraiser who together with 14 the board's appraiser shall appoint a third appraiser, and the 15 value shall be determined by arbitration as provided in chapter 16 The owner shall pay for all appraisal costs, except that 17 the cost of the third appraiser shall be borne equally by the purchaser and the board. 18 19 [(d)] (e) In the event of reopening of the rental to be 20 paid on a lease, the rental for any ensuing period shall be the 21 fair market rental at the time of reopening. At least six

<u>S</u>.B. NO.<u>//64</u>

1 months prior to the time of reopening, the fair market rental 2 shall be determined by: 3 (1)An employee of the department qualified to appraise 4 lands; or 5 (2) A disinterested appraiser whose services shall be 6 contracted for by the board; 7 and the lessee shall be promptly notified of the determination; 8 provided that should the lessee fail to agree upon the fair 9 market rental, the lessee may appoint the lessee's own appraiser 10 who together with the board's appraiser shall appoint a third 11 appraiser and the fair market rental shall be determined by **12** arbitration as provided in chapter 658A. The lessee shall pay 13 for the lessee's own appraiser, the board shall pay for its 14 appraiser, and the cost of the third appraiser shall be borne 15 equally by the lessee and the board. Any language in present 16 leases to the contrary notwithstanding, the provisions of this **17** subsection, when possible and notwithstanding the six-month 18 notice required, shall apply to leases with original lease 19 rental reopening dates effective before and after July 1, 1996. 20 [+(e)-] (f) Whenever more than one appraiser is appointed 21 each shall prepare and submit an independent appraisal. All 22 appraisal reports shall be available for study by the public."

<u>S</u>.B. NO. <u>1164</u>

1 SECTION 4. Section 171-53, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is 2 amended by amending subsection (c) to read as follows: 3 The board, with the prior approval of the governor 4 and the prior authorization of the legislature by concurrent 5 resolution, may lease state submerged lands and lands beneath tidal waters under the terms, conditions, and restrictions 6 7 provided in this chapter; provided that the authorization of the 8 legislature shall not be required for leases issued under 9 chapter 190D; and provided further that the approval of the 10 governor and authorization of the legislature shall not be 11 required for any grant of easement or lease of state submerged 12 lands or lands beneath tidal waters used for moorings, cables, 13 [or] pipelines[+], or any special shoreline encroachment as 14 described and defined in section 171- ; provided further that 15 this exemption shall not apply to easements for cables used for interisland electrical transmission or slurry pipelines used for 16 **17** transportive materials, mined at sea, or waste products from the 18 processing of the same. 19 The lease shall provide that the lands shall be reclaimed 20 at the expense of the lessee. Title to the reclaimed lands 21 shall remain in the State."

S.B. NO. <u>1164</u>

_	
6	INTRODUCED BY: Smra Mercado Ki
5	$\sim \sim \lambda$
4	retroactive to July 1, 2012.
3	SECTION 6. This Act, upon its approval, shall take effect
2	and stricken. New statutory material is underscored.
1	SECTION 5. Statutory material to be repealed is bracketed

Report Title:

Special Shoreline Encroachment Easements

Description:

Provides the Board of Land and Natural Resources discretion to grant easements for less than fair market value for structures that were authorized by a governmental authority and constructed landward of the shoreline within the record boundary of the landward property at the time of construction, but are now located seaward of the shoreline on public land.

The summary description of legislation appearing on this page is for informational purposes only and is not legislation or evidence of legislative intent.

JUSTIFICATION SHEET

DEPARTMENT: Land and Natural Resources

TITLE: A BILL FOR AN ACT RELATING TO SPECIAL

SHORELINE ENCROACHMENT EASEMENTS.

PURPOSE: To provide the Board of Land and Natural

Resources (Board) the discretion to grant encroachment type easements for less than fair market value for structures that were previously authorized by a governmental agency and originally constructed landward of the shoreline within the record boundary of the landward property at the time of

construction, but are now located seaward of the shoreline on public land; and to exempt easements granted under these circumstances

from prior approval of the Governor and prior authorization of the Legislature pursuant to section 171-53(c), Hawaii

Revised Statutes (HRS).1

MEANS: Add a new section to chapter 171, HRS, and amend sections 171-17 and 171-53(c), HRS.

JUSTIFICATION: In County of Hawaii v. Sotomura, 55 Haw.

176, 517 P.2d 57 (1973), the Hawaii Supreme Court held that "land below the high water mark, like flowing water, is a natural resource owned by the state subject to, but in some sense in trust for, the enjoyment of certain public rights." As a result of the ruling, any structures located seaward of the shoreline location as determined by the Department would be considered encroachments upon public land.

When an encroachment is discovered, it may be resolved by either removal or obtaining an easement. Generally, an easement must be obtained from the Department for a structure within the shoreline area even if the structure was located within the record boundary of the landward property at the time of construction.

LNR-04(13)

This legislative proposal seeks to address a situation of inherent unfairness when structures that were authorized by a governmental authority and originally constructed landward of the shoreline within the record boundary of the landward property at the time of construction, but are now located seaward of the shoreline on public land, and easements to legitimize such encroachments requires compensation to the State at fair market value under section 171-17, HRS. The purpose of requiring an easement under these circumstances is to resolve issues of liability and indemnity and not revenue generation, and as such, this measure seeks to grant the Board the discretion to issue the easements for less than fair market value.

Considering that the structures were originally built on private property, an exemption from section 171-53(c), HRS, likely would not compromise the State's fiduciary obligations. In addition, given the volume of easements that are expected to be processed, this exemption would greatly expedite the disposition process.

Allowing the easements to be granted at less than fair market value via a streamlined process² would assist in encouraging compliance from littoral landowners entering into easements with the State.

Impact on the public: By resolving the liability and indemnity issues, taxpayers will have greater protection from potential legal and financial liability against the State with regard to these structures.

Impact on the department and other agencies: By facilitating compliance from landowners, this bill will reduce the burden on staff resources from having to pursue enforcement actions.

SB. NO. 1164

GENERAL FUND:

None.

OTHER FUNDS:

None.

PPBS PROGRAM

DESIGNATION:

LNR 101.

OTHER AFFECTED

AGENCIES:

None.

EFFECTIVE DATE:

Retroactive to July 1, 2012, upon its

approval.3

¹ Only easements for moorings, cables, and pipelines are currently exempt from the requirement of prior authorization from the Governor and the Legislature. The Department believes an exemption is also warranted for those structures that were authorized by a governmental agency and originally constructed landward of the shoreline within the record property of the landward property at the time of construction, but are now located seaward of the shoreline on public land.

² The appraisal process under section 171-17, HRS, can sometimes be expensive and time consuming.

³ The Department is seeking an effective date retroactive to July 1, 2012, to accommodate certain shoreline easements that were previously approved by the Board, subject to the enactment of a statute that authorizes the Board to grant such easements for less than fair market value, that may meet the stringent requirements for below market value compensation stated in this legislative proposal.