DEPARTMENT OF THE PROSECUTING ATTORNEY

## **CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU**

ALII PLACE 1060 RICHARDS STREET • HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813 PHONE: (808) 547-7400 • FAX: (808) 547-7515

KEITH M. KANESHIRO PROSECUTING ATTORNEY



ARMINA A. CHING FIRST DEPUTY PROSECUTING ATTORNEY

## THE HONORABLE HENRY J.C. AQUINO, CHAIR HOUSE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY Twenty-Seventh State Legislature Regular Session of 2013 State of Hawai`i

March 14, 2013

## RE: S.B. 635, S.D. 1; RELATING TO ANIMAL CRUELTY.

Chair Aquino, Vice-Chair Ing and members of the House Committee on Public Safety, the Department of the Prosecuting Attorney of the City and County of Honolulu submits the following testimony in support of S.B. 635, S.D. 1, and submits a proposed H.D. 1 for your consideration.

The purpose of S.B. 635, S.D. 1, is to add "law enforcement animals" to the existing offenses of "Causing injury or death or a service dog," and "Intentional interference with the use of a service dog." The Department strongly agrees that law enforcement animals are an integral part of Hawaii's law enforcement and corrections agencies, hand-selected and highly trained for their jobs. These animals diligently work side-by-side with law enforcement officers, deputies and other personnel, and should be afforded special protections.

Regarding the specific language of S.B. 635, S.D. 1, we note the terms "injury" on page 3, and "harm" on page 6, could become an issue upon application, as there are no express definitions for these terms. Also, within each statute, we believe the subsections pertaining to service dogs and subsections pertaining to law enforcement animals, could be combined to create more streamlined language. Finally, we do not believe it necessary to include the affirmative defense noted on pages 5 and 7, as law enforcement animals acting outside of their lawful "duties," whether due to improper handling or other reasons, could be validly raised and argued by defense, without having to go through the entire process of reviewing all of the national animal handling procedures and all of the particular agency's handling policies and procedures. To address these, and a few lesser matters, we have prepared and attached (below) a Proposed H.D. 1, for your consideration.

For the foregoing reasons, the Department of the Prosecuting Attorney of the City and County of Honolulu supports S.B. 635, S.D. 1, with the proposed H.D. 1. Thank you for the opportunity to testify on this matter.

#### Report Title:

Animal Cruelty; Law Enforcement Animal

#### Description:

Includes law enforcement animals under the offenses of causing injury or death to a service dog and intentional interference with the use of a service dog. Adds a definition for "law enforcement animal". (Proposed H.D. 1)

S. B. NO. Proposed

H.D.1

# A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO ANIMAL CRUELTY.

### BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

1 SECTION 1. The legislature finds that Hawaii's existing 2 penal code does not adequately address situations when an 3 offender injures or kills an animal used by a law enforcement 4 agency or corrections facility. Dogs, horses, or other animals 5 are specifically trained to assist law enforcement in detecting 6 criminal activity, enforcing laws, or apprehending criminal 7 offenders. On the national level, law enforcement trained 8 animals are being used more frequently on a daily basis to 9 assist law enforcement officers in the field to safely complete 10 their daily activities, search for narcotics and explosives, and 11 assist in search and rescue missions. Within the last twenty 12 years, law enforcement agencies have relied on trained animals 13 to address some of the departments' most dangerous assignments. 14 Although the death or injury of a law enforcement animal is 15 not a serious problem in Hawaii yet, it has become a problem 16 across the country. During the last forty years, one hundred 17 thirty-nine police dogs were killed in the line of duty by



1 firearms. In 2000, the federal government enacted the Federal 2 Law Enforcement Animal Protection Act of 2000. This federal law 3 recognized the need to provide legal protection to animals who 4 work with sworn law enforcement personnel on a daily basis to 5 keep communities safe by imposing penalties on any person who 6 wilfully and maliciously harms any police animal or attempts or conspires to do so, permanently disables or disfigures the 7 8 animal, or causes serious bodily injury to or the death of the 9 animal. Forty-four states and one territory have laws that 10 protect law enforcement animals that include police dogs, police 11 horses, and fire dogs. The legislature finds that it is now 12 time for Hawaii to join this group to protect the animals that 13 work hard every day to keep our community safe.

14 The purpose of this Act is to protect law enforcement 15 animals in the line of duty by including law enforcement animals 16 under the offenses of causing injury or death to a service dog 17 and intentional interference with the use of a service dog. 18 SECTION 2. Section 711-1109.4, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is

19 amended to read as follows:

20 "\$711-1109.4 Causing injury or death to a service
21 dog[-] or law enforcement animal. (1) A person commits the



1	offense o	f causing injury or death to a service dog <u>or law</u>	
2	enforceme	nt animal if:	
3	(a)	The person recklessly causes substantial bodily injury	
4		to or the death of any service dog <u>or law enforcement</u>	
5		animal while the service dog or law enforcement animal	
6		is in the discharge of its duties; or	
7	(b)	The person is the owner of a dog and recklessly	
8		permits that dog to attack a service dog <u>or law</u>	
9		enforcement animal while the service dog or law	
10		enforcement animal is in the discharge of its duties,	
11		resulting in the <u>substantial bodily</u> injury or death of	
12		the service dog or law enforcement animal.	
13	(2)	Subsection (1) shall not apply to:	
14	<u>(a)</u>	Accepted veterinary practices;	
15	(b)	Activities carried on for scientific research governed	
16		by standards of accepted educational or medicinal	
17		practices; or	
18	(C)	Cropping or docking as customarily practiced and	
19		permitted by law.	
20	[ <del>(2)</del>	] <u>(3)</u> Any person who commits the offense of causing	
21	injury or	death to a service dog or law enforcement animal shall	
22	be [ <del>punis</del>	hed as follows:	

Page 4

## S. B. NO. <sup>635, SD1</sup> Proposed H.D. 1

1	<del>(a)</del>	For a first offense by a fine of not more than \$2,000,
2		imprisonment of not more than thirty days, or both;
3		and
4	<del>(b)</del>	For a second or subsequent offense by a fine of not
5		more than \$5,000, imprisonment of not more than thirty
6		days, or both.] guilty of a class C felony.
7	[ <del>(3)</del> ]	(4) [Any] In addition to any other penalties, any
8	person who	is convicted of a violation of this section shall be
9	ordered to	make restitution to:
10	(a)	The [ <del>person with a disability who has custody or</del>
11		ownership] owner of the service dog or law enforcement
12		animal, for any veterinary bills and out-of-pocket
13		costs incurred as a result of the injury to the
14		service dog or law enforcement animal; and
15	(b)	The person, entity or organization that incurs the
16		cost of retraining or replacing the service dog <u>or law</u>
17		enforcement animal , for the cost of retraining or
18		replacing the service dog or law enforcement animal,
19		if it is disabled or killed.
20	[ <del>(4)</del> ]	(5) As used in this section, "service dog" shall
21	have the s	ame meaning as in section 347-2.5."

## S. B. NO. <sup>635, SD1</sup> Proposed H.D. 1

1	SECTION 3. Section 711-1109.5, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
2	amended to read as follows:
3	"§711-1109.5 Intentional interference with the use of a
4	service dog[-] or law enforcement animal. (1) A person commits
5	the offense of intentional interference with the use of a
6	service dog or law enforcement animal if the person, with no
7	legal justification, intentionally or knowingly[÷
8	<del>(a) Harms</del> ] strikes, beats, kicks, cuts, stabs, shoots, or
9	administers any type of harmful substance or poison to a service
10	dog <u>or law enforcement animal[<del>;</del> or</u>
11	(b) Strikes or kicks a service dog;]
12	while the service dog <u>or law enforcement animal</u> is in the
13	discharge of its duties.
14	(2) Subsection (1) shall not apply to:
15	(a) Accepted veterinary practices;
16	(b) Activities carried on for scientific research governed
17	by standards or accepted educational or medicinal
18	practices; or
19	(c) Cropping or docking as customarily practiced and
20	permitted by law.
21	$\left[\frac{(2)}{(3)}\right]$ Intentional interference with the use of a
22	service dog or law enforcement animal is a misdemeanor.

$\mathbf{O}$			635, SD1
5.	В.	NO.	Proposed H.D. 1

1	(4) In addition to any other penalties, any person who is
2	convicted of a violation of this section shall be ordered to
3	make restitution to:
4	(a) The owner of the service dog or law enforcement
5	animal, for any veterinary bills and out-of-pocket
6	costs incurred as a result of the injury to the
7	service dog or law enforcement animal; and
8	(b) The person, entity or organization that incurs the cost of
9	retraining or replacing the service dog or law enforcement
10	animal ,for the cost of retraining or replacing the service dog
11	or law enforcement animal, if it is disabled or killed
12	[(3)] (5) Nothing in this section is intended to affect
13	any civil remedies available for a violation of this section.
14	[ <del>(4)</del> ] <u>(6)</u> As used in this section, "service dog" shall
15	have the same meaning as in section 347-2.5."
16	SECTION 4. This Act does not affect rights and duties that
17	matured, penalties that were incurred, and proceedings that were
18	begun before its effective date.
19	SECTION 5. Section 711-1100, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
20	amended by adding a new definition to be appropriately inserted
21	and to read as follows:

S. B. NO. 🛛	35, SD1 roposed .D. 1
-------------	-----------------------------

1	""Law enforcement animal" means any dog, horse, or other
2	animal used by law enforcement or corrections agencies and
3	trained to work in areas of tracking, suspect apprehension,
4	victim assistance, crowd control, or drug or explosive detection
5	for law enforcement purposes."
6	SECTION 6. Statutory material to be repealed is bracketed
7	and stricken. New statutory material is underscored.
8	SECTION 7. This Act shall take effect upon its approval.

INTRODUCED BY:

#### Report Title:

Animal Cruelty; Law Enforcement Animal

#### Description:

Includes law enforcement animals under the offenses of causing injury or death to a service dog and intentional interference with the use of a service dog. Adds a definition for "law enforcement animal". (Proposed H.D. 1)

THE SENATE TWENTY-SEVENTH LEGISLATURE, 2013 STATE OF HAWAII

S. B. NO. Broposed H.D.1

## A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO ANIMAL CRUELTY.

#### BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

1 SECTION 1. The legislature finds that Hawaii's existing 2 penal code does not adequately address situations when an offender injures or kills an animal used by a law enforcement 3 4 agency or corrections facility. Dogs, horses, or other animals 5 are specifically trained to assist law enforcement in detecting 6 criminal activity, enforcing laws, or apprehending criminal offenders. On the national level, law enforcement trained 7 animals are being used more frequently on a daily basis to 8 9 assist law enforcement officers in the field to safely complete their daily activities, search for narcotics and explosives, and 10 11 assist in search and rescue missions. Within the last twenty years, law enforcement agencies have relied on trained animals 12 13 to address some of the departments' most dangerous assignments. Although the death or injury of a law enforcement animal is 14 not a serious problem in Hawaii yet, it has become a problem 15 across the country. During the last forty years, one hundred 16 thirty-nine police dogs were killed in the line of duty by 17

S. B. NO. Broposed H.D. 1

firearms. In 2000, the federal government enacted the Federal 1 Law Enforcement Animal Protection Act of 2000. This federal law 2 recognized the need to provide legal protection to animals who 3 4 work with sworn law enforcement personnel on a daily basis to 5 keep communities safe by imposing penalties on any person who wilfully and maliciously harms any police animal or attempts or 6 7 conspires to do so, permanently disables or disfigures the animal, or causes serious bodily injury to or the death of the 8 9 animal. Forty-four states and one territory have laws that 10 protect law enforcement animals that include police dogs, police 11 horses, and fire dogs. The legislature finds that it is now time for Hawaii to join this group to protect the animals that 12 work hard every day to keep our community safe. 13 14 The purpose of this Act is to protect law enforcement animals in the line of duty by including law enforcement animals 15 under the offenses of causing injury or death to a service dog 16 17 and intentional interference with the use of a service dog. 18 SECTION 2. Section 711-1109.4, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is amended to read as follows: 19 20 "§711-1109.4 Causing injury or death to a service

21 dog[-] or law enforcement animal. (1) A person commits the

	Page 3	S. B. NO. <sup>635, SD1</sup> Proposed H.D. 1	ı
1	offense o	of causing injury or death to a service dog <u>or law</u>	
2	enforceme	ent animal if:	
3	(a)	The person recklessly causes <u>substantial bodily</u> injury	
4		to or the death of any service dog or law enforcement	
5		animal while the service dog or law enforcement animal	
6		is in the discharge of its duties; for	Formatted: Not Strikethrough
7	(b)	The person is the owner of a dog and recklessly	
8		permits that dog to attack a service dog <u>or law</u>	
9		enforcement animal while the service dog or law	
10		<pre>enforcement animal is in the discharge of its duties,</pre>	
11		resulting in the <mark>substantial bodily </mark> injury or death of	
12		the service dog or law enforcement animal f. <del>]; or</del>	Formatted: Not Strikethrough
13	<del>(c)</del>	The person recklessly causes injury to or death of any	
14		law enforcement dog; provided that the law enforcement	
15		animal shall be performing its duties as a law	
16		enforcement animal while under the control of a sworn	
17		law enforcement officer.	
18	(2)	Subsection (1) shall not apply to:	
19	<u>(a)</u>	Accepted veterinary practices;	
20	<u>(b)</u>	Activities carried on for scientific research governed	
21		by standards of accepted educational or medicinal	
22		practices; or	
	S.B. 635, S.D	D. 1, Proposed H.D.1 – Prosecutor's office	

	Page 4	S. B. NO. <sup>635, SD1</sup> Proposed H.D. 1
1	<u>(c)</u>	
2	[(2)	permitted by law.
4		<u>(3)</u> Any person who commits the offense of causing death to a service dog or law enforcement animal shall
5		hed as follows:
6	- <u>-</u>	For a first offense by a fine of not more than \$2,000,
7		imprisonment of not more than thirty days, or both;
8		and
9	<del>.(b)</del>	For a second or subsequent offense by a fine of not
10		more than \$5,000, imprisonment of not more than thirty
11		days, or both.] guilty of a class C felony.
12	[ <del>(3)</del>	] (4) [Any] In addition to any other penalties, any Formatted: Strikethrough
13	person wh	o is convicted of a violation of this section shall be
14	ordered t	o make restitution to:
15	(a)	The person with a disability who has custody or Formatted: Strikethrough
16		<pre>ownership] owner of the service dog or law enforcement</pre>
17		animal, for any veterinary bills and out-of-pocket
18		costs incurred as a result of the injury to the
19		service dog or law enforcement animal; fand; Formatted: Not Strikethrough
20	(b)	The person <mark>, entity</mark> or organization that incurs the
21		cost of retraining or replacing the service dog <u>or law</u>
22		enforcement animal , for the cost of retraining or
	S.B. 635, S.D	9. 1, Proposed H.D.1 – Prosecutor's office

#### S. B. NO. <sup>635, SD1</sup> Proposed H.D. 1

1	replacing the service dog or law enforcement animal,	
2	if it is disabled or killed <del>f<mark>.]<del>;</del> or</mark></del>	Formatted: Not Strikethrough
3	(c) The law enforcement agency or whoever owns the law	
4	enforcement animal for the following costs:	
5	(i) <u>Veterinary bills and other medical costs;</u>	
6	(ii) Costs to replace the law enforcement animal if the	
7	animal is killed, disabled, or destroyed;	
8	(iii)Lost wages for the law enforcement animal's handler;	
9	and and a second s	
10	(iv) Any other costs related to the violation of this	
11	section.	
12	- <u>(5) For a law enforcement animal, it shall be an</u>	
13	affirmative defense that the law enforcement animal was not	
14	handled in accordance with recognized national animal handling	
15	procedures or was handled in a manner contrary to the law	
16	enforcement or correction agency's handling policies and	
17	procedures.	
18	[ <del>(4)</del> ] <u>(<del>6</del>5)</u> As used in this section <mark>f</mark> , "service <del>]:</del>	Formatted: Not Strikethrough
19		
20	animal used by law enforcement, corrections agencies, or courts	
21	and trained to work in areas of tracking, suspect apprehension,	
ļ		

	Page 6 S. B. NO. Proposed H.D. 1	ł		
_				
1	<del>crowd control, or drug or explosive detection for law</del>			
2	enforcement purposes.			
3				
4	347-2.5."			
5	SECTION 3. Section 711-1109.5, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is			
6	amended to read as follows:			
7	" $\$711-1109.5$ Intentional interference with the use of a			
8	<pre>service dog[+] or law enforcement animal. (1) A person commits</pre>			
9	the offense of intentional interference with the use of a			
10	service dog $\underline{\text{or law enforcement animal}}$ if the person, with no			
11	legal justification, intentionally or knowingly [+		Formatted: Strikethrough	_
12	(a) Harms] strikes, beats, kicks, cuts, stabs, shoots, or		Formatted: Strikethrough	_
13	administers any type of harmful substance or poison to a service			
14	dog <mark>[;]</mark> or law enforcement animal[ <del>; or</del>	<	Formatted: Not Strikethrough Formatted: Strikethrough	_
15	<pre>(b) Strikes or kicks a service dog[;]] or law enforcement</pre>		Formatted: Strikethrough	
16	animal;			
17	while the service dog or law enforcement animal is in the			
18	discharge of its duties $f_{1}$ . $f_{1}$ or the law enforcement animal is	_	Formatted: Not Strikethrough	_
19	performing its duties as a law enforcement animal while under			
20	the control of a sworn law enforcement officer.			
21	(2) Subsection (1) shall not apply to:			
22	(a) Accepted veterinary practices;			

#### S. B. NO. <sup>635, SD1</sup> Proposed H.D. 1

1	(b)	Activities carried on for scientific research governed
2		by standards or accepted educational or medicinal
3		practices; or
4	(c)	Cropping or docking as customarily practiced and
5		permitted by law.
6	[ <del>(2)</del>	] (3) Intentional interference with the use of a
7	service d	og <u>or law enforcement animal</u> is a misdemeanor.
8	(4)	In addition to any other penalties, any person who is
9	<u>convicted</u>	of a violation of this section shall be ordered to
10	make rest	itution to:
11	<u>(a)</u>	The owner of the service dog or law enforcement
12		animal, for any veterinary bills and out-of-pocket
13		costs incurred as a result of the injury to the
14		service dog or law enforcement animal; and
15	(b) The	person, entity or organization that incurs the cost of
16	<u>retrainin</u>	g or replacing the service dog or law enforcement
17	animal ,f	or the cost of retraining or replacing the service dog
18	<u>or law en</u>	forcement animal, if it is disabled or killed
19	[ <del>(3)</del>	] $(45)$ Nothing in this section is intended to affect
20	any civil	remedies available for a violation of this
21	section.	In addition to any other penalty, any person who is
22	convicted	of a violation of this section shall be ordered to

#### S. B. NO. <sup>635, SD1</sup> Proposed H.D. 1

1	make restitution to be paid to the law enforcement agency or
2	whoever owns the law enforcement animal for the following costs:
3	(a) Veterinary bills and other medical costs;
4	(b) Costs to replace the law enforcement animal if the
5	animal is killed, disabled, or destroyed;
6	(c) Lost wages for the law enforcement animal's handler;
7	and
8	(d) Any other costs related to the violation of this
9	section.
10	<u>(5) For a law enforcement animal, it shall be an</u>
11	affirmative defense that the law enforcement animal was not
12	handled in accordance with recognized national animal handling
13	procedures or was handled in a manner contrary to the law
14	enforcement or correction agency's handling policies and
15	procedures.
16	[ <del>(4)</del> ] <u>(6)</u> As used in this section <b>f</b> , "service]: Formatted: Not Strikethrough
17	"Law enforcement animal" means any dog, horse, or other
18	animal used by law enforcement, corrections agencies, or courts
19	and trained to work in areas of tracking, suspect apprehension,
20	crowd control, or drug or explosive detection for law
21	enforcement purposes.

Page 9
--------



1	<u>——Service</u> dog" shall have the same meaning as in section
2	347-2.5."
3	SECTION 4. This Act does not affect rights and duties that
4	matured, penalties that were incurred, and proceedings that were
5	begun before its effective date.
6	SECTION 5. Section 711-1100, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
7	amended by adding a new definition to be appropriately inserted
8	and to read as follows:
9	""Law enforcement animal" means any dog, horse, or other
10	animal used by law enforcement or corrections agencies and
11	trained to work in areas of tracking, suspect apprehension,
12	victim assistance, crowd control, or drug or explosive detection
13	for law enforcement purposes."
14	-SECTION $\frac{56}{5}$ . Statutory material to be repealed is
15	bracketed and stricken. New statutory material is underscored.
16	SECTION $\frac{67}{2}$ . This Act shall take effect upon its approval.

INTRODUCED BY:

#### POLICE DEPARTMENT

## CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU

801 SOUTH BERETANIA STREET · HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813 TELEPHONE: (808) 529-3111 · INTERNET: www.honolulupd.org

KIRK W. CALDWELL MAYOR



OUR REFERENCE DC-MM

March 14, 2013

The Honorable Henry J.C. Aquino, Chair and Members Committee on Public Safety State House of Representatives Hawaii State Capitol 415 South Beretania Street Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Dear Chair Aquino and Members:

Subject: Senate Bill No. 635, S.D 1, Relating to Animal Cruelty

I am Darren Chun, Captain of the Specialized Services Division, Honolulu Police Department, City and County of Honolulu.

The Honolulu Police Department supports Senate Bill No. 635, S.D. 1, Relating to Animal Cruelty, but prefers the terminology in proposed H.D. 1 written by the Department of the Prosecuting Attorney, City and County of Honolulu.

The proposed H.D. 1 is similar to S.D. 1 in that it is no longer a stand-alone bill. It also expands the offense of causing injury or death to a service dog to include content from the initial animal cruelty bill under Senate Bill No. 635, which strengthens and clarifies the offense for both service and law enforcement animals.

Canines of the Specialized Services Division accompany our officers during high-risk, critical incidents. These canines face the same, if not a higher, degree of risk when assisting our officers in the apprehension of dangerous criminal offenders. We agree that the protections provided by Senate Bill No. 635, S.D. 1, proposed H.D. 1, are needed to shield our law enforcement animals from harm while they work hard to keep Hawaii's communities safe.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify. I urge your committee to support and pass Senate Bill No. 635, S.D. 1, proposed H.D. 1.

Sincerely,

**DARREN CHUN, Captain Specialized Services Division** 

APPROVED:

Chief of Police

Serving and Protecting With Aloha

LOUIS M. KEALOHA CHIEF

DAVE M. KAJIHIRO MARIE A. MCCAULEY DEPUTY CHIEFS



ALAN M. ARAKAWA MAYOR

OUR REFERENCE

## POLICE DEPARTMENT

COUNTY OF MAUI

55 MAHALANI STREET WAILUKU, HAWAII 96793 (808) 244-6400 FAX (808) 244-6411



GARY A. YABUTA CHIEF OF POLICE

CLAYTON N.Y.W. TOM DEPUTY CHIEF OF POLICE

March 13, 2013

The Honorable Henry J.C. Aquino, Chair And Members of the Committee on Public Safety House of Representatives Hawaii State Capitol Honolulu, HI 96813

## RE: Senate Bill No. 635, SD1 - RELATING TO ANIMAL CRUELTY

Dear Chair Aquino and Members of the Committee:

The Maui Police Department SUPPORTS the passing of Senate Bill No. 635, SD-1, with amendments. This bill establishes the offenses of cruelty to a law enforcement animal in the first and second degrees and adds a definition for "law enforcement animal."

The Maui Police Department supports this measure as it will help to protect law enforcement service animals that work hard to prevent drugs from entering our community. We would also like to show our support for these courageous and hard working members of police department that tirelessly work to support our goals to protect and serve our community.

We also ask that you consider amending this bill to reflect requested language changes to be proposed by the Honolulu Prosecuting Attorney's Office.

The Maui Police Department again asks for your SUPPORT to S.B. No. 635, SD1.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

Sinderely, GARY A. YABUTA Chief of Police

### **TESTIMONY OF THE HAWAI'I POLICE DEPARTMENT**

## SENATE BILL 635, D1

#### **RELATING TO ANIMAL CRUELTY**

#### BEFORE THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

- DATE : Thursday, March 14, 2013
- TIME : 9:30 A.M.
- PLACE : Conference Room 309 State Capitol 415 South Beretania Street

#### PERSON TESTIFYING:

Police Chief Harry S. Kubojiri Hawai'i Police Department County of Hawai'i

(Written Testimony Only)



Harry S. Kubojiri Police Chict

Paul K. Ferreira Deputy Police Chief

**County of Hawai'i** POLICE DEPARTMENT

349 Kapiolani Street • Hilo, Hawai i 96720-3998 (808) 935-3311 • Fax (808) 961-8865

March 13, 2013

Representative Henry J. C. Aquino Chairperson and Committee Members Committee on Public Safety 415 South Beretania Street, Room 309 Honolulu, Hawai`i 96813

## **RE: SENATE BILL 635, D1, RELATING TO ANIMAL CRUELTY**

Dear Representative Aquino:

The Hawai'i Police Department supports the intent of Senate Bill No. 635, which includes law enforcement animals under the offenses of causing injury or death to a service dog and intentional interference with the use of a service dog.

We would, however, prefer the terminology in proposed House Draft 1 as proposed by the Department of the Prosecuting Attorney of the City and County of Honolulu.

We believe canines, whether in service to individuals with disabilities or law enforcement, are worthy of the additional protection that will be afforded by HD 1 as proposed.

For these reasons, we urge this committee to support Senate Bill 635, SD1, proposed HD1 legislation. Thank you for allowing the Hawai'i Police Department to testify on Senate Bill No. 635.

Sincerely,

HARRIY S. KUBOJIRI POLICE CHIEF