A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO PARENTAL PARITY.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

1 The legislature finds that shared parenting has SECTION 1. 2 been extensively studied and proven to produce the most positive 3 outcomes for children with divorced parents. Oftentimes, 4 parenting is delegated to the mother. In this context, the 5 primary goal of shared parenting is to maintain and strengthen 6 the father-child relationship and to increase the emotional 7 stability of the child by increasing the amount of time that 8 fathers and their children live together. 9 Longitudinal studies and an analysis of sixty-three studies from the 1980s found that the amount of time fathers spent with 10 11 their children was strongly related to how close they felt to 12 one another (Amato & Gilbreth, 1999). More recent studies **13** confirm this strong relationship between the time that fathers 14 spend with their children in the years immediately following a 15 divorce and the kind of bond they have thereafter. Unfortunately, the prevailing arrangement of residing 16 solely with the mother has had a profound negative impact on **17**

most children's relationships with their fathers.

HB2163 HD1 HMS 2014-1769

- 1 majority of children of divorced parents are not spending
- 2 extensive or consistent time with their fathers. Studies have
- 3 shown that vast numbers of fathers are willing, but are often
- 4 denied the opportunity, to share the responsibility of raising
- 5 their children.
- 6 Shared parenting not only produces better adult outcomes
- 7 for children with divorced parents, but also reduces the overall
- 8 risk profile during childhood. Behavior risk factors such as
- 9 substance abuse, juvenile delinquency, and teen pregnancy are
- 10 appreciably diminished and incidents of violent behaviors, such
- 11 as rape, suicide, and murder are significantly reduced by shared
- 12 parenting.
- 13 Most parents in the shared parenting arrangement are
- 14 satisfied with the arrangement. These parents retain a higher
- 15 level of cooperation and goodwill over time, are less likely to
- 16 engage in high conflict post-decree litigation, and are also
- 17 less likely to require financial subsidies, thus reducing the
- 18 dependency on social programs. This is not only beneficial to
- 19 children and parents, but it also benefits our State
- 20 economically and socially.
- 21 The purpose of this Act is to help eliminate any preference
- 22 in child custody decisions that unfairly favors one parent of a



- child more than the other parent; provided that nothing in this

 Act is intended to negatively affect the child.

 SECTION 2. Section 571-46, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is

 amended by amending subsection (a) to read as follows:

 "(a) In actions for divorce, separation, annulment,
- ${f 6}$ separate maintenance, or any other proceeding where there is at
- 7 issue a dispute as to the custody of a minor child, the court,
- 8 during the pendency of the action, at the final hearing, or any
- 9 time during the minority of the child, may make an order for the
- 10 custody of the minor child as may seem necessary or proper. In
- 11 awarding the custody, the court shall be guided by the following
- 12 standards, considerations, and procedures [+] in the best
- 13 interests of the child:
- 14 (1) Custody should be awarded to either parent or to both
 15 parents according to the best interests of the child,
- and the court also [may] shall consider frequent,
- 17 continuing, and meaningful contact of [each parent
- 18 with the child with each parent unless the court
- finds that [a parent is] one or both parents are
- 20 unable to act in the best interest of the child;
- 21 (2) Custody may be awarded to persons other than the
- father or mother whenever the award serves the best

5

6

1	interest of the child. Any person who has had de
2	facto custody of the child in a stable and wholesome
3	home and is a fit and proper person shall be entitled
4	prima facie to an award of custody;

- (3) If a child is of sufficient age and capacity to reason, so as to form an intelligent preference, the child's wishes as to custody shall be considered and be given due weight by the court;
- 9 (4)Whenever good cause appears therefor, the court may **10** require an investigation and report concerning the 11 care, welfare, and custody of any minor child of the 12 parties. When so directed by the court, investigators 13 or professional personnel attached to or assisting the 14 court, hereinafter referred to as child custody evaluators, shall make investigations and reports that 15 16 shall be made available to all interested parties and **17** counsel before hearing, and the reports may be 18 received in evidence if no objection is made and, if 19 objection is made, may be received in evidence; 20 provided the person or persons responsible for the 21 report are available for cross-examination as to any 22 matter that has been investigated; and provided

1		further that the court shall define, in accordance
2		with section 571-46.4, the requirements to be a court-
3		appointed child custody evaluator, the standards of
4		practice, ethics, policies, and procedures required of
5		court-appointed child custody evaluators in the
6		performance of their duties for all courts, and the
7		powers of the courts over child custody evaluators to
8		effectuate the best interests of a child in a
9		contested custody dispute pursuant to this section.
10		Where there is no child custody evaluator available
11		that meets the requirements and standards, or any
12		child custody evaluator to serve indigent parties, the
13		court may appoint a person otherwise willing and
14		available in accordance with section 571-46.4;
15	(5)	The court may hear the testimony of any person or
16		expert, produced by any party or upon the court's own
17		motion, whose skill, insight, knowledge, or experience
18		is such that the person's or expert's testimony is
19		relevant to a just and reasonable determination of
20		what is for the best physical, mental, moral, and
21		spiritual well-being of the child whose custody is at

issue;

1	(6)	Any custody award shall be subject to modification or
2		change whenever the best interests of the child
3		require or justify the modification or change and,
4		wherever practicable, the same person who made the
5		original order shall hear the motion or petition for
6		modification of the prior award;
7	(7)	Reasonable visitation rights shall be awarded to
8		parents, grandparents, siblings, and any person
9		interested in the welfare of the child in the
10		discretion of the court, unless it is shown that
11		rights of visitation are detrimental to the best
12		interests of the child;
13	(8)	The court may appoint a guardian ad litem to represent
14		the interests of the child and may assess the
15		reasonable fees and expenses of the guardian ad litem
16		as costs of the action, payable in whole or in part by
17		either or both parties as the circumstances may
18		justify;
19	(9)	In every proceeding where there is at issue a dispute
20		as to the custody of a child, a determination by the
21		court that family violence has been committed by a

parent raises a rebuttable presumption that it is

1	detrimental to the child and not in the best interest
2	of the child to be placed in sole custody, joint legal
3	custody, or joint physical custody with the
4	perpetrator of family violence. In addition to other
5	factors that a court shall consider in a proceeding in
6	which the custody of a child or visitation by a parent
7	is at issue, and in which the court has made a finding
8	of family violence by a parent:
9	(A) The court shall consider as the primary factor
10	the safety and well-being of the child and of the
11	parent who is the victim of family violence;
12	(B) The court shall consider the perpetrator's
13	history of causing physical harm, bodily injury,
14	or assault or causing reasonable fear of physical
15	harm, bodily injury, or assault to another
16	person; and
17	(C) If a parent is absent or relocates because of an
18	act of family violence by the other parent, the
19	absence or relocation shall not be a factor that
20	weighs against the parent in determining custody
21	or visitation;

1	(10)	A co	urt may award visitation to a parent who has
2		comm	itted family violence only if the court finds that
3		adeq	late provision can be made for the physical safety
4		and p	psychological well-being of the child and for the
5		safe	ty of the parent who is a victim of family
6		viol	ence;
7	(11)	In a	visitation order, a court may:
8		(A)	Order an exchange of a child to occur in a
9			protected setting;
10		(B)	Order visitation supervised by another person or
11			agency;
12		(C)	Order the perpetrator of family violence to
13			attend and complete, to the satisfaction of the
14			court, a program of intervention for perpetrators
15			or other designated counseling as a condition of
16		•	the visitation;
17		(D)	Order the perpetrator of family violence to
18			abstain from possession or consumption of alcohol
19			or controlled substances during the visitation
20			and for twenty-four hours preceding the
21			visitation;

1		(E)	Order the perpetrator of family violence to pay a
2			fee to defray the costs of supervised visitation
3		(F)	Prohibit overnight visitation;
4		(G)	Require a bond from the perpetrator of family
5			violence for the return and safety of the child.
6			In determining the amount of the bond, the court
7			shall consider the financial circumstances of the
8			perpetrator of family violence;
9		(H)	Impose any other condition that is deemed
10			necessary to provide for the safety of the child
11			the victim of family violence, or other family of
12			household member; and
13		(I)	Order the address of the child and the victim to
14			be kept confidential;
15	(12)	The	court may refer but shall not order an adult who
16		is a	victim of family violence to attend, either
17		indi	vidually or with the perpetrator of the family
18		viol	ence, counseling relating to the victim's status
19		or b	ehavior as a victim as a condition of receiving
20		cust	ody of a child or as a condition of visitation;

1	(13)	If a court allows a family or household member to
2		supervise visitation, the court shall establish
3		conditions to be followed during visitation;
4	(14)	A supervised visitation center shall provide a secure
5		setting and specialized procedures for supervised
6		visitation and the transfer of children for visitation
7		and supervision by a person trained in security and
8		the avoidance of family violence;
9	(15)	The court may include in visitation awarded pursuant
10		to this section visitation by electronic communication
11		provided that the court shall additionally consider
12		the potential for abuse or misuse of the electronic
13		communication, including the equipment used for the
14		communication, by the person seeking visitation or by
15	•	persons who may be present during the visitation or
16		have access to the communication or equipment; whether
17		the person seeking visitation has previously violated
18		a temporary restraining order or protective order; and
19		whether adequate provision can be made for the
20		physical safety and psychological well-being of the
21		child and for the safety of the custodial parent;

	(10)	The court may set conditions for visitation by
2	·	electronic communication under paragraph (15),
3		including visitation supervised by another person or
4		occurring in a protected setting. Visitation by
5		electronic communication shall not be used to:
6		(A) Replace or substitute an award of custody or
7		physical visitation except where:
8		(i) Circumstances exist that make a parent
9		seeking visitation unable to participate in
10		physical visitation, including military
11		deployment; or
12		(ii) Physical visitation may subject the child to
13		physical or extreme psychological harm; or
14		(B) Justify or support the relocation of a custodial
15		parent; and
16	(17)	Notwithstanding any provision to the contrary, no
17		natural parent shall be granted custody of or
18		visitation with a child if the natural parent has been
19		convicted in a court of competent jurisdiction in any
20		state of rape or sexual assault and the child was
21		conceived as a result of that offense; provided that:

	(A)	A dental of custody of visitation under this
2		paragraph shall not affect the obligation of the
3		convicted natural parent to support the child;
4	(B)	The court may order the convicted natural parent
5		to pay child support;
6	(C)	This paragraph shall not apply if subsequent to
7		the date of conviction, the convicted natural
8		parent and custodial natural parent cohabitate
9		and establish a mutual custodial environment for
10		the child; and
11	(D)	A custodial natural parent may petition the court
12		to grant the convicted natural parent custody and
13		visitation denied pursuant to this paragraph, and
14		upon such petition the court may grant custody
15		and visitation to the convicted natural parent
16		where it is in the best interest of the child."
17	SECTION 3	. This Act does not affect rights and duties that
18	matured, penals	ties that were incurred, and proceedings that were
19	begun before i	ts effective date.
20	SECTION 4	. Statutory material to be repealed is bracketed
21	and stricken.	New statutory material is underscored.
22	SECTION 5	. This Act shall take effect on July 1, 2050.

HB2163 HD1 HMS 2014-1769

Report Title:

Minors; Joint Custody

Description:

Requires the court to consider frequent, continuing, and meaningful contact with each parent when awarding custody of a minor child, unless the court finds that one or both parents are unable to act in the best interests of the child. Effective July 1, 2050. (HB2163 HD1)

The summary description of legislation appearing on this page is for informational purposes only and is not legislation or evidence of legislative intent.