A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO SUBSTITUTE TEACHERS.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

- 1 SECTION 1. The legislature finds that the long-standing
- 2 dispute between the department of education and Hawaii's
- 3 substitute teachers has been judicially resolved. In Garner v.
- 4 DOE (Civil No. 03-1-000305) and Kliternick v. Hamamoto (Civil
- 5 No. 05-1-0031-01), the intermediate court of appeals affirmed
- 6 Judge Karen Ahn's 2005 decision that the department of education
- 7 failed to pay substitute teachers the per diem salary based upon
- 8 a 1996 formula enacted by the legislature.
- 9 Since 2005, the legislature provided annual and temporary
- 10 relief by providing interim pay adjustments for the benefit of
- 11 substitute teachers while the matter was being litigated in the
- 12 courts. The interim pay adjustments represented only a fraction
- 13 of the pay the substitute teachers would have received under the
- 14 formula adopted in 1996 if it had been properly implemented and
- 15 the compensation to substitute teachers tracked the pay given to
- 16 entry level class II teachers.
- 17 In Act 263, Session Laws of Hawaii 2006, the conferees of
- 18 the house of representatives and senate, in their committee



H.B. NO. 605

- 1 report (conference committee report no. 216-06), urged the
- 2 legislature to make appropriate adjustments, including
- 3 retroactive pay adjustments, to substitute teacher pay in accord
- 4 with the appellate court's final ruling. When the legislature
- 5 set a formula for substitute teachers that was comparable to
- 6 wage adjustments for regular teachers (Act 187, Session Laws of
- 7 Hawaii 2008), house of representatives and senate conferees
- 8 reaffirmed, in conference committee report no. 110-08, that pay
- 9 adjustments for substitute teachers in 2005 and 2006 were only
- 10 interim rates set by the legislature, pending the resolution of
- 11 litigation.
- 12 In addition, in May 2011, the circuit court granted a
- 13 motion for an award of interest based on section 103-10, Hawaii
- 14 Revised Statutes, requiring the department of education to pay
- 15 substitute teachers interest on the back pay due from the year
- 16 2000 to the date the payment is received. Now that the
- 17 intermediate court of appeals and circuit court have ruled, the
- 18 legislature finds that it is only fair and appropriate to
- 19 provide retroactive pay adjustments to substitute teachers
- 20 during the period between November 2000 and June 2005, and an
- 21 award of interest on the back pay due from November 2000 to the
- 22 date that payment is made.



H.B. NO. 605

- 1 The purpose of this Act is to appropriate funds to provide
- 2 retroactive pay adjustments to substitute teachers for the
- 3 period between November 2000 and June 2005, during which only
- 4 interim partial pay adjustments were made by statute, and to
- 5 provide an award of interest on the back pay due from November
- 6 2000 to the date that payment is made.
- 7 SECTION 2. There is appropriated out of the general
- 8 revenues of the State of Hawaii the sum of \$ or so
- 9 much thereof as may be necessary for fiscal year 2013-2014 for
- 10 the purpose of compensating substitute teachers for the
- 11 shortfall in their pay during the period between November 2000
- 12 and June 2005 and awarding interest on back pay due from
- 13 November 2000 to the date that payment is made.
- 14 The sum appropriated shall be expended by the department of
- 15 education for the purposes of this Act.
- 16 SECTION 3. This Act shall take effect on July 1, 2013.

17

INTRODUCED BY:

my

JAN 18 2013

H.B. NO. 605

Report Title:

Substitute Teachers; Compensation; Appropriation

Description:

Appropriates funds for court-ordered retroactive pay and interest on back pay for substitute teachers.

The summary description of legislation appearing on this page is for informational purposes only and is not legislation or evidence of legislative intent.